THE ASTROPHYSICAL JOURNAL, **314**:493–506, 1987 March 15 © 1987. The American Astronomical Society. All rights reserved. Printed in U.S.A.

A SURVEY OF THE BOOTES VOID¹

ROBERT P. KIRSHNER²

Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics

AUGUSTUS OEMLER, JR.² Yale University Observatory

AND

PAUL L. SCHECHTER² AND STEPHEN A. SHECTMAN² Mount Wilson and Las Campanas Observatories Received 1986 June 18; accepted 1986 September 2

ABSTRACT

In an earlier paper we inferred, from the distribution of galaxy redshifts in three small fields $\sim 30^{\circ}$ apart, the existence of a 10^{6} Mpc³ void in the distribution of galaxies in the constellation of Bootes. In this paper, we describe a redshift survey undertaken to test that hypothesis. Galaxies were selected by eye from 283 small fields distributed between the three original fields, and redshifts were measured for 239 of them. We confirm the existence of a large, roughly spherical void, of radius 62 Mpc, centered at $\alpha = 14^{h}50$, $\delta = +46^{\circ}$, v = 15,500 km s⁻¹. The low density of this region is of high statistical significance and does not appear easily reconcilable with any of the popular models for the growth of structure in the universe. This void does contain some unusual galaxies characterized by strong, high-excitation emission spectra, but not in sufficient numbers to compensate for the absence of more usual objects.

Subject headings: galaxies: clustering — galaxies: redshifts

I. INTRODUCTION

While conducting a deep survey of the distribution of galaxies in six small, well-separated fields in the two Galactic caps (Kirshner *et al.* 1983*a*, hereafter KOSS), we discovered that the redshift distributions in each of the three northern fields showed an identical 6000 km s⁻¹ gap (Kirshner *et al.* 1981). Because these fields were separated by angles of $\sim 35^{\circ}$, this suggested the existence of a large void in the galaxy distribution of at least comparable angular diameter. Located in the constellation Bootes, this proposed feature has come to be called the Bootes Void.

At a mean recessional velocity of 15,000 km s⁻¹, this void would have a volume greater than 10^6 Mpc³. Such a large void, if proved to be real, would put serious constraints on theories of the growth of structure in the universe, and it has been the subject of much theoretical speculation. Our hypothesis was, however, an extrapolation from limited data, and a much more extensive survey of the Bootes region was clearly needed to establish the reality of the void and delineate its structure. We have been engaged for some time on such a survey. While we have made a few preliminary reports (Kirshner *et al.* 1983*b*, 1984), the full results of our survey are presented in this paper.

In § II we describe the sample of galaxies chosen for study. In § III we present the results of a redshift survey of this sample, which confirms the reality of the void. Finally, in § IV we discuss the theoretical implications of this structure and its possible contents.

¹ Observations reported here were made in part at the Palomar Observatory as part of a collaborative agreement between the California Institute of Technology and the Carnegie Institution of Washington.

² Visiting Astronomer, Kitt Peak National Observatory, National Optical Astronomy Observatories, which is operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under contract with the National Science Foundation.

II. OBSERVATIONS

The size and distance of the Bootes Void make a complete redshift survey of its environs an impossibly large task. Therefore, in order to sample the distribution of galaxies, 283 small fields were chosen on a grid covering the region. Each field is a square 15' on a side, and together they cover $\sim 2\%$ of the total area over which they are spread. The positions of the fields are given in Table 1, and their distribution on the sky is presented in Figure 1. Their unusual distribution is due to their having been chosen in three sets, each of the latter two sets being intended to refine the void outline detected in observations of the previous set.

Uniform enlargements of the fields were made from the Kitt Peak National Observatory's set of glass copies of the red plates of the Palomar Observatory Sky Survey, and copies of these photographs were distributed to the four authors. Each of us independently ranked, by eye, the brightness of all galaxies in the fields down to a limiting magnitude sufficient to give an average of about two galaxies per field. Galaxies were assigned a numerical rank, running from 1 for the brightest to n for the *n*th brightest. If the rankings were perfect, this set of galaxies, down to any rank, would comprise a perfect apparent magnitude-limited sample. Since our rankings were not perfect, or even consistent, we have averaged the four sets by the following technique.

If a galaxy was ranked by all four of us, a simple logarithmic mean of the four ranks was taken. However a galaxy was sometimes missing from one or more of the four ranked lists, either because it had been judged to be fainter than the cutoff brightness, or because it had been overlooked, or mistaken for a star. If the appearance or brightness of the galaxy suggested the latter case was true, the galaxy was assigned a rank equal to the mean of its logarithmic ranks on those lists on which it was included. If not, it was assumed to have an rank on the lists from which it was missing fainter than the cutoff and was

