

CIRCUMSTELLAR PROPERTIES OF S STARS. I. DUST FEATURES

P. S. CHEN¹ AND SUN KWOK

Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Calgary, 2500 University Drive, NW, Calgary, Alberta, Canada T2N 1N4

Received 1992 November 30; accepted 1993 March 31

ABSTRACT

The 1435 stars currently classified as of S spectral type have been searched for association with the *IRAS* Point Source Catalog. Among the 835 S stars with *IRAS* counterparts, 149 are found to have usable *IRAS* low-resolution spectra. The circumstellar properties of these S stars are classified using the LRS. We find that the circumstellar properties of S stars are very similar to those of M stars. For the S stars that have LRS, silicate emission objects form the largest group. Only four objects of the whole sample show the SiC emission feature commonly found in carbon stars. Some of the S stars that show a photospheric continuum with no infrared excess may not be thermal pulsing AGB stars but are mass transfer binaries.

We propose a new classification scheme for AGB stars, taking into account both the photospheric and circumstellar spectral properties. This scheme recognizes the importance of mass loss on the evolution of AGB stars. The evolutionary status of S stars is discussed in terms of their circumstellar properties, and an evolutionary scenario is proposed to reconcile the visible photospheric properties and the circumstellar properties of S stars.

Subject headings: circumstellar matter — dust, extinction — stars: AGB and post-AGB

1. INTRODUCTION

Stars on the asymptotic giant branch are generally classified into oxygen- (M) or carbon-rich (C) based on photospheric abundances. Since the discovery of circumstellar dust emission in the early 1970s (Woolf & Ney 1969; Treffers & Cohen 1973), there has been a good one-to-one correspondence between the chemical makeup of circumstellar dust and photospheric spectral types. The 9.7 and 18 μm silicate features are associated with M stars, whereas the 11.3 μm SiC feature is found in C stars. However, the circumstellar properties of another major spectral type—S stars—has not been systematically studied.

S stars are peculiar red giant stars with enriched *s*-process elements and have the ratio of oxygen to carbon abundance that are intermediate between M and C stars (Smith & Lambert 1985, 1986; Lambert et al. 1986). Since the [C/O] ratio is approximately unity, almost all oxygen and carbon atoms in the atmosphere of S stars are consumed in the CO molecule, and the molecules that are formed in S star atmospheres can be quite different from those in M and C stars. Specifically, S stars are characterized by the prominence of the ZrO band over the TiO band. Consequently, S stars are often suggested as objects in transition between M and C stars.

However, the traditional interpretation of the M-S-C sequence as an evolutionary sequence has been challenged by recent studies. Jorissen & Mayor (1988) found that some non-variable S stars without Tc may be binaries (Jorissen & Mayor 1988). On the other hand, carbon stars with circumstellar silicate features have been suggested as transition objects between M and C stars (Willems & de Jong 1988; Chan & Kwok 1988, 1991), and the circumstellar properties of S stars cannot be readily fitted into this picture (Chan & Kwok 1990).

In the mid-infrared, both M and C stars have well-defined colors and form homogeneous groups in the *IRAS* color-color diagram (Volk & Kwok 1988; van der Veen & Habing 1988;

Walker & Cohen 1988). However, S stars have no unique colors in the near-infrared (Chen et al. 1988; Noguchi et al. 1991) or in the mid-infrared. In the *IRAS* color-color diagram, the distribution of S stars overlaps extensively with those of M and C stars and does not form a well-defined group by itself.

The recent publication of S star catalogs and the availability of photometric and spectroscopic data from the *IRAS* sky survey have made possible a comprehensive study of the circumstellar properties of S stars. For the first time, it is possible to obtain answers to the following questions: What fraction of S stars have infrared excess indicative of mass loss? What is the composition of the circumstellar dust of S stars? Are there any correlations between the photospheric spectral types and the circumstellar spectral types? If the circumstellar dust of M and C stars are indicators of the mass-loss history and evolutionary status of these stars, can any definite statement be made on the nature of S stars?

In this paper, we have assembled a sample of S stars that have associations with the *IRAS* Point Source Catalog. The *IRAS* low-resolution spectra of these stars are examined and the nature of the circumstellar dust classified. The distributions of these subgroups of S stars in the *IRAS* color-color diagram are interpreted with an evolutionary model.

2. IRAS LRS SPECTRA OF S STARS

The first comprehensive catalog of S stars is the General Catalogue of S stars (Stephenson 1976, hereafter GCSS) which contains 741 objects. In a later revised version, General Catalogue of Galactic S Stars, Second Edition (Stephenson 1984, hereafter GCGSS), the number of S stars has increased to 1347. A number of M or C stars previously misclassified as S stars in GCSS are also eliminated in GCGSS. Furthermore, Table 2 of the General Catalog of Cool Galactic Carbon Stars, Second Edition (Stephenson 1989, hereafter GCCGCS) lists a number of S (or MS and SC) stars which have previously been misclassified as carbon stars. An additional 75 S stars are reported by Stephenson (1990) since the publication of GCGSS. Com-

¹ On leave from Yunan Observatory, Academic Sinica, People's Republic of China.

bining the entries in these catalogs, we have a total of 1435 S stars known to date.

The *IRAS* Point Source Catalog (1988, hereafter PSC) lists 483 associations with GCSS; four (GCSS 447, 539, 549, and 584) are now known to have been misclassified and are not S stars. For the remaining 694 S stars not in the GCSS, we have searched for *IRAS* associations with the following procedure: (1) first we search for PSC sources within a 90'' radius (the same search radius as employed in the PSC for catalog associations in the GCSS) of the S star position; (2) the position error ellipses of the *IRAS* sources together with the S star optical position are plotted on the atlas of the *HST* guide star catalog (1989); (3) the GCGSS *b* or *v* magnitudes are compared with the *HST* guide star magnitudes to identify the *IRAS* counterpart to the S star. Using this procedure, we found 355 new associations, together with the previous 479 giving a total of 834 S stars with *IRAS* associations.

