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#### Abstract

Carbon, nitrogen, oxygen, Fe-peak, and heavy s-process elemental abundances have been determined for an additional 12 stars of type M, MS, or S. When combined with results obtained previously for nine stars of type M or MS and the K5 giant $\alpha$ Tau (Smith and Lambert 1985, hereafter Paper I), abundances are available for 22 cool red giants. These abundances are derived from high-resolution, high signal-to-noise ( $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}$ ) digital spectra in the infrared and near-infrared. Abundances based upon atomic lines are referenced to the comparison giant, $\alpha$ Tau, to minimize non-LTE effects and the CNO abundances are based on molecular lines from $\mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{NH}$, and CN . In addition, Mg -isotopic ratios and technetium abundances are estimated for selected stars.

Of the 22 stars studied, eight are of type MS and three of type S. Four of the MS stars show no measurable enhancements of the $s$-process elements and have CNO abundances that are consistent with their being first dredge-up giants similar to $\alpha$ Tau and the M stars (i.e., reduced ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ and enhanced ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}$ ). The seven stars which exhibit $s$-process enhancements also show increasing ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ abundances (the result of ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$-burning) and the ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ abundances correlate with both absolute $s$-process enhancement and integrated neutron exposure. No enhanced ${ }^{25} \mathrm{Mg}$ abundances are seen in the $s$-process enriched stars, ruling out ${ }^{22} \mathrm{Ne}(\alpha, n)^{25} \mathrm{Mg}$ as the probable neutron-source for the $s$-process in these stars. The competing reaction, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(\alpha, n)^{16} \mathrm{O}$, is the presumed neutron source. Estimates of the Tc abundance indicate that some of the stars have been in the thermally pulsing, asymptotic giant branch (AGB) phase of evolution for nearly $10^{6} \mathrm{yr}$.

The results for the $s$-process and ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$-rich stars are compared to theoretical models of low-mass ( $M \approx 1-2$ $M_{\odot}$ ), thermally pulsing AGB stars. Subject headings: nucleosynthesis - stars: abundances - stars: late-type


## I. INTRODUCTION

Isolation of S stars as a group outside the Harvard types M and N is attributable to Merrill (1922). Thirty years later, his discovery (Merrill 1952) of unstable technetium in red giants, primarily of type S, provided both incontrovertible evidence of recent, even continuing, nucleosynthesis in these stars and a stimulus for a theoretical attack on stellar nucleosynthesis. Today, the theoretical understanding of nucleosynthesis in stars on the asymptotic giant branch (AGB) is advancing rapidly. Our purpose is to provide detailed information on the chemical composition of S and the related MS stars and to examine the results in the light of current theoretical predictions.

Keenan (1954) classified S stars according to the ratio of ZrO to TiO band strengths and introduced the intermediate type of MS stars having much weaker ZrO than the S stars; at classification dispersions, absence of ZrO is a mark of M giants. A class, intermediate between S and the N-type carbon stars, was described by Bidelman (1954) and termed SC (i.e., both ZrO and $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ weakly present). The sequence $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{MS}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{SC}-$ C is now thought to be one of increasing carbon to oxygen ratio with the transition from $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{O}<1$ to $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{O}>1$ occurring within the SC type. The role of the $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{O}$ ratio reflects the controlling influence of the CO molecule on the partial pressures of free C and O, a point emphasized by Russell (1934).

[^0]The progressive enhancement of carbon and those elements synthesized via neutron capture in the s-process (e.g., Tc and the Zr shown by Boesgaard [1970] to be enhanced in MS and S stars) is now attributed to a thermal instability of the $\mathrm{He}-$ burning shell of the AGB star (Schwarzschild and Härm 1965). Modeling of these instabilities, or thermal pulses, in intermediate mass stars predicts enhancements of surface ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ and $s$-process elements such that the AGB star of type $M$ is converted to an N-type carbon star (Iben 1975; Truran and Iben 1977; Iben and Truran 1978). Recent theoretical work suggests that a similar dredge-up from the He-burning shell may occur in lower mass stars (Iben and Renzini 1982; Iben 1983).

Observational tests of the theoretical models call for abundance analyses covering those elements and isotopes influenced by the dredge-up. In particular, analyses should include the key monitors of H - and He -burning zones ( $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{N}, \mathrm{O}$ ), an indicator of the overall metallicity of the stars (the Fe group), and various heavy elements sensitive to the $s$-process. Spectroscopists limited to the visual spectrum are hampered by severe molecular line blanketing by molecular bands, and the signatures of $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{N}$, and O are incomplete in this region. Access to the less-blanketed near-infrared and to the infrared, the domain of transitions from $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{N}$, and O containing molecules, is a prerequisite to an adequate abundance analysis. In Paper I of this series, we analyzed a sample of M and MS giants (Paper I). Here, we expand the sample by a further 12 stars: four are normal M stars, six were classified as MS stars by Yamashita (1967), and two are well-known S stars (HR 1105 and HR 8714). When the reclassifications suggested by our analyses are made, the combined sample of 21 stars comprises

14 M , four MS, and three S stars. Spectroscopic coverage employed in Paper I is extended here to observations of the Tc i resonance lines and MgH lines in selected stars.

## II. OBSERVATIONS

High-resolution spectra of the stars listed in Table 1 were obtained using the McDonald Observatory's 2.7 m telescope, coudé spectrograph and Reticon array (Vogt, Tull, and Kelton 1978) and the Kitt Peak National Observatory's (KPNO) 4 m telescope and Fourier Transform Spectrometer (FTS; Hall et al. 1978). The Reticon spectra have a resolution of $0.2 \AA$ at $7500 \AA$ and the FTS spectra were obtained with a typical resolution of $0.05 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The wavelengths of the near-IR spectra were chosen to avoid molecular line-blanketing and consist of spectra from $7400-7580 \AA$ and $9980-10,100 \AA$. These regions are relatively uncrowded and permit accurate determinations of equivalent widths in stars as late as type M6. Three spectral windows near wavelengths of $1.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 2.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, and $4.0 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ were observed with the KPNO FTS. Telluric-line contamination of the spectra was minimized by dividing the spectrum with that of a hot star. Molecular lines from $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{N}$, and O carrying molecules are present on the FTS spectra. The $1.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ region contains $\mathrm{OH}(\Delta v=2)$ and $\mathrm{CO}(\Delta v=3)$ vibrationrotation $(V-R)$ lines, while near $2.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ we employ $\mathrm{CO}(\Delta v=2) \quad V-R$ lines and $\mathrm{CN}(\Delta v=2)$ red system lines $\left(A^{2} \Pi-X^{2} \Sigma\right)$. The spectral window near $4.0 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ contains $\mathrm{OH}(\Delta v=1) V-R$ lines.

Figure 1 illustrates sample McDonald spectra near $7450 \AA$ for three types of stars; an M giant ( $\mu \mathrm{Gem}$ ), an MS star (10 Dra) and an S star (HR 1105). The temperatures of all three stars are similar, but note a comparison of the strengths of the $\mathrm{Zr}_{\mathrm{I}}$ and $\mathrm{Y}_{\text {II }}$ lines relative to the $\mathrm{Fe}_{\mathrm{I}}$ lines. The $\mathrm{Zr}_{\mathrm{I}}$ and Y iI lines are obviously strengthened in the S star, HR 1105 , but not notably enhanced in the MS star, 10 Dra, relative to the M giant, $\mu \mathrm{Gem}$. Indeed, not all of the stars classified as MS by Yamashita (1967) show measurable enhancements of the $s$-process elements. We find that out of nine stars classified as MS by Yamashita (1967) and observed by us, five show definite $s$-process enhancements and one of these five shows abundances more typical of an S star.

Figure 2 shows a region of spectrum in the $4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ window for the S star HR 1105. Also shown is the comparison spectrum from IRC +10216 , a heavily obscured carbon star which shows no spectral features in this region; hence, the features in its spectrum are telluric lines. A ratioed spectrum of HR 1105/ IRC +10216 is illustrated at the bottom with fundamental $V-R$ lines from OH and NH indicated. The NH lines are unresolved triplets with components as indicated below the spectrum.

In addition to the near-IR and IR observations, spectra were obtained in heavily blanketed regions in the violet and green regions of the spectrum in order to extract information on the presence of the unstable element technetium and magnesium isotopic ratios via the MgH lines. Merrill $(1922,1952)$ first detected evidence for technetium in late-type stars (primarily of type $S$ ) through the three permitted resonance lines of Tc i near $4250 \AA$. We observed this region using the McDonald Reticon at $0.2 \AA$ resolution. The MgH lines were observed near $5140 \AA$ and consist of $(0,0)$ and $(1,1)$ lines from the $A^{2} \Pi-X$ ${ }^{2} \Sigma$ system which provide the ${ }^{24} \mathrm{Mg} /{ }^{25} \mathrm{Mg} /{ }^{26} \mathrm{Mg}$ ratios. The McDonald Reticon was used with an echelle grating giving a resolution of $0.05 \AA$.

## III. ANALYSIS

Since the analysis is patterned after that of Paper I, a brief review suffices. The model atmospheres are from Johnson, Bernat, and Krupp (1980). Effective temperatures are determined from broad-band colors and the calibrations by Ridgway et al. (1980) for ( $V-K$ ), Tsuji (1981) for $(R-I)$, and McWilliam and Lambert (1984) for the IR color ( $J-K^{\prime}$ ). Temperatures derived for the same star from different colors are in excellent agreement, a difference of 100 K or less is typical.

As in Paper I, stellar surface gravities were calculated from estimates of $M_{\text {bol }}$ and $T_{\text {eff }}$ along with stellar masses obtained by comparison with evolutionary model tracks in a $\log L / L_{\odot}-\log T_{\text {eff }}$ plane. Figure 3 shows a modified version of the HR Diagram for the stars in our sample along with firstascent red-giant models from Paczyński (1970) and Sweigart and Gross (1978) and models of thermally pulsing AGB stars from Becker and Iben (1979). The error bar corresponds to an

TABLE 1

| Basic Stellar Quantities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HR Number | Name | Spectral Type ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $(V-K)^{\text {b }}$ | $(R-I)^{\text {b }}$ | $\left(J-K^{\prime}\right)^{\text {c }}$ | $M_{v}{ }^{\text {d }}$ | $M_{\text {bol }}{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| 921. | $\rho$ Per | M4+ IIIa | 5.32 | 1.62 | $\ldots$ | -0.8 | -3.2 |
| 1105. | BD Cam | S5, 3 | ... | 1.39 | $\ldots$ | -0.8 | -3.4 |
| 2286. | $\mu \mathrm{Gem}$ | M3 + IIIa | 4.76 | 1.38 | 1.17 | -0.7 | -2.6 |
| 2508. | ... | M2 IIabS | $\ldots$ | ... | $\cdots$ | -2.1 | -3.9 |
| 3639. | RS Cnc | M6 IIIaS | ... | 2.33 | 1.32 | $\ldots$ | $-5.5{ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| 4088. | 44 Leo | M2 + IIIabS | $\ldots$ | ... | 1.09 | +0.1 | -1.5 |
| 4483. | $\omega$ Vir | M4 + IIIab | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 1.19 | +0.2 | -2.6 |
| 5226. | 10 Dra | M3 IIIaS | 4.75 | 1.36 | 1.12 | -0.6 | -2.5 |
| 7405. | $\alpha \mathrm{Vul}$ | M1 IIIab | 3.90 | 0.97 | 1.02 | -0.5 | $-1.8$ |
| 7442 . | ... | M5-IIIaS | ... |  | 1.20 | ... | $-4.0^{\text {f }}$ |
| 8062........ |  | M4 IIIaS | $\ldots$ | 1.56 | ... | $\ldots$ | $-3.5{ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| 8714. | HR Peg | S5, 3 | $\ldots$ | 1.46 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $-3.3{ }^{\text {g }}$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Yamashita 1967.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Johnson et al. 1966.
c McWilliam and Lambert 1984.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Wilson (1976) or Yamashita 1967.
${ }^{\text {e }}$ Bolometric corrections to $M_{v}$ using Bessell and Wood 1984, except where noted.
${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ Estimated from spectral type.
${ }^{8}$ Eggen 1972.


Fig. 1.-Sample McDonald Reticon spectra near $7450 \AA$ for an M, MS, and S star


Fig. 2.-Sample Kitt Peak FTS spectra showing an S star (HR 1105), telluric absorption (IRC+10216) and the resultant ratio which minimizes telluric contamination.

TABLE 2

| Star | $T_{\text {eff }}(\mathrm{K})$ | $\log g$ | $\left(\mathrm{km} \mathrm{~s}^{\xi}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\rho$ Per | 3500 | 0.8 | 1.8 |
| HR 1105 | 3550 | 0.9 | 2.0 |
| $\mu$ Gem | 3600 | 1.0 | 1.9 |
| HR 2508 | 3600 | 0.7 | 3.1 |
| RS Cnc | 3200 | 0.0 | 2.5 |
| 44 Leo | 3700 | 1.4 | 2.2 |
| $\omega$ Vir . | 3450 | 0.8 | 2.0 |
| 10 Dra | 3650 | 1.0 | 1.8 |
| $\alpha$ Vul | 3850 | 1.4 | 1.8 |
| HR 7442 | 3450 | 0.5 | 1.9 |
| HR 8062 | 3450 | 0.7 | 1.9 |
| HR 8714 | 3500 | 0.9 | 2.1 |

uncertainty in luminosity of, plus or minus, a factor of 2 and an uncertainty in $T_{\text {eff }}$ of $\pm 100 \mathrm{~K}$. Our selection largely comprises low- to intermediate-mass stars ( $1-5 M_{\odot}$ ). The two MS stars identified in Figure 3, RS Cnc and HR 8062, show definite $s$-process enhancements according to our analysis. The remaining four stars, although classified MS by Yamashita (1967), do not show measurable $s$-process overabundances (within the uncertainties of our analysis). Table 2 lists the model parameters.