TABLE 1

SURVEY FIELDS

•								
Field	R.A.(1950)	Dec(1950)	Vlim		Field	R.A.(1950)	Dec(1950)	v_{lim}
<u> </u>			16.07	1	206	14 24 24	+40 30 00	17 19
1	13 38 36	+29 24 00	16.8/		306	14 24 24	+40 39 00	17.19
2	13 50 6	+29 24 00	16.87		238	14 31 0	+40 39 00	17.19
3	14 1 36	+29 24 00	16.87		307	14 37 30	+40 39 00	17.19
201	13 45 6	+30 39 00	16.87		239		+40 39 00	1/.19
202	13 56 42	+30 39 00	16.87	1	308	14 50 48	+40 39 00	16.8/
203	14 8 24	+30 39 00	16.87		240	14 57 24	+40 39 00	16.87
204	14 20 0	+30 39 00	16.87	1	309	15 4 0	+40 39 00	16.87
205	14 31 36	+30 39 00	16.87		241	15 10 36	+40 39 00	16.87
206	14 43 12	+30 39 00	16.87		310	15 17 12	+40 39 00	16.87
200	13 40 0	+31 54 00	16.87		242	15 23 48	+40 39 00	16.87
5	13 51 48	+31 54 00	16.87		243	15 36 54	+40 39 00	16.87
Ĕ	14 3 36	+31 54 00	16.87		244	15 50 6	+40 39 00	16.87
7	14 15 10	+31 54 00	16 87		245	16 3 18	+40 39 00	16.87
	14 15 10	+31 54 00	16 97		246	16 16 30	+40 39 00	16.87
207	14 27 0	+31 34 00	16 87		247	16 29 42	+40 39 00	16.87
207	13 46 42	+33 9 00	16 97		35	13 45 36	+41 54 00	16.87
208	13 58 30	+33 9 00	16.07		35	12 50 0	+41 54 00	16 37
209	14 10 36	+33 9 00	10.87		20	14 12 20	141 54 00	16 27
210	14 22 30	+33 9 00	16.87		211	14 12 30	+41 54 00	16.37
211	14 34 24	+33 9 00	16.87	1	311	14 19 12	+41 54 00	10.07
212	14 46 24	+33 9 00	16.87	1	38	14 25 54	+41 54 00	1/.19
213	14 58 18	+33 9 00	16.87		312	14 32 36	+41 54 00	1/.19
214	15 10 18	+33 9 00	16.87	1	39	14 39 18	+41 54 00	1/.19
9	13 41 24	+34 24 00	16.87	1	313	14 46 6	+41 54 00	17.19
10	13 53 30	+34 24 00	16.87	1	40	14 52 48	+41 54 00	16.87
11	14 5 36	+34 24 00	16.87		314	14 59 30	+41 54 00	16.87
12	14 17 48	+34 24 00	16.87	1	41	15 6 12	+41 54 00	16.87
13	14 29 54	+34 24 00	16.87		315	15 12 54	+41 54 00	16.87
14	14 42 0	+34 24 00	16.87		42	15 19 36	+41 54 00	16.87
15	14 54 6	+34 24 00	16.87		316	15 26 24	+41 54 00	16.87
215	13 48 18	+35 39 00	16.87		43	15 33 6	+41 54 00	16.87
215	14 0 26	+32 39 00	16 87	1	40	15 46 30	+41 54 00	16.87
210	14 0 30	+35 39 00	16 97		45	16 0 0	+41 54 00	16 87
217	14 12 34	+35 39 00	16 97		101	16 13 24	+41 54 00	16 87
218	14 25 12	+35 39 00	10.07		101	16 26 49	+41 54 00	16 97
219	14 37 30	+35 39 00	16.87		102	10 20 40	41 34 00	16.07
220	14 49 48	+35 39 00	16.87		240		+43 9 00	16.37
221	15 2 6	+35 39 00	16.8/	1	249	14 6 54	+43 9 00	10.37
222	15 14 24	+35 39 00	16.87		317	14 13 42	+43 9 00	10.3/
223	15 26 42	+35 39 00	16.87		250	14 20 36	+43 9 00	17.19
16	13 42 48	+36 54 00	16.87		318	14 27 24	+43 9 00	17.19
17	13 55 18	+36 54 00	16.87		251	14 34 18	+43 9 00	17.19
18	14 7 48	+36 54 00	16.87	ł	319	14 41 6	+43 9 00	17.19
19	14 20 18	+36 54 00	16.87	1	252	14 48 0	+43 9 00	16.87
20	14 32 48	+36 54 00	16.87		320	14 54 48	+43 9 00	16.87
21	14 45 18	+36 54 00	16.87		253	15 1 42	+43 9 00	16.87
22	14 57 48	+36 54 00	16.87		321	15 8 30	+43 9 00	16.87
23	15 10 18	+36 54 00	16.87		254	15 15 24	+43 9 00	16.87
24	15 22 48	+36 54 00	16.87		322	15 22 12	+43 9 00	16.87
224	13 49 54	+38 9 00	16.87		255	15 29 6	+43 9 00	16.87
225	14 2 36	+38 9 00	16.87		256	15 42 48	+43 9 00	16.87
226	14 15 18	+38 9 00	16.87	1	257	15 56 30	+43 9 00	16.87
227	14 28 0	+38 9 00	16.87	1	46	13 47 0	+44 24 00	16.87
228	14 40 42	+38 9 00	16.87	1	47	14 1 0	+44 24 00	16.37
220	14 53 24	+38 9 00	16.87		323	14 8 0	+44 24 00	16.87
220	15 6 12	+38 9 00	16 87		10	14 15 0	+44 24 00	16.37
230	15 19 54	+38 0 00	16.87	I	201	14 22 0	+44 24 00	16.97
222	12 31 34	138 0 00	16 97	1	10	14 20 40	144 24 00	17 10
232	TO OT OO	130 0 00	16 07		49	14 26 00	799 24 UU	16 07
233	15 44 10	120 2 00	10.07		323	14 30 0	799 24 UU	17 10
234	12 2/ 0	+38 9 00	10.8/	1	50	14 43 60	T44 24 UU	1/.19
25	13 44 12	+39 24 00	10.8/		326	14 50 0	+44 24 00	10.8/
26	13 57 6	+39 24 00	16.37		51	14 57 0	+44 24 00	10.87
27	14 10 6	+39 24 00	16.37		327	15 4 0	+44 24 00	16.87
28	14 23 0	+39 24 00	17.19		52	15 11 0	+44 24 00	16.87
302	14 29 30	+39 24 00	17.19		328	15 18 0	+44 24 00	16.87
29	14 36 0	+39 24 00	17.19		53	15 25 0	+44 24 00	16.87
303	14 42 24	+39 24 00	17.19	1	329	15 32 0	+44 24 00	16.87
30	14 48 54	+39 24 00	16.87	I	54	15 39 0	+44 24 00	16.87
304	14 55 24	+39 24 00	16.87		55	15 53 0	+44 24 00	16.87
31	15 1 48	+39 24 00	16.87		103	16 7 0	+44 24 00	16.87
305	15 8 18	+39 24 00	16.87		104	16 21 0	+44 24 00	16.87
32	15 14 49	+39 24 00	16.87		258	13 54 54	+45 39 00	16.87
22	15 27 42	130 34 00	16 87	1	250	14 0 10	145 30 00	16 97
24	15 40 42	120 24 00	16 07	ł	232	14 14 10	145 20 00	16 97
34 335	12 10 42		16 27	1	320	14 JJ JV 14 TO TO	14E 20 00	16 07
233	17 7 70	T40 39 00	10.3/	1	200	14 23 30	T40 39 00	16.07
236	14 4 42	+40 39 00	16.37	I	331	14 30 36	+45 39 00	10.87
237	14 17 54	+40 39 00	16.37	Į.	261	14 37 48	+45 39 00	16.87

BOOTES VOID

TABLE 1—Continued

Field	R.A.(1950)	Dec(1950)	Vlim	Field	R.A.(1950)	Dec(1950)	Vlim
				<u>†</u>		·····	
332	14 44 54	+45 39 00	16.87	74	14 23 36	+51 54 00	16.87
262	14 52 6	+45 39 00	16.87	359	14 31 42	+51 54 00	16.87
333	14 59 12	+45 39 00	16.8/	/5	14 39 48	+51 54 00	16.8/
263	15 6 24	+45 39 00	10.8/	360	14 4/ 54	+51 54 00	16.8/
334	15 13 30	+45 39 00	16 97	261	14 30 0	+51 54 00	16.87
204	15 20 42	+45 39 00	16.07	301	15 4 0	+51 54 00	16.07
265	15 27 40	+45 39 00	16.87	362	15 20 18	+51 54 00	16 87
205	15 49 18	+45 39 00	16.87	109	15 28 24	+51 54 00	16 87
200	13 49 24	+46 54 00	16.87	110	15 44 36	+51 54 00	16.87
57	14 3 0	+46 54 00	16.87	280	14 0 12	+53 9 00	16.87
336	14 10 24	+46 54 00	16.87	281	14 16 54	+53 9 00	16.87
58	14 17 42	+46 54 00	16.87	282	14 33 36	+53 9 00	16.87
337	14 25 0	+46 54 00	16.87	363	14 41 54	+53 9 00	16.87
59	14 32 18	+46 54 00	16.87	283	14 50 18	+53 9 00	16.87
338	14 39 36	+46 54 00	16.87	364	14 58 36	+53 9 00	16.87
60	14 46 54	+46 54 00	16.87	284	15 6 54	+53 9 00	16.87
339	14 54 18	+46 54 00	16.87	78	13 52 36	+54 24 00	16.87
61	15 1 36	+46 54 00	16.87	79	14 9 48	+54 24 00	16.87
340	15 8 54	+46 54 00	16.87	80	14 27 0	+54 24 00	16.87
62	15 16 12	+46 54 00	16.87	81	14 44 6	+54 24 00	16.87
341	15 23 30	+46 54 00	16.87	82	15 1 18	+54 24 00	16.87
63	15 30 48	+46 54 00	16.87	111	15 18 30	+54 24 00	16.87
64	15 45 30	+46 54 00	16.87	112	15 35 42	+54 24 00	16.87
105	16 0 6	+46 54 00	16.8/	285		+55 39 00	16.8/
106	16 14 48	+46 54 00	16.87	280	14 19 34	+55 39 00	16.07
267	13 56 36	+48 9 00	16.07	20/	14 57 50	+55 39 00	16.87
268	14 11 36	+48 9 00	16.07	200	13 54 0	+55 54 00	16 87
342	14 19 0	+40 9 00	16.07	84	14 12 18	+56 54 00	16 87
209	14 20 30	+48 9 00	16.87	85	14 30 36	+56 54 00	16.87
242	14 34 0	+48 9 00	16.87	86	14 48 54	+56 54 00	16.87
344	14 41 50	+48 9 00	16.87	113	15 7 12	+56 54 00	16.87
271	14 56 30	+48 9 00	16.87	114	15 25 36	+56 54 00	16.87
345	15 4 0	+48 9 00	16.87	289	14 4 12	+58 9 00	16.87
272	15 11 30	+48 9 00	16.87	290	14 23 6	+58 9 00	16.87
346	15 19 0	+48 9 00	16.87	291	14 42 6	+58 9 00	16.87
273	15 26 30	+48 9 00	16.87	87	13 55 24	+59 24 00	16.87
347	15 34 0	+48 9 00	16.87	88	14 15 0	+59 24 00	16.87
65	13 49 48	+49 24 00	16.87	89	14 34 42	+59 24 00	16.87
66	14 5 12	+49 24 00	16.87	90	14 54 18	+59 24 00	16.87
348	14 12 54	+49 24 00	16.87	115	15 14 0	+59 24 00	16.8/
67	14 20 30	+49 24 00	16.87	116	15 33 36	+59 24 00	16.87
349	14 28 12	+49 24 00	16.8/	292	14 6 18	+60 39 00	16.07
68	14 35 54	+49 24 00	16.87	293	14 20 42	+60 39 00	16 87
350	14 43 36	+49 24 00	16.07	294	13 56 48	+615400	16.87
69 251	14 21 18	+49 24 UU	16 87	91	14 18 0	+61 54 00	16.87
351	14 59 0	+49 24 00	16.87	93	14 39 18	+61 54 00	16.87
350	15 11 19	+49 24 00	16.87	117	15 0 30	+61 54 00	16.87
71	15 22 0	+49 24 00	16.87	118	15 21 42	+61 54 00	16.87
353	15 29 42	+49 24 00	16.87	295	14 8 36	+63 9 00	16.87
107	15 37 24	+49 24 00	16.87	296	14 30 42	+63 9 00	17.34
108	15 52 42	+49 24 00	16.87	94	13 58 12	+64 24 00	16.87
274	13 58 24	+50 39 00	16.87	95	14 21 18	+64 24 00	17.34
275	14 14 12	+50 39 00	16.87	119	14 44 30	+64 24 00	17.34
354	14 22 0	+50 39 00	16.87	120	15 7 36	+64 24 00	17.34
276	14 29 54	+50 39 00	16.87	297	14 11 0	+65 39 00	16.87
355	14 37 48	+50 39 00	16.87	96	13 59 36	+66 54 00	10.87
277	14 45 42	+50 39 00	16.87	97	14 25 6	+00 34 UU	16 07
356	14 53 36	+50 39 00	16.87	121	14 30 30	+00 04 UU +66 54 00	16 97
278	15 1 30	+50 39 00	16.87	122	1 1 1 3 V 3 7 0 1 CT	-00 J4 00	16 87
357	15 9 24	+50 39 00	16.87	298	14 13 42	+69 24 00	16.87
279	15 17 12	+50 39 00	16.07	122	14 29 24	+69 24 00	16.87
358	15 25 6	+50 39 00	16.87	124	14 57 48	+69 24 00	16.87
72		+51 54 00	16 87	1 107			
13	14 / 24	TJI J4 UU	10.07				

