THE ASTROPHYSICAL JOURNAL, 337: 399–407, 1989 February 1 © 1989. The American Astronomical Society. All rights reserved. Printed in U.S.A.

NON-EQUILIBRIUM IONIZATION EFFECTS IN THE SUPERNOVA REMNANT RCW 86

J. J. CLAAS,¹ A. SMITH,² J. S. KAASTRA,¹ P. A. J. DE KORTE,³ AND A. PEACOCK²

Received 1987 September 29; accepted 1988 July 11

ABSTRACT

X-ray images show that the SNR RCW 86 consists of two emission regions. The electron temperature of both two regions is 3.4 keV. The low-energy flux (0.2–2 keV) is strongly enhanced compared to a simple thermal bremsstrahlung spectrum with $T_e = 3.4$ keV fitted to the 2–10 keV data. This enhancement is most likely caused by non-equilibrium ionization effects in which case our data of RCW 86 are consistent with a Type I remnant for which the northeast part is in the Sedov phase. The large brightness of the southwest part is most probably caused by a recent collision of the shock with higher density clouds.

Subject headings: nebulae: individual (RCW 86) — nebulae: supernova remnants — X-rays: sources

I. INTRODUCTION

RCW 86 is most likely the remnant of the supernova recorded by Chinese astronomers in A.D. 185 (Clark and Stephenson 1977). It has been rediscovered as the radio source MSH 14-63 (also G315.4-2.3) (Hill 1967). High-resolution maps at 408 and 5000 MHz by Caswell, Clark, and Crawford (1975) clearly show a nearly circular shell with an angular diameter of ~40'.

Optically, the remnant shows evidence of a number of filaments (Ruiz 1981), which coincide with the radio emission.

The first X-ray observation was reported by Naranan *et al.* (1977) using an experiment sensitive in the energy range 0.5–2.5 keV on the Apollo-Soyuz mission. The observed spectrum was interpreted by a thermal bremsstrahlung spectrum with a temperature of ~0.4 keV. Winkler (1978), using the M.I.T. experiment on OSO 7, sensitive in the energy range 1–60 keV, needs two thermal bremsstrahlung components with temperatures $T_1 \approx 0.22$ keV and $T_2 > 5$ keV, in order to explain the spectrum observed.

Spatially resolved X-ray images of RCW 86 (Pisarski, Helfand, and Kahn 1984) obtained by the *Einstein* imaging proportional counter show a strong correlation with the radio maps. Nugent *et al.* (1984), using the *HEAO 1* A2 experiment, detect a strong FeK α emission line at ~6.7 keV, thereby proving the thermal origin of the X-ray emission.

The distance, as discussed by several authors, is between 0.7 and 3.2 kpc (Milne 1970; Ilovaisky and Lequeux 1972; Clark and Caswell 1976; Poveda and Woltjer 1968; Westerlund 1969; Ruiz 1981; Minkowski 1968).

This paper describes the analysis and interpretation of data obtained with the European X-ray satellite *EXOSAT*. For the interpretation of these data we use both a collisional ionization equilibrium (CIE) plasma model and a non-equilibrium ionization (NEI) plasma model. The CIE model is appropriate for a low-density, optically thin plasma and includes ff, bf, bb, line, and two-photon emission (Mewe, Gronenschild, and Van den Oord 1985). Contrary to the CIE model, the NEI model accounts for the finite time required for reaching ionization equilibrium (Itoh 1977, 1978, 1979; Hamilton, Sarazin, and Chevalier 1983; Jansen 1988).

II. INSTRUMENTS AND OBSERVATIONS

The present observations of RCW 86 have been made by two experiments on board *EXOSAT*. These experiments have been thoroughly described elsewhere (de Korte *et al.* 1981; Turner, Smith, and Zimmerman 1981). Here we only mention some characteristic features of these experiments.

The two imaging telescopes LE1 and LE2, sensitive in the low-energy (LE) range 0.05–2 keV, have a field of view two degrees in diameter and an on-axis spatial resolution of $\sim 18''$, decreasing toward the edge of the field of view. These telescopes can be used with several filters, e.g., a 3000 Å Lexan (3Lx), an Aluminum-Parylene (AlPa) and a Boron (Bo) filter. Differences in the spectral response of these filters provide the possibility to carry out some coarse spectrophotometry with this experiment.

