THE ASTROPHYSICAL JOURNAL, 338:277–280, 1989 March 1 © 1989. The American Astronomical Society. All rights reserved. Printed in U.S.A.

### FAST ALGORITHM FOR SPECTRAL ANALYSIS OF UNEVENLY SAMPLED DATA

WILLIAM H. PRESS AND GEORGE B. RYBICKI Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics Received 1988 May 2; accepted 1988 August 13

## ABSTRACT

The Lomb-Scargle method performs spectral analysis on unevenly sampled data and is known to be a powerful way to find, and test the significance of, weak periodic signals. The method has previously been thought to be "slow," requiring of order  $10^2N^2$  operations to analyze N data points. We show that Fast Fourier Transforms (FFTs) can be used in a novel way to make the computation of order  $10^2N \log N$ . Despite its use of the FFT, the algorithm is in no way equivalent to conventional FFT periodogram analysis. Subject heading: numerical methods

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Lomb (1976) and Scargle (1982) developed a novel type of periodogram (Fourier spectrum) analysis, quite powerful for finding, and testing the significance of, weak periodic signals in otherwise random, *unevenly sampled* data. Horne and Baliunas (1986) have elaborated on the method and discussed its implementation. The method is incorporated into the data analysis package "J" and is widely used in some astronomical specialities. (For a pedagogical discussion, see Press and Teukolsky 1989).

Briefly, given a set of data values  $h_i$ , i = 1, ..., N at respective observation times  $t_i$ , the Lomb-Scargle periodogram is constructed as follows. First, compute the data's mean and variance by

$$\bar{h} \equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum_{1}^{N} h_i , \qquad \sigma^2 \equiv \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{1}^{N} (h_i - \bar{h})^2$$
(1)

Second, for each angular frequency  $\omega \equiv 2\pi f > 0$  of interest, compute a time-offset  $\tau$  by

$$\tan (2\omega\tau) = \frac{\sum_{j} \sin 2\omega t_{j}}{\sum_{j} \cos 2\omega t_{j}}$$
(2)

Third, the Lomb-Scargle normalized periodogram (spectral power as a function of  $\omega$ ) is defined by

$$P_{N}(\omega) \equiv \frac{1}{2\sigma^{2}} \left\{ \frac{\left[\sum_{j} (h_{j} - \bar{h}) \cos \omega(t_{j} - \tau)\right]^{2}}{\sum_{j} \cos^{2} \omega(t_{j} - \tau)} + \frac{\left[\sum_{j} (h_{j} - \bar{h}) \sin \omega(t_{j} - \tau)\right]^{2}}{\sum_{j} \sin^{2} \omega(t_{j} - \tau)} \right\}$$
(3)

The constant  $\tau$  makes  $P_N(\omega)$  completely independent of shifting all the  $t_i$ 's by any constant. Lomb (1976) showed that this particular choice of offset has another, deeper, effect: it makes equation (3) identical to the equation that one would obtain if one estimated the harmonic content of a data set, at a given frequency  $\omega$ , by linear least-squares fitting to the model

$$h(t) = A \cos \omega t + B \sin \omega t .$$
<sup>(4)</sup>

This fact gives some insight into why the method gives superior results on unevenly sampled data: it weights the data on a "per point" basis instead of on a "per time interval" basis.

Up to now, the Lomb-Scargle method has been viewed as being a "slow" method, in the technical sense of requiring a number of operations on the order of  $N_{\omega}N$  operations to examine  $N_{\omega}$  frequencies for a data set of N points. In fact, the constant in front of this order is quite large,  $\sim 10^2$ , because of the trigonometric operations which are required. Restriction to evenly spaced values of  $\omega$  and use of trigonometric recurrence relations (Press and Teukolsky 1988) can save a factor  $\sim 3$ , but the count is still large.

Furthermore, one typically wants  $N_{\omega}$  to be larger than N by a significant factor, for two independent reasons. First, it is desirable to oversample the spectrum, so as not to miss the peak of a spectral signal that is on the borderline of statistical significance. Second—this is one of the powerful features of the method—it is often meaningful to examine frequencies significantly higher than the Nyquist frequency that would obtain if the same number of data points were evenly spaced in the same total length of time. Some spectral information is obtainable for frequencies all the way up to something like half the inverse spacing of the *closest* spaced points.

