SEARCH FOR RAPID X-RAY VARIABILITY FROM THE BLACK HOLE CANDIDATE GRO J1655-40

D. J. Crary,¹ C. Kouveliotou,² J. van Paradiis,^{3,4} F. van der Hooft,⁴ M. van der Klis,⁴ B. C. Rubin,² D. M. Scott,² M. H. Finger,² and B. A. Harmon⁵

Received 1995 October 16; accepted 1996 February 19

ABSTRACT

We have examined 15 days of CGRO/BATSE data, obtained during the first outburst of the black hole candidate source GRO J1655–40, to search for rapid variability of its X-ray flux. We find no evidence for significant variability of GRO J1655–40 during our observations, with a 2 σ upper limit to the fractional rms amplitude in the frequency range 0.03–0.488 Hz of 6.6%. We cannot, on the basis of our observations, determine the source state (low, high, or very high state) of GRO J1655–40.

Subject headings: stars: individual (GRO J1655-40) — X-rays: stars

1. INTRODUCTION

The X-ray transient source GRO J1655–40 (also known as X-ray Nova Scorpii 1994) was discovered with BATSE on the Compton Gamma Ray Observatory on 1994 July 27. The evolution of the hard (20–100 keV) X-ray intensity during the subsequent 5 months has been discussed by Harmon et al. (1995). Three outbursts were observed, each characterized by a fast (<1 day) rise to a level of 600–700 mcrab in the 20–100 keV range, with no well-defined single maximum during the outbursts (10–50 days). Typical peak flux levels were 0.30 photons cm⁻² s⁻¹ in the energy range of the observations. There was no significant emission from the location of GRO J1655–40 for a 50 day period prior to July 27.

GRO J1655–40 is a nearby (2–3 kpc) dynamical black hole candidate with a reported mass function of $3.35\pm0.14~M_{\odot}$ (Bailyn et al. 1995a, b; see Tanaka & Lewin 1995 for a recent review of black hole candidates). The source shows strong radio outbursts associated with superluminal expansion events (Tingay et al. 1995; Hjellming & Rupen 1995) that are correlated with the increase in hard X-ray flux. Bailyn et al. (1995b) found that the optical light curve shows eclipses, at an orbital period of 2.6 days, and suggested that the orbital inclination of GRO J1655–40 is close to 90°. The energy spectrum can be well described by a power law out to at least 300 keV, with spectral (photon) index varying between 2.5 and 3.1 (Wilson et al. 1995).

Here we report on the analysis of the fast variability of the hard X-ray intensity of GRO J1655-40. In § 2 we describe the observations and data analysis. We discuss our results in § 3. Section 4 summarizes our conclusions.

2. OBSERVATIONS

We used the 1.024 s time resolution count rates from the BATSE Large Area Detectors (LADs) in two energy channels corresponding to the energy ranges 20–50 and 50–100 keV (Fishman et al. 1991). Intervals were selected of 512 time bins

 $^{\rm 1}$ NAS/NRC Research Associate, NASA Code ES-84, Marshall Space Flight Center, Huntsville, AL 35812.

² Universities Space Research Association, Huntsville, AL 35806.

(524.288 s) without interruptions or data dropouts, during which GRO J1655-40 was above Earth's horizon. Our chosen segment length is a compromise between the number of contiguous segments that could be obtained (this is determined by incomplete data coverage and SAA passages) and frequency resolution. We used data only from detectors in which the source was seen at an angle of less than 60° from the detector normal. The segments were quadratically detrended, the resulting time series converted to power density spectra (PDS), and the PDS averaged over an entire day. Approximately 45 intervals were obtained each day during the outbursts. We have determined that for the purposes of this analysis quadratic detrending is equivalent to a detailed background model subtraction as described by Rubin et al. (1993). Using similar intervals obtained when the source was occulted by Earth (approximately 29 per day), we verified that the PDS of the detector background counting rate is flat down to at least 0.03 Hz.