A standard LTE analysis was performed using a sample of molecular and atomic lines. We use the basic LTE analysis code from Sneden (1974). The atomic-line list and measured equivalent widths are listed in Table 3. As in Paper I, all abun-


Fig. 3.-Derived luminosities and effective temperatures of red giants in this study are shown along with model evolutionary tracks of different-mass stars from several investigators.
dances derived from atomic lines are relative to the K 5 giant $\alpha$ Tau in order to minimize non-LTE effects seen in cool giants (Ruland et al. 1980; Brown, Tomkin, and Lambert 1983; Tomkin and Lambert 1983). Microturbulent velocities ( $\xi$; see Table 2) are determined from the $\mathrm{Fe}_{\mathrm{I}}, \mathrm{Ni} \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{I}}$, and $\mathrm{Ti}_{\mathrm{I}}$ lines by requiring that the derived abundances be independent of equivalent width; the microturbulent velocities are accurate to $\pm 0.3 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$.

Tables 4 and 5 present a selection of the weaker ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}^{16} \mathrm{O}$ (hereafter ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}$ ) equivalent widths (in millikaysers) for the

TABLE 3
Atomic Line List

| Species | $\begin{gathered} \lambda \\ (\AA) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \chi \\ (\mathrm{eV}) \end{gathered}$ | Equivalent Width (mA) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\rho$ Per | HR 1105 | $\mu \mathrm{Gem}$ | HR 2508 | RS Cnc | 44 Leo | $\omega$ Vir | 10 Dra | $\alpha \mathrm{Vul}$ | HR 7442 | HR 8062 | HR 8714 |
| Ti I | 7474.94. | 1.75 | 103 | 118 | 108 | 133 | 133 | 107 | 123 | 102 | 81 | 124 | 124 | 116 |
|  | 7496.12..... | 2.24 | 119 | 127 | 119 | 164 |  | 110 | 120 | 109 | 95 | 133 | 130 | 119 |
|  | 9997.94..... | 1.87 |  | 138 | 148 | 199 | 162 | 121 | 147 | 145 | ... | 162 | 162 | 155 |
|  | 10003.02..... | 2.15 | 126 | 107 | 123 | 170 | 141 | 103 | 117 | 134 | ... | 138 | 135 | 123 |
|  | 10034.55..... | 1.45 | 225 | 196 | 183 | 264 | 235 | 169 | 196 | 190 | $\ldots$ | 225 | 210 | 210 |
| $\mathrm{Fe}_{\mathrm{I}}$ | 7447.43..... | 4.95 | 55 | 66 | 62 | 94 | 115 | 74 | 66 | 52 | 58 | 71 | 62 | 56 |
|  | 7477.52 . | 3.88 | 53 |  | 61 | 68 | $\ldots$ | 65 | 69 | 53 | 40 | 70 | 61 | 46 |
|  | 7507.30..... | 4.41 | 88 | 109 | 99 | 161 | 122 | 102 | 82 | 88 | 107 | 104 | 109 | 106 |
|  | 7540.44..... | 2.73 | 75 | 83 | 83 | 150 | $\ldots$ | 92 | 83 | 67 | 69 | 102 | 91 | 87 |
|  | $7559.68 \ldots .$. | 5.06 | $\ldots$ |  |  | 112 | $\ldots$ | 74 | 54 | 50 | 53 | , | , |  |
|  | 7583.80..... | 3.02 | 190 | 162 | 158 | 245 | 219 | 181 | 176 | 149 | 145 | 166 | 162 | 162 |
|  | 10065.08..... | 4.81 | 92 | 88 | 90 | 142 | 73 | 95 | 75 | 92 | 14 | 88 | 88 | 92 |
| Ni I | $7385.24 \ldots \ldots$ | 2.75 | 97 | $\ldots$ | 117 | 186 | 128 | 115 | 112 | 105 | 112 | 114 | 112 | 109 |
|  | 7393.63...... | 3.61 | 117 | 135 | 126 | 208 | ... | 148 | 135 | 124 | 138 | 123 | 126 | 126 |
|  | 7414.51..... | 1.99 | 166 | 174 | 166 | 257 | 214 | 196 | 108 | 122 | 149 | 200 | 186 | 178 |
|  | 7422.30..... | 3.63 | 126 | 145 | 138 | 224 | $\ldots$ | 166 | 124 | 121 | 126 | 159 | 132 | 135 |
|  | 7522.78...... | 3.66 | 114 | 131 | 137 | $\ldots$ | 157 | 161 | 144 | 120 | 148 | 143 | 137 | 150 |
|  | 7525.14..... | 3.63 | 86 | 106 | 104 | 193 | 99 | 123 | 94 | 96 | 109 | 114 | 111 | 114 |
|  | 7574.08..... | 3.83 | 93 | 109 | 100 | 170 | $\ldots$ | 124 | 112 | 98 | 93 | 115 | 109 | 98 |
| Sr ${ }_{\text {II }}$ | 10036.65..... | 1.80 | 178 | 241 | 152 | 303 | 276 | 122 | 165 | 152 | $\cdots$ | 191 | 210 | 210 |
| Y il | 7450.32..... | 1.74 | 38 | 110 | 48 | 108 | 118 | 53 | 55 | 40 | 39 | 59 | 84 | 86 |
| Zri | $7439.89 \ldots .$. | 0.54 | 98 | 163 | 113 | 174 | 215 | 99 | 114 | 96 | 71 | 129 | 145 | 155 |
|  | 7553.00..... | 0.51 | 44 | 117 | 42 | 83 | 137 | 44 | 50 | 37 | 33 | 70 | 95 | 83 |
|  | 7554.73...... | 0.51 | 95 | 154 | 100 | 125 | 208 | 75 | 110 | 85 | 56 | 125 | 144 | 134 |
|  | 7558.41..... | 1.54 | 29 | 89 | 30 | 52 | 97 | 26 | 35 | 21 | 15 | 50 | 60 | 54 |
| Ba I | 7392.41...... | 1.57 | 13 | 52 | 16 | $\cdots$ | 50 | 15 | 10 | 13 | 11 | 21 | 22 | 25 |
| Nd II | 7513.73..... | 0.92 | 43 | 79 | 52 | 62 | 111 | 22 | 35 | 30 | 28 | 53 | 60 | 58 |

TABLE 4
${ }^{12}$ CO First-Overtone Vibration-Rotation Lines

|  |  |  |  | $W_{\sigma}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \times 10^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Line | $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | $(\mathrm{eV})$ | $\log g f$ | HR 1105 | HR 2508 | RS Cnc | 44 Leo | $\omega$ Vir | 10 Dra | $\alpha \mathrm{Vul}$ | HR 7442 | HR 8062 | HR 8714 |
| 2-0 Band |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R94...... | 4285.21 | 2.07 | -4.84 | 61 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 33 | 46 | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ |  | 60 |
| R92...... | 4292.04 | 1.98 | -4.85 | 59 | 55 | 66 | 39 | 48 | 45 | 46 | 47 | 50 | 62 |
| R81...... | 4323.69 | 1.55 | -4.93 | 82 | 78 | 91 | 61 | 72 | 63 | 68 | 70 | 73 | 82 |
| R80...... | 4326.07 | 1.51 | -4.94 | 82 | 84 | 93 | 61 | 77 | 67 | 62 | 72 | 75 | 82 |
| R79..... | 4328.37 | 1.48 | -4.95 | 84 | 87 | 97 | 70 | 79 | 72 | 67 | 75 | 82 | 86 |
| R78..... | 4330.58 | 1.44 | -4.95 | 86 | 89 | 99 | 69 | 78 | 74 | 61 | 77 | 83 | 88 |
| R77...... | 4332.72 | 1.40 | -4.96 | 84 | 87 | 99 | 66 | 77 | 72 | 55 | 77 | 79 | 88 |
| 3-1 Band |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R63...... | 4299.00 | 1.21 | -4.61 | 116 | 139 | 136 | 98 | 100 | 93 | $\ldots$ | 101 | 111 | 121 |
| R37...... | 4298.74 | 0.60 | -4.90 | 156 | . | 162 | 124 | 140 | 131 | 134 | 133 | $\ldots$ | ... |
| R32.... | 4292.75 | 0.51 | -4.98 | 159 | 182 | 168 | 127 | 140 | 137 | 128 | 139 | 152 | $\ldots$ |

$\Delta v=2$ and $3 V-R$ lines, respectively. Values of $\xi$ derived from the ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}$ lines are in agreement with those derived from the atomic lines. The very strong ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}$ lines $\left(\log W_{\sigma} / \sigma \gtrsim-4.2\right)$ tend to give larger abundances. Since the line cores of such strong lines are saturated in the first layer of the model atmosphere, these strong lines are unreliable as abundance indicators. Such lines could be used to develop an empirical model for the upper photosphere and low chromosphere. The ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$ lines used to determine ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-abundances (and hence ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C} /{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ ratios) are listed in Table 6.
The ${ }^{16} \mathrm{OH}$ lines and equivalent widths are shown in Table 7 $(\Delta v=1)$ and Table $8(\Delta v=2)$. The first-overtone lines are the
same ones used in Paper I while the fundamental lines are new to the present study. Oscillator strengths for both series of OH lines are based upon transition probabilities from van Dishoeck (1985). RS Cnc and 44 Leo are omitted from Tables 7 and 8 . Both stars were observed in the $4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ window to obtain the $\Delta v=1{ }^{16} \mathrm{OH} V-R$ lines; however, for different reasons both spectra were unusable. In the case of 44 Leo , the spectrum was very noisy ( $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N}<10$ ) while for RS Cnc it was noticed that all lines in the region (including SiO and NH ) were much weaker than expected for such a late-type star (M6). Spectrophotometry of RS Cnc (Merrill and Stein 1976) shows an IR-excess at $4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. This excess, which "fills in" the absorp-

TABLE 5
${ }^{12}$ CO Second-Overtone Vibration-Rotation Lines

| Line | $\begin{gathered} \sigma \\ \left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(\mathrm{eV})}{\chi}$ | $\log g f$ | $W_{\sigma}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \times 10^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\rho$ Per | HR 1105 | $\mu$ Gem | $\alpha \mathrm{Vul}$ | HR 8714 |
| 3-0 Band |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P36..... | 6147.00 | 0.32 | $-7.88$ | 56 | 77 | $\ldots$ | 35 | 95 |
| 4-1 Band |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P19..... | 6181.02 | 0.36 | -7.47 | 73 | 79 | 69 | 46 | 102 |
| P18...... | 6186.70 | 0.35 | -7.49 | 76 | 76 | 65 | 52 | 103 |
| 5-2 Band |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P14...... | 6129.82 | 0.58 | -7.16 | 70 | 77 | 57 | 50 | 98 |
| P13..... | 6134.95 | 0.57 | -7.19 | 70 | 81 | 66 | 41 | 104 |
| P10...... | 6149.71 | 0.55 | -7.29 | 66 | 84 | 56 | 40 | 112 |
| 6-3 Band |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R63..... | 6127.88 | 1.71 | $-5.80$ | $\ldots$ | 50 | $\ldots$ | 40 | 70 |
| R60...... | 6137.37 | 1.63 | -5.84 | 66 | 78 | $\cdots$ | 47 | $\ldots$ |
| R59...... | 6140.30 | 1.60 | -5.85 | 63 | 64 | 49 | 41 | 91 |
| R41...... | 6173.79 | 1.18 | -6.09 | 78 | 80 | 69 | 58 | 112 |
| R20...... | 6167.39 | 0.88 | -6.50 | 87 | 111 | 83 | 70 | 131 |
| R18...... | 6164.28 | 0.87 | -6.55 | $\cdots$ | 94 | $\ldots$ | 62 | 117 |
| R17...... | 6162.56 | 0.86 | -6.58 | 91 | 89 | 83 | 60 | 111 |
| R16...... | 6160.73 | 0.85 | -6.61 | 87 | 100 | $\ldots$ | 68 | 109 |
| R15...... | 6158.79 | 0.84 | -6.64 | 74 | 90 | 81 | 52 | 112 |
| R14...... | 6156.75 | 0.84 | -6.67 | 81 | 84 | 78 | 54 | 103 |
| R8 ...... | 6142.25 | 0.80 | -6.92 | 66 | 67 | 56 | 42 | 92 |
| R6 ...... | 6136.56 | 0.80 | -7.04 | 60 | $\cdots$ | ... | 41 |  |
| R4 ...... | 6130.45 | 0.79 | $-7.20$ | $\cdots$ | 53 | $\cdots$ | 31 | 79 |

TABLE 6
${ }^{13}$ CO Vibration-Rotation Lines

|  |  |  |  | $W_{\sigma}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \times 10^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Line | $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | $\begin{gathered} \chi \\ (\mathrm{eV}) \end{gathered}$ | $\log g f$ | $\rho$ Per | HR 1105 | $\mu \mathrm{Gem}$ | HR 2508 | RS Cnc | 44 Leo | $\omega$ Vir | 10 Dra | $\alpha \mathrm{Vul}$ | HR 7442 | HR 8062 | HR 8714 |
| 4-1 Band |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R47...... | 6193.57 | 0.77 | -6.69 | 18 | 13 | 15 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 13 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 12 |
| R23..... | 6194.26 | 0.38 | $-7.13$ | 17 | 13 | 18 | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 15 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 13 |
| 5-2 Band |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R51...... | 6110.38 | 1.11 | -6.22 | 16 | 10 | 14 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 12 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 8 |
| R48...... | 6115.08 | 1.04 | $-6.26$ | 12 | 8 | 12 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 10 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 8 |
| R18...... | 6110.81 | 0.59 | $-6.84$ | 17 | 14 | 23 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 14 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 12 |
| 2-0 Band |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| R71...... | 4251.23 | 1.15 | -5.01 | $\ldots$ | 45 | $\ldots$ | 50 | 67 | $\ldots$ | 40 | 55 | $\ldots$ | 41 | 36 | $\ldots$ |
| R70...... | 4252.61 | 1.12 | -5.01 | $\ldots$ | 45 | $\ldots$ | 39 | 48 | 42 | 40 | 45 | $\ldots$ | 39 | 33 |  |
| R69...... | 4253.91 | 1.08 | -5.02 | $\ldots$ | 42 | $\ldots$ | 50 | 60 | $\ldots$ | 52 | 49 | 61 | 44 | 40 | 52 |
| R68...... | 4255.14 | 1.05 | $-5.03$ | $\ldots$ | 46 | $\ldots$ | 52 | 54 | 49 | 52 | 52 | $\ldots$ | 47 | 44 | 50 |
| R64...... | 4259.30 | 0.94 | $-5.07$ | $\ldots$ | 44 | $\ldots$ | 50 | 47 | 48 | 45 | 46 | 54 | 45 | 33 | 55 |
| R61...... | 4261.64 | 0.85 | $-5.10$ | $\ldots$ | 50 | $\ldots$ | 62 | 56 | 55 | 57 | 61 | 61 | 51 | 46 | 67 |
| R43...... | 4261.89 | 0.43 | -5.30 | $\ldots$ | 69 | $\ldots$ | 85 | 72 | 61 | 78 | 76 | 74 | 68 | 59 | 69 |
| R41...... | 4260.48 | 0.39 | $-5.33$ | $\cdots$ | 72 | $\ldots$ | 86 | 68 | 70 | 77 | 73 | 68 | 71 | 62 | 77 |
| R40...... | 4259.67 | 0.37 | -5.34 | $\ldots$ | 79 | $\ldots$ | 85 | 68 | 88 | 83 | 81 | $\ldots$ | 72 | 61 | 80 |
| R38...... | 4257.83 | 0.34 | $-5.37$ | $\ldots$ | 72 | $\ldots$ | 86 | 71 | $\ldots$ | 82 | 86 | 78 | 71 | 67 | $\cdots$ |
| R37....... | 4256.81 | 0.32 | -5.38 | $\ldots$ | 72 | $\cdots$ | 90 | 77 | 82 | 86 | 82 | $\ldots$ | 75 | 69 | 84 |
| R33...... | 4252.00 | 0.25 | -5.44 | $\cdots$ | 81 | $\cdots$ | 93 | 78 | ... | 87 | 105 | $\ldots$ | 81 | 73 | ... |