assigned a best-guess rank on each of these lists. This best guess was found by an iterative technique. Assigning to the galaxy a tentative rank equal to the mean of the available ranks, and assuming a ranking error with a normal distribution of standard deviation 0.3 in the natural log of the rank, we calculate the probability P(R) that one of us would have given the galaxy a particular rank R. This P(R) is zero for R less than the cutoff rank and has a monotonically decreasing positive value for all larger ranks. The most probable rank is the center of gravity of the distribution of P(r). We take this rank, average it with the other available ranks for the galaxy, and iterate until this average converges. This value we take as the final estimate of the galaxy's rank.

To calibrate our rankings and estimate their accuracy, photoelectric photometry in the J and F bands (see Kirshner, Oemler, and Schechter 1978) was obtained for 59 of the galaxies using the Mark 2 photometer on the KPNO 1.3 m telescope. The apertures used were large enough (typically 36") to

circles are the galaxies with photoelectric photometry; the error bars are 1 σ uncertainties in the latter. The open circles are galaxies in KOSS field NP 8 with photographic photometry. Galaxies in this field were included in the ranking process as an independent calibration, and their position in Figure 2 confirms the zero point derived from the photoelectric photometry. The solid line is a best fit to the data and follows the equation

$$V = 16.98 + 1.94 \log R + \Delta , \qquad (2)$$

where Δ is a correction applied to galaxies in the five POSS fields which differ significantly from the others. The scatter in the true V-magnitudes about the values predicted by equation (2) has a standard deviation of 0.29 mag, sufficiently small for our purposes—indeed, gratifyingly small considering our photometric technique. A list of the brighest 300 galaxies is presented in Table 2.

We have measured redshifts of 231 galaxies from Table 2, using the SIT and intensified reticon scanner on the Palomar 5 m telescope and the IIDS on the KPNO 2.1 m telescope. Values of *cz*, which we shall for convenience call velocities, corrected to the rest frame of the Local Group according to the precepts of de Vaucouleurs, de Vaucouleurs, and Corwin (1976, hereafter RC2), are presented in the fifth column of Table 2. We estimate these velocities to be accurate to ~100 km s⁻¹ (1 standard deviation). Velocities for eight galaxies, taken from RC2 and from the CfA survey (Huchra *et al.* 1983) are also included.

The velocity measurements are 97% complete to a fractional rank R = 0.82; fainter than this the completeness factor is very low. Therefore we take this as the limit of what we shall call the nearly complete sample. Since the missing brighter galaxies are scattered rather uniformly throughout the rankings, this is a



FIG. 2.—V-magnitudes of galaxies vs. their estimated rank. Filled circles, galaxies in this sample with photoelectric photometry; open circles, galaxies from NP 8 with photographic photometry from KOSS.



1987ApJ...314..493K

FIG. 1.—Distribution of survey fields (dots not to scale). The shaded squares are the three northern KOSS fields.

contain almost all the light of all but the few brightest galaxies. A plot of galaxy rank versus photoelectric F-magnitude should be exactly equivalent to the galaxy number count versus magnitude relation for this region of the sky, with the addition of three sources of error: a scatter of order the square root of the rank due to the random sampling of the galaxy population, and scatter due to errors in the photoelectric photometry and the eye rankings. While this is basically true of our data, the residuals from the mean rank-magnitude relation show two systematic trends.

First, they are a systematic function of galaxy color, redder galaxies being ranked too low (faint). The probable reason is that red galaxies tend to be of higher surface brightness than blue galaxies; their images are more saturated and appear relatively fainter. The result is that our eyeball photometry is effectively in a band to the blue of the F band by an amount

$$\Delta m = 0.66(J - F) . \tag{1}$$

By coincidence, this shift is almost exactly that between the V and F bands (Kirshner, Oemler, and Schechter 1978), so that our ranked list actually best approximates a V-magnitude-limited sample.

The second systematic trend is a correlation of the residuals with the POSS field in which the galaxies lie, indicating a (not unexpected) field-to-field variation in the depth of the POSS plates. Of the 22 POSS fields in which we have calibrations, five appear to differ significantly from the mean, by amounts of up to 0.6 mag. These differences in field depth have been taken into account in the final calibration. Unfortunately, there are nine POSS fields, containing 27 of our 283 survey fields, for which we do not have calibrations. Extrapolating from the calibrated fields, we might expect about two of these to have significantly different zero points, producing an unaccountedfor variation in the depth of roughly 2% of our survey.

The final rank–V-magnitude relation, including color and field corrections, is presented in Figure 2. The fractional rank R is defined so that the 283d galaxy has a rank of 1.00. The filled