The data set that we could use is constrained by the presence of other potential noise sources in the field of view of the uncollimated LAD detectors that are facing GRO J1655-40. Cyg X-1 is a persistent source that shows strong variability in the frequency interval of interest. Because of the large angle (~86°) between Cyg X-1 and GRO J1655-40, it is possible to choose detectors that face GRO J1655-40 in which Cyg X-1 is at an angle greater than 90° from the detector normal. Only these detectors have been used in the following analysis. The soft X-ray transient source GX 339-4 (located within 10° of GRO J1655-40) was found from the source monitoring by BATSE through daily occultation analysis (Harmon et al. 1993) to be bright during the second and third outburst of GRO J1655-40. We have therefore confined our observations to the 15 days corresponding to the first outburst when no other sources in the vicinity of GRO J1655-40 were detected with BATSE at a level higher than 0.16 photons cm⁻² s⁻¹, and when the daily averaged flux of GRO J1655-40 was higher than 0.19 photons cm⁻² s⁻¹ (1994 July 28-August 11). Figure 1 shows the flux levels for this interval (Harmon et al. 1995).

The analysis is complicated by the existence of background noise in the LAD detectors that is likely due to weak unresolved sources in the uncollimated field of view. Figure 2 shows the power levels normalized to a Poisson level of 2.0 (Leahy et al. 1983) and averaged over the frequency interval 0.03–0.488 Hz in detectors facing the region of GRO

³ Department of Physics, University of Alabama in Huntsville, Huntsville, AL 35899.

⁴ Astronomical Institute "Anton Pannekoek," University of Amsterdam and Center for High-Energy Astrophysics, Kruislaan 403, NL-1098 SJ Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

⁵ NASA/Marshall Space Flight Center, Huntsville, AL 35812.




Fig. 1.—Hard X-ray light curve (20-100 keV) for GRO J1655-40 from TJD $(= \text{Julian Day}_2,440,000.5)$ 9560 (1995 July 27) to 9585 (1995 August 21) obtained with BATSE occultation analysis.

J1655–40 for 16 days prior to the outburst. During this period, no transient sources with hard spectra and known fast variability and having a flux greater than 0.2 photons cm $^{-2}$ s $^{-1}$ (20–100 keV) were detected with BATSE in this region. The 16 day average of the (0.03–0.488 Hz) power density is 2.035 \pm 0.007.

Figure 3 shows the averaged PDS for GRO J1655-40 during the interval 1994 July 28 to August 11, corrected for the background noise effect described above. The power density was normalized to represent the squared fractional rms amplitude per unit frequency (see van der Klis 1995 for the formulae). Figure 4 shows the (0.03-0.488 Hz) integrated daily averaged PDS measured from GRO J1655-40, where the PDS have been normalized in the same way as shown in Figure 3. The errors have been propagated from the variance per bin calculated for each daily average, the errors in the subtracted background power, and the count rate errors derived from occultation analysis.

The average value of this squared fractional rms amplitude equals $(0.6 \pm 1.9) \times 10^{-4} \text{ (rms/mean)}^2$; the 2 σ upper limit to this quantity equals 4.4×10^{-4} . The corresponding 2 σ upper limit to the fractional rms amplitude, f, averaged over the 15 days of observation, equals 6.6%.




Fig. 2.—Average power per bin for detectors facing the region of GRO J1655–40 for 16 days prior to discovery. The power has been normalized such that the Poisson level is 2.0. The average taken over the interval 0.03–0.488 Hz is 2.035 \pm 0.007, shown by the dotted line.




Fig. 3.—Averaged (1995 July 28–August 11) PDS corrected for Poisson fluctuations and background noise shown in Fig. 2, normalized to fractional squared rms $\rm Hz^{-1}$.