We note that the above procedure is more rigorous than the procedure used in the PSC, which basically does not go beyond step (1). As an example GCGSS 973 is within 90'' of *IRAS* 17180—3655 but is located more than 3 times the error radius from the *IRAS* source. We have therefore rejected the association. A total of eight objects were rejected this way. Since the size of our search sample is similar to that of the GCSS, one may expect a similar number of problem cases in the PSC associations.

We have also examined the *IRAS* low-resolution spectra (LRS) of this sample by using the following references: Atlas of Low-Resolution *IRAS* Spectra (1986, hereafter LRS Atlas), Volk & Cohen (1989), and Volk et al. (1991). For sources with 12 μm fluxes between 7 and 20 Jy, LRS spectra were extracted at the *IRAS* Data Analysis Facility at the University of Calgary. Out of 834 sources in the sample, 149 sources have LRS spectra available. These include 121 S stars, 19 MS stars, and 9 SC stars.

In Table 1 we list the S stars with *IRAS* LRS. The sources are grouped by the University of Calgary LRS classifications using the letter codes defined by Volk & Cohen (1989) and ordered by R.A. within each group. Among the 121 S stars, 39 are in group E (showing the 9.7 μm silicate feature in emission), 38 in group F (featureless dust continuum), 29 in group S (stellar photospheric continuum), 3 in group C (11.3 μm SiC emission), 2 in group U (unusual spectrum), and 10 in group I (noisy or incomplete spectrum). Also listed in Table 1 are the *IRAS*, GCSS, GCGSS, and variable star (Khlopov 1985, hereafter GCVS) names. For sources without GCSS numbers, the *IRAS* associations are indentified in this paper. The visual spectral type given in GCGSS are listed in column (6) with the same notation as used in GCGSS. Column (7) gives the LRS classification codes from the LRS Atlas (two-digit numbers). Columns (8) and (9) list, respectively, the probability of variability and the *IRAS* flux quality as given in the PSC. The variability type and the period from the GCVS are given in columns (10) and (11), respectively. Finally, column (12) is the absolute value of the galactic latitude for the sample.

In Table 2 we list the 19 MS stars and nine SC stars with LRS spectra. All columns in Table 2 have the same meaning as in Table 1.

The LRS spectra of the sources in Tables 1 and 2 are plotted in Figure 1 and Figure 2, respectively.

3. CIRCUMSTELLAR FEATURES OF S STARS

From the classification of the circumstellar dust of S stars, we see that silicate emission (E) form the largest group (32%),

followed by featureless dust continuum (31%), stellar continuum (24%), and only a small fraction shows SiC emission (2%). From Table 2, 37% of MS stars have silicate emission features, whereas only 10% of SC stars have 11.3 μm SiC features. The relatively few number of SiC-S stars is not entirely surprising because the [C/O] abundance ratio is less than unity for most sources in Table 1. The [C/O] abundance ratio is ~ 0.6 for MS stars, ~ 0.8 for S stars (Smith & Lambert 1985, 1986), and 0.98–1.0 for SC stars with spectral type earlier than SCx/8 (Keenan & Boeshaar 1980).

Since many S stars were cataloged as a result of low-resolution surveys, the possibility of misclassification is real. For example, eight of the group E and one of the group U S stars have been found by Lloyd Evans & Catchpole (1989) to be M stars. A more precise photospheric classification is needed before definite conclusions on the circumstellar properties of S stars can be drawn.

3.1. Mira Variables

Among sources with known variability types, Mira variables constitute 79% of group E, 50% of group F, and 24% of group S. This is consistent with the general expectation that Mira variables are losing mass. Average periods of Mira variables are 425 days for group E, 365 days for group F, and 335 days for group S. Since the pulsation periods and mass-loss rates are expected to increase as the star ascend the AGB, it is reasonable that the S-type Mira variables that show the most definite mass loss (group E) also have the longest periods.

For stars that have PSC probability of the variability greater than 75%, 14 (38%) and in group E, 7 (18%) in group F, and 4 (10%) in group S. Again, there seems to be a correlation between pulsation and infrared excess.

It is worth noting that no S stars show the silicate feature in absorption. This suggests that they all have relatively thin circumstellar shells and have mass-loss rates much lower than those of late M (e.g., OH/IR) stars.

While most Mira variables show infrared excesses and evidence of mass loss, five S-type Miras (U Cas, T Cam, V865 Aql, FF Cyg, and GR Cyg), and one SC Mira (R CMi) are classified as group S. The fact that their mid-infrared spectra are consistent with the Rayleigh-Jeans tail of their stellar photospheric continua suggests that they are not undergoing significant mass loss.

3.2. Peculiar Features

It is interesting to note that some LRS spectra in Figure 1 have abnormal shapes. For example, IRAS 11169—6111 is classified as having silicate emission, but its emission peak is at $\sim 13.2 \mu\text{m}$, and its continuum continues to rise beyond 15 μm . Among the group E objects there are a number of sources (sources 8, 11, 13, 14, 15, 16, 20, 21, 22, 27, 35, and 36) that show a broad emission feature around 9–13 μm .² There are also some group F sources that show broad emissions in the same region, although the features are not as strong as the above objects. Little-Marenin & Little (1988) suggest that such broad features can be explained by a mixture of the 10 μm silicate feature and the 11.3 μm SiC feature.

The one group U source (source 110 = IRAS 16488—4407) displays unknown emission features around 8 μm . This feature is also known to exist in some carbon stars (Volk 1993).

One MS star (source 139 = V535 Ori) has a broad emission feature around 9.4–12 μm (Fig. 2). The SC star IRAS

² Sources 11 and 20 were classified as having the SiC feature by Skinner, Griffin, & Whitmore (1990).