TABLE 7
${ }^{16}$ OH Fundamental Vibration-Rotation Lines

| Line | $\begin{gathered} \sigma \\ \left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \chi \\ (\mathrm{eV}) \end{gathered}$ | $\log g f$ | $W_{\sigma}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \times 10^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\rho$ Per | HR 1105 | $\mu \mathrm{Gem}$ | HR 2508 | $\omega$ Vir | 10 Dra | HR 7442 | HR 8062 |
| 3-2 Band |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $P_{1}^{+} 17.5 \ldots \ldots$ | 2505.27 | 1.50 | $-3.74$ | 41 | $\ldots$ | 25 | 36 | 26 | 25 | 35 | 36 |
| 15.5..... | 2605.13 | 1.36 | -3.84 | $\ldots$ | 26 | 30 | 35 | 31 | 35 | 40 | 34 |
| 14.5..... | 2655.31 | 1.30 | -3.90 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 30 | 37 | 31 | 31 | 37 | 30 |
| $P_{1}^{-17.5 \ldots \ldots .}$ | 2506.82 | 1.49 | $-3.74$ | 43 | $\ldots$ | 26 | 32 | 25 | 30 | 33 | 36 |
| 15.5..... | 2606.50 | 1.36 | -3.84 | 38 | ... | 32 |  | 27 | 33 | 41 | 31 |
| 14.5..... | 2653.98 | 1.30 | -3.90 | 33 | 39 | 31 | 37 | 27 | ... | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $P_{2}^{+} 16.5 \ldots \ldots$ | 2507.43 | 1.50 | $-3.71$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 22 | $\ldots$ | 30 | 40 | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ |
| 14.5..... | 2607.69 | 1.37 | -3.81 | ... | $\ldots$ | 29 | 31 | $\ldots$ | 32 | 41 | 31 |
| 13.5..... | 2657.78 | 1.30 | -3.87 | 32 | $\ldots$ | 32 | 38 | $\ldots$ | 30 | 39 | 32 |
| $P_{2}^{-16.5 \ldots \ldots}$ | 2508.65 | 1.50 | $-3.71$ | 40 | 25 | 25 | 29 | 25 | $\ldots$ | 38 | 32 |
| 14.5..... | 2608.81 | 1.37 | -3.81 | ... |  | 29 | 32 | 30 | 34 | $\ldots$ | 35 |
| 13.5..... | - 2656.79 | 1.31 | $-3.87$ | 38 | 40 | 31 | 39 | 29 | 32 | 37 | $\ldots$ |
| 4-3 Band |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $P_{1}^{+} 12.5 \ldots \ldots$ | 2604.55 | 1.58 | -4.05 | 26 | 19 | 23 | 22 | 20 | 22 | 25 | 26 |
| 11.5..... | 2648.56 | 1.53 | -4.13 | ... | 18 | 22 | 21 | 20 | 27 |  | ... |
| 10.5.... | 2693.29 | 1.49 | -4.21 | 36 | 25 | $\ldots$ | 19 | 24 | 26 | 26 | $\ldots$ |
| $P_{1}^{-} 12.5 \ldots \ldots$ | 2603.51 | 1.58 | -4.05 | 28 | $\ldots$ | 20 | 25 | 22 | 23 | 24 |  |
| 11.5..... | 2649.43 | 1.53 | -4.13 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 23 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | 27 | 28 | 22 |
| $10.5 \ldots \ldots$ | 2692.43 | 1.49 | -4.21 | 35 | $\ldots$ | 17 | 20 | 22 | 26 | 23 | 27 |
| $P_{2}^{+} 11.5 \ldots \ldots$ | 2607.91 | 1.58 | -4.01 | $\ldots$ | 24 | $\ldots$ | 24 | 21 | 25 | 24 | 30 |
| 10.5.... | 2652.61 | 1.54 | -4.08 | 34 | 21 | 20 | 19 | 20 | 27 | 31 | 22 |
| $9.5 \ldots \ldots$ | 2697.80 | 1.49 | -4.16 | 33 | 17 | 18 | 22 | 20 | 25 | $\ldots$ | 21 |
| $P_{2}^{-11.5 \ldots . .}$ | 2607.22 | 1.58 | -4.01 | $\ldots$ | 23 | 19 | $\ldots$ | 19 | 23 | $\ldots$ | 28 |
| 10.5..... | 2653.12 | 1.54 | -4.08 | 30 | 21 | 19 | 23 | 22 | 27 | 27 |  |
| $9.5 \ldots \ldots$ | 2697.09 | 1.49 | -4.16 | $\ldots$ | 22 | 20 | $\ldots$ | 27 | 23 | 27 | 21 |

TABLE 8
${ }^{16}$ OH First-Overtone Vibration Lines

| Line | $\begin{gathered} \sigma \\ \left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \chi \\ (\mathrm{eV}) \end{gathered}$ | $\log g f$ | $W_{\sigma}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \times 10^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | $\rho$ Per | HR 1105 | $\mu \mathrm{Gem}$ | $\alpha \mathrm{Vul}$ | HR 8714 |
| 2-0 Band |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $P_{1}^{+} 21.5 \ldots \ldots$ | 5680.60 | 1.01 | $-4.90$ | 88 | 73 | 83 | 68 | 94 |
| $P_{1}^{-21.5 \ldots . . .}$ | 5683.44 | 1.01 | -4.90 | ... | 77 | 90 | 69 | 99 |
| 18.5..... | 5848.22 | 0.84 | -5.01 | 106 | 102 | 110 | 78 |  |
| $P_{2}^{+20.5 \ldots \ldots .}$ | 5682.68 | 1.02 | -4.94 | 91 | 83 | 89 | 76 | 100 |
| 19.5..... | 5767.44 | 0.93 | -4.97 | 113 | ... | 98 | 85 | 113 |
| 3-1 Band |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $P_{1}^{+} 15.5 \ldots \ldots$ | 5842.85 | 0.96 | -4.64 | 122 | 111 | 108 | $\ldots$ | 122 |
| $P_{1}^{-15.5 \ldots \ldots .}$ | 5844.75 | 0.96 | -4.64 | 128 | 93 | 105 | 89 | 121 |
| $P_{2}^{+} 16.5 \ldots \ldots$ | 5700.69 | 1.10 | -4.60 | 120 | $\ldots$ | 109 | 87 |  |
| $P_{2}^{-16.5 \ldots \ldots .}$ | 5702.30 | 1.10 | -4.60 | 117 | 91 | 104 | 77 | 106 |
| 14.5..... | 5847.59 | 0.96 | -4.69 | 109 | 97 | 112 | 89 | 116 |
| 4-2 Band |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5737.69 | 1.19 | -4.47 | 91 | 76 | 88 | 72 | 92 |
| $P_{1}^{1} 12.5 \ldots \ldots$ | 5736.26 | 1.19 | -4.47 | 97 | 78 | 74 | 68 | 97 |
| $P_{2}^{+} 10.5 \ldots \ldots$ | 5801.94 | 1.15 | -4.59 | 101 | 92 | 106 | 81 | 102 |
| 9.5.... | 5863.48 | 1.10 | -4.65 | 103 | 93 | 100 | 84 | 127 |
| $P_{2}^{-10.5 \ldots \ldots .}$ | 5803.79 | 1.15 | -4.59 | $\ldots$ | ... | 99 | 78 |  |
| 9.5..... | 5862.75 | 1.10 | -4.65 | 105 |  | 98 | 89 | 127 |
| 5-3 Band |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $P_{1}^{+} 7.5 \ldots \ldots$ | 5704.35 | 1.38 | -4.56 | 77 | 56 | 64 | 49 | 62 |
| 6.5..... | 5752.78 | 1.35 | -4.65 | 76 | 59 | 63 | 46 | 75 |
| $4.5 \ldots \ldots$ | 5840.04 | 1.31 | -4.86 | 74 | 63 | 53 | 40 | 79 |
| $P_{1}^{-7.5 \ldots . .}$ | 5705.01 | 1.38 | -4.56 | 84 | ... | 74 | ... | ... |
| 6.5..... | 5752.15 | 1.35 | -4.65 | 83 | 67 | 66 | 53 | 77 |
| $P_{2}^{-6.5} \ldots \ldots$ | 5714.06 | 1.39 | -4.65 | 76 | ... | 84 | 61 | ... |

tion lines, renders the $4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ spectrum unusable. Fortunately, the spectrophotometry extends down to $2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and shows quite clearly that the excess begins near $3.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ while the $2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ region in RS Cnc is apparently unaffected.

A limitation on the ${ }^{16} \mathrm{OH}(\Delta v=1) V-R$ lines was noticed in our analysis. Lines from the (1-0) and (2-1) bands gave much higher ${ }^{16} \mathrm{O}$ abundances (up to 0.6 dex) than the (3-2) or (4-3) lines and the lines from the $\Delta v=2$ transitions. Although the (3-2) and (4-3) lines are weaker than those from the (1-0) and (2-1) bands, the discrepancy cannot be attributable solely to saturation effects because some of the $\Delta v=2$ lines are as strong as the discrepant $1-0$ and $2-1$ lines. We suspect that the cores of the strong $1-0$ and $2-1$ lines are formed in the outermost layers of the atmosphere where structure cannot be predicted satisfactorily. A similar effect was noticed by Lambert et al. (1984) in their analysis of $\alpha$ Ori. Here, we restrict our analysis to the (3-2) and (4-3) bands, and the first overtone $V-R$ lines of OH .

The combination of CO and OH allows a determination of both C and O abundances. For these oxygen-rich stars, the CO density is set almost entirely by the C abundance. The formation of OH is set by the abundance difference $(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C})$. In Figure 4 is shown a determination of the C and O abundances for the S star HR 1105. Two loci are plotted; one shows the carbon abundance derived from the ${ }^{12} \mathrm{CO}$ (and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{CO}$ ) lines as a function of an assumed oxygen abundance. The other loci shows the oxygen abundance derived from OH lines as a function of the assumed total carbon abundance. The intersection of these two loci provides the C and O abundances. For

HR 1105 we see that $\log \epsilon(\mathrm{C}) \approx 8.75$ and $\log \epsilon(\mathrm{O}) \approx 8.92$, indicating a slight increase in $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{O}$ over the solar ratio.

Nitrogen abundances were derived from the two N -bearing molecules CN and NH. The lines from CN (Table 9) are the same ones as in Paper I. The NH transitions and equivalent widths are shown in Table 10. Each feature is a triplet. Our NH lines were included in the $\alpha$ Ori analysis by Lambert et al. (1984). For each of the unresolved triplets (see Fig. 2), we predicted equivalent widths from a spectrum synthesis using accurate frequency splittings provided by Bernath (1985). We adopt


FIg. 4.-Abundance solutions for CO and OH for the S star HR 1105. The solar C and O abundance is indicated.

TABLE 9
$2 \mu \mathrm{~m}{ }^{12} \mathrm{C}^{14} \mathrm{~N}$ Red System Lines

| Line | $\begin{gathered} \sigma \\ \left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \chi \\ (\mathrm{eV}) \end{gathered}$ | $\log g f$ | $W_{\sigma}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \times 10^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | HR 1105 | HR 2508 | RS Cnc | 44 Leo | $\omega$ Vir | 10 Dra | $\alpha \mathrm{Vul}$ | HR 7442 | HR 8062 | HR 8714 |
| 0-2 Band |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $P_{1} 47 \ldots \ldots$. | 4558.98 | 1.02 | -2.27 | 23 | 15 | 20 | 8 | 17 | 9 | 12 | 14 | 18 | 30 |
| $P_{2} 24 \ldots \ldots$. | 4586.63 | 1.00 | -2.29 | 26 | 16 | 27 | 9 | 15 | 14 | 12 | 14 | 25 | 35 |
| $Q_{1} 57 \ldots \ldots$ | 4563.90 | 1.26 | -1.70 | 26 | 18 | ... | 13 | 21 | 12 | ... | 13 | 25 | $\ldots$ |
| 1-3 Band |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $Q_{2} 44 \ldots \ldots$. | 4567.15 | 1.20 | -1.69 | 32 | 25 | 27 | 14 | 22 | 22 | 14 | 17 | 26 | 36 |
| $Q_{2} 45 \ldots \ldots$ | 4553.77 | 1.22 | -1.68 | 29 | 25 | 26 | 13 | 22 | 20 | 13 | 18 | 27 | 35 |
| 2-4 Band |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $Q_{1} 19 \ldots \ldots$ | 4587.28 | 1.08 | -1.90 | $\ldots$ | 24 | $\ldots$ | 26 | 21 | 21 | 13 | 19 | $\ldots$ |  |
| $Q_{1} 23 \ldots \ldots$. | 4563.16 | 1.12 | -1.81 | 26 | 22 | 26 | 14 | 20 | 14 | 16 | 15 | 25 | 34 |
| $Q_{2} 26 \ldots \ldots$. | 4558.03 | 1.15 | -1.77 | 26 | 21 | 24 | 14 | 21 | 17 | $\ldots$ | 15 | 22 | 33 |
| $R_{2} 39 \ldots \ldots$. | 4560.60 | 1.34 | -1.86 | 23 | 15 | 18 | 7 | 17 | 12 | 9 | 10 | 18 | 19 |

the $g f$-values used by Lambert et al. (1984). The advantage of NH over CN is, of course, the independence of the nitrogen abundances derived using NH from the carbon abundance.