The medium-energy (ME), large area, proportional counter array provides spectral information for the energy range 1.5-20keV. It is composed of eight counters grouped into four separate so-called quadrants and has a field of view 45' in diameter (FWHM) and an effective area of ≈ 1500 cm². If, as in the case of RCW 86, the size of the remnant is similar to the ME FWHM, some rough spatial information can be obtained by observing at different pointing directions.

Observations with different pointing directions were carried out on RCW 86. The observations used for the present analysis are summarized in Table 1.

III. DATA ANALYSIS

a) Spatial Information

The LE images for the three different filters have been obtained by addition of the data collected from different pointings. Before addition each image has been corrected for background and variations in the effective area across the detector. The background spatial distribution is taken from deep field images of high statistical quality, while its intensity is normalized to regions outside RCW 86. The three images are rebinned to 64" per pixel in order to obtain a uniform spatial resolution over the whole remnant, as well as to improve the statistical quality.

The error calculated for each pixel includes statistical and systematic effects. The systematic error has been determined after a detailed analysis of the background subtraction accuracy and equals $\approx 1\%$ (1 σ) of the raw background level.

¹ Laboratory for Space Research Leiden, Leiden, the Netherlands.

² Space Science Department, ESTEC, Noordwijk, the Netherlands.

³ Laboratory for Space Research Utrecht, Utrecht, the Netherlands.

400

1989ApJ...337..399C

TABLE 1					
SUMMARY	OF	LE	AND	ME	OBSERVATIONS

Pointing	Date of	Observing					
Number	(vr/dav)	$\times 10^3$ s	1	h.,	I F1	IE2	ME
	0, 0,		-11				IVIL
1	1984/107	7	315.46	-1.86	3Lx		slew
2	1984/104	26	315.27	-2.00	3Lx		slew
3	1983/239	11	315.67	-2.11		31.x	slew
4	1985/089	9	315.43	-2.18	AlPa	0 <u>D</u> A	swon
5	1985/089	8	315.28	-2.20	AlPa		swop
6	1985/089	5	315.61	-2.23	AlPa	•••	swop
7	1983/240	12	315.65	-2.31		3L.x	slew
8	1983/257	11	315.23	-2.33		AlPa	slew
9	1984/060	18	315.19	-2.40	Bo		slew
10	1985/089	9	315.60	-2.40	AlPa		slew
11	1984/059	10	315.23	-2.43	Bo		slew
12	1985/089	6	315.36	-2.55	AlPa	••••	SILVOR
13	1984/051	3	315 36	-2.24	31 v	••••	swop no ME
14	1984/051	8	315 36	2.24	Bo	•••	
15	1084/053	9 9	215 44	- 2.24	DU D	••••	no ME
	1707/033	0	515.44	-2.40	во	• • • •	no ME

Figure 1*a* shows the X-ray image for the 3Lx filter. This image reveals the following structure:

1. The remnant has an incomplete shell-like structure, with essentially no emission from the central part, placing it, as far as the LE image is concerned, among the shell-like type of remants.

2. The brightness of the southwest part of the remnant is appreciably larger than that of the northeast part by about a factor of 4.

3. The northeast part can be fitted by a circle with a radius of $21' \pm 1'$ centered at position $\alpha = 14^{h}38^{m}55^{s}$ and $\delta = -62^{\circ}17'40''$ (see § IVb).

4. The extremum of the southwest part falls on the circle which fits the northeast.

5. However, the radius of curvature of the southwest part is appreciably smaller than the northeast part.

6. Also, the annular width of the southwest part is too wide to be caused by limb brightening only.

In Figure 1*a* the definitions of the northeast and southwest part of the remnant are indicated. The northeast part is defined as the region with a position angle between -50° and $+130^{\circ}$ with a radius smaller than 21'. The southwest part is defined as the region with a position angle between $+187^{\circ}$ and $+310^{\circ}$ and radii extending to the lowest closed contour level. The exact definitions are mainly based on statistical grounds (see § IV*b*).

In Figure 1b, which shows the 3Lx image after being smoothed to a resolution of 3' (FWHM), the structure of the northeast part is seen more clearly.