TABLE 1
S-TYPE STARS IN DIFFERENT LRS GROUPS

Number (1)	IRAS (2)	GCSS (3)	GCGSS (4)	Name (5)	Sp (6)	LRSn (7)	Variability (8)	Quality (9)	Variability Type (10)	P (days) (11)	b (12)
Group E											
1.....	00001+4826	741	1347	IW Cas	S5/6e	21	74%	3332	M	396	13°4
2.....	00213+3817	8	9	R And	S5-7/4-5e	...	0	3333	M	409	24.0
3.....	01159+7220	21	28	S Cas	S4, 6e	22	11	3333	M	612	9.9
4.....	02143+4404	36	49	W And	S7/1e	22	12	3333	M	397	15.9
5.....	07092+0735	214	316	WX CMi	Se	...	99	3331	M	421	7.9
6.....	07197-1451	225	341	TT CMa	S	27	83	3331	M	314	0.2
7.....	07545-4400	278	436	SU Pup	S4, 2	22	99	3331	M	340	8.0
8.....	09338-5349	362	614	UU Vel	S7, 8e	01	99	3331	M	409	1.5
9.....	09560-5545	...	648	...	S ^a	...	35	3311	0.9
10.....	09564-5837	...	649	RR Car	S6.5/1-	15	14	3331	SRb	...	3.2
11.....	10436-3459	414	704	Z Ant	S5, 4	42	7	3332	SR	104	20.9
12.....	11169-6111	...	738	...	S ^a	29	11	3222	0.5
13.....	11179-6135	430	742	RY Car	S7, 8e	...	48	3311	M	424	0.9
14.....	14542-5858	...	868	...	S ^a	24	0	3322	0.2
15.....	14372-6106	485	861	...	S	15	91	3331	1.2
16.....	15347-5555	...	897	...	S ^a	26	5	3331	0.5
17.....	15530-5201	...	905	...	S	26	10	3331	1.0
18.....	16219-4804	...	924	...	S ^a	01	33	3311	0.7
19.....	16316-5026	505	929	...	Se	42	99	3331	2.0
20.....	16334-3107	507	931	ST Sco	S8/4v	16	23	3332	SRa	195	10.7
21.....	16490-4618	...	944	...	S ^a	25	15	3331	1.5
22.....	16598-4117	...	952	...	S ^a	24	0	3331	0.1
23.....	17001-3651	514	954	RT Sco	S7, 2	22	99	3331	M	448	2.8
24.....	17034-4049	...	956	...	S ^a	...	0	3311	0.1
25.....	18175-1408	552	1034	...	S	...	6	3311	0.3
26.....	18241-1443	557	1043	...	Se	16	99	3321	1.4
27.....	18299-0931	563	1055	...	S	...	6	3311	0.2
28.....	18375-0544	572	1066	...	S	...	96	3311	0.1
29.....	18586-1249	586	1096	ST Sgr	S6/3e	21	98	3331	M	395	8.0
30.....	19126-0708	597	1115	W Aql	S6/6e	22	99	3333	M	490	8.5
31.....	19270+2239	...	35 ^b	...	S	28	99	3331	2.4
32.....	19354+5005	616	1150	R Cyg	S6/6e	22	86	3333	M	426	13.8
33.....	19361-1658	612	1146	...	S	29	99	3333	17.9
34.....	19371+2855	...	41 ^b	...	S	...	99	3331	3.5
35.....	19486+3247	625	1165	χ Cyg	S7/1.5e	...	99	3333	M	408	3.3
36.....	21029+4917	674	1259	...	S	28	45	3331	1.7
37.....	22196-4612	702	1294	π ¹ Gru	S5, 7	42	0	3333	Lb	...	55.2
38.....	22512+6100	...	1314	...	S	28	26	3333	1.6
39.....	23554+5612	739	1345	WY Cas	S6/6e	42	35	3333	M	477	5.6
Group F											
40.....	00135+4644	5	6	X And	S4/7e	16	47	3332	M	346	15.4
41.....	00445+3224	13	14	RW And	S6/2e	22	74	3332	M	429	30.2
42.....	00578+5620	17	20	V365 Cas	S6/3	16	19	3331	SRb	...	6.2
43.....	01113+2815	20	26	...	S3/2	...	0	3321	34.1
44.....	03452+5301	62	82	WX Cam	S5/6	01	25	3331	Lb	...	0.9
45.....	04204+4149	68	92	GS Per	Sp	...	99	3331	M	230	5.3
46.....	04430-2356	...	109	...	S	16	11	3331	1.8
47.....	04543+4829	86	116	TV Aur	S5/6	...	0	3331	SRb	182	16.5
48.....	05429-0415	...	159	...	S5*3	16	2	3321	16.6
49.....	05495+1547	...	168	Z Tau	S7.5, 1e	...	87	3321	M	466	5.4
50.....	06266-1148	...	221	...	S-*2e	...	99	3331	10.3
51.....	06331+1415	156	231	DY Gem	S8, 5	42	23	3333	SRa	115	3.1
52.....	06571+5524	197	283	R Lyn	S5/5e	16	99	3331	M	379	23.4
53.....	07043+2246	206	307	R Gem	S5/5	16	99	3333	M	370	13.5
54.....	07461-3705	...	408	...	S6*1	14	16	3331	5.9
55.....	08403-3853	327	542	...	S4, 6	16	12	3331	1.9
56.....	12337-6124	448	799	...	S	...	2	3321	1.1
57.....	13240-5742	...	821	EE Cen	S6*3	14	14	3332	SR	198	4.6
58.....	14510-6052	488	867	...	S6, 2	...	43	3311	1.7
59.....	15030-4116	491	872	GI Lup	S7, 8e	...	33	3331	M	326	14.7
60.....	16097-6158	501	914	Y Tra	S4, 1	...	49	3331	M	323	8.0
61.....	16545-3230	...	949	EW Sco	S5*2	...	0	3321	Lb	...	6.4
62.....	16552-5335	513	948	...	S4, 4	...	53	3321	6.8
63.....	17186-2914	523	975	...	S	15	99	3321	4.2
64.....	17188-1433	524	976	FT Ser	S5, 8	...	10	3321	Lb	...	12.4
65.....	17478-2957	...	1001	V762 Sgr	S6*4	14	8	2321	M	444	1.5