TABLE 2

+)	THE GALAXY SAMPLE								
R.A. (1950)	Dec(1950)	Field	Rank	cz	R.A.(1950)	Dec(1950)	Field	Rank	CZ
13 51 13	+40 36 42	235	0.007	2410a	14 8 37	+45 45 54	259	0.264	10840
15 19 26	+41 54 30	42	0.008	5720b	15 17 19	+40 41 18	310	0.266	27050
13 51 18	+40 33 0	235	0.010	3100 ^D		+35 36 54	122	0.269	33810
13 40 18	+31 47 0	225	0.018	2260a		+40 43 24	241	0.270	8990
13 51 18	+40 31 34	235	0.025	9350	14 22 18	+33 10 36	210	0.273	2270
13 45 5	+30 35 6	201	0.027	4600	15 14 18	+35 36 0	222	0.275	20150
13 49 43	+29 18 24	2	0.028	11300	14 51 36	+49 24 6	69	0.279	19880
13 51 37	+40 35 0	235	0.029	2460a		+39 31 6	27	0.280	19530
14 21 56	+33 16 12	210	0.036	7160	14 39 51	+46 48 0	338	0.294	25460
14 29 28	+39 20 40	89	0.041	20700	14 18 11	+40 34 54	237	0.300	7680
13 50 23	+38 4 0	224	0.045	3740a	15 14 53	+43 7 54	254	0.307	5500
13 48 7	+35 43 12	215	0.051	7950	14 12 21	+35 36 24	217	0.309	17510
15 10 34	+40 44 6	241	0.057	9490	15 59 28	+42 0 54	45 349	0.309	21500
	+34 25 12	206	0.062	19400	14 12 30	+43 22 0 +41 54 24	315	0.322	19800
14 45 10	+34 27 54	10	0.064	10280	14 12 19	+35 45 36	217	0.324	4110
13 57 23	+61 55 12	91	0.067	9240	15 46 34	+41 55 54	44	0.325	10060
14 49 13	+35 45 0	220	0.074	1360 ^a	13 53 7	+34 21 24	10	0.330	19170
15 10 0	+33 6 0	214	0.080	6980	15 49 13	+45 39 54	266	0.334	29690
15 18 1	+44 29 48	328	0.081	5770	14 39 33	+48 9 0	268	0.336	18050
14 39 3	+41 30 48	237	0.090	7760	15 15 44	+66 51 36	122	0.344	17370
14 18 7	+34 28 24	12	0.090	20430	14 49 3	+56 47 24	86	0.344	23740
15 8 3	+64 22 0	120	0.091	13220	15 27 19	+39 27 24	33	0.350	19660
14 19 30	+48 9 0	342	0.093	22090		+35 40 12	216	0.35/	24900
	+36 50 18	23	0.096	19810	14 5 30	+49 21 30	248	0.361	19500
14 0 30	+60 54 12 +61 57 24	91	0.102	21230	14 10 52	+48 11 36	268	0.363	20950
14 18 7	+34 23 48	12	0.105	20580	14 3 2	+38 4 48	225	0.365	19710
13 54 10	+56 49 18	83	0.109	21180	14 38 40	+61 51 0	93	0.368	14660
14 53 33	+50 42 6	356	0.111	11450		+56 49 18	114	0.368	24960
14 46 31	+41 50 48	313	0.113	20360		+29 20 30	216	0.371	14900
14 10 11	+34 20 40	228	0.120	9640	14 11 51	+48 11 6	268	0.378	21000
14 43 35	+49 20 30	350	0.126	11270	15 10 0	+36 58 18	23	0.380	13510
14 1 6	+35 33 48	216	0.127	14440	14 40 10	+38 4 54	228	0.382	00760
14 10 55	+48 14 48	268	0.130	0700		+53 9 6	364	0.384	22760
13 10 24	+40 37 0	10	0.135	10480	13 53 43	+56 53 18	83	0.389	13480
14 59 11	+49 21 6	351	0.136	9650	13 53 4	+43 10 54	248	0.389	19200
14 15 45	+45 41 30	330	0.139	8390	14 50 40	+49 22 24	69	0.398	22860
14 9 33	+39 23 0	27	0.143	13320	14 41 33	+34 25 48	14	0.400	36340
	+56 51 54	251	0.145	8970	14 12 36	+35 36 48	217	0.401	18780
14 50 54	+53 13 18	283	0.165	19450	14 12 56	+35 45 48	217	0.406	20280
14 45 0	+64 18 54	119	0.166	34580	15 10 59	+48 4 30	272	0.410	21650
13 44 40	+39 20 12	25	0.172	18530	14 37 43	+45 44 0	261	0.416	37930
14 19 40	+48 11 24	342	0.174	21000		+64 21 24	119	0.417	34860
13576	+30 39 54	202	0.184	214420	15 19 55	+41 51 0	42	0.410	21520
13 48 36	+35 42 6	215	0.186	21440	14 10 24	+39 29 0	27	0.432	7580
14 51 45	+49 18 48	69	0.202	11070	13 56 43	+30 41 54	202	0.432	21050
15 18 39	+48 11 30	346	0.202	23690	15 15 37	+46 53 36	62	0.438	6230
13 57 5	+30 41 6	202	0.205	14780	15 16 29	+66 48 48	122	0.442	1/060
14 32 30	+40 48 0	279	0.207	11630	15 33 25	+59 17 0	118	0.452	32390
13 43 19	+36 48 42	16	0.223	18490	15 15 37	+43 8 6	254	0.456	5550
13 52 48	+54 22 0	78	0.224	21000	15 16 15	+66 50 36	122	0.459	17030
14 2 58	+55 40 18	285	0.228	1610	14 52 0	+49 30 36	69	0.460	51410
13 44 40	+30 37 12	201	0.229	35280		+64 17 54	119	0.460	1/630
14 23 36	+51 4/ 6	114	0.234	15700	14 38 51	+64 24 18	120	0.463	22630
14 39 25	+62 0 42	93	0.237	14600	15 18 55	+54 18 36	111	0.473	48520
14 22 6	+44 27 36	324	0.240	18700	13 53 45	+56 51 54	83	0.486	29470
14 59 12	+53 13 54	364	0.245	23010	14 33 31	+48 13 6	343	0.498	33490
14 14 5	+50 33 36	275	0.246	22320	15 33 36	+42 0 12	43 6 A	0.498	24430
14 22 36	+39 26 0	28	0.250	26540	14 12 40	+35 37 30	217	0.507	17780
15 41 18	+39 18 36	34	0.251	19290	15 6 0	+49 27 54	70	0.508	32750
14 14 23	+59 20 0	88	0.253	22460	15 6 12	+45 32 24	263	0.508	28880
14 16 58	+45 35 12	330	0.257	8450	14 58 46	+49 26 54	351	0.516	4500
14 5/ 11	+48 13 12 +30 45 49	2/1	0.257	20440	13 59 5	+33 1 54 +54 27 AP	208 70	0.517	22500
14 17 34	10 40 40	204	0.231	20790	1 14 9 01	1 3 7 41 40	19	0.524	22500