Table 2 gives the count rates determined from the three images. In order to search for spectral morphology, the countrate ratios of AlPa/3Lx and Bo/3Lx were also calculated as a function of radial distance and position angle by dividing the

TABLE 2				
SUMMARY OF LE COUNT RATES				

Filter (ratio)	NE Part (counts s ⁻¹)	SW Part (counts s ⁻¹)	Total (counts s ⁻¹)	<u>NE Part</u> SW Part
3Lx AlPa Bo AlPa/3Lx Bo/3Lx	$\begin{array}{c} 0.091 \pm 0.008 \\ 0.071 \pm 0.009 \\ 0.048 \pm 0.010 \\ 0.78 \pm 0.12 \\ 0.53 \pm 0.12 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.348 \pm 0.008 \\ 0.292 \pm 0.008 \\ 0.149 \pm 0.009 \\ 0.84 \pm 0.03 \\ 0.43 \pm 0.03 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.439 \pm 0.011 \\ 0.363 \pm 0.012 \\ 0.197 \pm 0.013 \\ 0.83 \pm 0.03 \\ 0.45 \pm 0.03 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.26 \pm 0.02 \\ 0.24 \pm 0.03 \\ 0.32 \pm 0.07 \\ \end{array}$

image into a number of statistically independent cells with a typical scale size of the resolution. No statistically significant variations were found.

Because of the morphology of the remnant, we assume that a spherical symmetric hydrodynamical model can only be applied to the northeast part. We choose the Sedov (1959) model for the hydrodynamics of the remnant.

A spatial correlation between the LE and ME data is shown in Figure 2a. Each point in this figure corresponds to one of the 12 pointing directions (see Fig. 1a) and is obtained as follows. For the observed ME count rate we integrated the spectrum between 2 and 7 keV. Higher energies were rejected in order to minimize the possible contribution of galactic ridge emission (see § IIIb). The predicted ME count rate for each pointing was obtained by folding the 3Lx image with the collimator response of the ME experiment. The errors on both the predicted and observed ME count rates include statistical and systematic errors.

Although a clear correlation between predicted and observed ME count rates is shown, the best fitting line is not acceptable according to χ^2 statistics $[\chi^2 = 31 (11 \text{ d.o.f.})]$. This, however, does not necessarily mean that the morphology of RCW 86 is different in different energy bands. In fact, the *Einstein* IPC image (Pisarski 1984), the IPC energy band being somewhat higher than that of the *EXOSAT* LE, appears to be very similar to the 3Lx image.

More likely the difference can be attributed to a radial temperature distribution, as given by the Sedov model. On this basis it is expected that the radial surface brightness profiles in the LE and ME energy band differ. This is a more realistic approach than a constant temperature T for the whole remnant as assumed above. In order to test this hypothesis we corrected the northeast part (which is assumed to be in a Sedov phase) for this difference in surface brightness profiles. We used a NEI plasma model (Jansen 1988) with $\eta = 10^{48}$, $T_s = 2.6$ keV and $N_{\rm H} = 3.3 \times 10^{21}$ cm⁻² (see § IIIb) to calculate the surface brightness profiles. Both the predicted LE and ME surface brightness profiles and the observed surface brightness profile of the northeast part are given in Figure 3. By multiplying the observed 3Lx image with the, radial dependent, ratio of the theoretical ME and LE surface brightness profiles, one obtains a modified 3Lx image. As shown in Figure 2b, this modified 3Lx image shows an acceptable correlation $[\chi^2 = 17 (10 \text{ d.o.f.})]$ with the ME data. (The range of η , $N_{\rm H}$ values for which an

No. 1, 1989

1989ApJ...337..399C



FIG. 1a

FIG. 1.—(a) The 3Lx image binned into 64" per pixel. Contour levels: 5.95, 9.40, 14.86, 23.48, 37.09, and 58.61×10^{-4} counts s⁻¹ pixel⁻¹. The crosses represent the pointing directions. The dashed circle defines the best fitted circular shock to the northeast part of the remnant. The dot dashed lines define the angular segments of the northeast and southwest part. (b) The 3Lx image, binned into 64" per pixel and smoothed to a resolution of 3' (FWHM). Contour levels: 1.49, 4.46, 7.44, 10.42, 13.39, 16.37, 19.34, 22.32, 25.30, 28.27, 31.25, and 34.22×10^{-4} counts s⁻¹ pixel⁻¹.

acceptable correlation is obtained, is represented by the dotted contour in Figure 5 below).

b) Spectral Information

The background, necessary for the determination of the ME spectra, was obtained by either pointing 2° away from the source or, if these "swop" data were not available, by using slew data, i.e., data obtained during the slew toward and away from the source (see Table 1). Each individual spectrum was corrected for the effect of the ME collimator response. All spectra were corrected for the contribution of galactic ridge emission (Koyama 1984) using the data of Warwick *et al.* (1985).