TABLE 1—Continued

Number (1)	<i>IRAS</i> (2)	GCSS (3)	GCGSS (4)	Name (5)	Sp (6)	LRSn (7)	Variability (8)	Quality (9)	Variability Type (10)	<i>P</i> (days) (11)	<i>b</i> (12)
Group F—Continued											
66.....	17521–2907	535	1007	V745 Sgr	Se	...	99	3321	M	380	1.9
67.....	17562–1133	538	1011	...	S	01	56	3331	6.1
68.....	17594–2451	...	1018	...	S-*2	...	20	3311	1.2
69.....	18284–0924	560	1052	BP Sct	S5/5	...	2	3311	Lb	...	0.2
70.....	19133–1703	598	1117	T Sgr	S5/6e	...	58	3333	M	392	13.0
71.....	19311+2332	609	1141	EP Vul	S6/5	16	36	3331	Lb	...	2.0
72.....	20044+2417	634	1189	DK Vul	S4, 2	16	3	3333	SRa	370	4.1
73.....	20120–4433	637	1196	RZ Sgr	S4, 4	16	29	3333	SRb	223	33.2
74.....	20296+3223	651	1224	AD Cyg	S5/5	...	11	3311	Lb	...	4.1
75.....	22159–2109	700	1292	X Aqr	S6/3e	...	12	3321	M	312	54.7
76.....	22479+5923	715	1310	CV Cep	S6/2	...	18	3311	SR	60	0.3
77.....	23595–1457	740	1346	W Cet	S5–7/	16	8	3331	M	351	73.1
Group S											
78.....	00435+4758	11	12	U Cas	S5/3e	01	27	3331	M	278	14.6
79.....	02228+3753	43	57	BI And	S8/4v	01	4	3332	SR	195	21.1
80.....	03377+6303	60	79	DB Cam	S4/2	18	23	3331	Lb	...	6.4
81.....	04123+2357	...	89	...	S	...	4	3331	19.0
82.....	04352+6602	75	103	T Cam	S6/5e	17	98	3333	M	374	12.8
83.....	05199–0842	98	133	...	S4, 1	16	2	3331	23.7
84.....	06197+0327	146	212	FU Mon	S7/7	18	26	3332	SR	309	4.9
85.....	07399–1045	256	387	SU Mon	S5/7	18	6	3331	SRb	...	6.1
86.....	08098–2809	296	474	...	S4, 2	...	3	3321	3.1
87.....	08214–3807	...	500	...	S	16	0	3321	0.6
88.....	08348–3617	320	533	...	S5, 2	18	10	3331	2.7
89.....	10389–5149	409	696	...	S5, 2	18	23	3331	5.8
90.....	11098–3209	...	729	...	Swk	...	6	3321	25.9
91.....	12272–4127	...	796	...	Swk	18	0	3331	21.0
92.....	13079–8931	453	804	...	S5, 1	17	6	3331	26.9
93.....	13372–7136	468	826	...	S6, 2	18	0	3331	9.4
94.....	13477–6009	473	834	VX Cen	S8, 5	17	43	3331	SR	308	1.6
95.....	16425–1902	...	938	...	Swk	31	32	3331	16.8
96.....	17188–4141	522	974	V635 Sco	S6...3+	17	3	3321	Lb	...	3.0
97.....	17206–2826	526	978	V521 Oph	S5, 4	17	18	3331	SRb	320	4.3
98.....	18300–1948	562	1054	V2003 Sgr	S5, 8	...	45	3311	SRb	380	5.0
99.....	19008+1210	588	1099	V915 Aql	S5...2	...	80	3321	Lb	...	3.0
100.....	20026+3640	633	1188	AA Cyg	S6...3	31	24	3331	SRb	213	2.9
101.....	20100–6225	636	1195	...	S4, 4	18	0	3333	33.4
102.....	20213+0047	646	1211	V865 Aql	S7, 2	17	46	3331	M	365	20.0
103.....	20252+3623	656	1219	V441 Cyg	S4, 6	16	5	3311	SRa	375	10.5
104.....	20369+3742	655	1232	FF Cyg	S5/6e	...	96	3311	M	323	2.1
105.....	22476+4047	...	1308	RX Lac	S7.5/1e	16	14	3333	SRb	650	16.2
106.....	22521+1640	718	1315	HR Peg	S4/1	...	0	3331	SRb	50	37.6
Group C											
107.....	13136–4426	463	816	UY Cen	S6/8	43	9	3333	SR	115	17.9
108.....	15194–5115	...	886	...	S	04	96	3332	4.7
109.....	21027+3704	673	1258	GR Cyg	S	...	99	3331	M	...	7.6
Group U											
110.....	16488–4407	...	942	...	S ^a	...	3	3311	0.1
111.....	18269–1111	...	1047	...	S-*3	...	25	3313	0.3
Group I											
112.....	07507–1129	270	422	NQ Pup	S5/2	...	38	3331	Lb	...	8.0
113.....	08188+1726	305	494	V Cnc	S3/6e	...	0	3311	M	272	27.5
114.....	13226–6302	465	820	NZ Cen	S	...	58	3311	M	382	0.7
115.....	14500–4624	487	866	S Lup	Se	...	39	3311	M	340	11.3
116.....	16209–2808	503	923	...	S	...	0	3331	14.7
117.....	19451+0827	621	1159	QU Aql	Se	...	79	3331	M	607	8.3
118.....	19528–3827	...	1170	...	Swk	...	1	3311	28.5
119.....	20114+7702	640	1200	SZ Cep	S5/6e	...	97	3331	M	327	22.2
120.....	21172–4819	681	1268	...	S2, 5	...	95	3321	44.4
121.....	23489+6235	737	1342	EO Cas	Se	...	32	3321	M	445	0.8

^a Classified as M stars by Lloyd Evans & Catchpole 1989.^b Star numbers from Stephenson 1990.