The upshot is that the Lomb-Scargle method has a computational burden on the order of  $N^2$ , with quite a large constant in front,  $\sim 10^2 - 10^3$ . Until now, its use has been limited to data sets no longer than, say, 1000 points for interactive workstation applications, or perhaps 10<sup>4</sup> points on a supercomputer.

In the remainder of this paper, we will show how equations (2) and (3) can be calculated—approximately, but to any desired precision—with an operation count only of order  $N_{\omega} \log N_{\omega}$ . The method uses the FFT, but it is in no sense an FFT periodogram of the data. It is an actual evaluation of equations (2) and (3), the Lomb-Scargle normalized periodogram, with exactly that method's strengths and weaknesses. The fast algorithm given here makes feasible the application of the Lomb-Scargle method to data sets at

277

# © American Astronomical Society • Provided by the NASA Astrophysics Data System

## PRESS AND RYBICKI

least as large as 10<sup>6</sup> points, and it is already faster than straightforward evaluation of equations (2) and (3) for data sets as small as 60 or 100 points.

#### II. FAST LOMB-SCARGLE EVALUATION

A first observation to be made is that the trigonometric sums that occur in equations (2) and (3) can be reduced to four simpler sums. If we define

 $S_2 \equiv \sum_{j=1}^N \sin (2\omega t_j) \qquad C_2 \equiv \sum_{j=1}^N \cos (2\omega t_j) ,$ 

$$S_{h} \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{N} h_{j} \sin (\omega t_{j}), \qquad C_{h} \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{N} h_{j} \cos (\omega t_{j})$$
(5)

(6)

and

278

then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} h_j \cos \omega(t_j - \tau) = C_h \cos \omega \tau + S_h \sin \omega \tau$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} h_j \sin \omega(t_j - \tau) = S_h \cos \omega \tau - C_h \sin \omega \tau$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} \cos^2 \omega(t_j - \tau) = \frac{N}{2} + \frac{1}{2} C_2 \cos (2\omega\tau) + \frac{1}{2} S_2 \sin (2\omega\tau)$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} \sin^2 \omega(t_j - \tau) = \frac{N}{2} - \frac{1}{2} C_2 \cos (2\omega\tau) - \frac{1}{2} S_2 \sin (2\omega\tau)$$
(7)

Notice that if the  $t_j$ 's were evenly spaced, then the four quantities  $S_h$ ,  $C_h$ ,  $S_2$ , and  $C_2$  could be evaluated by two complex FFTs, and the results could then be substituted back through equation (7) to evaluate equations (2) and (3). The problem is therefore only to evaluate equations (5) and (6) for unevenly spaced data.

Interpolation, or rather "reverse interpolation"—hereafter referred to as "extirpolation"—provides the key. Interpolation, as classically understood, uses several function values on a regular mesh to construct an accurate approximation at an arbitrary point. Extirpolation, just the opposite, *replaces* a function value at an arbitrary point by several function values on a regular mesh, doing this in such a way that sums over the mesh are an accurate approximation to sums over the original arbitrary point.

It is not hard to see that the weight functions for extirpolation are identical to those for interpolation. Suppose that the function h(t) to be extirpolated is known only at the discrete (unevenly spaced) points  $h(t_i) \equiv h_i$ , and that the function g(t) (which will be, e.g., cos  $\omega t$ ) can be evaluated anywhere. Let  $\hat{t}_k$  be a sequence of evenly spaced points on a regular mesh. Then Lagrange interpolation (see, e.g., Press *et al.* 1986, § 3.1) gives an approximation of the form

$$g(t) \approx \sum w_k(t)g(\hat{t}_k) , \qquad (8)$$

where  $\omega_k(t)$  are interpolation weights. Now let us evaluate a sum of interest by the following scheme:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} h_{j} g(t_{j}) \approx \sum_{j=1}^{N} h_{j} \left[ \sum_{k} w_{k}(t_{j}) g(\hat{t}_{k}) \right] = \sum_{k} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{N} h_{j} w_{k}(t_{j}) \right] g(\hat{t}_{k}) \equiv \sum_{k} \hat{h}_{k} g(\hat{t}_{k}) .$$
(9)

Here  $\hat{h}_k \equiv \sum_j h_j \omega_k(t_j)$ . Notice that equation (9) replaces the original sum by one on the regular mesh. Notice also that the accuracy of equation (8) depends only on the fineness of the mesh with respect to the function g and has nothing to do with the spacing of the points  $t_j$  or the function h; therefore the accuracy of equation (9) also has this property.