In order to find out whether it is justified to add spectra from all pointings, we searched for spectral differences between the northeast and southwest part of the remnant. This was done by calculating hardness ratios using spectra from pointings 1 and 9 (see Fig. 1a). For pointing 1, only $\sim 20\%$ of the emission is caused by the southwest part whereas for pointing 9 all emission is caused by the southwest part. Although for pointing 1 (northeast part) the hardness ratio is somewhat higher than the hardness ratio of pointing 9 (southwest part), corresponding to a temperature increase of at most 12%, the difference is not significant. This indicates that spectra from all pointings may be added. Spectral parameters were derived first by fitting the spectrum with a two-component CIE model (Mewe, Gronenschild, and Van den Oord 1985). The interstellar absorption data of Morrison and McCammon (1983) have been used. We fitted the three LE flux points, as determined from the three images, i.e., 3Lx, AlPa, and Bo, simultaneously with the ME spectrum, which makes possible a better determination of the spectral parameters and the interstellar hydrogen column density $(N_{\rm H})$. Figure 4 shows the spectrum of RCW 86 together with the best fitting CIE model. The spectral parameters are given in Table 3. The only abundance allowed to vary was that of Fe.

We also used the NEI spectra taken from the figures of Hamilton, Sarazin, and Chevalier (1983) to fit the data. The shape of the Hamilton *et al.* spectra is a function of $\eta \equiv n_0^2 E_0$ (n_0 is the density of the unshocked ISM and E_0 the explosion energy) and the shock temperature T_s only. For a number of η and $N_{\rm H}$ values we calculated the NEI count rates for the three images, i.e., 3Lx, AlPa, and Bo by folding the NEI spectrum with the spectral response of the three filters, respectively. Each spectrum was normalized to the observed ME flux in the 2–10 keV energy band. For all these fits the shock temperature $T_s = T_e/1.3$ has been set to 2.6 keV as obtained from the CIE fit. Since Hamilton *et al.* use the Sedov model, we fitted only the LE flux of the northeast part of the remnant. The ME flux of the northeast part was determined from the best LE-ME corCLAAS ET AL.



Right ascension (hh:mm:ss)

FIG. 1b

relation (see § III*a*). The solid contour in Figure 5 shows the acceptable $\eta - N_{\rm H}$ range obtained this way.

If we compare our spectrum with the *HEAO 1* A2 spectrum of RCW 86 (Nugent *et al.* 1984) we find two important differences: (1) The *HEAO* spectrum is noticeably harder and has a CIE high temperature of 5.1 keV compared with the *EXOSAT* value of 3.4 keV and (2) the *HEAO* spectrum has a stronger FeK α emission line; EW_{FeK $\alpha} \approx 1.3$ keV compared with *EXOSAT*: EW_{FeK $\alpha} \approx 0.8$ keV.}}

These differences can be understood if the background in the HEAO spectrum was not properly subtracted. This seems reasonable since the HEAO background data were obtained in a scanning mode of this experiment. In this case, the galactic ridge flux will not have been subtracted properly, causing an

apparent excess flux above ≈ 6 keV and a overestimation of the line strength of the FeK α emission line.

IV. DISCUSSION

a) Physical Interpretation of the Two CIE Temperature Components

The high-temperature component, as found by fitting with the two-temperature CIE model, is assumed to be associated with the shock in the interstellar medium (e.g., Smith *et al.* 1988), i.e., the temperature measured equals the electron temperature T_e of the swept-up interstellar mass. In that case the shock temperature $T_s = T_e/1.3$ (Itoh 1978).

The low-temperature component is possibly caused by NEI effects which, for energies below 2 keV, cause enhanced line

TABLE 3
SPECTRAL FIT PARAMETERS ^a

Parameter	Value
Emission normalization constant	$C_{low} = (36.9 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{50} \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ pc}^{-2}$
Low-temperature component	$kT_{low} = (0.51 \pm 0.03) \text{ keV}$
Emission normalization constant	$C_{high} = (10.9 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{50} \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ pc}^{-2}$
High-temperature component	$kT_{high} = (3.4 \pm 0.2) \text{ keV}$
Iron abundance ^b	$A_{Fe} = 0.4 \pm 0.3$
Interstellar hydrogen absorption	$N_{H} = (1.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}$
Chi-squared	$\chi^{2} = 22.8 (19 \text{ d.o.f.})$

^a Two-temperature CIE model.