				TABLE 2–	-Continued	-1-			
R.A.(1950)	Dec(1950)	Field	Rank	CZ	R.A.(1950)	Dec(1950)	Field	Rank	CZ
14 27 34	+49 26 12	349	0.528	21180	14 19 58	+55 33 54	286	0.806	38060
15 41 1	+39 24 24	34	0.529	18610	14 25 1	+46 52 48	337	0.813	22410
14 58 24	+49 27 36	351	0.531	8170		+40 34 12	23/	0.815	25490
15 6 52	+45 40 6	263	0.536	26330		+49 26 30	349	0.818	24730
14 35 25	+39 20 0	29	0.537	29410	16 27 4	+41 55 12	102	0.823	24750
16 12 55	+41 50 0	101	0.540	4630	15 14 36	+49 20 36	352	0.825	
15 31 29	+30 / 54	296	0.546	20910	14 11 12	+48 7 12	268	0.827	
14 51 25	+54 27 48	82	0.550	43170	14 27 5	+43 7 12	318	0.829	37620
14 24 46	+40 37 54	306	0.559	25180	15 22 15	+61 52 48	118	0.831	32580
13 53 31	+43 2 54	248	0.560	19700	13 52 53	+54 19 48	78	0.835	21260
15 37 19	+49 27 48	107	0.565	22420	14 0 30	+44 21 24	47	0.836	36750
15 8 41	+39 20 54	305	0.566	18810	14 54 42	+43 14 18	320	0.837	
14 51 15	+66 47 0	121	0.569	34160		+53 5 48	281	0.840	
15 57 10	+38 3 0	234	0.5/4	36120	10 10 10	+00 49 18	280	0.042	
14 45 42	+50 40 36	2//	0.5/4	9250	14 5 49	+50 11 0	209	0.845	11290
14 55 4	+39 20 0	304	0.574	38460	14 59 17	+53 2 12	364	0.847	23020
14 29 24	+34 21 24	337	0.575	8610	14 16 48	+53 7 48	281	0.847	20020
15 33 18	+41 52 48	43	0.578	35490	16 6 36	+44 17 6	103	0.851	15270
13 49 35	+38 6 0	224	0.580	19000	14 23 53	+51 57 42	74	0.852	11810
14 37 6	+55 45 0	287	0.581	29000	15 23 17	+46 54 36	341	0.857	
14 13 36	+49 22 12	348	0.582	21510	15 29 43	+43 10 18	255	0.858	
15 16 9	+66 47 30	122	0.582	17090	13 56 16	+30 34 36	202	0.865	
15 21 24	+62 0 30	118	0.583	22750	15 33 53	+48 9 18	347	0.868	
15 11 35	+48 2 54	272	0.591	8040		+49 22 48	353	0.869	20000
15 25 8	+56 55 12	114	0.592	33740		+51 52 42	246	0.870	38880
14 59 14	+41 52 36	314	0.595	28220	10 10 23	+40 45 40	240	0.870	29700
15 1/ 24	+40 43 30	310	0.599	20970	14 42 31	+34 29 0	14	0.877	38260
14 34 10	+40 13 34	343	0.603	20960	13 52 46	+43 3 0	248	0.882	50200
14 37 37	+55 45 24	287	0.612	37950	14 43 10	+30 45 48	206	0.890	
14 30 25	+34 19 36	13	0.612	12880	14 18 22	+61 47 30	92	0.894	
15 34 37	+45 35 18	265	0.617	22800	14 37 30	+55 34 6	287	0.896	
14 28 18	+49 26 36	349	0.618	11790	14 29 11	+69 30 36	123	0.898	15430
14 51 31	+49 28 12	69	0.619	22900	14 59 13	+41 57 48	314	0.911	
14 43 17	+30 45 30	206	0.620	33790	14 19 48	+36 50 36	19	0.924	29660
14 26 51	+31 49 0	8	0.633	36720	14 20 0	+30 43 42	204	0.935	
15 6 6	+49 18 42	70	0.647	34630		+30 32 12	203	0.940	
14 3 10	+46 47 18	57	0.650	20080		+53 10 48	283	0.945	
14 34 30	+33 13 18	211	0.657	3780		+45 52 50	17	0.949	18650
14 14 34	+33 13 40	320	0.670	34470	15 7 48	+56 50 48	113	0.957	10050
14 46 8	+42 0 12	313	0.671	39090	14 34 29	+33 6 48	211	0.964	
15 21 49	+61 57 54	118	0.677	23100	13 58 56	+33 4 54	208	0.968	
14 34 15	+59 28 30	89	0.682	20580	14 34 48	+43 12 0	251	0.973	
14 29 33	+44 29 12	49	0.690	46160	15 13 11	+41 47 42	315	0.976	
15 13 59	+35 33 6	222	0.695	16260	13 40 7	+31 58 36	4	0.978	
14 29 28	+44 25 54	49	0.696		14 27 18	+43 14 36	318	0.985	
14 21 19	+64 18 48	95	0.697	32950		+41 59 12	311	0.988	
14 11 58	+41 49 42	3/	0.698	12180		+49 28 30	350	0.989	
10 3 32	+31 30 6	320	0.700	23080		+30 25 12	34	0.990	31450
15 20 33	+45 40 48	264	0.711	18870	13 46 40	+44 30 48	46	0.994	51450
15 34 53	+45 34 54	265	0.714	22700	15 15 45	+46 54 12	62	0.994	
14 14 30	+59 19 0	88	0.715	22520	14 20 16	+49 28 18	67	0.999	
14 16 49	+53 7 6	281	0.715	24690	14 17 35	+40 42 0	237	1.000	
15 17 33	+50 38 30	279	0.716	22820	14 39 49	+51 52 12	75	1.005	
13 51 11	+40 33 48	235	0.717	2690	15 9 49	+36 57 18	23	1.033	13490
14 42 40	+30 42 36	206	0.717	18770	15 22 27	+61 52 48	118	1.036	31780
15 16 15	+66 48 0	122	0.722		14 39 43	+46 53 6	338	1.036	
15 1 40	+35 35 6	221	0.728	19840	14 39 15	+4/ 1 0	338	1.036	
13 20 40	+33.30 40	223	0.729	441/0	15 20 30	144 23 24 145 AA AQ	225	1.044	
14 31 7	+51 50 54	20/	0.753	42950		+48 10 6	268	1.055	
15 32 52	+59 20 54	116	0.757	17620	14 20 6	+30 33 48	204	1.060	
14 27 52	+43 9 36	318	0.767	2650	14 15 41	+38 5 48	226	1.061	
15 11 48	+48 8 48	272	0.768	35860	14 38 19	+61 53 12	93	1.061	
14 20 37	+36 52 12	19	0.778	22760	15 33 22	+42 0 54	43	1.065	
13 55 49	+36 56 42	17	0.784	42440	14 23 23	+45 37 36	331	1.067	
14 2 18	+69 25 6	98	0.786	9530	14 27 6	+43 15 0	255	1.073	
14 18 14	+34 26 0	12	0.792	20430	14 39 25	+51 53 30	75	1.077	
14 2 55	+38 4 18	225	0.797	19680	13 57 7	+30 34 12	202	1.082	
14 18 58	+48 13 6	342	0.797	22200	15 5 41	+41 56 42	41	1.082	
14 25 I	+40 D/ JO	331	0.802	22300	15 1 54	+43 14 36	253	1.083	

^a From CfA survey. ^b From RC2.

reasonable approach, provided that we divide all galaxy densities derived from this sample by 0.97. With a limiting rank of 0.82, and using equation (2), we calculate the limiting magnitude of the nearly complete velocity sample in each survey field; this quantity is presented in the last column of Table 1.

III. THE DISTRIBUTION OF GALAXIES

To convey the three-dimensional distribution of our galaxies, we present the data in several different ways. Each has limitations, but together they give a reasonably complete idea of the structure of the region we have surveyed. In Figure 3 we present the distribution of measured velocities of our galaxies. The nearly complete sample is represented by the shaded area, and the remaining galaxies by the open area. The smooth curve is the predicted distribution of the nearly complete sample in a homogeneous universe. If the luminosity function of galaxies is of the form (Schechter 1976)

$$\phi(L)dL = \phi^{*}(L/L^{*})^{\alpha}e^{-L/L^{*}} dL/L^{*}, \qquad (3)$$

then the space density of galaxies in a shell of distance R in an apparent magnitude-limited sample is

$$\rho(m_{\rm lim}, R) = \phi^*(R) \Gamma\{\alpha + 1, \, \text{dex} \\ \times [0.4(M^* - m_{\rm lim} + 5 \log R - 5)]\}, \quad (4)$$

where M^* is the magnitude corresponding to L^* (see, e.g., Kirshner, Oemler, and Schechter 1979). The large photometry errors in our sample make it an imperfect approximation to a magnitude-limited sample. However, if the magnitude errors

have a normal distribution, it is straightforward to

$$\rho(m_{\rm lim}, R) = \frac{\phi^*}{2} \left[\Gamma(\alpha + 1) - \int_0^\infty \operatorname{erf} \left\{ \frac{[M^* - m_{\rm lim} - 2.5 \log (L/L^*) + 5 \log R - 5]}{\sqrt{2\sigma^2}} \right\} \times e^{-(L/L^*)} \left(\frac{L}{L^*} \right)^\alpha d\left(\frac{L}{L^*} \right) \right]. \quad (5)$$

where σ is the standard deviation of the magnitude errors. We have used equation (5) with the values $\phi^* = 1.5 \times 10^{-3}$, $M_v^* = -22.0$, and $\alpha = -1.25$ derived in KOSS to calculate the expected density distribution.

In Figure 4 we present the distribution of galaxies in the velocity-declination plane, with three intervals of right ascension indicated by different symbols. In addition to the expected variation in the number of galaxies with velocity described by equation (5), it should be noted that the density of galaxies varies with declination because of a corresponding variation in the number of fields.

In Figure 5 we present a stereoscopic view of the sample, from the same viewpoint as in Figure 4. This figure may be viewed by placing an index card upright between the two plots and putting the bridge of the nose against its end. After some moments of eye adjustment, the reader will get either a headache or a stereo view of the Bootes region.

All the views described above suffer in one way or another from the variable density of sample fields across the sky or the variation in the sampling function with depth, or both. In



FIG. 3.—Distribution of velocities of the sample galaxies. Shaded area, nearly complete sample; open area, other galaxies; smooth curve, distribution expected in a homogeneous universe.



FIG. 4.—Distribution in the velocity-declination plane of sample galaxies. Filled circles, galaxies with $\alpha > 15^{h}10^{m}$; open circles, galaxies with $14^{h}20^{m} < \alpha \le 15^{h}10^{m}$; pluses, galaxies with $\alpha \le 14^{h}20^{m}$. The large circle represents the largest empty sphere that will fit within the void.



FIG. 5.—Stereoscopic view of the galaxy distribution, from the same viewpoint as Fig. 4



FIG. 6.—Contours of space density of galaxies in five velocity intervals. The lowest contour represents a density equal to 0.7 of the cosmic mean; each higher contour represents a factor of 2 increase in density. Velocity ranges (km s⁻¹): (a) 7000–12,000; (b) 12,000–17,000; (c) 17,000–23,000; (d) 23,000–29,000; (e) 29,000–39,000.