The general outline of the fast evaluation method is therefore this: (1) choose a mesh size large enough to accommodate some desired oversampling factor, and large enough to have several extirpolation points per half-wavelength of the highest frequency of interest. (2) Extirpolate the values  $h_i$  onto the mesh and take the FFT; this gives  $S_h$  and  $C_h$  in equation (5). (3) Extirpolate the constant values 1 onto another mesh, and take its FFT; this, with some manipulation, gives  $S_2$  and  $C_2$  in equation (6). (4) Evaluate equations (7) (2), and (3), in that order.

There are several other tricks involved in implementing this algorithm efficiently. Rather than try to describe these in words, we direct attention to the commented program implementation, reproduced in Table 1, mentioning here only the following points: (a) A nice way to get transform values at frequencies  $2\omega$  instead of  $\omega$  is to stretch the time-domain data by a factor of 2, and then wrap it to double-cover the original length. (This trick goes back to Tukey.) In the program, this appears as a modulo function. (b) The subroutine REALFT is assumed to return the positive-frequency half of the complex spectrum of a real data array, and can be found in Press *et al.* (1986). (c) Trigonometric identifiers like (e.g.) CWT and HS2WT represent quantities like (e.g.) cos  $\omega \tau$  and  $\frac{1}{2} \sin (2\omega\tau)$ . (d) See Press and Teukolsky (1988) for discussion of the approximations involved in the calculation of the significance level of the largest spectral peak found. (e) the subroutine SPREAD does extirpolation onto the M most nearly centered mesh points around an arbitrary point; its turgid code evaluates coefficients of the Lagrange interpolating polynomials, as efficiently as we know how to do.