^b Relative to solar value.

© American Astronomical Society • Provided by the NASA Astrophysics Data System

1989дрJ...337..3992





403

© American Astronomical Society • Provided by the NASA Astrophysics Data System





FIG. 3.—The observed radial surface brightness profile (azimuthal average of 3Lx data) for the northeast part of the remnant together with the theoretical profiles (dashed line, LE; solid line, ME), for $\eta = 10^{48}$ ergs cm⁻⁶ and $N_{\rm H} = 3.3 \times 10^{21}$ cm⁻², taking NEI effects into account.



FIG. 4.—LE and ME spectrum of RCW 86. The crosses represent the observed count rates. For ME energies, the upper and lower dotted curves represent the best fitting high- and low-temperature CIE components, respectively. Adding both components gives the continuous curve. For the three LE points the three dotted lines represent the sum of both fitted temperature components. Spectral parameters are given in Table 3.

© American Astronomical Society • Provided by the NASA Astrophysics Data System



FIG. 5.— χ^2 -map in the log η -log $N_{\rm H}$ plane. The solid contour represents the 90% confidence level ($\chi^2_{\rm min}$ + 4.61) obtained from the NEI model fit to the three LE points and the ME flux between 2 and 10 keV. The dotted contour represents the 90% confidence level ($\chi^2_{\rm min}$ + 4.61) obtained from the correlation between the ME data and the modified 3Lx image. The two vertical dashed lines indicate the range of values of $\eta_{\rm NE}$ found by applying the Sedov model to the northeast part of the remnant.

emission from elements like C, N, O, mimicking a lowtemperature component if the spectrum is observed with insufficient energy resolution. There are three arguments for assuming the existence of NEI. First, the age of the remnant is relatively young, 1800 yr, making NEI more likely. A second argument is the acceptable fit to the data with a NEI model. A third argument is given by the acceptable correlation between the low- and medium-energy data when using a NEI model. Therefore we assume that the low-temperature component is not a real physical component but is caused by NEI effects.

b) Hydrodynamics of RCW 86

Before we apply the Sedov model we discuss which scenario led to the observed morphology, especially the difference in intensity between the northeast and southwest part of the remnant as well as the different radius of curvature of the southwest part.

The similar radius of the northeast and the outer extreme of the southwest part together with the similarity between the temperatures of the northeast and southwest part (difference at most 12%) are consistent with a Sedov model. The absence of any emission from the central part of the remnant however, is a strong indication for the absence of spherical symmetry as assumed within the Sedov model. The enhanced brightness of the southwest part must be due to a relatively high density since it is not due to a different temperature. Since the maximum extension of the southwest part has about the same radial distance as the northeast part, this relatively high density can have been reached only rather recently. The nonradial shape is most likely caused by the geometry of the denser medium. The southwest part does not show signs of limb brightening. Thus the Sedov model cannot be applied to the southwest part since this model assumes a constant density for the ISM. Another argument against using the Sedov model for the southwest part is given by the clumpy structure seen in the *Einstein* HRI image (Pisarski *et al.* 1984), indicating local density variations which may also explain the nonspherical form of the southwest part.

When we apply the Sedov model to the northeast part of the remnant we use the following parameters: (1) the shock temperature $T_s = T_e/1.3 = (2.6 \pm 0.2)$ keV; (2) the shock radius $\theta = (21' \pm 1')$ (see Fig. 3); (3) the emission normalization constant (C_{high})_{NE} is a fraction of the total emission normalization constant C_{high} . This fraction, determined from the LE-ME correlation, equals 0.30 ± 0.04 which gives (C_{high})_{NE} = (3.3 $\pm 0.4) \times 10^{50}$ cm⁻³ pc⁻²; (4) for the age of RCW 86 we use the generally accepted age as found from old Chinese records: t = 1800 yr. Using these parameters together with the basic

expressions as given in the Appendix, we derive the following parameters:

$$(r_s)_{\rm NE} = (6.7 \pm 0.2) \text{ pc} ,$$

$$d = (1.1 \pm 0.1) \text{ kpc} ,$$

$$(n_0)_{\rm NE} = (0.11 \pm 0.01) \text{ cm}^{-3} ,$$

$$E_0 = (1.5 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{50} \text{ ergs} ,$$

$$M_s = (4.9 \pm 0.5) M_{\odot} ,$$

$$(\eta)_{\rm NE} = (1.7 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{48} \text{ ergs cm}^{-6} .$$

in which $(r_s)_{\rm NE}$ is the shock radius for the northeast part, *d* the distance to the remnant, $(n_0)_{\rm NE}$ the density of the unshocked ISM in the northeast region, E_0 the explosion energy, M_s the total swept-up interstellar mass and $(\eta)_{\rm NE}$ the characteristic NEI parameter for the northeast part. In deriving these parameters we assumed a filling factor 0.4 for the northeast part which is obtained from the position angle which defines the northeast part. The value of $(\eta)_{\rm NE}$ falls inside the range of η 's determined by the contours in Figure 5. In that case $N_{\rm H}$ equals $(3.3 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{21} \, {\rm cm}^{-2}$.

Parameters for the southwest part can be obtained by applying E_0 , as found for the northeast part, also to the southwest. By using $(V)_{\text{SW}} = (6.4 \pm 3.7) \times 10^{55} \text{ cm}^3$ for the emitting volume of the southwest part, a value obtained from the *Einstein* HRI image because of its relative high angular resolution, and $C_{\text{high}} = (7.6 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{50} \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ pc}^{-2}$, we now find for the southwest part

$$(n_0)_{\rm SW} = (1.1 \pm 0.3) \text{ cm}^{-3}$$
,
 $(\eta)_{\rm SW} = (1.7 \pm 1.0) \times 10^{50} \text{ ergs cm}^{-6}$

The expected equivalent width of the FeK α emission line of 0.40 keV corresponding to this $(\eta)_{SW}$ (see Fig. 15 in Hamilton *et al.* 1983), is consistent with the observed value of (0.8 ± 0.6) keV. This indicates that EW_{FeK $\alpha}$} is mainly determined by the emission from the southwest part.

The obtained value of $(n_0)_{SW}$ is not in disagreement with the value obtained from the analysis of the infrared *IRAS* data of the southwest part of the remnant, i.e., $n_0 \approx 0.7 \pm 0.2$ cm⁻³ (Greidanus 1989). This value is obtained by using the density-dependent relation between dust- and electron temperature of the shocked gas (Dwek 1987).

The distance found above falls well inside the wide range of distances given by several authors, the wide range being caused by the different data and methods used.

We check whether the use of a Sedov phase for the northeast part is justified by using the characteristic parameters of Types I and II SN explosions:

Type I:
$$E_0 \approx 10^{51}$$
 ergs, $M_{ej} \approx 1 M_{\odot}$;
Type II: $E_0 \approx 10^{51}$ ergs, $M_{ej} \approx 5$ -15 M_{\odot} ;

in which M_{ej} is the ejected stellar mass (Woosley and Weaver 1986; Pisarski *et al.* 1984). In case of a Sedov phase, the assumption $M_{sw} > M_{ej}$ must hold. We conclude that this is the case only if RCW 86 is the remainder of a Type I explosion. The explosion energy found from our Sedov analysis is lower than the canonical value of 10^{51} ergs. About the same low value for E_0 is also found from the Tycho analysis (Smith *et al.* 1988), indicating that the explosion energy for a Type I remnant might be a few times 10^{50} ergs. The possibility, however, that RCW 86 is a Type II remnant cannot be excluded but in that case it must be in a free expansion phase (Pisarski *et al.* 1984).