The results of our LTE analysis are presented in Table 11. This is a sister table to Table 5 of Paper I. The C, N, and O abundances are presented on an absolute scale. The atomic abundances are given relative to the standard star, $\alpha$ Tau, in standard spectroscopic bracket notation:

$$
[\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{H}]=\log _{10}(\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{H})_{\text {program star }}-\log _{10}(\mathrm{X} / \mathrm{H})_{\text {standard star }}
$$

Quoted uncertainties for some entries are standard deviations in the derived abundances from the sample of lines used in the analysis (obviously no such quantity can be defined for abundances based upon single lines). Sensitivities to various model atmosphere parameters ( $T_{\text {eff }}, \log g, \xi$ ) for each species were given in Table 4 of Paper I and are not repeated here.

An examination of Table 11 reveals a curious feature involving the $s$-process elements ( $\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{Zr}, \mathrm{Ba}$, and Nd ; Sr -abundances should be viewed with caution because of systematic trends in the $\mathrm{Sr}_{\text {II }}$ line discussed in § IV) in the MS stars. Not all MS stars show measurable enhancement of the $s$-process elements. In fact, of the six MS stars included here, only two (RS Cnc and HR 8062) exhibit distinct enhancements of [ $s$-process $/ \mathrm{Fe}$ ]. It is possible that our analyses are insufficiently sensitive to
detect very slight ([s-process $/ \mathrm{Fe}] \lesssim+0.2$ ) enhancements. A review of Yamashita's (1967) comments concerning the four, apparently normal, MS stars (HR 2508, 44 Leo, 10 Dra, and HR 7442) is enlightening. In three of the stars (44 Leo, 10 Dra , and HR 7442), the $\lambda 4554 \mathrm{Ba}$ II line is listed as only " slightly enhanced" while in 44 Leo and $10 \mathrm{Dra}, \mathrm{ZrO}$ is also only "slightly enhanced." As the classification system depends upon a comparison to a standard star, very slight differences due to temperature, luminosity, chemical composition, or microturbulence could lead to small differences in the visual appearance of the spectrum, i.e., small changes in a very strong line such as Ba II or the faint appearance of ZrO . Zirconium oxide is definitely enhanced in HR 7442 but so are VO, ScO, and YO. Perhaps the temperature classification of HR 7442 should be lowered. The Ba II line is listed as "greatly enhanced" in HR 2508, with ZrO and YO enhanced slightly. A reexamination of Table 2 reveals that HR 2508 has a microturbulent velocity almost $1 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ larger than the typical giant; hence, the presence of an "enhanced" strong line is not surprising. Indeed, a visual inspection of the high-resolution spectrum reveals the extreme microturbulent velocity. The weaker lines have equivalent widths that are comparable to other M giants of similar temperature; however, the stronger lines of all species are noticeably enhanced in HR 2508. In order to be

TABLE 10
${ }^{14}$ NH Fundamental Vibration-Rotation Lines

| Line | $\begin{gathered} \sigma \\ \left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(\mathrm{eV})}{\chi}$ | $\log g f$ |  |  | $W_{\sigma}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) \times 10^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $P_{1}$ | $P_{2}$ | $P_{3}$ | $\rho$ Per | HR 1105 | $\mu \mathrm{Gem}$ | HR 2508 | $\omega$ Vir | 10 Dra | HR 7442 | HR 8062 |
| 1-0 Band |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P15...... | 2522.8 | 0.47 | -4.89 | -4.91 | -4.95 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 10 | 12 | 10 | .. | 11 |
| P13..... | 2615.0 | 0.36 | -4.85 | -4.88 | -4.92 | 8 | 13 | 9 | 9 | 16 | 14 | 15 | 14 |
| P12..... | 2659.9 | 0.31 | -4.84 | -4.87 | -4.91 | 16 | 13 | 11 | 15 | 23 | 12 | 17 | 11 |
| 2-1 Band |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| P12..... | 2519.1 | 0.69 | -4.34 | -4.37 | -4.41 | 9 | $\ldots$ | 11 | 12 | 10 | 8 | 18 | 15 |
| P10..... | 2604.0 | 0.60 | -4.34 | -4.38 | -4.43 | 13 | 15 | 13 | 18 | 12 | 14 | 15 | 18 |
| P9..... | 2645.3 | 0.56 | -4.34 | -4.38 | -4.44 | 16 | 18 | 16 | 20 | 23 | 17 | 15 | 16 |
| P8...... | 2685.5 | 0.53 | -4.35 | -4.39 | -4.46 | 20 | 16 | 16 | 19 | 24 | 14 | 20 | 18 |

TABLE 11

| Element | $\rho$ Per | HR 1105 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\mu \mathrm{Gem}$ | HR $2508{ }^{\text {b }}$ | RS Cnc ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $44 \mathrm{Leo}^{\text {b }}$ | $\omega$ Vir | $10 \mathrm{Dra}^{\text {b }}$ | $\alpha \mathrm{Vul}$ | HR 7442 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | HR 8062 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | HR 8714 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ | $8.48 \pm 0.05$ | $8.73 \pm 0.10$ | $8.49 \pm 0.07$ | $8.28 \pm 0.11$ | $8.60 \pm 0.09$ |  | $8.49 \pm 009$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C} /{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$. | 16 | $3.73 \pm 0.10$ | 15.45 | 18 | 35 | $12 \pm 0.07$ | $8.49 \pm 009$ 16 | $\begin{aligned} & 8.51 \pm 0.07 \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ | $8.52 \pm 0.08$ | $8.41 \pm 0.05$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.70 \pm 0.09 \\ 46 \end{gathered}$ | $8.83 \pm 0.04$ |
| ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}$.... | $8.33 \pm 0.18$ | $8.40 \pm 0.11$ | $8.32 \pm 0.08$ | $8.54 \pm 0.10$ | $8.70 \pm 0.13$ | $8.38 \pm 0.08$ | $8.60 \pm 0.12$ | $8.45 \pm 0.15$ | $8.31 \pm 0.09$ | $8.44 \pm 0.17$ | $8.44 \pm 0.16$ |  |
| ${ }^{16} \mathrm{O}$ | $8.93 \pm 0.15$ | $8.92 \pm 0.05$ | $8.85 \pm 0.12$ | $8.80 \pm 0.06$ |  |  | $8.81 \pm 0.06$ | $9.00 \pm 0.09$ | $8.97 \pm 0.06$ | $8.94 \pm 0.12$ | $8.44 \pm 0.16$ 8.84 | $8.61 \pm 0.17$ $9.02 \pm 0.04$ |
| $[\mathrm{Ti} / \mathrm{H}]^{\text {c }}$ $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]$ | $-0.08 \pm 0.14$ | $+0.01 \pm 0.19$ | $+0.15 \pm 0.14$ | $+0.04 \pm 0.24$ | $-0.25 \pm 0.11$ | $-0.08 \pm 0.15$ | $-0.00 \pm 0.20$ | $+0.23 \pm 0.25$ | $-0.17 \pm 0.08$ | $+0.06 \pm 0.15$ | $+0.12 \pm 0.14$ | $9.02 \pm 0.04$ $+0.01 \pm 0.26$ |
| $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]$ $[\mathrm{Ni} / \mathrm{H}]$ | $+0.05 \pm 0.17$ $-0.27 \pm 0.12$ | $-0.01 \pm 0.16$ $-0.20 \pm 0.14$ | $+0.11 \pm 0.14$ $-0.05 \pm 0.10$ | $+0.17 \pm 0.19$ $+0.10 \pm 0.16$ | $+0.09 \pm 0.07$ | $+0.11 \pm 0.14$ | $+0.12 \pm 0.24$ | $-0.04 \pm 0.20$ | $-0.18 \pm 0.10$ | $+0.12 \pm 0.20$ | $+0.16 \pm 0.11$ | $-0.02 \pm 0.19$ |
| [Sr/H] | $+0.26$ | +1.16 | -0.05 +0.32 | $+0.10 \pm 0$ +0.95 | +1.13 | $+0.20$ | $-0.10 \pm 0.22$ +0.39 | $-0.17 \pm 0.16$ +0.58 | $-0.14 \pm 0.14^{\text {c }}$ | $-0.05 \pm 0.21$ +0.80 | $-0.10 \pm 0.14$ | $-0.21 \pm 0.08$ |
| [Y/H] ... | -0.32 | +0.80 | -0.12 | +0.25 | +0.64 | +0.05 | +0.00 | +0.28 | -0.23 | +0.80 -0.09 | +1.13 +0.43 | $+0.45$ |
| [ $\mathrm{Zr} / \mathrm{H}] .$. | $-0.27 \pm 0.14$ | $+0.64 \pm 0.11$ | $-0.06 \pm 0.20$ | $-0.07 \pm 0.08$ | $+0.65 \pm 0.22$ | $-0.06 \pm 0.11$ | $-0.14 \pm 0.17$ | $-0.21 \pm 0.17$ | $-0.28 \pm 0.07$ | -0.09 $-0.05 \pm 0.18$ | $\begin{gathered} +0.43 \\ +0.38 \pm 0.15 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} +0.45 \\ +0.36 \pm 0.10 \end{gathered}$ |
| [ $\mathrm{Ba} / \mathrm{H}] \ldots$. | $-0.10$ | +0.61 | +0.05 |  | $+0.35$ | $+0.06$ | $-0.23$ | $-0.11$ | +0.02 | $-0.07$ | $+0.10$ | $\begin{gathered} +0.36 \pm 0.10 \\ +0.20 \end{gathered}$ |
| [ $\mathrm{Nd} / \mathrm{H}$ ] $\ldots$. | +0.14 | +0.68 | $+0.21$ | $+0.22$ | $+0.57$ | +0.24 | $-0.01$ | -0.01 | +0.08 | +0.15 | +0.10 +0.36 | +0.20 +0.37 |
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certain that one is detecting true differences in stars, variations in properties of the stellar atmosphere must be considered over and above those considered in spectral classification.

Yamashita (1967) lists 11 stars as type MS in his magnitudelimited sample of M, MS, and S stars. With the three stars from Paper I and the six in this study, we have analyzed nine of Yamashita's candidates. Out of these nine, we find that four show no definite evidence of $s$-process enhancement. In addition, some data are available for the other two stars out of the 11, ST Her and HR 2967. The observations of ST Her reveal an extremely crowded spectrum with broad, blended lines and an apparent weakening of the line depths suggestive of a veil of emission filling in the features. Although no quantitative analysis was attempted on this star, the $\mathrm{Zr}_{\mathrm{I}}$, Y II, and Nd II lines are observed to be enhanced relative to the Fe-peak lines suggesting that ST Her belongs to (i.e., it probably shows enhancements of the s-process elements) the MS-star group. McDonald near-IR spectra are available for HR 2967, but no FTS spectra are available; thus it has not been included in our sample. An examination of its spectrum shows normal $s$ process lines, hence, it probably does not show enhancements of the heavy elements. The net break-down of Yamashita's MS stars is that six out of 11 shows evidence of the $s$-process and five do not. Although we are in no position to provide spectral classifications, we shall, for the remainder of the paper, label only those stars with definite $s$-process enhancements as MS, and consider the four other stars (HR 2508, 44 Leo, 10 Dra, and HR 7442) to be normal M giants (this relabeling is supported by the $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{N}$, and O abundances to be discussed in $\S$ IV). In addition, we find that the extreme ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$-abundances found for HR 363 (Paper I) are more typical of the S-stars, HR 1105 and HR 8714, so we consider it to be of type S rather than MS (this reclassification is also suggested by the very large ${ }^{16} \mathrm{O} /{ }^{17} \mathrm{O}$ and ${ }^{16} \mathrm{O} /{ }^{18} \mathrm{O}$ ratios found in these three stars by Harris, Lambert, and Smith 1985). In summary, we find seven stars that show definite heavy-element excesses and we subdivide these as MS (RS Cnc and HR 8062 with $o^{1}$ Ori and HR 6702 from Paper I) and S (HR 1105 and HR 8714 with HR 363 from Paper I).

Spectra at $4250 \AA$ were obtained for six out of the seven MS/S stars, the exception being RS Cnc for which a spectrum is available in the literature (Sanner 1978). Three M giants were also observed: $\rho$ Per plus $\beta$ Peg and 30 Her from Paper I. Since this region is extremely crowded with lines, a spectrum synthesis was deemed appropriate. Of the three Tc i lines, the $4262.24 \AA$ is the least blended. Garstang (1981) has published theoretical $g f$-values for transitions arising from the $a^{6} S$ ground state of Tc 1 , and we adopt his value of $g f=0.59$ for the $4262 \AA$ line. The $4238.19 \AA$ Tc I line is deep within the wing of the Ca I resonance line ( $4226.173 \AA$ ), and the $4297.06 \AA$ line is blended with a strong Cr I line. In spite of the blending, these Tc I lines are obviously present in those spectra in which the $4262 \AA$ line is present. The region from $4253-4265 \AA$ was synthesized. The atomic lines in this region were measured in the Sun and solar $g f$-values computed. Additional atomic lines not visible in the solar spectrum were included from the compilation of Kurucz and Peytremann (1975). This region also contains CH whose wavelengths, $g f$-values, and excitation potentials were provided by Brown (1985).