Figure 6 we correct for these effects, using the predicted variation in the sampling function with distance, calculated using equation (5) and the galaxy parameters from KOSS, and taking into account the variation in the density of sample fields across the sky. Figure 6 presents contours of the true space density of galaxies across our survey area, in five velocity intervals. To handle the discreteness of the sample fields, these contour plots have been smoothed at each point over an area containing the nearest five fields.

Inspection of Figures 3–6 reveals three major points. First, the distribution of galaxies is very inhomogeneous, with large density excesses near velocities of 10,000 and 20,000 km s⁻¹ and a large deficit near 15,000 km s⁻¹. Second, unlike the velocity distribution in the three northern KOSS fields, the interval between 12,000 and 18,000 km s⁻¹ is not completely empty. Nevertheless, there is a large void in this region. It is most apparent in Figure 6b, where it appears roughly circular in outline. Indeed, although the clumpy distribution of galaxies and the finite size of our velocity sample make it impossible to precisely determine its shape, the data are consistent with its being approximately spherical. The largest empty sphere which we can put into our sample has a radius of 63 Mpc (assuming $H_0 = 50$ km s⁻¹ Mpc⁻¹) and is centered at $\alpha = 14^{h}50^{m}$,

 $\delta = +46^{\circ}$, cz = 15,500 km s⁻¹. The location and size of this sphere is indicated by the circle in Figure 4. Its volume is 1.0×10^{6} Mpc³.

What is the statistical significance of this empty sphere? If our galaxies had the distribution shown by the smooth curve in Figure 3, the sphere should contain 31 galaxies. If their positions were uncorrelated, the chance of the sphere containing no galaxies when 31 were expected would be $exp(-31) = 3.4 \times 10^{-14}$. However, this naive calculation ignores two important factors. One point is that, because galaxies are correlated, the number of independent points is less than 31. We calculate that number as follows. The distribution of separations between pairs of galaxies within single fields shows an excess at small separations over the number expected if galaxies were randomly distributed. About 80% of these excess pairs have velocity differences less than 500 km s⁻¹ and almost all have velocity differences less than 1000 km s⁻¹. We now identify all pairs (including interfield pairs) with separations of less than $5h^{-1}$ or $10h^{-1}$ Mpc and recessional velocities 10.000 < v < 20,000 km s⁻¹ and use a friends-of-friends technique to build groups out of common pairs. Using a $5h^{-1}$ Mpc separation length, we find that the 71 galaxies in this velocity range fall into 50 groups; using $10h^{-1}$ Mpc they fall into 38 groups. We may then say that the ratio of independent groups to galaxies is $\sim 40/71$. Thus our 31 missing galaxies represent 17.5 independent groups. Since exp $(-17.5) = 2.6 \times 10^{-8}$, the probability of a volume being empty is still very small. Using the same statistic, we calculate that there is a 1% chance that the density within the void is higher than one-quarter the cosmic mean.

However, as Politzer and Preskill (1986) have recently demonstrated, the probability of finding one such empty sphere of volume v somewhere within a larger volume V is much larger, typically by an factor of $(V/v)(17.5)^3$. Fortunately, our case is not typical, for two reasons. First, the volume over which we can search is not much larger than the void. Because the empty sphere subtends an angle almost as large as that of our survey region, we cannot move the sphere very far across the sky before touching the edge of our survey region. For the same reason, we cannot move it much closer. If we move it farther from us, on the other hand, the galaxy selection function decreases the density of sample galaxies so fast that the total number of expected galaxies does not increase even if we expand the size of the sphere to the limits of the survey area. Thus the spherical void is close to being the most improbable one that could exist within our survey volume.

Our case is also not typical because we sample the galaxy distribution in discrete fields. In the Appendix, we derive an expression for the void probability, analogous to equation (2) of Politzer and Preskill, which takes account of this difference in sampling. Applying this equation to our sample, we find that the probability of finding, somewhere within our survey volume, a void at least as large and as improbable as ours is larger than exp (-17.5) by a factor of only ~50. Thus, $P_{\text{void}} \approx 10^{-6}$.

Our original claim for the existence of a 10⁶ Mpc³ void in Bootes is confirmed by this survey. However, inspection of Figures 1, 3, 4, 5, and 6 reveals a curious point. The void defined by this survey does not appear to extend as far as the three KOSS fields, on the basis of which the original discovery was made. What is to be made of this? It seems to us possible but extremely unlikely that our original velocity gap was unrelated to the void which happened to exist between the three fields. Considerations of probability alone seem to demand that the peculiar density distributions seen in the three KOSS fields be related to the void between them. The most plausible explanation is that the void, although apparently sharply bounded in front and back, is surrounded in other directions by a larger region of low density which the KOSS fields happened to penetrate at particularly empty spots. Unfortunately, our survey area does not extend sufficiently far to test this hypothesis; but the distribution of nearby clusters and superclusters described by Bahcall and Soneira (1982), and a new redshift survey of a region southeast of Bootes (Postman, Geller, and Huchra 1986), suggest that the density structures seen in our galaxy samples may extend over larger angles.

IV. DISCUSSION

a) Theoretical Implications

The general subject of large-scale structure has been reviewed by Oort (1983); Peebles (1984); and Doroshevich, Shandarin, and Zel'dovich (1983). The development of voids has been given explicit theoretical treatment by a number of investigators (for example, Peebles 1982; Sato 1982; Aarseth and Saslaw 1982; Palmer and Voglis 1983; Fujimoto 1983; Hausman, Olson, and Roth 1983; Suto, Sato, and Sato 1984; Fillmore and Goldreich 1985). It is clear that the observed properties of voids can provide significant constraints on cosmological models: constraints which are badly needed, since the most widely used description of the clustering, the galaxy covariance function, appears unable to distinguish between very different clustering scenarios.

The popular models for the growth of clustering in the universe divide roughly into several classes. Gravitational models divide between "bottom up" or hierarchical models, in which structure grows from small to large scales, and "top down" or pancake models, in which large-scale structures form first and then fragment to produce small-scale structure. Examples of the former can be found in the work of Aarseth, Gott, and Turner (1979), and of the latter in Zel'dovich, Einasto, and Shandarin (1982). The cold dark matter model (e.g., Davis *et al.* 1985) is in some ways intermediate between these two classes, with structure forming on a wide range of scales almost simultaneously.

The only widely discussed nongravitational model is the explosive amplification scheme of Ostriker and Cowie (1981). More detailed work by Carr and Ikeuchi (1985), Vishniac, Ostriker, and Bertschinger (1985), and Bertschinger (1985) elaborates these ideas. There is some disagreement over whether this model can produce features as large as the Bootes Void. However, given how poorly much of the relevant astrophysics is understood, this is a rather flexible model which could probably accommodate a wide range of observational facts, and we shall confine further discussion to the better defined gravitational models.

In principle, any of the gravitational models could produce voids of any size. The pancake models would appear particularly favorable for this, because of the cell-like structure of their matter distributions. In practice, however, the observed amplitude of the galaxy covariance function provides a strong constraint on the large-scale structure of any model. We have calculated the probability of finding a void the size of the one in Bootes, using *n*-body models of four typical clustering scenarios. We take two hierarchical models from West, Dekel, and Oemler (1986). These models assume an initial fluctuation spectrum of the form

$$\langle |\delta_k| \rangle \propto k^n$$
 (6)

with values of n of 0 and 2. We also use the West *et al.* pancake model, which has a coherence length of $30h^{-1}$ Mpc. Each model contains ~4000 particles within a sphere of radius $50h^{-1}$ Mpc. Finally, we use the cold dark matter model of Davis *et al.* (1985); this model contains 32,768 particles in a $128h^{-1}$ Mpc cube. All these models have been evolved and scaled so that the model covariance function matches that observed.

The density of particles in these models is much higher than that of galaxies in our survey. However, as Hamilton (1985) has shown, the probability of finding a void in a sample of a given density may be easily calculated from the statistics of a denser sample. If $P_x(N)$ is the probability of finding x objects in a sphere where the expectation value is N, then

$$P_0(N') = \sum_{x=0}^{\infty} P_x(N) \left(1 - \frac{N'}{N}\right)^x,$$
(7)

where N' < N. Using $P_x(N)$ calculated from the West *et al.* models and the information in Figure 11 of Davis *et al.* (1985)

© American Astronomical Society • Provided by the NASA Astrophysics Data System

502



FIG. 7.—Probability of finding a spherical void where 31 galaxies were expected, as a function of the ratio of sphere size to correlation length. *Filled circles*, hierarchical model n = 0; open circles, hierarchical model n = 2; pluses, pancake model; stars, cold dark matter model; smooth curve, Soneira-Peebles model.

for the cold dark matter models, we calculate $P_0(31)$ for voids of various sizes.