TABLE 2
MS AND SC STARS WITH DIFFERENT LRS INDICES

Number (1)	<i>IRAS</i> (2)	GCSS (3)	GCGSS (4)	Name (5)	Sp. (6)	LRSn (7)	LRS (8)	Variability (9)	Quality (10)	Variability Type (11)	P (days) (12)	b (13)
MS Stars												
122.....	05374+3153	110	149	NO Aur	M2S	43	E	6%	3331	Lc	...	0.7
123.....	07149+0111	217	326	RR Mon	M7Se	16	E	99	3332	M	393	6.3
124.....	07245+4605	230	347	Y Lyn	M6S	23	E	25	3333	SRc	110	25.2
125.....	09076+3110	351	589	RS Cnc	M6S	22	E	11	3333	SRc	120	42.1
126.....	15492+4837	496	903	ST Her	M6.5S	41	E	53	3333	SRb	148	49.4
127.....	18076-1034	...	25 ^a	...	M7S	28	E	99	3331	...	4.1	4.1
128.....	19545-1122	...	1175	...	M6S	29	E	99	3331	19.6
129.....	00192-2020	7	8	T Cet	M5-6Se	16	F	0	3333	SRb	159	80.2
130.....	07095+6853	210	312	AA Cam	M5S	...	F	0	3333	Lb	...	27.2
131.....	09411-1820	...	626	FM Hya	M0S	...	F	13	3331	M	300	25.5
132.....	19226-2012	...	1131	TT Sgr	M8wkS	...	F	99	3331	M	333	16.3
133.....	23380+7009	...	1339	...	M6S	...	F	33	3331	8.4
134.....	04497+1410	84	114	o ¹ Ori	M3S	18	S	17	3333	SRb	30	18.4
135.....	06466-2022	184	265	...	M4S	16	S	0	3331	9.7
136.....	07392+1419	254	382	NZ Gem	M3S	18	S	1	3331	SR	...	17.5
137.....	16418-1359	...	937	...	M2S	19	S	31	3311	20.0
138.....	23070+0824	723	1322	GZ Peg	M4S	18	S	54	3332	SRa	...	46.5
139.....	05208-0436	...	134	V535 Ori	M4wkS	43	U	21	3331	Lb	...	21.7
140.....	18046-0756	...	24 ^a	...	M7S	...	I	72	3311	6.1
SC Stars												
141.....	19111+2555	594	1112	S Lyn	SC	41	E	99	3331	M	438	7.1
142.....	13163-6031	...	817	TT Cen	SC5/8+	...	F	17	3331	M	462	1.9
143.....	04491+3825	257 ^b	797 ^c	...	SC5/9	16	S	15	3331	3.5
144.....	04599+1514	87	117	GP Ori	SC7/8	17	S	22	3331	SRb	370	15.0
145.....	07059+1006	675 ^b	1561 ^c	R CMi	SC5/10e	01	S	98	3322	M	338	8.3
146.....	12135-5600	442	788	...	SC	18	S	46	3331	6.3
147.....	13440-5306	470	830	AM Cen	SC	18	S	9	3331	Lb	...	8.6
148.....	22036+3315	3107 ^b	5570 ^c	RZ Peg	SC6.9, 9	...	C	2	3331	M	439	17.8
149.....	08461-7051	333	556	...	SC	22	3331	17.0

^a Star number from Stephenson 1990.

^b Number in GCGSS.

^c Number in GCCGCS.

08461-7051 (source 149) has a poor red spectrum, but the blue part looks like a group S object.

In summary, while there is no clear and definite correlation between the visual photospheric spectral type with the circumstellar classification, a large number of S stars show silicate emission which are very similar to that observed in the circumstellar envelopes of M stars.

4. DISTRIBUTION OF S STARS IN THE *IRAS* COLOR-COLOR DIAGRAM

Part of the difficulty in deciding the nature of S stars is that they do not have unique infrared colors and do not form a homogeneous group in the *IRAS* color-color diagram as in the cases of M and C stars (see § 8). M stars on the AGB form a continuous band in the *IRAS* color-color diagram which can be interpreted as a mass/evolutionary sequence (Olon et al. 1984; Bedijn 1987; Volk & Kwok 1988). Visual carbon stars are distributed along a vertical strip near $[12] - [25] \sim 0.2$ (Walker & Cohen 1988; Chan & Kwok 1988), whereas infrared carbon stars are distributed along the blackbody line (Chan & Kwok 1990). However, S stars are not confined to a single region in the *IRAS* color-color diagram but instead overlap extensively with both regions occupied by M and C stars.

In Figure 3 we show the distributions of the S stars in Tables 1 and 2 in the *IRAS* $[25] - [60]$ versus $[12] - [25]$ color-

color diagram. Only objects with good quality fluxes in all three bands are plotted.

We can see that except for a few cases (which will be discussed below), our sample of S stars is clustered around the blackbody line in the area of $0 < [12] - [25] < 1.5$ and $-0.5 < [25] - [60] < 1.5$. It is clear that the group E sources have the reddest colors, and the group S, F, and E sources form distinct groups which are progressively distributed toward the direction of decreasing color temperature. The S-F-E color sequence is also consistent with increasing mass-loss rates among these stars.

The three S stars to show the SiC feature (group C) are clustered in a small area near the blackbody line at $[12] - [25] \sim 0.6$. Their colors are well within the range of those of infrared carbon stars (Volk, Kwok, & Langill 1992). The group F sources have bluer colors than the group C objects, and the group S sources have no color excess ($[12] - [25] \sim 0$ and $[25] - [60] \sim 0$) as expected.

The only source that is out of place (at the upper left-hand corner of the diagram) is source 12 (IRAS 11169-6111), a group E object classified as M 5.5 by Lloyd Evans & Catchpole (1989). This object has already been noted in § 3.2 to have a peculiar LRS spectrum. From Figure 1 we estimate the 12 to 23 μm flux ratio to be ~ 2 . However, the PSC fluxes for this object are 23.06, 4.2, and 37.38 Jy at 12, 25, and 60 μm , respec-




FIG. 1.—IRAS LRS spectra of S stars. The figures are separated into six groups: E, F, S, C, U, and I.