In a similar analysis of Tc I lines in Mira variables, Dominy and Wallerstein (1986) point out that for ${ }^{99} \mathrm{Tc}$, with a nuclear spin of $I=9 / 2$, lines will be split into several hyperfine (hfs) components. The hfs structure of the $4262 \AA$ line has been
measured (Wendlandt, Bauche, and Luc 1977). We assigned relative intensities according to the scheme of $L S$ coupling (here, more appropriately, JI coupling)-see White (1934). It is important to take account of the hfs of the Tc I lines; for an MS or $S$ star with a technetium abundance equal to what might be expected from a recent $s$-process dredge-up, neglect of hfs in the $4262 \AA$ line leads to an overestimate of the abundance by $0.5-0.7$ dex.

The observations of the $\mathrm{MgH} Q_{1}(22)$ and $R_{1}(10)$ lines of the $(0,0)$ band of the $A^{2} \Pi-X^{2} \Sigma$ system near $5140 \AA$ were used to estimate Mg -isotopic abundances in two normal M giants, $\beta$ Peg and $\rho$ Per, and three stars showing enhanced $s$-process abundances, HR 363, $o^{1}$ Ori and HR 8062. These two lines are relatively unblended; the $(1-1) R_{2}(4)$ line is blended with the $R_{1}(10)$ line. The spectral region from 5138-5141 $\AA$, which covers both MgH lines, was synthesized with atomic lines included from the Sun (with solar $g f$-values) and the Kurucz and Peytremann (1975) listing. Wavelengths, $g f$-values, and excitation potentials for the MgH lines were taken from Lambert and McWilliam (1986). Direct comparison of MgH profiles in M, MS, and S stars suggests that ${ }^{25} \mathrm{Mg}$ and ${ }^{26} \mathrm{Mg}$ are not enhanced in the $s$-process enriched stars (see Clegg, Lambert, and Bell 1980).

## Iv. DISCUSSION

## a) Introduction

In this section we discuss the results obtained from the entire sample of late-type giants (both Paper I and this study) in the following order: (1) the Fe-peak elements, (2) the C, N, and O abundances, (3) the $s$-process elements, (4) technetium as an age indicator, and (5) the magnesium isotopic ratios as a signature of the neutron source ${ }^{22} \mathrm{Ne}(\alpha, n)^{25} \mathrm{Mg}$.

## b) The Iron Peak

Pooling the results from Table 5 in Paper I and Table 11 from this study, we present abundances for $\mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{Ni}$, and Ti in Figure 5. As non-LTE effects in low-excitation, neutral lines are seen in late-type giants (Ruland et al. 1980; Brown, Tomkin, and Lambert 1983; Tomkin and Lambert 1983), we examine our abundances as a function of $T_{\text {eff }}$. No trend is seen for $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]$ as a function of $T_{\text {eff }}$. The stars are all members of the disk population and the spread from $-0.2 \lesssim[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}] \lesssim+0.2$ is a result of uncertainties in the observations and analyses plus real differences in $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]$. Our standard star, $\alpha$ Tau, is set to $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]=0.0$ and has $T_{\text {eff }}=$ 3850 K which is indicated by the cross in Figure 5. The Felines used here arise from fairly high excitation ( $\chi \approx 4-5 \mathrm{eV}$ ) moderately weak Fe i lines. The non-LTE effects seen in red giants tend to appear for low-excitation neutral species, and apparently the high-excitation Fe I lines in our study are, to a good approximation, immune to such trends down to temperatures just over 600 K cooler than $\alpha$ Tau.

The noncorrelation of $[\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]$ with $T_{\text {eff }}$ provides comforting evidence that the near-IR regions selected for analysis are unaffected by severe TiO blanketing of the continuum. The TiO bands increase dramatically in strength toward lower temperatures and, if such blanketing were masking the continuum, the derived Fe -abundances would decrease with decreasing temperatures. The high-resolution analysis confirms what is apparent from spectrophotometry; namely, that for non-Mira variables down to types as late as M6, there exist near-IR " windows" relatively free of molecular absorption.


Fig. 5.-Iron-peak abundances, relative to $\alpha$ Tau, as functions of effective temperature for the 12 stars in this paper and nine stars from Paper I.

For both $[\mathrm{Ni} / \mathrm{Fe}]$ and $[\mathrm{Ti} / \mathrm{Fe}]$, a similar trend with $T_{\text {eff }}$ is evident: $[\mathrm{el} / \mathrm{Fe}]$ decreases with decreasing temperature reaching $[\mathrm{el} / \mathrm{Fe}] \approx-0.3$ dex near $T_{\text {eff }}=3200 \mathrm{~K}$. An examination of Table 3 (Table 2 in Paper I) reveals that the Ni i and Ti i lines arise from levels of lower excitation energy $(\chi=3.3 \mathrm{eV}$ for Ni I and $\chi=2.0 \mathrm{eV}$ for Ti I) and the Ni I lines are typically quite strong. Lower excitation energies and increased line strengths result in the line contribution function being shifted to higher layers of the atmosphere. As discussed by Ruland et al. (1980), the assumption of LTE is most likely to break down in the higher layers of a giant's atmosphere where densities become low, hence collisional coupling weakens, and overionization, resulting from the radiation field from deeper layers, becomes important.

## c) Carbon, Nitrogen, and Oxygen

The vibronic levels of the electronic molecular ground states should be in near LTE (Thompson 1973; Hinkle and Lambert 1975) for these stars, with only small departures expected for the CN red-system lines (Lambert et al. 1984). Examination of the $[\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{H}]$ abundances in Table 11 (Table 5 in Paper I) reveals no trend with $T_{\text {eff }}$ even though OH is the most temperature sensitive molecule used in the study. Oxygen is useful in this test as its abundance is not predicted to change during a star's first ascent of the giant branch, whereas carbon and nitrogen will be altered depending upon the extent of the first dredgeup.

Analyses of both NH and CN provide two sets of nitrogen abundances, and a comparison is made in Table 12 for the six stars for which both $2.2 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and $4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ spectra exist. The agreement between CN and NH is excellent, and the uncertainties quoted are the internal standard deviations derived from the line-to-line scatter. Lambert et al. (1984) found that the N abundance in $\alpha$ Ori from CN lines was 0.25 dex less than that given by NH . The difference $\log \epsilon(\mathrm{N})_{\mathrm{CN}}-\log \epsilon(\mathrm{N})_{\mathrm{NH}}$ in Table 12 ranges from -0.13 to +0.08 dex with a mean value of -0.03 dex. Since the CN dissociation energy is an apparent source of a systematic error, we are tempted to conclude that our adopted value $\left[D_{0}^{0}(\mathrm{CN})=7.60 \mathrm{eV}\right]$ cannot be seriously in error; for example, the value $D_{0}^{0}(\mathrm{CN})=7.93 \mathrm{eV}$ claimed by Colket (1984) would reduce the CN -based N abundances by $\sim 0.5$ dex. While our adopted dissociation energy $D_{0}^{0}(\mathrm{NH})=$ 3.46 eV has been challenged recently, the range suggested by Hofzumahaus and Stuhl (1985), $3.29<D_{0}^{0}(\mathrm{NH})<3.46 \mathrm{eV}$, would result in higher N abundances from NH lines and so increase the N abundance difference from CN and NH lines.

Quantities related to the $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{N}$, and O abundances are listed in Table 13 (Table 10 in Paper I) and histograms compiled from all 22 stars (including $\alpha$ Tau; although it is technically a K5 III, its C, N, and O abundances are typical of the M stars) are presented in Figure 6. Figure 6 shows that, in the sample as a whole, the ${ }^{16} \mathrm{O}$ abundance scatters about $\left[{ }^{16} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{Fe}\right]=0.0$; as theory predicts, the dredge-up on the first and second ascents of the giant branch have not altered the oxygen abundance. The S stars may possibly be slightly enriched in oxygen. However, we should note that the abundances adopted in the construction of the model atmospheres and those derived in this analysis are not self-consistent. When atmospheres constructed for a carbon-rich mixture typical of $S$ stars are used, their O abundance is decreased by $0.05-0.10$ dex such that $[\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{Fe}]$ for the S stars is brought closer to the value provided by the $M$ stars. A set of model atmospheres with different $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{O}$ ratios over a wide range of temperature and gravity is not available in the literature. A set of models with the $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{O}$ ratio in the range $0.6 \leq \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{O} \leq 1.0$ for a representative temperature and gravity ( $T_{\text {eff }}=3500 \mathrm{~K}, \log g=1.0$ ) was kindly provided by Dr. Hollis Johnson and his group at Indiana and was used to test the sensitivities of molecular species to the $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{O}$ ratio.

The other molecules analyzed, $\mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{CN}$, and NH , are much less sensitive to the $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{O}$ ratio of the model atmosphere. Varying the C/O ratio of a a sample model atmosphere in the same manner as was done for OH led to the following maximum differences in derived abundances (for typical lines of each molecule) of 0.03 in C from $\mathrm{CO}, 0.01$ in N from CN , and 0.04 in N from NH . Such differences are not significant.

The molecular equilibrium of OH is naturally sensitive to the $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{O}$ ratio due to the fact that, since CO is such a tightly

TABLE 12
Nitrogen Abundances from CN and NH

| Star | $\log \epsilon\left({ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}\right)$ Derived From |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CN | NH |
| HR 1105 | $8.35 \pm 0.12$ | $8.44 \pm 0.10$ |
| HR 2508 | $8.48 \pm 0.07$ | $8.61 \pm 0.14$ |
| $\omega$ Vir | $8.58 \pm 0.10$ | $8.63 \pm 0.21$ |
| 10 Dra | $8.49 \pm 0.14$ | $8.41 \pm 0.19$ |
| HR 7442 | $8.40 \pm 0.12$ | $8.48 \pm 0.21$ |
| HR 8062 | $8.48 \pm 0.12$ | $8.39 \pm 0.20$ |

TABLE 13
C, N, and O Abundances

| Star | [ $\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{H}]^{\text {a }}$ | $\left[{ }^{12} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{Fe}\right]$ | [ $\left.{ }^{14} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{Fe}\right]$ | [ ${ }^{16} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{Fe}$ ] | $[\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{N}+\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{Fe}]$ | C/O |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\rho$ Per | -0.08 | -0.11 | +0.42 | +0.05 | +0.05 | 0.38 |
| HR 1105 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | -0.09 | +0.15 | $+0.50$ | +0.05 | +0.13 | 0.66 |
| $\mu$ Gem | +0.04 | -0.22 | +0.29 | -0.09 | -0.08 | 0.47 |
| HR 2508 | +0.14 | -0.53 | +0.41 | -0.19 | -0.17 | 0.32 |
| RS Cnc ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | -0.08 | +0.01 | +0.79 | ... | ... | ... |
| 44 Leo . | $+0.05$ | -0.28 | +0.34 |  |  |  |
| $\omega$ Vir | +0.02 | -0.20 | $+0.59$ | -0.12 | -0.03 | 0.51 |
| 10 Dra | -0.10 | -0.06 | $+0.56$ | +0.13 | +0.13 | 0.36 |
| $\alpha$ Vul ... | -0.16 | +0.01 | +0.48 | +0.13 | +0.14 | 0.41 |
| HR 7442 | +0.04 | -0.30 | +0.41 | $+0.00$ | -0.02 | 0.31 |
| HR $8062^{\text {b }}$ | +0.05 | $-0.02$ | +0.40 | -0.11 | $-0.01$ | 0.74 |
| HR $8714^{\text {b }}$ | -0.10 | +0.26 | +0.72 | +0.15 | $+0.25$ | 0.66 |

[^1]bound molecule, OH samples the $(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C})$ abundance. As $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{O}$ increases, there will be less available oxygen and near a $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{O}$ ratio of unity, the $(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C})$, or $\mathrm{O} \times(1-\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{O})$, will become small with the result that small changes in molecular equilibrium (such as slightly different temperature stratifications in models of different $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{O}$ ratios) will affect the formation of OH while not significantly altering the formation of more abundant species. Thus, it is useful to compare the derived $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{O}$ ( $\mathrm{C}={ }^{12} \mathrm{C}+{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ ) ratios listed in Table 13 (Table 10 in Paper I).


Fig. 6

As a sample, the $M$ stars have a mean $C / O=0.45$, while the three MS stars (minus RS Cnc for which no O abundance is available) have $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{O}=0.64$, and the three S stars also have $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{O}=0.64$. However, as discussed above, the oxygen abundances in the S stars are artificially high by a small amount due to the use of model atmospheres with solar $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{O}$ ratios. If the O abundances in the S stars are reduced by 0.1 dex, the resultant mean S-star ratio would be $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{O}=0.81$. This indicates a real increase in $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{O}$ from M to MS to S .

In the M giants, ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ has been depleted and ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}$ enhanced (i.e., $[\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{Fe}]>0.0$ ) as is expected during the first dredge-up. The three $S$ stars stand out in terms of their ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ abundances. For the M and MS stars the mean carbon 12 abundance is $\left[{ }^{12} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{Fe}\right]=-0.16$ (i.e., $[\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{Fe}]<0.0$ ), while for the three S stars, it is $\left[{ }^{12} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{Fe}\right]=+0.20$. A comparison of the ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}$ abundance is shown in Figure 7. The solid curve depicts the result of adding material that has experienced the CN cycle


Fig. 7

Fig. 6.-Frequency distribution for the CNO abundances in the 12 stars in this paper and 10 stars from Paper I ( $\alpha$ Tau is included with the M stars).
FIG. 7.-The ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}$ abundances for the 12 stars in this study and 10 stars from Paper I. Solid line depicts an initial solar ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}$ abundance where ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ is then converted to ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}$ (such as expected from the CN cycle). The error bar represents a typical uncertainty in the $\left[{ }^{12} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{Fe}\right]$ and $\left[{ }^{14} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{Fe}\right]$ abundances.
(where ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ is converted into ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}$ ) to an initial solar abundance of ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}$. An error bar representative of the typical uncertainty in the carbon and nitrogen abundances is shown. The $M$ giants scatter about the curve which represents an atmosphere polluted by CN -processed material. The MS stars lie to the ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$-rich side of the distribution represented by the M giants while the S stars are distinguished by their ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ abundance. In Figure 8, ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ abundances are compared to the ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C} /{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ ratios. The M giants form a somewhat tight grouping of points with a mean ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C} /{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}=13$. The MS stars show on average larger ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C} /{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ ratios (mean ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C} /{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}=30$ ), with the S star ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C} /{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ ratios being larger still (mean ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C} /{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}=38$ ). As Figure 8 shows, addition of pure ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ to the envelope of an M giant converts the ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C} /{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ ratio to that of the MS and S stars. A naive interpretation of Figures 7 and 8 would be that the $S$ stars are created from M giants through the addition of ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ (from a ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$ burning region). The position of the MS stars in Figure 7 is consistent with the idea that they are less extreme S stars. As noted in Paper I, the C, N, O abundances and ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C} /{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ ratios of M giants match those of the G and K giants.