The results of our calculations are presented in Figure 7. Since the probability of a spherical void in any model is a function only of the sample density and the ratio of the sphere size R to the correlation length R_0 , we plot $P_0(31)$ versus the ratio of those lengths. The radius of our empty sphere is $31h^{-1}$ Mpc. Although the most popular value for the galaxy correlation length is $4-5h^{-1}$ Mpc, there are strong reasons for preferring a value of $8h^{-1}$ Mpc (Oemler *et al.* 1986). Therefore, a ratio $R/R_0 = 3.8$ corresponds to a void the size of that in Bootes.

Unfortunately, these results are of limited accuracy. Because all the simulations are of volumes not much larger than the Bootes void, and because such a large void is, as can be seen, a quite rare event, the statistical errors in the derived probabilities are quite large. Therefore, as an additional estimate of the void probability, we have calculated $P_0(N)$ in a Soneira-Peebles (1978) analytical model. This model has no physical basis, but it does match both the observed covariance function and the visible appearance of the large-scale distribution of galaxies in the universe. Being an analytical model, it has the advantage that we can easily construct a simulation of sufficiently large volume to minimize the statistical errors. These results are presented in Figure 7 as a smooth curve. All the models give results similar to that from the Soneira-Peebles model and suggest that the probability of finding a void the size of that in Bootes is on the order of 10^{-5} . Even after multiplying this by the factor of 50 which we derived earlier to take account of the number of independent samples available within our survey volume, the Bootes Void remains a very unlikely event in any current scenario.

It appears, then, that most popular cosmological models have difficulty explaining the presence of a void as large as that in Bootes. This may indicate the need for an entirely new theory for the formation of structure in the universe. Alternatively, it may signify that the distribution of matter is substantially different from the distribution of bright galaxies, since it is only the latter that we have determined. The most popular means for producing such an effect is by biased galaxy formation (Kaiser 1984, 1985; Bardeen 1985), in which galaxies form only at the highest density points of the matter distribution. If galaxies do not trace the mass, the Bootes Void may be filled with other forms of matter, and the observational task is to find that material.

b) Contents of the Void

It will be very difficult to rule out all conceivable forms of matter as possible contents of the void, but observations of some forms already exist. Since our observations only determine the distribution of bright, normal galaxies, a logical first candidate would be unusual galaxies. Balzano and Weedman (1982) have examined the spatial distribution of Markarian galaxies with measured redshifts in the direction of Bootes. Using all such galaxies in the region $13^{h}00^{m} < \alpha < 16^{h}05^{m}$, $25^{\circ} < \delta < 75^{\circ}$, they found no underabundance of galaxies in the velocity interval of the void. However, because of the large area and limited depth of their sample, the empty region which we have delineated in this survey would have no difficulty hiding within their statistical uncertainties. In fact, Moody (1986) has shown that the Balzano and Weedman sample is consistent with the presence of a substantial void in the velocity interval 12,000 < v < 18,000 km s⁻¹. Nevertheless, one of the Markarian galaxies, Mrk 845, is located well within the void.

Sanduleak and Pesch (1982) have used an objective prism on the Burrell Schmidt telescope to search for emission-line galaxies in a $5^{\circ} \times 26^{\circ}$ strip that traverses the southern edge of the void at $38^{\circ} < \delta < 43^{\circ}$. Tifft *et al.* (1986) have examined spectra of 44 of the objects discovered in this search. Of the 31 objects which were confirmed to be emission-line galaxies, two, Case Galaxy (CG) 1457 + 42 and CG 1518 + 39 lie within the void boundaries. Moody (1986) has recently completed a similar survey with the Burrell Schmidt, covering twice the area of the Sanduleak and Pesch survey, and using a plate-filter combination which permitted him to detect galaxies with [O III] emission at greater redshifts. He has found ~ 50 objects, of which three are within the void. Finally, one additional void galaxy is known, I Zw 81 (Sargent 1970, called in Sargent's paper I Zw 80); it is also a strong emission-line object.³ All these galaxies are emission-line objects; all but one have strong, high-excitation emission spectra. One, Mrk 845, is a Seyfert I. Strong emission line galaxies are uncommon: for example, they comprise only a few percent of the galaxies in our survey. That these objects have emission lines is, of course, not surprising: the surveys selected for such objects. What is surprising is the abundance of these objects within the void. None of the surveys conducted so far is sufficiently deep or well defined to permit a quantitative comparison of the space distributions of normal and emission-line galaxies. Nevertheless, it is clear that the latter comprise a disproportionate share of those galaxies within the void. Within the same region in which the objective prism surveys have found six of 81 objects to be within the void, we find none of 101. However, although relatively overabundant, it is also clear that their absolute abun-

³ Since there are objects in this region, our continued use of the word "void" might appear a misnomer. However, since the region is apparently devoid of normal galaxies, we prefer this word over a clumsier if more accurate phrase like "very low density region."

dance is still considerably lower than the cosmic mean. They cannot, therefore, represent the matter which is missing from the normal galaxy population. It may be that these objects will tell us more about the influence of environment on star formation in galaxies than about the large-scale distribution of matter in the universe.

If the formation of galaxies within the void has been inhibited because of some form of biasing, the gas which would normally have formed galaxies should still be present. Hot gas has been searched for by Ceccarelli *et al.* (1983). They scanned the Bootes region in the far-infrared, looking for a change in the temperature of the microwave background caused by Compton scattering of background photons by the gas (Sunyaev and Zel'dovitch 1972). They found no such effect, with a 1 σ upper limit of 1 × 10⁻⁴ K. A direct search for soft X-ray emission from hot gas may also be feasible.

If, on the other hand, the void is populated by cold gas clouds, they might be detected in absorption against background sources such as quasars or distant supernovae. One attempt to do this with *IUE* observations has been carried out by Brosch and Gondhalekar (1984). They found weak lines attributable to $Ly\alpha$, Si IV, and C IV at the void redshift in the spectrum of PG 1351+64 (an object, it should be noted, well to the north of the empty region we have delineated). However, their spectra have low signal-to-noise ratios and unusual line ratios, and better data, presumably requiring Hubble Space Telescope observations, are needed.

We are left, then, with two questions which must be answered if the theoretical implications of this void are to be understood. First, what indeed is the matter content of the void? Second, how common are such large voids? The existence of smaller voids is well documented (Gregory and Thompson 1978; Tarenghi et al. 1980). The recent survey of a two-dimensional slice of the universe by de Lapparent, Geller, and Huchra (1986) suggests the existence of other large voids. It should also be noted that the void we have described is not the only one of its size in our survey volume. At least one other exists, at $\alpha = 14^{h}57^{m}$, $\delta = +41^{\circ}$, cz = 23,000 km s⁻¹. Being more distant, it is of lower significance: only 13 sample galaxies are expected within this volume. All of this suggests that large voids are very common, but it is only suggestive. Much hard work will have to be done before we have a quantitative estimate of their frequency.

We thank Messrs. M. Hanna and G. Pickens for their skilled and patient efforts in the photographic reproduction of the survey fields. This work was partially supported by NSF grant AST81-19986.

APPENDIX

In this Appendix, we expand Politzer and Preskill's (1986, hereafter PP) derivation of void probabilities to include the case of discrete sample fields like those which we have used in Bootes. This discussion assumes familiarity with their paper. PP show that the probability of finding a spherical void of volume v somewhere within a survey volume V is

$$P_{\text{void}} = C(V/v)P_0(N)N^3 , \qquad (A1)$$

where N is the mean density of particles per volume v, and C is a constant of order unity. If the particles are uncorrelated, $P_0(N)$ has the Poisson value exp (-N). This equation may be interpreted as follows. $P_0(N)$ is the probability that a given volume v will be empty. The total probability of finding a void is larger than this by a factor equal to the number of independent samples within the survey volume. The sphere volume v is contained within the survey volume V a number V/v times, but the number of independent samples is larger still by a factor N^3 . Thus, since we are searching for a void by moving our sphere in three dimensions, we take a new, independent sample each time we move the sphere, in any one of the three orthogonal directions, by an amount equal to L/N, where $L = v^{1/3}$ is the characteristic size of the volume.