FIG. 1a




FIG. 1a—Continued




FIG. 1b




Fig. 1b—Continued




FIG. 1c




FIG. 1c—Continued




FIG. 1d




FIG. 1e




FIG. 2.—*IRAS* LRS spectra of (a) MS stars and (b) MC stars

FIG. 2a





FIG. 2b

FIG. 3.—The distribution of our S star sample in the *IRAS* color-color diagram

tively. It is hard to believe that from 23 to 25 μm the flux drops down from above 10 to 4.2 Jy. Probably something is wrong with the flux calibration in 25 μm for this star. Another star that should be noted is source 35 (IRAS 19486+3247). Although the silicate feature is indeed present in its LRS spectrum (Fig. 1), its colors are more similar to the group S sources than group E sources ($[12] - [25] \sim 0.15$).

5. GALACTIC DISTRIBUTION OF S STARS

The Galactic distribution of our sample of S stars is plotted in Figure 4. The fact that they are distributed over a wide range of Galactic latitudes implies that they are relatively nearby sources and belong to a local population. However, some differences can be seen among groups E, F, and S. Statistically,

the group E sources are slightly closer to the Galactic plane than other groups with 67% at $|b| < 5^\circ$ and 80% at $|b| < 10^\circ$. In comparison, the group F sources have 42% at $|b| < 5^\circ$ and 63% at $|b| < 10^\circ$, and the group S sources have 34% at $|b| < 5^\circ$ and 52% at $|b| < 10^\circ$. This suggests that the group S sources are the closest to Earth and the group E sources farthest. This is consistent with the expected selection effect that group E sources have highest infrared excesses and have a better chance of being detected by *IRAS* at long wavelengths.

6. S STARS IN BINARY SYSTEMS

While most S stars seem to fit into the M-S-C evolution sequence and their chemical peculiarities with enrichment of s-process elements appear to be satisfactorily explained by the




FIG. 4.—The Galactic distribution of S stars. The different symbols represent different LRS groups and are the same as the ones used in Fig. 3. Each grid line corresponds to 15° in longitude or latitude.

TABLE 3
DISTRIBUTION OF Tc PRESENCE AMONG THE LRS CLASSES

LRS	YES		PROBABLE		DOUBTFUL		No	
	S	MS/SC	S	MS/SC	S	MS/SC	S	MS/SC
E.....	5	4
F.....	1	1	...	1	1	...
S.....	5	2	1	2	1
C.....	...	1
I.....	2	1

dredge-up theory (cf. Iben & Renzini 1983), certain number of S stars stands out by the lack of the unstable element Tc. This has led to the suggestion that the enhanced s-process elements found in these stars are the result of mass transfer, and they are not AGB stars at all (Jorissen & Mayor 1988; Johnson 1992). One of the ways to confirm their AGB nature is through their infrared spectra.

Table 3 shows the distribution of Tc presence among the LRS classes. The Tc data are taken from Little, Little-Marenin, & Hagen-Bauer (1987) and Smith & Lambert (1988). We can see that all nine S, MS, and SC stars belonging to group E that have been searched for Tc have Tc present. Among the four stars (HR 363, BD Cam, HD 35155, NZ Gem) with no Tc, one is classified as group F and three others as group S. These confirm that the LRS group E is a good indicator of AGB nature of the star, and group S contains objects of doubtful status. We also note that the first three of the four stars with no Tc have been confirmed to be mass-transfer binaries by Johnson (1992). These stars lie very close to the blackbody line in Figure 3 with $[12] - [25] \sim 0.0 - 0.1$ and $[25] - [60] \sim -0.2$ to 0.2, suggesting that they have no or very small infrared excesses (Johnson 1992). We note that the fourth star with no Tc (NZ Gem) is located in same region in the color-color diagram ($[12] - [25] = 0.11$, $[25] - [60] = 0.02$) and is therefore quite possible to be in a binary system.

The circumstellar properties of S stars confirm that the S stars that have no Tc in the atmosphere are unlikely to be AGB stars in transition from M to C but are first giant branch stars which acquired their s-process elements through mass transfer. The S stars that are in the LRS group S should be searched for Tc to determine how many of this group are in binary systems.

7. A NEW CLASSIFICATION SCHEME FOR AGB STARS

In order to incorporate both the photospheric and circumstellar properties of AGB stars into one classification scheme, we propose the following notation. The spectral class will consist of a main letter and a subscript letter. The main letter refers to the photospheric spectral type (M, S, C), and the subscript refers to the circumstellar property (E, A, C, S, F, U). For stars that have subclasses (e.g., M6), the letter code will be placed before the subscript (M6_E).

This scheme will more clearly separate AGB stars in different evolutionary stages. For example, visual carbon stars will be classified as C_S (cf. Chan & Kwok 1988), infrared carbon stars as C_C (cf. Chan & Kwok 1990), extreme carbon stars as C_U (cf. Volk et al. 1992), and carbon stars with silicate features as C_E (cf. Little-Marenin 1986). Similarly, M stars will be classified as M_S, M_F, M_E depending on the degree of mass loss, and those with very high mass-loss rates (e.g., some OH/IR stars) will be classified as M_A, although in practice only the circumstellar spectra are available.

8. THE EVOLUTIONARY STATUS OF S STARS

From the LRS spectra of a sample of 149 S stars, we find that their circumstellar properties can be divided into three main groups: (1) stars with large infrared excesses which show the silicate emission feature (S_E stars); (2) stars with modest amount of circumstellar dust (S_F stars); and (3) stars with no infrared excess (S_S stars). A small number of S stars show the 11.3 μm SiC feature commonly found in carbon stars (S_C stars). There is no clear one-to-one relationship between the optical spectral types and the circumstellar properties except that the S-type Mira variables generally have larger infrared excesses.