Two stars, RS Cnc and HR 8714, stand out in terms of their very large nitrogen abundances. For intermediate mass stars ( $M \approx 4-9 M_{\odot}$ ), a so-called second dredge-up may occur (Becker and Iben 1979) in which the very deep stellar convective envelope sweeps up a large amount of matter where hydrogen has been converted to helium and almost all ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ and ${ }^{16} \mathrm{O}$ have been converted to ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}$. Such stars are predicted to exhibit very large overabundances of ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}$, such that the nitrogen abundance might rival the oxygen abundance. These two stars may represent stars now on the AGB which experienced a second dredge-up earlier in their evolution.

If we assume that the MS and S stars are created from M giants by dredge-up of ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ following thermal pulses, our abundances require that the ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ abundance in the convective envelope of an M giant must approximately double. A $1 M_{\odot}$ star about to begin thermal pulses has a convective envelope mass of about $M_{\mathrm{CE}} \approx 0.4 M_{\odot}$ (Iben 1983) which, when taken with the mean ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ abundance of $\log \epsilon=8.5$ for the M giants, leads to $3.0 \times 10^{-3} M_{\odot}$ of ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ in the convective envelope. As Figures 7 and 8 show, the addition of this much more ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ would convert the star to a typical MS-S star. Iben (1983)


Fig. 8.-Carbon 12 and ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C} /{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ ratios for the 12 stars in this study and 10 stars from Paper I. The typical uncertainties are illustrated by the error bar. The addition of pure ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ to the atmosphere of an M star would be represented by a line from lower left to upper right at an angle of $45^{\circ}$.


Fig. 9.-The observed trend of the $[\mathrm{Sr} / \mathrm{Fe}]$ abundance with estimated stellar luminosity (abundances are relative to $\alpha$ Tau). No trend exists for the [ $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{Fe}$ ] abundance.
predicts the amount, $M_{S}$, of ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$-rich material dredged up after each thermal pulse for a $1 M_{\odot}$ model: $M_{S}$ varies from $\sim 5 \times 10^{-4}$ to $10^{-3} M_{\odot}$. Thus, a few, say three to six such (theoretical) pulses would create the observed stars from M to MS or S.

We can also use Iben's (1983) predictions to estimate the amount of He -enrichment expected from the above picture. Typically each dredge-up of ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ will include $\sim 15$ times more ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$ by mass. Thus three to six such injections would increase the mass fraction of ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}, \mathrm{Y}$, from an initial value of 0.25 to $\sim 0.30-0.35$. This would result in an increase in number abundance from $\log \epsilon\left({ }^{4} \mathrm{He}\right)=10.80$ to $\sim 10.88-10.94$. Detecting this slight increase in a cool field giant would be a difficult observational prospect.

## d) The s-Process

If we segregate the seven stars which show very definite enhancements of the five heavy elements $(\mathrm{Sr}, \mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{Zr}, \mathrm{Ba}$, and Nd ) and the possibly slight $s$-process enriched M giant, 30 Her (Paper I), there remain 13 red giants. We shall first comment on the $s$-process abundances in these stars in which thermal pulses have not operated. The run of the $\mathrm{Zr}, \mathrm{Y}$, and Nd abundances with $T_{\text {eff }}$ reveals no significant trend; the mean values are $[\mathrm{Zr} / \mathrm{Fe}]=-0.06,[\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{Fe}]=-0.08$, and $[\mathrm{Nd} / \mathrm{Fe}]$ to +0.09 . A slight trend of $[\mathrm{Ba} / \mathrm{Fe}]$ is apparent with stars near $T_{\text {eff }}=3400-3500$ down by -0.35 dex relative to the stars with $T_{\text {eff }}=3700-3800 \mathrm{~K}$. The most striking trend is in the Sr abundances which are enhanced by up to $0.5-0.8$ dex in some stars which show no evidence of enhancements in the other $s$ process elements. Although the $[\mathrm{Sr} / \mathrm{Fe}]$ correlates somewhat with $T_{\text {eff }}$, a much stronger trend is found with the estimated stellar luminosity-see Figure 9 in which 11 stars (plus $\alpha$ Tau) are shown. Two stars ( $\beta$ And from Paper I and $\alpha$ Vul) were not observed in the $10,000 \AA$ region; thus Sr abundances are unavailable. For comparison, we also show in Figure 9 the yttrium abundances; $[\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{Fe}]$ is independent of luminosity. Yttrium and strontium are side by side in the periodic table, and the ratio of the $\mathrm{Sr} / \mathrm{Y}$ abundance produced by the $s$-process is not expected to vary by more than a factor of 2 over a wide
range of neutron exposures $\left(0.08 \leq \tau_{0} \leq 0.6 \mathrm{mbarn}^{-1}\right.$; see Cowley and Downs 1980).

Holweger and Kovács (1984) have identified a few K supergiants which apparently have s-process enhancements of Ba and Sr only. Such an abundance pattern may be the consequence of a very low neutron exposure ( $\tau \lesssim 0.04 \mathrm{mbarn}^{-1}$ ) in which the few available neutrons are captured primarily by odd and relatively abundant nuclei with large neutron-capture cross sections and converted into the magic nuclei ${ }^{88} \mathrm{Sr}$ and ${ }^{138} \mathrm{Ba}$ (Holweger and Kovács 1984). At these low neutron exposures, ${ }^{88} \mathrm{Sr}$ and ${ }^{138} \mathrm{Ba}$ act as bottlenecks to the $s$-process and very few other species are synthesized. A large fraction of the stellar envelope must be exposed to this weak s-process, and cycling a substantial part of a star's envelope through such a high temperature as the $s$-process site would be expected to have other observable consequences, such as altered $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{N}$, or O abundances and isotopic ratios, which are not seen in these normal M giants. In short, we discount the possibility that the Sr abundances are the result of $s$-processing.

We discount also the possibility that the Sr trend is traceable to the estimated luminosities, obtained from the Wilson-Bappu Effect, proper motions, or spectral type. An attempt to alter the luminosity, and through that some combination of $\log g$ and $T_{\text {eff }}$, would also change the other $s$-process and iron group abundances in generally the same sense; Sr would remain an anomaly.

The true culprits behind the high Sr abundances may be the model atmospheres and our assumption of LTE. Typically, the Sr II line was one of the strongest of the $s$-process lines used. The line-core forms in the outermost layers of the model atmosphere where such effects as overionization or scattering, or both, could act to increase the line-depth. Auman and

Woodrow (1975) show that overionization increases very rapidly with decreasing optical depth such that in the MS and S stars, the Sr in line may be formed too high in the atmosphere to be a reliable abundance indicator. The Y in line, on the other hand, is much weaker and forms in deeper layers where LTE is shown to be a better approximation (Auman and Woodrow 1975). Abundances derived from this strong Sr in line in very cool giants need to be checked carefully, and in some cases, rejected.

Abundance patterns for four stars are shown in Figure 10: $\mu$ Gem is a typical M giant, 44 Leo is one of the stars classified as MS by Yamashita (1967) but showing no significant $s$ process enhancement, while RS Cnc is a true MS star and HR 1105 an S star. As shown above, ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ is a distinguishing characteristic of true MS and S stars. Figure 11 shows that the $s$-process and ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ enhancements are well correlated: $[s / \mathrm{Fe}]$ in Figure 11 is defined as the mean of $[\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{Fe}]$ and $[\mathrm{Nd} / \mathrm{Fe}]$ because such ratios are reliably derived from weak, singly ionized lines and the pair represents both a "light" and a "heavy" s-process element. The correlation strongly suggests that the site of the s-process is on or near the ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$-burning shell in which the ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ is synthesized.

It is of interest to use the $s$-process enhancement to estimate the total amount of material that has been cycled through the ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$-burning layers and subsequently mixed into the convective envelope. By the time that the ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{Fe}$ ratio has been increased by a factor of 2 over that seen in the $M$ giants, the $s / \mathrm{Fe}$ ratio has increased by about a factor of 4 (about +0.6 dex). If we assume that the initial heavy-element abundance distribution was solar, a $1 M_{\odot}$ AGB star with a $0.4 M_{\odot}$ convective envelope (Iben 1983) would require the addition of $2.4 \times 10^{-8} M_{\odot}$ of pure Y and Nd to obtain a factor of 4


FIG. 10.-Abundances of the heavy elements in four red giants. Mu Gem is a normal M star, while 44 Leo is classified MS yet shows normal (within the errors) $s$-process abundances. Both RS Cnc (MS) and HR 1105 (S) show large enhancements of the $s$-process elements.


Fig. 11.-The abundance of the $s$-process elements and carbon 12 in the M , MS, and S stars. The $[\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{Fe}]$ abundances are relative to $\alpha$ Tau and are the average of the $[\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{Fe}]$ and $[\mathrm{Nd} / \mathrm{Fe}]$ abundances. All stars from this study and Paper I are included. Typical uncertainties are illustrated by the error bar.
enhancement. From the predicted abundances (Cowley and Downs 1980), which reproduce the observed abundance patterns quite well (see below), one can easily estimate that the amount of Fe exposed to neutron captures and synthesized into heavier elements is $\sim 2 \times 10^{-6} M_{\odot}$. For an initial solar abundance of $\mathrm{Fe}[(\log \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{Fe}) / \mathrm{N}(\mathrm{H})=-4.4]$, this corresponds to a total of $\sim 0.05 M_{\odot}$ of material exposed at the $s$-process site. The $s$-process is believed to occur in the convective shell between the carbon-oxygen core and hydrogen-rich shell, and an analytic expression for the mass contained in this shell, as a function of core mass, is given by Iben and Truran (1978). For our low-mass ( $1 M_{\odot}$ ) test star, a core mass of $\sim 0.6 M_{\odot}$ (Iben 1983) leads to a mass of $0.02 M_{\odot}$ contained in the convective shell during a pulse. Thus, to generate the observed $s$-process abundances would require two to three such pulses. This crude estimate is in quite reasonable agreement with our earlier estimate of three to six pulses based upon ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ abundances in S stars. The observed ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ and $s$-process abundances are in rough quantitative agreement with predictions based upon low-mass, third dredge-up models that have experienced a few to half-adozen thermal pulses.
The abundance pattern for the $s$-process elements can give information on the cumulative neutron exposure and the source of neutrons; a large neutron exposure will produce relatively more of the heavier $s$-process isotopes (i.e., more Nd and Ba relative to $\mathrm{Zr}, \mathrm{Y}$ and Sr ). The most likely sources of neutrons to drive the $s$-process are ${ }^{22} \mathrm{Ne}(\alpha, n)^{25} \mathrm{Mg}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(\alpha, n)^{16} \mathrm{O}$. The ${ }^{22} \mathrm{Ne}$ source requires a higher temperature ( $T \approx 3 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~K}$ ) than the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ source ( $T \approx 10^{8} \mathrm{~K}$ ) and has been associated with AGB evolution in intermediate mass ( $M \geqq 5 M_{\odot}$ ) stars (Iben 1975).
The tabulated $s$-process predictions from Cowley and Downs (1980) are used to obtain a fit to the observed abun-
dances, $N_{\text {el }}^{s}$, in the four MS and three S stars. The observed abundances are a mixture of initial envelope and $s$-processed material. A simple scheme for obtaining the true composition of the $s$-processed material is provided by Tomkin and Lambert (1983):

$$
N_{\mathrm{el}}^{s} \propto(y-1) N_{\mathrm{el}}^{s \mathrm{st}},
$$

where

$$
y=\left(N_{\mathrm{el}}^{\mathrm{AGB}} / \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{Fe}}^{\mathrm{AGB}}\right) /\left(N_{\mathrm{el}}^{\mathrm{std}} / N_{\mathrm{Fe}}^{\mathrm{std}}\right) \quad \text { with } \quad N_{\mathrm{el}}^{\mathrm{obj}},
$$

representing the number density of atoms of element "el" in the object "obj" (AGB = MS or S star and std $=\alpha$ Tau). The abundances from Table 11 provide $y$ since $\log y=[\mathrm{el} / \mathrm{Fe}]$, and we assume that the abundance distribution of the heavy elements in the standard star, $\alpha$ Tau, is the same as the Sun.

The tabulated predictions produced by an exponential distribution function of neutron exposures, $N(\tau) \propto \exp \left(-\tau / \tau_{0}\right)$, were used to fit observed abundances to a particular exposure parameter, $\tau_{0}$. This mixture of light and heavy exposures may simulate what occurs in real AGB stars where material could suffer a variety of exposures. Also, very good fits to $\tau_{0}$ distributions have been obtained for the $s$-process elements in barium stars (Tomkin and Lambert 1983; Smith 1984) and subgiant CH-stars (Krishnaswamy and Sneden 1985). Our selection of elements is limited, and we reject the Sr abundance based upon the Sr II line, which suffers from large systematic trends, and the Ba abundances from the very weak $\mathrm{Ba}_{\mathrm{I}}$ line which is subject to some blending. The remaining two light $s$-process elements ( Y and Zr ) and one heavy $s$-process element ( Nd ) are well suited for characterizing a neutron exposure. Both of the $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{Nd}$ and $\mathrm{Zr} / \mathrm{Nd}$ ratios change by over a factor of 100 between $\tau_{0}=0.1 \mathrm{mbarn}^{-1}$ and $\tau_{0}=0.6 \mathrm{mbarn}^{-1}$. Table 14 lists the derived values of $\tau_{0}$ which characterize the heavy-element distributions. The uncertainties in $\tau_{0}$ are typically $\pm 0.04 \mathrm{mbarn}^{-1}$. There is a significant spread in the values of $\tau_{0}$, ranging from quite low exposures in $o^{1}$ Ori and HR 6702 (both MS stars) to quite high exposures in HR 363 and HR 1105 (both S stars). For comparison, the bulk of the solarsystem $s$-process elements heavier than Sr are characterized by $\tau_{0}=0.26 \mathrm{mbarn}^{-1}$, while the majority of barium stars analyzed are in the range of $\tau_{0} \approx 0.4-0.6 \mathrm{mbarn}^{-1}$ (Tomkin and Lambert 1983; Smith 1984).

