

Bus, S.J.; Binzel, R.P. (2002). “Phase II of the small main-belt asteroid spectroscopic survey: A feature-based taxonomy.” *Icarus* **158**, 146-177.

DSFTA (2020). Dipartimento di Scienze Fisiche, della Terra e dell'Ambiente – Astronomical Observatory.
<https://www.dsfta.unisi.it/en/research/labs-eng/astronomicalobservatory>

Durech, J.; Hanus, J.; Ali-Lagoa, V. (2018). “Asteroid model reconstructed from the Lowell Photometric Database and WISE data.” *Astron. Astrophys.* **617**, A57.

Harris, A.W.; Young, J.W.; Scaltriti, F.; Zappala, V. (1984). “Lightcurves and phase relations of the asteroids 82 Alkmene and 444 Gypsis.” *Icarus* **57**, 251-258.

JPL (2020). Small-Body Database Browser.
<http://ssd.jpl.nasa.gov/sbdb.cgi#top>

Masiero, J.R.; Mainzer, A.K.; Grav, T.; Bauer, J.M.; Cutri, R.M.; Dailey, J.; Eisenhardt, P.R.M.; McMillan, R.S.; Spahr, T.B.; Skrutskie, M.F.; Tholen, D.; Walker, R.G.; Wright, E.L.; DeBaun, E.; Elsbury, D.; Gautier, T. IV; Gomillion, S.; Wilkins, A. (2011). “Main Belt Asteroids with WISE/NEOWISE. I. Preliminary Albedos and Diameters.” *Astrophys. J.* **741**, A68.

Masiero, J.R.; Grav, T.; Mainzer, A.K.; Nugent, C.R.; Bauer, J.M.; Stevenson, R.; Sonnett, S. (2014). “Main-belt Asteroids with WISE/NEOWISE: Near-infrared Albedos.” *Astrophys. J.* **791**, 121.

Warner, B.D.; Harris, A.W.; Pravec, P. (2009). “The Asteroid Lightcurve Database.” *Icarus* **202**, 134-146. Updated 2019 Aug.
<http://www.minorplanet.info/lightcurvedatabase.html>

Warner, B.D. (2018). MPO Software, MPO Canopus v10.7.7.0. Bdw Publishing. <http://minorplanetobserver.com>

Xu, S.; Binzel, R.P.; Burbine, T.H.; Bus, S.J. (1995). “Small main-belt asteroid spectroscopic survey: Initial Results.” *Icarus* **115**, 1-35.

PRELIMINARY SPIN-SHAPE MODEL FOR 755 QUINTILLA

Lorenzo Franco
Balzarotto Observatory (A81), Rome, ITALY
lor_franco@libero.it

Robert K. Buchheim
Altimira Observatory (G76)
18 Altimira, Coto de Caza, CA 92679

Donald Pray
Sugarloaf Mountain Observatory
South Deerfield, MA USA

Michael Fauerbach
Florida Gulf Coast University
10501 FGCU Blvd.
Ft. Myers, FL33965-6565

Fabio Mortari
Hypatia Observatory (L62), Rimini, ITALY

Giovanni Battista Casalnuovo, Benedetto Chinaglia
Filzi School Observatory (D12), Laives, ITALY

Giulio Scarfi
Iota Scorpis Observatory (K78), La Spezia, ITALY

Riccardo Papini, Fabio Salvaggio
Wild Boar Remote Observatory (K49)
San Casciano in Val di Pesa (FI), ITALY

(Received: 2020 July 8)

We present a preliminary shape and spin axis model for main-belt asteroid 755 Quintilla. The model was derived using lightcurve inversion that combined dense photometric data acquired from three apparitions between 2004 and 2020 and sparse data from USNO Flagstaff. Analysis of the resulting data found a sidereal period $P = 4.55204 \pm 0.00001$ h and two mirrored pole solutions at $(\lambda, \beta) = (109^\circ, -12^\circ)$ and $(288^\circ, -3^\circ)$ with an uncertainty of $\pm 20^\circ$.

The minor planet 755 Quintilla was observed by the authors during three oppositions from 2004 to 2020. In order to cover several apparition geometries, we used sparse data from the USNO Flagstaff Station (MPC Code 689) that were downloaded from the Asteroids Dynamic Site (AstDyS-2, 2020).

The observational details of the dense data are in Table I with the mid-date, number of lightcurves used, and the longitude and latitude of the phase angle bisector ($LPAB$, $BPAB$).

Reference	Mid date	# LC	$LPAB^\circ$	$BPAB^\circ$
Buchheim, Pray (2005)	2004-04-16	3	207	2
Fauerbach (2019)	2018-11-02	2	54	-3
Franco et al. (2020)	2020-01-28	6	116	-3

Table I. Observational details for the data used in the lightcurve inversion process for 755 Quintilla.

Lightcurve inversion was performed using *MPO LCInvert* v.11.8.2.0 (BDW Publishing, 2016). For a description of the modeling process see *LCInvert Operating Instructions Manual*, Durech et al. (2010, and references therein).

Figure 1 shows the PAB longitude/latitude distribution for the dense/sparse data. Figure 2 (top panel) shows the sparse photometric data distribution (intensity vs JD) and (bottom panel) the corresponding phase curve (reduced magnitudes vs phase angle).




Figure 1: PAB longitude and latitude distribution of the data used for the lightcurve inversion model.




Figure 2: Top: sparse photometric data point distribution from (689) USNO Flagstaff station (relative intensity vs Julian Day). Bottom: phase curve obtained from sparse data (reduced magnitude vs phase angle).