The most striking feature of the circumstellar spectra of S stars in Figure 1 is that *they are indistinguishable from the circumstellar spectra of M stars*. It suggests that the circumstellar envelopes of S stars may in fact represent the circumstellar envelopes of M stars, and *only the photospheric composition of the stars has changed*. The S-F-E color sequence in the *IRAS* color-color diagram is not an evolutionary sequence but is instead the result of separate paths of evolution from M stars. Specifically, S_S stars evolve from M stars with no mass loss (M_S, probably of low mass), S_F stars evolve from M stars with low mass-loss rates (M_F), and S_E stars evolve from M stars with significant rates of mass loss (M_E, probably stars of high mass). As long as there is adequate production of silicate grains, S_E stars will be still losing mass. Mass loss will terminate when the supply of oxygen is exhausted as they are all tied up in CO when [C/O] approaches unity. The transition from M to S stars does not cause any major movement in the *IRAS* color-color diagram.

In this picture, the carbon stars with silicate features (C_E stars) do not descend directly from M stars, but instead descend from S_E stars. Figure 5 shows the distributions of the S_E stars and C_E stars in the *IRAS* color-color diagram. To this date, there are 15 carbon stars found to have silicate features. These include seven from Little-Marenin (1986) and Willems & de Jong (1986) after eliminating 13 misclassified candidates (Lloyd Evans 1990), four from Chan & Kwok (1991), and four from Chan, Kwok, & Volk (1992) and LeVan et al. (1992). Twelve of the 15 have three good *IRAS* colors, and they are plotted in Figure 5. When we compare the colors of these stars to the evolutionary tracks of Chan & Kwok (1988), we can see S_E \rightarrow C_E hypothesis fits into the general evolutionary scenario of Kwok & Chan (1990), where C_E stars will evolve to visual carbon stars with far-infrared excesses and the to carbon stars with SiC features (hereafter C_C stars).

What about S stars with SiC feature (S_C stars)? It is possible that for more massive M stars, transition to S stars is so rapid that the large increase in the photospheric abundance of carbon allows an early condensation of SiC. These stars will not go through the visual carbon star stage, but evolve directly from S stars to infrared carbon stars.

9. EVOLUTION ON THE ASYMPTOTIC GIANT BRANCH

The change in surface chemical abundance of AGB stars is a manifestation of the internal changes as a star evolves. Such changes in chemical composition also lead to changes in the mass loss process, resulting in different circumstellar properties. While the main sequence in the Hertzsprung-Russell (H-R) diagram is primarily a mass sequence, the AGB is a superposition of mass and evolutionary sequences. The H-R diagram is not the best tool to study the M-S-C evolution for M, S, and C stars have similar photometric properties




FIG. 5.—The distribution of S and C stars with silicate emission features in the *IRAS* color-color diagram




FIG. 6.—The distribution of oxygen- and carbon-rich stars in the *IRAS* color-color diagram. The number of stars plotted are 399, 160, 395, and 114 for C_C , C_S , M_E , and M_A stars, respectively. Because of the large numbers of M_E stars, only those with four good *IRAS* colors are plotted.

(luminosity and temperature), and they all lie on the same tracks. The *IRAS* color-color diagram is better suited for this purpose. Figure 6 shows the distribution of M_E , M_A , $C_S + C_F$, and C_C stars in the *IRAS* color-color diagram. The $C_S + C_F$ group contains 160 carbon stars in GCCGCS which LRS spectra are classified as group S or F, and the C_C group contains 399 GCCGCS stars that are classified as group C. The M_E and M_A groups are *IRAS* LRS sources that belong to groups E and A, respectively. We can see that there are two separate sequences: the oxygen sequence $M_E \rightarrow M_A$ (shown as open and filled circles) and the carbon sequence $C_{S,F} \rightarrow C_C$ (shown as open and filled triangles). The $C_{S,F}$ stars are distributed along a vertical strip near $[12] - [25] \sim 0.2$ and then turn horizontal to meet the C_C stars which extend to $[12] - [25] \sim 1.5$. The oxygen sequence starts as $[12] - [25] \sim 0.5$ and curve up to the upper right. Over the region where the $[12] - [25]$ colors of the two sequences overlap, they are separated in the $[25] - [60]$ colors (the carbon sequence having larger $[25] - [60]$ indices). Presumably, the AGB is terminated at the ends of either C_C or M_A (Kwok & Chan 1990). The evolutionary connection between the two sequences is less clear, although the hypothesis of Willems & de Jong (1988) of a fast evolutionary loop from M_E to C_S is possible (Chan & Kwok 1988).

We should note that a complete interpretation of Figure 6 will require not only the evolutionary tracks but also a superposition of tracks for different masses. For example, probably only the most massive oxygen-rich stars go to the reddest colors (Volk & Kwok 1988). Our inability to separate the mass and evolutionary effects remains a major obstacle to the understanding of AGB evolution.

10. CONCLUSIONS

Stars on the asymptotic giant branch can be classified according to their photospheric and circumstellar spectra. In this paper, we have made an attempt to reconcile these two separate classifications, particularly for S stars which are commonly believed to be transition objects between M and C stars. We use the notation of two letters (e.g., S_E), where the main letter refers to the photospheric spectral type and the subscript refers to the circumstellar classification. This notation has the advantage of separating stars which have similar photospheric compositions but which are in different stages of AGB evolution. This notation also recognizes the importance of mass loss on the evolution on the AGB.

We note that part of the reason for S stars not having homogeneous mid-infrared colors is due to the contamination by mass transfer. While only four cases have been identified through the search of Tc, a more complete survey is needed to

exclude these stars so that a sample of true transition objects can be assembled. We suggest that S_S stars are the most likely candidates for the search.