Following the reasoning in PP, to which the reader is referred for an explanation of this procedure, we can now calculate an expression analogous to equation (A1) for the case of discrete fields. Since the spacing of fields is discrete only in the two coordinates on the plane of the sky, we do the calculation in two dimensions. We assume the distribution of fields shown in Figure 8. For convenience, we use a rectangular rather than a circular area; PP show that this makes little difference, as long as the orientation of the square is fixed. The square has length L and the spacing of fields is l. The mean particle density per field is n. We can, with little loss of generality, assume that L/l is an integer, in which case we can also assume that squares are always centered on a field, since all intermediate positions will contain the same fields. Clearly, the chance of a particular square being empty

$$P(0) = P_0[n(L/l)^2], \qquad (A2)$$

and the number of empty squares per unit area

$$\rho_0 = P_0 [n(L/l)^2]/l^2 . \tag{A3}$$

The fraction of such empty squares which are also first encounters (see PP) is approximately equal to the probability that a particular square has one or more particles in each of the areas A and B. Now, in A,

$$P(N > 0) = P_{>0}[n(L/l)]$$
(A4)

$$= 1 - P_0[n(L/l)],$$

so the fraction of first encounters is just $\{1 - P_0[n(L/l)]\}^2$. Therefore, the total number of distinct voids per unit area is just

$$\rho_0 = P_0(N)\{1 - P_0[n(L/l)]\}^2/l^2 , \qquad (A5)$$

and the number per square is just

$$N = P_0(N)(L/l)^2 \{1 - P_0[n(L/l)]\}^2,$$
(A6)

© American Astronomical Society • Provided by the NASA Astrophysics Data System



FIG. 8.-Layout of fields for calculation of void probability

where $N = n(L/l)^2$ is the mean expected number of particles per square. If the particles are uncorrelated,

Λ

$$V = P_0(N)(L/l)^2 \{1 - \exp[n(L/l)]\}^2.$$
(A7)

If $n(L/l) \ge 1$, which is almost true of our sample, then

$$N \propto P_0(N)(L/l)^2 , \qquad (A8)$$

in which case we obtain an independent sample whenever we move the square by a distance l. This seems obvious, but note that it is only true in the limit of high density.

It follows, then, from equations (A1) and (A8) that the number of independent samples which we have searched to find our spherical void is just equal to the number of positions within our survey volume at which we can center a R = 62 Mpc sphere, spacing positions in right ascension and declination by the distance between survey fields, and in distance by R/N, where N is the number of independent groups, 17.5.

REFERENCES

- Aarseth, S. J., Gott, J. R., and Turner, E. L. 1979, Ap. J., 228, 664.

- Aarseth, S. J., and Saslaw, W. C. 1982, Ap. J. (Letters), 258, L7.
 Bahcall, N. A., and Soneira, R. M. 1982, Ap. J. (Letters), 258, L17.
 Balzano, V. A., and Weedman, D. W. 1982, Ap. J. (Letters), 255, L1
- Bardeen, J. 1985, in Inner Space/Outer Space, ed. E. W. Kolb, M. S. Turner, D. Lindley, K. Olive, and D. Sekel (Chicago: University of Chicago Press), p. 212
- Bertschinger, E. 1985, *Ap. J.*, **295**, 1. Brosch, N., and Gondhalekar, P. M. 1984, *Astr. Ap.*, **140**, L43.
- Carr, B. J., and Ikeuchi, S. 1985, M.N.R.A.S., 213, 497
- Ceccarelli, C., Melchiorri, F., Pietranera, L., Dall'Oglio, G., and Melchiorri, B. O. 1983, *Nature*, **305**, 196.
- Davis, M., Efstathiou, G., Frenk, C. S., and White, S. D. M. 1983, Ap. J., 292,
- de Lapparent, V., Geller, M. J., and Huchra, J. P. 1986, Ap. J. (Letters), 302, L1.
- de Vaucouleurs, G., de Vaucouleurs, A., and Corwin, H. G. 1976, Second Reference Catalogue of Bright Galaxies (Austin: University of Texas Press) (RC2).
- Doroshevich, A. G., Shandarin, S. F., and Zel'dovich, Y. A. 1983, in *IAU* Symposium 104, Early Evolution of the Universe And Its Present Structure, ed. G. O. Abell and G. Chincarini (Dordrecht: Reidel), p. 387.
- Fillmore, J. A., and Goldreich, P. 1985, *Ap. J.*, **281**, 9. Fujimoto, M. 1983, *Pub. Astr. Soc. Japan*, **35**, 159.

- Gregory, S. A., and Thompson, L. A. 1978, Ap. J., **222**, 784. Hamilton, A. J. S. 1985, Ap. J. (Letters), **292**, L25. Hausman, M. A., Olson, D. W., and Roth, B. D. 1983, Ap. J., **270**, 351.
- Huchra, J., Davis, M., Latham, D., and Tonry, J. 1983, Ap. J. Suppl., 52, 89. Kaiser, N. 1984, Ap. J. (Letters), 284, L9.

- Kaiser, N. 1985, in Inner Space/Outer Space, ed. E. W. Kolb, M. S. Turner, D. Lindley, K. Olive, and D. Sekel (Chicago: University of Chicago Press), n. 258.
- Kirshner, R. P., Oemler, A., and Schechter, P. L. 1978, A.J., 83, 1589. -. 1979, *Á.J.*, **84**, 951.
- Kirshner, R. P., Oemler, A., Schechter, P. L., and Shectman, S. A. 1981, Ap. J. (Letters), 248, L57.
- -. 1983a, A.J., 88, 1285. (KOSS).
- p. 197.
- D. S. Evans (Ann. NY Acad. Sci., Vol. 422), p. 91.
- Moody, J. W. 1986, Ph.D. thesis, University of Michigan. Oemler, A., Shechter, P. L., Shectman, S. A., and Kirshner, R. P. 1986, in

- Oother, A., Biechter, A. L., Encurrent, and A. S. (1998).
 Oott, J. 1983, Ann. Rev. Astr. Ap., 21, 373.
 Ostriker, J. P., and Cowie, L. L. 1981, Ap. J. (Letters), 243, L127.
 Palmer, P. L., and Voglis, N. 1983, M.N.R.A.S., 205, 543.
- Peebles, P. J. E. 1982, Ap. J., **257**, 438. ———. 1984, Science, **224**, 1385.
- Politzer, H. D., and Preskill, J. P. 1986, Phys. Rev. Letters, 56, 99.
- Postman, M., Geller, M., and Huchra, J. B. 1986, A.J., in press. Sanduleak, N., and Pesch, P. 1982, Ap. J. (Letters), 258, L11.
- Sargent, W. L. W. 1970, Ap. J., 160, 405. Sato, H. 1982, Progr. Theor. Phys., 68, 236.

- Schechter, P. L. 1976, *Ap. J.*, **203** 297. Soneira, R. M., and Peebles, P. J. E. 1978, *A.J.*, **83**, 845.

KIRSHNER ET AL.

Sunyaev, R. A., and Zel'dovich, Ya. B. 1972, Comm. Ap. Space Sci., 14, 173.
Suto, Y., Sato, K., and Sato, H. 1984, Progr. Theor. Phys., 71, 938.
Tarenghi, M., Chincarini, G., Rood, N. J., and Thompson, L. A. 1980, Ap. J., 235, 724.
Tifft, W. G., Kirshner, R. P., Gregory, S. A., and Moody, J. W. 1986, Ap. J., 310, 75.

West, M. J., Dekel, A., and Oemler, A. 1986, in preparation. Vishniac, E., Ostriker, J. P., and Bertschinger, E. 1985, *Ap. J.*, **291**, 399. Zel'dovich, Ya. B., Einasto, H., and Shandarin, S. F. 1982, *Nature*, **300**, 407.

ROBERT P. KIRSHNER: Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden St., Cambridge, MA 29928

AUGUSTUS OEMLER, JR.: Department of Astronomy, Yale University, P.O. Box 6666, New Haven, CT 06511

PAUL L. SCHECHTER and STEPHEN A. SHECTMAN: Mount Wilson and Las Campanas Observatories, 813 Santa Barbara St., Pasadena, CA 91101

1987ApJ...314..493K

506