In the analysis, the processing weighting factor was set to 1.0 for dense and 0.3 for sparse data. The “dark facet” weighting factor was set to 0.5 to keep the dark facet area below 1% of total area and the number of iterations was set to 50.

The sidereal period search was started around the average of the synodic periods found in the asteroid lightcurve database (LCDB; Warner et al., 2009). We found a group of five sidereal periods with Chi-Sq values within 10% of the lowest value, one of them more isolated and with the lowest Chi-Sq (Figure 3).




Figure 3: The period search for 755 Quintilla shows five sidereal periods with Chi-Sq values within 10% of the lowest value. The circled period was used in the initial pole search.




Figure 1: Pole search distribution. The dark blue region indicates the smallest Chi-Sq value while the dark red region indicates the largest.

The pole search was started using the “medium” search option (312 fixed pole positions with 15° longitude-latitude steps) and the sidereal period with the lowest Chi-Sq set to “float”. From this step we found two roughly mirrored lower Chi-Sq solutions (Figure 4) separated by about 180° in longitude at ecliptic longitude-latitude pairs $(\lambda, \beta) = (105^\circ, -15^\circ)$ and $(285^\circ, -3^\circ)$.

λ°	β°	Sidereal Period (hours)	Chi-Sq	RMS
109	-12	4.55204 ± 0.00001	0.67488	0.0274
288	-3		0.67616	0.0274

Table II. The two spin axis solutions for 755 Quintilla (ecliptic coordinates) with an uncertainty of ± 20 degrees. The sidereal period is the average of the two solutions found in the pole search.

The two best solutions (lower Chi-Sq) are reported in Table II. The sidereal period was obtained by averaging the two solutions found in the pole search. Typical errors in the pole solution are $\pm 20^\circ$ and the uncertainty in sidereal period has been evaluated as a rotational error of 40° over the total time span of the data set. Figure 5 shows the shape model (first solution with a lower Chi-Sq) while Figure 6 shows the fit between the model (black line) and some observed lightcurves (red points).




Figure 5: The shape model for 755 Quintilla ($\lambda = 109^\circ$, $\beta = -12^\circ$).




Figure 6: Model fit (black line) versus observed lightcurves (red points) for ($\lambda = 109^\circ$, $\beta = -12^\circ$) solution.

The analysis did not identify a unique solution (Durech et al., 2009), so we consider this to be a preliminary solution. Indeed, the pole search distribution is poorly constrained, especially along the ecliptic latitude. However, a check of the other four probable sidereal periods produced similar solutions with higher Chi-Sq and RMS values. We invite more observations of 755 Quintilla during the future oppositions, especially at large phase angles, in order to find a more robust solution.

References

- AstDyS-2 (2020), Asteroids - Dynamic Site.
<https://newton.spacedys.com/astdys/>
- BDW Publishing (2016).
<http://www.minorplanetobserver.com/MPOSoftware/MPOLCInve r t.htm>
- Buchheim, R.K.; Pray, D. (2005), "Lightcurve of 755 Quintilla." *Minor Planet Bulletin* **32**, 1.
- Durech, J.; Kaasalainen, M.; Warner, B.D.; Fauerbach, M.; Marks, S.A.; Fauvaud, S.; Fauvaud, M.; Vugnon, J.-M.; Pilcher, F.; Bernasconi, L.; Behrend, R. (2009), "Asteroid models from combined sparse and dense photometric data." *Astron. Astrophys.*, **493**, 291-297.
- Durech, J.; Sidorin, V.; Kaasalainen, M. (2010). "DAMIT: a database of asteroid models." *Astron. Astrophys.* **513**, A46.
- Fauerbach, M.; Fauerbach, M. (2019). "Rotational Period Determination for Asteroids 755 Quintilla, 1830 Pogson, 5076 Lebedev-Kumach, and (29153) 1998 SY2." *Minor Planet Bulletin* **46**, 138-139.
- Franco, L.; Marchini, A.; Saya, L.-F.; Galli, G.; Baj, G.; Ruocco, N.; Tinelli, L.; Scarfi, G.; Aceti, P.; Banfi, M.; Bacci, P.; Maestripieri, M.; Papini, R.; Salvaggio, F.; Mortari, F.; Bachini, M.; Casalnuovo, G.B.; Chinaglia, B. (2020). "Collaborative Asteroid Photometry from UAI: 2020 January - March." *Minor Planet Bulletin* **47**, 242-246.
- Warner, B.D.; Harris, A.W.; Pravec, P. (2009). "The asteroid lightcurve database." *Icarus* **202**, 134-146. Updated 2020 March.
<http://www.minorplanet.info/lightcurvedatabase.html>

CORRIGENDUM

Franco, L.; Marchini, A.; Saya, L.-F.; Galli, G.; Baj, G.; Ruocco, N.; Mannucci, M.; Montigiani, N.; Tinelli, L.; Scarfi, G.; Aceti, P.; Banfi, M.; Bacci, P.; Maestripieri, M.; Papini, R.; Salvaggio F.; Mortari, F.; Bachini, M.; Casalnuovo, G.B.; Chinaglia, B. (2020). "Collaborative Asteroid Photometry from UAI: 2020 January - March." *Minor Planet Bulletin* **47**, 242-246.

Incorrect values were given for the lower limit of the secondary-to-primary mean diameter ratio D_s/D_p for the asteroids 1052 Belgica and 7132 Casulli. The correct values are: 1052 Belgica, 0.39 ± 0.02 ; 7132 Casulli, 0.33 ± 0.02 .