3. DISCUSSION

Based on their spectral and fast-variability properties, it appears that the black hole X-ray binaries have three states: the "low," "high," and "very high" states (Miyamoto et al. 1994; van der Klis 1994a). The high state is characterized by the presence of a strong ultrasoft component that dominates the 1–10 keV X-ray flux. Since no low-energy X-ray observations were made during the period covered by our study, we cannot make a statement on the source state of GRO J1655–40 on the basis of its spectral characteristics.

In the low state the X-ray spectra of black-hole X-ray binaries are very hard, and well described by a power law that extends up to several hundreds of kilo-electron volts. The PDS is characterized by a power law at high frequencies, with an approximately flat part below a variable "break frequency" ν_b . In PDS that are normalized according to Belloni & Hasinger (1990) the high-frequency part of the PDS does not change much; therefore, f, in a given frequency interval that contains the break frequency, is anticorrelated with ν_b .

If GRO J1655-40 had been in the low state during our observations, and had followed the (ν_b, f) relation of Cyg X-1 (van der Klis 1994b; Crary et al. 1996), the break frequency would have to be at least ~ 0.7 Hz to account for the observed




Fig. 4.—Calculated fractional squared rms (0.03–0.488 Hz) for GRO J1655–40 during outburst from TJD 9561 (1995 July 28) to 9575 (1995 August 11). Contributions to the background shown in Fig. 2 have been subtracted from these data.

upper limit to f of 6.6%. The required extrapolation of ν_h beyond the range observed for Cyg X-1 (0.04-0.4 Hz) seems moderate enough that we cannot exclude the possibility that GRO J1655-40 was in the low state during our observations.

The high state of black hole X-ray binaries is characterized by the presence of a strong ultrasoft spectral component, which dominates the flux in the 1-10 keV range. In the high state an anticorrelation is observed between the 2-10 keV source variability and spectral hardness, which has been interpreted as a dilution of the variability in the hard powerlaw spectral component by the less variable ultrasoft component.

No information on the presence or absence of an ultrasoft component in the X-ray spectrum of GRO J1655-40 during our observation is available. If we assume that the total luminosity of GRO J1655-40 does not exceed the Eddington limit, then with the distance of 3.5 kpc (Bailyn et al. 1995a) and mass of at least 3.35 M_{\odot} we find that there is ample room for an ultrasoft component (the 2-100 keV luminosity in the power-law component is only one-third of the Eddington limit). We cannot, therefore, exclude that GRO J1655-40 was then in the high state. If it were, the low value of f we have observed would indicate that the variability of the hard (20–100 keV) power-law spectral component is smaller in the high state than in the low state. This, in turn, would suggest that the low values of f observed in the 2–10 keV band in the high state are not only the result of the above-mentioned dilution by the ultrasoft component, but that the variability of the power-law component in this energy range is low as well.

The very high state (VHS) has so far only been observed in two sources, GS 1124-68 (Miyamoto et al. 1993) and GX 339-4 (Miyamoto et al. 1992). The X-ray spectrum then has, at least part of the time, a power-law component above 20 keV. Miyamoto et al. (1994) have decomposed the PDS obtained with Ginga for GS 1124-68 in the VHS into a power-law component and a "flat-topped" component. The latter has a constant value of ~ 0.001 Hz⁻¹ for frequencies below 1 Hz, and is independent of energy in the 1-37 keV range. This PDS level corresponds to a value for f in the frequency range 0.03-0.488 Hz of 3%. If we assume that the energy independence of the PDS in the VHS extends throughout the BATSE energy range, the observed variability properties of GRO J1655-40, presented here are consistent with the idea that the source was in the VHS during our observations.