We propose the following scenario for the evolution of M, S, and C stars on the AGB. Stars start on the AGB first as M stars. As the result of dredge-up, the [C/O] ratio in the photosphere steadily increases. Depending on the initial mass of the star, the transition to S stars will occur when the star has no mass loss (M_S), little mass loss (M_F), or significant mass loss (M_E). However, since the [C/O] ratio remains under unity, the production of silicate grains is not affected and mass loss (if already present) continues as before. When enough carbon is dredged up, the photospheric classification of the star changes from S to C, and mass loss is interrupted. This leads to the creation of carbon stars with silicate features. The following schematic sequence illustrates the evolution:

For stars with low initial masses:

$$M_S \rightarrow S_S \rightarrow C_S$$

For stars with higher initial masses:

$$M_F \rightarrow S_F \rightarrow C_F$$

For stars with even higher initial masses:

$$M_E \rightarrow S_E \rightarrow C_E \rightarrow \text{visual carbon stars } (C_S)$$

$$\rightarrow \text{infrared carbon stars } (C_C)$$

$$\rightarrow \text{extreme carbon stars } (C_U)$$

For stars with very high initial masses:

$$M_E \rightarrow M \text{ stars with silicate feature in absorption } (M_A)$$

This scenario reconciles the photospheric evolutionary sequence of $M \rightarrow S \rightarrow C$, and the circumstellar properties of M, S, visual carbon, and infrared carbon stars. We note, however, the step from C_E to C_S is the most uncertain one for the lack of transition objects. The evidence of this step is primarily based on the common occurrence of $60 \mu\text{m}$ excesses observed in C_S stars.

Finally, we suggest that the circumstellar spectra of AGB stars provide important supplementary information on the evolutionary status of AGB stars and should be treated as equal to the photospheric spectra in the classification of the stars.

We thank William Bidelman and Kevin Volk for helpful discussions, and Paul Wesselius for providing the Groningen LRS database. P. S. C. acknowledges the support of the C. C. Huang Foundation of the Academia Sinica. This work is supported by a grant to SK from the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

REFERENCES

- Atlas of Low Resolution *IRAS* Spectra. 1986, *IRAS* Science Team, prepared by F. M. Olon & E. Raimond (A&AS, 65, 607) (LRS Atlas)
- Bedijn, P. J. 1987, *A&A*, 186, 136
- Chan, S. J., & Kwok, S. 1988, *ApJ*, 334, 362
- . 1990, *A&A*, 237, 354
- . 1991, *ApJ*, 383, 837
- Chen, P. S., Gao, H., Chen, Y. K., & Dong, H. W. 1988 *A&AS*, 72, 239
- HST* Guide Star Catalog. 1989 (Baltimore: STScI) (Astronomical Data Center CD-ROM Selected Astronomical Catalog, 1)
- IRAS* Point Source Catalog, Version 2. 1988, Joint *IRAS* Science Working Group (Washington, DC: GPO) (PSC)
- Johnson, H. R. 1992, in *Evolution Processes in Interacting Binary Stars*, ed. Y. Kondo et al. (Dordrecht: Kluwer), 157
- Jorissen, A., & Mayor, M. 1988, *A&A*, 198, 187
- Keenan, P. C., & Boeshaar, P. C. 1980, *ApJS*, 43, 379
- Kholopov, P. N., ed. 1985, *General Catalogue of Variable Stars* (4th ed.; Moscow: Nauka) (GCVS)
- Kwok, S., & Chan, S. J. 1990, in *From Miras to Planetary Nebulae: Which Path of Evolution?*, ed. M. O. Mennessier & A. Omont (Gif-sur-Yvette: Editions Frontières), 297
- Lambert, D. L., Gustafsson, B., Eriksson, K., & Hinkle, K. H. 1986, *ApJS*, 62, 373

- LeVan, P. D., Sloan, G. C., Little-Marenin, I. R., & Grasdalen, G. L. 1992, ApJ, 392, 702
- Little, S. J., Little-Marenin, I. R., & Hagen-Bauer, W. 1987, AJ, 94, 981
- Little-Marenin, I. R. 1986, ApJ, 307, L15
- Little-Marenin, I. R., & Little, S. J. 1988, ApJ, 333, 305
- Lloyd Evans, T. 1990, MNRAS, 243, 336
- Lloyd Evans, T., & Catchpole, R. M. 1989, MNRAS, 237, 219
- Noguchi, K., Sun, J. H., & Wang, G. 1991, PASJ, 43, 311
- Olonon, F. M., Baud, B., Habing, H. J., de Jong, T., Harris, S., & Pottasch, S. R. 1984, ApJ, 278, L1
- Stephenson, C. B. 1976, Pub. Warner & Swasey Obs., No. 2 (GCSS)
- . 1984, Pub. Warner & Swasey Obs., 3, No. 1 (GCGSS)
- Stephenson, C. B. 1989, Pub. Warner & Swasey Obs., 3, No. 2 (GCCGCS)
- . 1990, AJ, 100, 569
- Skinner, C. J., Griffin, I., & Whitmore, B. 1990, MNRAS, 243, 78
- Smith, V. V., & Lambert, D. L. 1985, ApJ, 294, 326
- . 1986, ApJ, 311, 843
- . 1988, ApJ, 333, 219
- Treffers, R., & Cohen, M. 1974, ApJ, 188, 545
- van der Veen, W. E. C. J., & Habing, H. 1988, A&A, 194, 125
- Volk, K. 1993, in Astronomical Infrared Spectroscopy: Future Observational Directions, ed. S. Kwok (ASP Conf. Ser., 41), 63
- Volk, K., & Cohen, M. 1989, AJ, 98, 931
- Volk, K., & Kwok, S. 1988, ApJ, 331, 435
- Volk, K., Kwok, S., & Langill, P. P. 1992, ApJ, 391, 285
- Volk, K., Kwok, S., Stencel, R. E., & Brugel, E. 1991, ApJS, 77, 607
- Walker, H., & Cohen, M. 1988, AJ, 95, 1801
- Willems, F. J., & de Jong, T. 1988, A&A, 196, 173
- Woolf, N. J., & Ney, E. P. 1969, ApJ, 155, L181