c) Emission from a Reverse Shock

When we fitted a NEI model to our data (see § IIIb) we neglected the eventual flux contribution from a reverse shock. This flux contribution can be calculated for a Type I remnant by using the hydrodynamical model of Chevalier (1982) which assumes that the expanding ejecta have a power-law density profile $\rho \propto r^{-7}$ (based on the theoretical modeling of Type I supernovae). This model predicts a ratio between the temperatures and emission normalization constants for the primary blastwave and reverse shock ~2.4 and 1.2, respectively. We therefore find

$$(C_{\rm rev})_{\rm NE} \approx 2.8 \times 10^{50} \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ pc}^{-2}$$
,
 $(kT_{\rm rev})_{\rm NE} \approx 1.4 \text{ keV}$,

where $(C_{\rm rev})_{\rm NE}$ and $(kT_{\rm rev})_{\rm NE}$ are the emission normalization constant and electron temperature of the reverse shock, respectively. Taking $\eta = 1.7 \times 10^{48}$ ergs cm⁻⁶ for the northeast part and subsequently $N_{\rm H} = 3.3 \times 10^{21}$ cm⁻² (see Fig. 5), we find a flux contribution from the reverse shock in the LE energy range of ~7% of the observed LE flux. This indicates that neglecting the reverse shock flux hardly influences the results obtained earlier. Using Chevalier's model we find for the ejected mass $M_{\rm ej} \approx 2.5 \ M_{\odot}$ which is quite high for a Type I remnant. However, the amount of ejected mass is a strong function of the power-law density profile of the ejecta. In case $\rho \propto r^{-6}$ we find that the reverse shock produces less than 7% of the observed LE flux whereas $M_{\rm ej} \approx 1.4 \ M_{\odot}$ which is much more like the typical mass for a Type I remnant.

V. CONCLUSIONS

X-ray observations of RCW 86 reveal the following properties:

1. The remnant, for which a distance of ~ 1.1 kpc is derived, consists of two parts; in the northeast part the shockwave is running through a constant density ISM; the southwest part of the shockwave has recently moved into a region of relatively high density. There is no direct indication for any large temperature difference between the shocked gas in the northeast and southwest part of the remnant. Both regions have an electron temperature of ~ 3.4 keV.

2. The low energy flux in the northeast part is most likely caused by NEI effects in the shocked plasma. Using the NEI model of Hamilton *et al.* (1983), the northeast part can be described by a NEI model with $\eta = 1.7 \times 10^{48} \,\mathrm{ergs} \,\mathrm{cm}^{-6}$.

3. For the southwest part, the density of the unshocked ISM is much higher, $n_0 \approx 1.1 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, than for the northeast part, $n_0 \approx 0.11 \text{ cm}^{-3}$.

4. Since we do not find any temperature difference between the northeast and southwest part, the radius of curvature change of the southwest part compared to the northeast part cannot be due to a reduction of the shock velocity $v_s(T_s \sim v_s^2)$. Therefore this change of curvature must just reflect the shape of the clouds that are brightened up.

5. Our results are consistent with the assumption of RCW 86 being the remainder of a Type I SN for which the northeast part is in the Sedov phase of evolution.

The Laboratory for Space Research Leiden is financially supported by the Netherlands Organization for the Advancement of Scientific Research.

406

No. 1, 1989

1989ApJ...337..399C

NON-EQUILIBRIUM IONIZATION EFFECTS IN RCW 86

APPENDIX

THE HYDRODYNAMICAL SEDOV MODEL

The Sedov model describes the uniform expansion of a shock wave, caused by a pointlike explosion into a homogeneous interstellar medium (Sedov 1959). Here we give some useful relations, using the notation of Hamilton et al. (1983):

$$v_{\rm c} = 839 T_{\rm c}^{1/2} \,\,{\rm km}\,{\rm s}^{-1}\,,\tag{A1}$$

$$t = 4015\eta_{51}^{-1/6}T_7^{-5/6}E_{51}^{1/2} \text{ yr}, \qquad (A2)$$

$$r_{\rm s} = 8.62\eta_{51}^{-1/6}T_7^{-1/3}E_{51}^{1/2} \,\,{\rm pc} \,\,, \tag{A3}$$

$$M_s = 96.8T_7^{-1}E_{51} M_{\odot} , \qquad (A4)$$

$$\eta_{51} = n_0^2 E_{51} \operatorname{ergs} \operatorname{cm}^{-6} ; \tag{A5}$$

 v_s is the velocity of the shockfront, n_0 is the density of the unshocked ISM, t is the age of the remnant, r_s is the radius of the shockfront, M_s is the amount of swept up interstellar mass, T_7 is the shock temperature in units of 10⁷ K, and E_{51} is the explosion energy in units of 10⁵¹ ergs. Combining relations (A2), (A3), and (A5) gives

$$\frac{r}{t} = 2.147 T_7^{1/2} \times 10^{-3} \text{ pc yr}^{-1}$$
(A6)

$$E_{51} = \left(\frac{t}{4015}\right)^3 n_0 T_7^{5/2} \text{ ergs}$$
(A7)