It is apparent that low values of $\tau_{0}$ are associated with lower abundances of ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ (Fig. 12). The three S stars stand out as having the largest neutron exposures as well as the most ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ in their atmospheres. We speculate that the trend of $\tau_{0}$ with $\left[{ }^{12} \mathrm{C} /\right.$ $\mathrm{Fe}]$ is caused by repeated thermal pulses followed by successive dredge-ups. Each episode of dredge-up will increase the ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ abundance. The increasing values of $\tau_{0}$ could be the result

TABLE 14
s-Process Quantities

| Star | $\tau_{0}\left(\right.$ mbarn $\left.^{-1}\right)$ | Tc? | $\log \epsilon(\mathrm{Tc})$ | $\log [\epsilon(\mathrm{Tc}) / \epsilon(\mathrm{Zr})]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HR 363 ${ }^{\text {a }}$......... | 0.44 | No | $\lesssim-1.0$ | $\lesssim-4.2$ |
| $o^{1}$ Ori $^{\text {a }} \ldots \ldots \ldots . . .$. | 0.16 | Yes | +0.1 | -2.6 |
| HR $6702^{\text {a }}$ | 0.18 | Yes | $-0.8$ | -3.4 |
| HR $1105 \ldots . . .$. | 0.49 | No | §-1.0 | §-4.2 |
| RS Cnc ........... | 0.37 | Yes ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | ... | ... |
| HR $8062 \ldots . . .$. . | 0.38 | Yes | -0.4 | -3.3 |
| HR $8714 \ldots . .$. . | 0.38 | Yes | $-0.5$ | -3.2 |

[^2]

Fig. 12.-The abundance of ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ and the integrated neutron exposure, $\tau_{0}$, derived from the $\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{Zr}$, and Nd abundances for the MS and S stars. Larger values of $\tau_{0}$ are associated with larger ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ abundances.
of some fraction of material in the convective shell near the pulse being subjected to repeated $s$-process episodes. This simple picture of repeated processing events was first put forward by Ulrich (1973) as an example in which an exponential distribution of neutron exposures would be appropriate.

## e) Technetium as an Age Indicator

The isotope of technetium produced by the s-process is ${ }^{99} \mathrm{Tc}$ with a ground-state half-life of $2.13 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{yr}$. The search for the three permitted Tc I resonance lines near $4250 \AA$ proved to be a fruitful one. In two normal M giants, $\beta$ Peg and $\rho$ Per, and the cool M giant 30 Her , which may show very slight overabundances of $\mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{Zr}, \mathrm{Ba}$, and Nd (Paper I), no hint of any of the three lines was seen. Of the seven stars showing definite $s$-process enhancements, five showed clear signatures of the three Tc i lines ( $o^{1}$ Ori, HR 6702, RS Cnc, HR 8062, and HR 8714) while two (HR 363 and HR 1105) did not (see Table 14). This is an interesting observation. Technetium was detected in four out of four MS stars and one out of three S stars.

Technetium, with a half-life of $\sim 200,000 \mathrm{yr}$, is expected to be detectable in a cool, stellar atmosphere for several half-lives following its production and deposition in the convective envelope. Assuming an initial Tc-abundance equal to that expected from analyses of other $s$-process elements, our synthetic spectra show that the permitted resonance lines should be visible for $\sim 6-7$ half-lives (or $\sim 1.3-1.5 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{yr}$ ) in a typical MS or S star. The maximum lifetime of thermally pulsing stars on the AGB is $\sim 2 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{yr}$ (Iben 1983) so the Tc I lines should be visible throughout most of a star's presence on the AGB.

A possible complication concerning technetium is the temperature sensitivity of the half-life of ${ }^{99} \mathrm{Tc}$ due to populating excited levels of the nucleus at temperatures greater than $\sim 10^{8} \mathrm{~K}$ (Cameron 1959). The half-life changes from $\sim 2 \times 10^{5} \mathrm{yr}$ at $10^{8} \mathrm{~K}$ to only 2.5 yr at $3 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~K}$ (Cosner and Truran 1981; Schatz 1983)! This fact, along with the presence of Tc abundances near those expected for equilibrium $s$ processing in two SC stars, led Smith and Wallerstein (1983) to suggest that the temperatures in the $s$-process sites in the stars studied by them were not much greater than $10^{8} \mathrm{~K}$. Recently, however, Mathews et al. (1986) have carried out temperature-
dependent $s$-process network calculations and find that the thermally enhanced $\beta$-decay rates for ${ }^{99} \mathrm{Tc}$ are nearly compensated by increased neutron production at higher temperatures [they assume a ${ }^{22} \mathrm{Ne}(\alpha, n){ }^{35} \mathrm{Mg}$ neutron source]. They find that in most cases ${ }^{99} \mathrm{Tc}$ is produced at near-equilibrium (i.e., no $\beta$-decay) values and, at worst, is still at $70 \%$ of its expected abundance. Thus, within the accuracies of our analysis, the temperature-sensitive survival of Tc will not be of importance.
The detection of technetium in five out of seven apparent (i.e., $s$-process enhanced) AGB stars supports the above contention concerning the visibility of Tc 1 , and the conclusion is strengthened by a closer inspection of the two stars not showing Tc I lines. It is possible that the latter pair may be evolved barium stars. Griffin (1984) has shown that one of them, HR 1105, is a single-lined spectroscopic binary. The long-period orbit resembles those observed for the barium stars (McClure 1983). It is becoming increasingly apparent that all barium stars are binaries (McClure, Fletcher, and Nemec 1980; McClure 1983) probably with white-dwarf companions (Böhm-Vitense 1980; Böhm-Vitense, Nemec, and Proffitt 1984). This suggests that the ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ and $s$-process enhancements observed in barium stars, which are too faint and warm to be AGB stars (Scalo 1976), are the result of mass transfer from a former AGB star (now a white dwarf) onto a companion star (now a barium star). The close similarities between the composition of barium and the $s$-process $/{ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ enriched AGB stars of spectral types MS, S, SC, or C is additional evidence for the mass-transfer hypothesis (Lambert 1985). HR 1105 is a candidate to be a cool, luminous member of the barium star class. The absence of Tc is then explained by the long time taken to evolve to the AGB following mass transfer. Supporting evidence is provided by Peery (1985) who inspected archival IUE spectra of HR 1105 and deduced the presence of a hot, underluminous companion. The other $s$-process enriched star lacking technetium is HR 363. Although not yet established as a binary, it is listed as a possible spectroscopic binary in the Bright Star Catalogue (Hoffleit 1982). Radial velocity studies and UV spectroscopy of this star are in order as it may be similar to HR 1105 and, hence, an evolved barium star.

The remaining five AGB candidates all show Tc and must be currently experiencing, or have just recently experienced ( $\lesssim 10^{6}$ yr ), thermal pulses and third dredge-up. A quantitative estimate of the abundance of Tc relative to the other $s$-process elements would be a useful age indicator. As described in § III, synthetic spectra in the region of the $4262 \AA$ Tc i line were computed. This is a very crowded region of the spectrum with the emergent flux of a cool star falling off very rapidly toward shorter wavelengths; hence, model spectra may not reproduce observations very well. Indeed, inspection of representative M giant spectrophotometry from Kiehling and Dachs (1985) reveals that the flux ratio, $F(\lambda=4250 \AA) / F(\lambda=10,000 \AA)$, is some $30 \%$ less in real stars than predicted from the flux distribution of a model atmosphere with similar $T_{\text {eff }}$ from Johnson et al. (1980); the line blanketing adopted for the models may be reasonably supposed to underestimate the true blanketing. With solar $g f$-values for the atomic lines and our stellar abundances, the synthetic lines near $4250 \AA$ were much too strong to match the observed line depths for $\beta$ Peg. As the line strength is influenced by the ratio of line to continuous opacity, an underestimate of the continuous opacity in the model will result in a spectral line that is too strong. Omission of densely packed lines simulates an underestimate of the


FIG. 13.-Sample synthetic (solid or dashed lines) and observed (dots) spectra of the region containing the $4262 \AA$ Tc i line. Atomic oscillator strengths were fixed using the normal M star $\beta$ Peg. Rho Per is a normal M star (no Tc), and a good match is obtained with $\beta$ Peg $g f$-values. The three syntheses contain varying Tc abundances: (1) no Tc, (2) $\log \epsilon(\mathrm{Tc})=-0.5$, and (3) $\log \epsilon(\mathrm{Tc})=+0.5[\log \epsilon(\mathrm{H})=12.0]$.
(quasi-) continuous opacity. We chose to alter $g f$-values until an acceptable fit was obtained for $\beta$ Peg. These altered $g f$ values, along with a Tc I $g f$-value adjusted by a similar factor, were then used to synthesize the spectra of MS and S stars, which have similar values of $T_{\text {eff }}$ and $\log g$, in order to derive approximate Tc abundances. Although this is a crude method, it approximates a differential analysis. For 12 well-defined lines, for which solar $g f$-values were derived, the oscillator strengths had to be reduced by a factor of 2-5 or an average of a factor of 3 in order to fit the spectrum of $\beta$ Peg. This reduction factor of 3 was applied to all remaining solar lines, the lines from Kurucz and Peytremann (1975) and, of course, the Tc i line. The resultant overall fit to $\beta$ Peg is satisfactory. The reduction factor is based on a wide range of species ( Fe I , Ti I, Cri, V I, Mn I) and excitation potential ( $\chi=0.0-4.0 \mathrm{eV}$ ). Since the Tc I line is formed in the same atmospheric layers as the test lines, we think it safe to apply the mean reduction factor to its $g f$-value.

In Figure 13 we show two synthetic spectra; the top one is for the M giant $\rho$ Per, which has no technetium, and the bottom one is the MS star $o^{1}$ Ori, which shows well-defined Tc i lines. In the $\rho$ Per spectrum, the principal contributors to the stronger features are noted. Although $\rho$ Per is 100 K cooler in $T_{\text {eff }}$ than $\beta$ Peg and has a somewhat lower value of $\log g$, the fit using the $\beta$ Peg $g f$-values is acceptable. The position of the "continuum" (i.e., intensity $=1.0$ ) was defined by the highest points across the observed Reticon spectra window, and these points are in agreement with spectra covering a much wider wavelength range ( $3900-4400 \AA$ ) for the same, or similar, stars from Dominy and Wallerstein (1986).

The spectrum of $o^{1}$ Ori in Figure 13 shows the Tc a line clearly, and the three synthetic spectra have the following abundances of Tc; (1) no Tc (2) $\log \epsilon(\mathrm{Tc})=-0.5$, and (3) $\log \epsilon$ $(\mathrm{Tc})=+0.5$. The best match to the Tc I line indicates $\log \epsilon$ $(\mathrm{Tc}) \approx 0.1$. Abundances of Tc in the rest of the $s$-processenhanced stars are listed in Table 14. No spectrum is available for RS Cnc, although the Tc i lines have been seen by Sanner (1978).

A problem arises in attempting to relate Tc abundances derived here to the other $s$-process elements, since the method used for estimating Tc abundances is different from that used for the other $s$-process species and the wavelength regions are also quite different. To alleviate this problem, we compare the Tc abundances to another $s$-process element, Zr , which is observed in this same spectral region. Three unblended Zr I lines observed in this spectral window were taken from the list provided by Dominy and Wallerstein (1986). The three lines of $\mathrm{Zr}_{\text {I }}$ are $4213.87(\chi=0.60 \mathrm{eV}), 4239.31(\chi=0.69 \mathrm{eV})$, and $4240.35(\chi=0.60 \mathrm{eV})$. These lines are visible in $\beta$ Peg and, as with the synthesis of the Tc I region, $g f$-values were altered to fit the $\beta$ Peg equivalent widths. Abundances derived from the violet $\mathrm{Zr}_{\mathrm{I}}$ lines, relative to $\beta \mathrm{Peg}$, are then derived for each star in which an estimate of the Tc abundance is available. A small systematic trend is apparent between $[\mathrm{Zr} / \mathrm{H}]$ derived from the violet lines and that derived from the near-IR lines in the sense that the average value of $[\mathrm{Zr} / \mathrm{H}]$ is 0.2 dex smaller for the violet lines than for the near-IR lines. The source of this trend is unknown, but a comparison of the $\mathrm{Tc} / \mathrm{Zr}$ ratio using only the violet $\mathrm{Zr}_{\text {I }}$ lines is the most direct approach and reduces systematic uncertainties.

The Zr abundances can be corrected for the observed mixture of initial envelope abundance and $s$-processed material currently in the convective envelope (see $\S(\mathrm{IV} c$ ). The ratio of
$\log [\epsilon(\mathrm{Tc}) / \epsilon(\mathrm{Zr})]$ can be computed [all of the Tc is produced in the thermal pulse(s), and this is tabulated in Table 14]. The predicted abundance ratios from the Cowley and Downs (1980) models are $-2.36<\log [\epsilon(\mathrm{Tc}) / \epsilon(\mathrm{Zr})]<-2.20$ for $0.08 \leq \tau_{0} \leq 0.60 \mathrm{mbarn}^{-1}$. All of the observed $\mathrm{Tc} / \mathrm{Zr}$ ratios are considerably smaller than the predicted ratios which could indicate a large systematic effect (unlikely-see above) in all of the Tc abundances or loss of Tc by decay in the stellar atmospheres. The derived Tc abundances, relative to other $s$-process elements, are displayed in Figure 14 for two MS stars, $o^{1}$ Ori and HR 8062. The corrected $s$-process abundances are shown by the filled circles, while the solid lines are the appropriate abundance predictions from the $s$-process calculations of Cowley and Downs (1980). Each model is the prediction from the appropriate value of $\tau_{0}$ derived from the $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{Nd}$ and $\mathrm{Zr} / \mathrm{Nd}$ ratios. The model abundances near technetium are shown for Mo $(Z=42)$, $\mathrm{Tc}(Z=43)$, and $\mathrm{Ru}(Z=44)$. Technetium is apparently underabundant relative to its fellow $s$-process species.