4. CONCLUSIONS

During the first outburst of GRO J1655-40 we detected no (0.03-0.488 Hz) variability in its (20-100 keV) flux, with an upper limit to its rms amplitude of 6.6% (2 σ). Several bright (≥1 Crab; 20-100 keV) black hole candidate sources observed with BATSE (in particular, Cyg X-1, GRO J1719-24, and GRO J0422+32) have shown enhanced noise power in the 0.03-0.488 Hz range, and occasional quasi-periodic oscillations (Kouveliotou 1994; van der Hooft et al. 1996). Fractional rms values for this noise observed from Cyg X-1 (10%–30%; Crary et al. 1996) and GRO J1719-24 (~15%; van der Hooft et al. 1996) are characteristic of the low state (van der Klis 1994a, b), and it is likely that they were encountered in that state with BATSE.

Based on the BATSE data for the period 1994 July 28-August 11 alone we cannot interpret the observed low variability of GRO J1655-40 in terms of the source state scheme for black hole candidates. For that, a better understanding of the hard X-ray properties of black hole candidates (e.g., their spectral slope) in the different source states is required. Investigations of this type will be facilitated by low-energy (<10 keV) observations, performed concurrently with a BATSE observation.

We thank Dr. W. Lewin for his comments on this paper. This project was performed within NASA grant NAG5-2560 and supported in part by the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO) under grant PGS 78-277. This work was performed while D. J. C. held a National Research Council-NASA Research Associateship. F. v. d. H. acknowledges support by the Netherlands Foundation for Research in Astronomy with financial aid from NWO under contract number 782-376-011, and the Leids Kerkhoven-Bosscha Fonds for a travel grant. J. v. P. acknowledges support from NASA grant NAG5-2755.

REFERENCES

Bailyn, C. D., et al. 1995a, Nature, 374, 701
Bailyn, C. D., Orosz, J., McClintock, J., & Remillard, R. 1995b, IAU Circ. 6173
Belloni, T., & Hasinger, G. 1990, A&A, 227, L33
Crary, D. J., et al. 1996, ApJ, 462, L71
Fishman, G. J., Meegan, C. A., Wilson, R. A., Paciesas, W. S., & Pendleton, G. N. 1991, in Proc. Compton Observatory Science Workshop, ed. C. R. Shrader, N. Gehrels, & B. Dennis, NASA CP-3137, 26
Harmon, B. A., et al. 1993, AIP Conf. Proc. 280, Proc. 1st Compton Gamma Ray Observatory Symp., ed. M. Friedlander. N. Gehrels & D. I. Macomb

Ray Observatory Symp., ed. M. Friedlander, N. Gehrels, & D. J. Macomb (New York: AIP), 313

1995, Nature, 374, 703

Hjellming, R. M., & Rupen, M. P. 1995, Nature, 375, 464 Kouveliotou, C. 1994, AIP Conf. Proc. 304, Proc. 2d Compton Gamma Ray Observatory Symp., ed. C. E. Fichtel, N. Gehrels, & J. P. Norris (New York:

Leahy, D. A., Darbro, W., Elsner, R. F., Weisskopf, M. C., Sutherland, P. G., Kahn, S., & Grindlay, J. E. 1983, ApJ, 226, 160 Miyamoto, S., Iga, S., Kitamoto, S., & Yasuhide, K. 1993, ApJ, 403, L39

Miyamoto, S., Kitamoto, S., Iga, S., Negoro, H., & Terada, K. 1992, ApJ, 391,

Miyamoto, S., et al. 1994, in New Horizon of X-Ray Astronomy, ed. F. Makino & T. Ohashi (Tokyo: Universal Academy Press), 47 Rubin, B. C., et al. 1993, AIP Conf. Proc. 280, Proc. 1st Compton Gamma Ray

Observatory Symp., ed. M. Friedlander, N. Gehrels, & D. J. Macomb (New York: AIP), 1127
Tanaka, Y., & Lewin, W. H. G. 1995, in X-Ray Binaries, ed. W. H. G. Lewin,

J. van Paradijs, & E. P. J. van den Heuvel (Cambridge: Cambridge Univ.

Press), 126 Tingay, S. J., et al. 1995, Nature, 374, 141

1995, IAU Circ. 6152