The ISM density n_0 can be found by combining the emission normalization constant C, given by the spectral fit and the distance d

$$Cd_{\rm pc}^2 = \int n_e n_{\rm H} \, dV \tag{A8}$$

in which for a Sedov remnant

$$\int n_e n_{\rm H} dV = 2.07 \left(\frac{n_e}{n_{\rm H}}\right) n_0^2 \frac{4}{3} \pi r_{\rm cm}^3 f.$$

The filling factor f equals 1 in case of a totally filled shell-like remnant.

REFERENCES

- Caswell, J. L., Clark, D. H., and Crawford, D. F. 1975, Australian J. Phys. Suppl., 37, 39.
- Chevalier, R. A. 1982, Ap. J., 258, 790.
- Clark, D. H., and Caswell, J. L. 1976, M.N.R.A.S., 174, 267.
- Clark, D. H., and Stephenson, F. R. 1977, Historical Supernovae (Oxford: Pergamon). de Korte, P. A. J., *et al.* 1981, *Space Sci. Rev.*, **30**, 495. Dwek, E. 1987, *Ap. J.*, **322**, 812. Greidanus, H. 1989, in preparation.

- Hamilton, A. J. S., Sarazin, C. L., and Chevalier, R. A. 1983, Ap. J. Suppl., 51, 115.
- Hill, E. R. 1967, Australian J. Phys., 20, 297. Ilovaisky, S. A., and Lequeux, J. 1972, Astr. Ap., 18, 169. Itoh, H. 1977, Pub. Astr. Soc. Japan, 29, 813.
- -. 1978, Pub. Astr. Soc. Japan, **30**, 489. -. 1979, Pub. Astr. Soc. Japan, **31**, 541.
- Jansen, F. A. 1988, Ph.D. thesis, University of Leiden.
- Koyama, K. 1984, in X-Ray Astronomy, ed. M. Oda and R. Giacconi (Tokyo: Institute of Space and Astronautical Science), p. 325.
- Mewe, R., Gronenschild, E. H. B. M., and Van den Oord, G. H. J. 1985, Astr. Ap. Suppl., 62, 197.
- Milne, D. K. 1970, Australian J. Phys., 23, 425.

- Minkowski, R. 1968, in Stars and Stellar Systems, Vol. 7, ed. B. M. Middlehurst
- Minkowski, R. 1906, in Stars and Stellar Systems, vol. 7, ed. B. Andrich dist and L. H. Aller (Chicago: University of Chicago Press), p. 623.
 Morrison, R., and McCammon, D. 1983, Ap. J., 270, 119.
 Naranan, S., Shulman, S., Yentis, D., Fritz, G., and Friedman, H. 1977, Ap. J. (Letters), 213, L53.
- Nugent, J. J., Pravdo, S. H., Garmire, G. P., Becker, R. H., Tuohy, I. R., and Winkler, P. F. 1984, *Ap. J.*, **284**, 612. Pisarski, R. L., Helfand, D. J., and Kahn, S. M. 1984, *Ap. J.*, **277**, 710.

- Smith, A., Davelaar, J., Peacock, A., Taylor, B. G., Marini, M., and Robba, N. R. 1988, *Ap. J.*, **325**, 288.
 Turner, M. J. L., Smith, A., and Zimmerman, H. U. 1981, *Space Sci. Rev.*, **30**,
- 513
- Warwick, R. S., Turner, M. J. L., Watson, M. G., and Willingale, R. 1985, *Nature*, 317, 218.
- Westerlund, B. F. 1969, Ap. J., 74, 879.
- Winkler, P. F. 1978, Ap. J., 221, 220. Woosley, S. E., and Weaver, T. A. 1986, Ann. Rev. Astr. Ap., 24, 205.
- J. J. CLAAS and J. S. KAASTRA: Laboratory for Space Research Leiden, Niels Bohrweg 2, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands

P. A. J. DE KORTE: Laboratory for Space Research Utrecht, Beneluxlaan 21, 3527 HS Utrecht, The Netherlands

A. SMITH and A. PEACOCK: Space Science Department of ESA, ESTEC, Postbus 299, 2200 AG Noordwijk, The Netherlands