TAB FORTRAN SUBROUTINE FOR FAST EVAL	LE 1 uation of the Lomb-Scargle Statistic
SUBROUTINE FASPER(X,Y,N,OFAC,HIFAC,WK1,WK2,NWK,NOUT,JMAX,PROB)	D014 J=1, NOUT Compute the Lomb-Scargle value for each frequency.
GIVEN IN GATA POINTS WITH BOSCISSAS X (WINCH NEED NOT DE EQUAITY SPACED) AND ORDINATES Y, and given a desired oversampling factor 0FAC (a typical value being 4 or larger), this routine	HYPO=SQRT(WK2(K)**2+WK2(K+1)**2) HC2WT=O.5*WK2(K)/HYPD
fills array WK1 with a sequence of NOUT increasing frequencies (not angular frequencies) up	HS2WT=O.5*WK2(K+1)/HYPO
to HIFAC times the "average" Nyquist frequency, and fills array WK2 with the values of the	CWT=SQRT(0.5+HC2WT)
Lointo-Scargie normalized periooogram at mose frequencies. The arrays X and Y are not altered NWK the dimension of WX and WX? must be large environ for intermediate work	SWT=SIGN(SQRT(0.5-HC2WT),HS2WT)
Space, or an error (pause) results. The routine also returns JMAX such that PV (JMAY) is	UEN=C.O*N+HCZENT*MKZ(K)+HCZUNT*MKZ(K+T) CHEBDM=CFWT*WZ+ZV+CUNT+WZ+ZV+ZV+CV+4)++C
the maximum element in PY, and PROB, an estimate of the significance of that maximum	CIERN-CHITHIL (N/TOHITHIL (N/TOHITHIC) /**2//NLN STERN-COMITHIC (VII) - SWITHIC (N/) **0//N-DEN)
against the hypothesis of random noise. A small value of PROB indicates that a significant	VKI(J)=J*DF
periodic signal is present.	WK2(J)=(CTERM+STERM)/(2.*VAR)
PARAMETER (MACC=4) Number of interpolation points per 1/4 cycle of highest	IF (WK2(J).GT.PMAX) THEN
REAL X(N),Y(N),WK1(NWK),WK2(NWK) Trequency. NDTTT=-C_S+OFA-C+UTEAC+N	PMAX=WK2(J)
	JMAX=J
NEADLACATERCANAMACC SIGNER FEI AS NEXT DOWER OF Z ADOVE NEKENT. NERED=64	ENDIF
1 IF (NFREQ.LT.NFREQT) THEN	
NFREQ=NFREQ*2	EXPV=FYP(-DMAY)
GOTO 1 GOTO 1	EFEM=2 *NOITT/DFAC
ENDIF	DRABERTMOUT/OFAC
NDIM=2*NFREQ	TF(PROR CT ∩ 01)PPOR=1 -/1 -EYDV).↓↓EEEW
IF(NDIM.GT.NWK) PAUSE 'workspaces too small in FASPER'	RETURN
CALL AVEVAR(Y, N, AVE, VAR) Compute the mean, variance, and range of the data.	END
XMIN=X(1)	
XMAX=XMIN	SUBROUTINE SPREAD(Y,YY,N,X,M)
D0111 J=2.N	Given an array YY of length N, extirpolate (spread) a value Y into M actual array elements
IF(X(J).LT.XMIN)XMIN=X(J)	that best approximate the "fictional" (i.e. possibly non-integer) array element number X.
IF(X(J).GT.XMAX)XMAX=X(J)	The weights used are coefficients of the Lagrange interpolating polynomial.
TICONTINUE	REAL YY(N). NFAC(10) /1,1,2,6,24,120,720,5040,40320,362880/
XDIF=XMAX-XMIN	IF(M.GT.10) PAUSE 'factorial table too small in SPREAD'
DOI12 J=1, NDIM Zero the workspaces.	X=XI
WK1(J)=0.	IF(X.EQ.FLOAT(IX))THEN
WK2(J)=0.	YY (IX) = YY (IX) + Y
TISCONTINUE	ELSE
FAC=NDIM/(XDIF*OFAC)	ILD=MIN(MAX(INT(X-0.5*M+1.0),1),N-M+1)
FNDIM=NDIM	IHI=ILO+M-1
DULUE J=1, N Extirpolate the data into the workspaces.	NDEN=NFAC(M)
CK=1.+AMOD((X(J)-XMIN)*FAC,FNDIM)	FAC=X-ILO
CKK=1.+AMOD(2.*(CK-1.),FNDIM)	DO16 J=ILO+1, IHI
CALL SPREAD(Y(J)-AVE,WK1,NDIM,CK,MACC)	FAC=FAC*(X-J)
CALL SPREAD(1., WK2, NDIM, CKK, MACC)	<b>ISCONTINUE</b>
	YY(IHI)=YY(IHI)+Y*FAC/(NDEN*(X-IHI))
CALL KEALFI(WK1, NFKEU, 1) IAKE THE FAST FOURIER TRANSFORMS.	DO16 J=IHI-1, ILO, -1
CALL REALFT(WK2,NFREq,1)	NDEN=(NDEN/(J+1-ILO))*(J-IHI)
DF=1./(XULF*DFAC) K=3	YY(J)=YY(J)+Y*FAC/(NDEN*(X-J)) Geronwrwnr
D M (	
- T T	ENULF

279

# 280

1989ApJ...338..277P

## PRESS AND RYBICKI

We thank Sallie Baliunas, Charles Bailyn, and Saul Teukolsky for helpful input. This work was supported in part by the U.S. National Science Foundation, grant PHY8604396. We acknowledge the pleasant hospitality of the Institute for Advanced Study, where part of this work was done.

#### REFERENCES

Horne, J. H., and Baliunas, S. L. 1986, Ap. J., 302, 757.
Lomb, N. R. 1976, Ap. Space Sci., 39, 447.
Press, W. H., Flannery, B. P., Teukolsky, S. A., and Vetterling, W. T. 1986, Numerical Recipes: The Art of Scientific Computing (New York: Cambridge University Press).

WILLIAM H. PRESS and GEORGE B. RYBICKI: Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden Street, Cambridge, MA 02138

Press, W. H., and Teukolsky, S. A. 1988, Computers in Physics, 2, No. 6, 77. Scargle, J. D. 1982, Ap. J., 263, 835.