Kipper and Kipper (1984) have analyzed the violet Tc I lines by a method similar to the one here. They mention that $g f$ values supplied to them by R. A. Bell are all too large; presumably they also find that synthetic lines in this region are too strong. Also, they make use of the heavily blended $4297 \AA$ Tc i line and note that it yields Tc abundances up to 1.0 dex larger than the $4262 \AA$ line. The only star common to both our study and Kipper and Kipper (1984) is $o^{1}$ Ori, for which they derive $\log \epsilon(\mathrm{Tc})=+2.0$, a value inconsistent with theory (see Fig. 14). We note, however, that rejection of the $4297 \AA$ line would apparently lower their abundance considerably while the inclusion of hfs into their analysis would lower the derived abundance still further. After such corrections, it may be that our and their results are in fair agreement.


Fig. 14.-Observed $s$-process abundance distributions for two $s$-process enhanced stars (filled circles) and model predictions from Cowley and Downs (1980; solid lines). The Y, Zr , and Nd abundances were used to fit observations to a particular model.

Quantitative technetium abundances have been estimated for two SC stars (CY Cyg and R CMi) using the intercombination $5924 \AA$ line of Tc I (Smith and Wallerstein 1983). Compared to neighboring $s$-process elements, the abundance was near the s-process prediction for CY Cyg and 0.7 dex low in R CMi. Hyperfine splitting was not included in these results so the Tc abundances should probably be reduced by a modest factor. The results of Smith and Wallerstein (1983) are in fair agreement with Tc abundances in the MS and S stars.

Taken at face value, our Tc abundances suggest some decay since injection into the stellar envelope. A quantitative comparison is complicated by repeated pulses and the time between pulses. If the time between pulses, $\Delta t$, is short relative to the half-life of ${ }^{99} \mathrm{Tc}, \tau_{1 / 2}$, then very little Tc would decay during the interpulse period $\left(\Delta t \ll \tau_{1 / 2}\right)$ and the amount of Tc decay would represent the time elapsed since pulses and dredge-up began. On the other hand, if $\Delta t \gg \tau_{1 / 2}$ and Tc suffers significant decay between pulses its abundance will fall below the abundances of stable $s$-process nuclei which are continually built up after each pulse. In a simple picture consisting of identical pulses with equal neutron exposures and the same amount of dredge-up following each pulse, the amount of stable $s$-process elements will increase in direct proportion to pulse number, $N$. If a substantial fraction of Tc decayed between each pulse, Tc would appear underabundant relative to its $s$-process neighbors by a factor close to $N$ after the $n$th pulse.

In either picture, the underabundance of Tc results from $\beta$-decay following one, or more, thermal pulses and subsequent dredge-ups. Thus, the abundance of technetium can be used to estimate elapsed time as a thermally pulsing AGB star. The underabundances of Tc relative to Zr range from no measurable underabundance for $o^{1}$ Ori to 10 for HR 6702 (Table 14) in stars where finite Tc abundances could be estimated. This suggests that HR 6702 has been on the AGB for close to $10^{6} \mathrm{yr}$, and this is in agreement with the expected lifetime of, at most, $2 \times 10^{6}$ yr for a low-mass AGB star (Iben 1983).

## f) Magnesium Isotope Ratios

The isotopes of Mg should be affected by operation of the ${ }^{22} \mathrm{Ne}(\alpha, n){ }^{25} \mathrm{Mg}$ neutron source, hence, estimates of ${ }^{24} \mathrm{Mg}$ / ${ }^{25} \mathrm{Mg}$ and ${ }^{24} \mathrm{Mg} /{ }^{26} \mathrm{Mg}$ ratios will shed light on the identity of the neutron source in the AGB stars. Two, largely unblended, MgH lines were synthesized $\left[Q_{1}(22)\right.$ at $5138.1 \AA$ and $R_{1}(10)$ $5139.6 \AA$ ] in two M giants ( $\beta$ Peg and $\rho$ Per) and three $s$ process enhanced stars (HR 363, o Ori, and HR 8062). As with the region near $4250 \AA$, the $5140 \AA$ region is heavily blanketed and the $g f$-values were scaled to match the central depths in each star. The wavelength shift of the isotopic MgH lines is large ( $\Delta \lambda \approx 0.15 \AA$ ) and the shapes of the line profiles are sensitive to the isotope ratios. Atomic-line profiles were synthesized to provide the macroturbulent velocity parameter.

Figure 15 illustrates detailed line profiles for two normal M giants and two stars with $s$-process enhancements. In the case


Fig. 15.-Synthetic (solid or dashed lines) and observed (dots) line-profiles of the two $\mathbf{M g H}$ lines used to estimate Mg -isotopic ratios. The two s-process enhanced stars (HR 363 and $o^{1}$ Ori) are not significantly different from the normal-abundance $\mathbf{M}$ stars ( $\beta$ Peg and $\rho$ Per).
of $\beta$ Peg and $\rho$ Per, the dashed lines represent syntheses with a solar mixture of the Mg isotopes $\left({ }^{24} \mathrm{Mg}:{ }^{25} \mathrm{Mg}:{ }^{26} \mathrm{Mg}\right.$; 79:10:11; Anders and Ebihara 1982) while the solid line is a mix of 90:5:5 and the dots are the observations. A good fit to $\rho$ Per is provided by solar Mg -isotope ratios with $\beta$ Peg showing slight deficiencies of ${ }^{25} \mathrm{Mg}$ and ${ }^{26} \mathrm{Mg} . \beta$ Peg is fitted best by a set of isotopic abundances near $87: 5: 8$. The difference in the Mg isotopic ratios is at the observational limit considering the line density in this region and the paucity of "unblended " MgH lines.

Two $s$-process enhanced stars are also shown ion Figure 15; HR 363 and $o^{1}$ Ori. These two stars represent extremes of neutron exposure, with $o^{1}$ Ori having a very low exposure ( $\tau_{0}=0.16$ ) while HR 363 has a large exposure $\left(0.44 \mathrm{mbarn}^{-1}\right)$. The two synthetic spectra for $o^{1}$ Ori contain a solar mixture of Mg isotopes (solid line) and a mixture of 90:5:5. A very slight decrease in ${ }^{25} \mathrm{Mg}$ is suggested, with a good fit to the data being 80: 9:11. The MgH lines in HR 363 indicate a deficiency of ${ }^{25} \mathrm{Mg}$ and ${ }^{26} \mathrm{Mg}$ isotopes relative to the other stars. In Figure 15 for HR 363, the solid line showing the most ${ }^{25} \mathrm{Mg}$ and ${ }^{26} \mathrm{Mg}$ is for a solar isotopic mixture. The other solid lines are for mixtures of $90: 3: 7$ [the $Q_{1}(22)$ line] and $89: 0: 11$ [the $R_{1}(10)$ line]. An approximate isotopic breakdown for HR 363 is $88: 3: 9$. The dashed line for HR 363 represents a prediction from Scalo (1978) based upon calculations from Truran and Iben (1977) using the ${ }^{22} \mathrm{Ne}(\alpha, n){ }^{25} \mathrm{Mg}$ neutron source. This represents an isotope mixture having 55:25:20 and is taken from Scalo's (1978) relationship of [s-process $/ \mathrm{Fe}$ ] enhancement and the Mg isotopic abundances. Such a ${ }^{25} \mathrm{Mg}$ and ${ }^{26} \mathrm{Mg}$ abundance causes very broad lines and the enriched mixture is obviously not characteristic of HR 363 (or $o^{1}$ Ori).

An additional MS star, HR 8062, was observed for MgH and isotopic Mg abundances derived. For HR 8062, the mixture of $82: 9: 9$ was obtained, which is not far off the solar values of 79:10:11. Clegg, Lambert, and Bell (1979) showed that the S star HR 1105 and $\beta$ Peg had the same isotopic ratios (i.e., approximately a terrestrial mixture).

No enhancement of ${ }^{25} \mathrm{Mg}$ and ${ }^{26} \mathrm{Mg}$ is seen in any of the $s$-process enriched stars, which suggests that ${ }^{22} \mathrm{Ne}(\alpha, n)^{25} \mathrm{Mg}$ is not responsible for the $s$-process elements. The competing neutron source, ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(\alpha, n){ }^{16} \mathrm{O}$, is the likely source of neutrons required to drive the $s$-process reactions in the MS and $S$ stars. Since the ${ }^{22} \mathrm{Ne}$ source would be ignited at temperatures above $\sim 3 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~K}$ and would prove adequate to drive significant $s$-processing, we suggest that the temperature at the $s$-process site was below this value but above the ignition temperature for the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ source $\left(\mathrm{T} \approx 10^{8} \mathrm{~K}\right)$. This requirement suggests that the MS/S stars are of low-mass $\left(M \lesssim 2 M_{\odot}\right.$; Truran and Iben 1977).

## V. CONCLUSION

A total of 22 M , MS, and S stars have now been analyzed in this paper and Paper I (including $\alpha$ Tau as a somewhat warmer example of the $\mathbf{M}$ giants, i.e., first dredge-up). Our quantitative analysis suggests that about half of Yamashita's (1967) MS stars are indistinguishable from normal M giants by their $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{N}$. $\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Fe}$ group, and $s$-process abundances. If our $s$-process enhancements are used to define the spectral classes, our sample consists of 15 M , four MS, and three S stars.

By their chemical composition, the M giants do not differ from typical field G and K giants; i.e., the convective envelopes are contaminated by material exposed lightly to the CN cycle during the main-sequence phase and dredged up in the first
ascent of the giant branch. The observable consequences of this first dredge-up include a reduction of the ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ and an enhancement of the ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}$ abundance, and a reduction of the ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C} /{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ ratio.

For the seven stars which show definite $s$-process enhancements, a clear positive correlation is seen between ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ abundance and $s$-process abundances, demonstrating that the $s$-process regions are associated with the ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$-burning layers. The carbon and heavy-element abundances are consistent with the predicted composition for low-mass $\left(M \approx 1 M_{\odot}\right)$ AGB models after a few (three to six) thermal pulses and the subsequent (third) dredge-up. Detection of technetium in five of the seven MS/S stars confirms the assignment of the site of nucleosynthesis to the interior of a short-lived ( $\$ 2 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{yr}$ ) AGB star. The low Tc abundances in these five stars, in relation to the other $s$-process elements, suggests a lifetime as a thermally pulsing AGB star of as long as $10^{6} \mathrm{yr}$. This is indicative of low-mass AGB stars (Iben 1983). Two stars without Tc may be cool, luminous counterparts of the $G$ and $K$ type barium stars. The fact that one of the pair is a spectroscopic binary and a hint that the other is also a binary is consistent with our suggested link to the barium stars, all of which are spectroscopic binaries. Technetium present in the AGB star prior to creation of the barium star by mass transfer decays in the long interval before the barium star evolves to the AGB.

A low stellar mass is further indicated by the Mg isotopic ratios. Lack of an enhancement of ${ }^{25} \mathrm{Mg}$ and ${ }^{26} \mathrm{Mg}$ (relative to ${ }^{24} \mathrm{Mg}$ ) rules out the ${ }^{22} \mathrm{Ne}$ neutron source as the driver of the $s$-process in these MS/S stars and, hence, excludes the more massive stars as the progenitors of our MS/S stars. We suggest that the $s$-process is driven by the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}(\alpha, n){ }^{16} \mathrm{O}$ reaction operating in low-mass ( $M \lesssim 2 M_{\odot}$ ) stars.

The neutron exposure ( $\tau_{0}$ ) increases with the overall $s$ process and ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ enrichments. The theory of pulsed $s$ processing in a He-burning zone followed by partial mixing into the convective envelope predicts these correlations. An alternative model of single $s$-processing events of differing strength cannot be excluded; the weak events producing MS stars and the strongest events leading to S stars.

Our abundance analyses with theoretical guidance provided by recent studies of thermal pulses in AGB stars suggests that the MS and S stars are low-mass AGB stars which have experienced just a few pulses. With an enlarged sample of these stars, it may be possible to detect "quantization" of the $s$-process and ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}$ enrichments, i.e., the enrichment provided by a single pulse. There are suggestions of such quantization in our results-see, for example, the neutron exposure parameter $\tau_{0}$ (Fig. 12). However, the MS and S stars are unlikely to be a homogeneous group, and differences in stellar mass, metallicity, and, perhaps, other factors must play some role in determining the thermal pulses, the $s$-processing and the dredge-up and, hence, the surface composition. There is a possibility that one may be able to observe a single pulse supply sufficient additional carbon to change the spectral classification of the star.

With our extensions of quantitative spectroscopy to the AGB stars, observational knowledge of the chemical composition of these stars has outstripped the ability of theoretical descriptions of stellar evolution to predict the compositional changes induced by the third dredge-up in low mass stars. We look forward to fruitful interactions between observers and theoreticians.

Other questions deserving future attention by observers
concern the higher mass stars and the ${ }^{22} \mathrm{Ne}(\alpha, n){ }^{25} \mathrm{Mg}$ neutron source. Do such stars undergo third dredge-up of $s$-process material from the ${ }^{22} \mathrm{Ne}$ source? Are the lifetimes of the more massive AGB stars so short that they are not easily observed? Are some of the cool supergiants evolved intermediate-mass stars? Perhaps some of the more luminous members of the LMC ( $M_{\text {bol }} \lesssim-7$ ) which appear to lie near the AGB need to be studied in detail to answer questions concerning the evolu-
tion of stars more massive than $M \gtrsim 4-5 M_{\odot}$ along the asymptotic giant branch.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Visiting Astronomer, Kitt Peak National Observatory, National Optical Astronomy Observatories, Operated by the Association of Universities for Research in Astronomy, Inc., under contract with the National Science Foundation.

[^1]:    ${ }^{a}$ This is the mean of the Fe and Ni abundances.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Stars which show definite $s$-process enhancements.

[^2]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Stars from Smith and Lambert 1985 (Paper I).
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Tc-detection from Sanner 1978. No abundance estimate.

