THE ASTROPHYSICAL JOURNAL, 221:211–219, 1978 April 1 © 1978. The American Astronomical Society. All rights reserved. Printed in U.S.A.

# COMMENTS ON THE SPECTRUM OF NOVA SCUTI 1975

J. S. GALLAGHER\*

School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Minnesota Received 1977 June 27; accepted 1977 September 29

## ABSTRACT

Optical spectrophotometric observations of Nova Scuti 1975 obtained on 1975 August 2 and 31 (at 3 and 4 mag, respectively, below maximum) and a few near-infrared spectra provide a basis for a discussion of the emission spectrum. That lines from O I, [O II], [O II], [O III], N I, N II, [N II], N III, H, He I, and He II are most prominent indicates a wide range in density and degree of ionization within the nova. The data suggest that H $\alpha$  and maybe H $\beta$  are optically thick. He I  $\lambda$ 3889 is optically thick, and the ratio of He I  $\lambda$ 5876 to H $\beta$  is quite high. An overabundance of He is possible but far from certain. Lines of N II are pathologically strong, a common but unexplained feature of novae. The problem of coronal lines in declining novae is reviewed. Although coronal emission is probably found in some cases, alternative identifications of N II  $\lambda$ 6379, O I  $\lambda$ 7886, or Mg II  $\lambda$ 7890 are possible for emission features often attributed to [Fe x]  $\lambda$ 6374 and [Fe xI]  $\lambda$ 7892.

Subject headings: line identifications — stars: abundances — stars: individual — stars: novae

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Nova Scuti was first reported on 1975 June 15 at  $m_v = 7$  by Wild (1975). Subsequently, a number of prediscovery observations became available, and a combination of all *IAU Circular* data has been used to produce the optical light curve in Figure 1. The oscillations during the decline are probably real, and

\* Now at Department of Astronomy, University of Illinois.

the overall form of the light curve is reminiscent of moderately fast novae like DK Lac (Payne-Gaposchkin 1957; McLaughlin 1960).

Rosino (1965) has shown that decline rate is usually a better luminosity indicator than duration. From Figure 1 we estimate  $\delta \approx 0.07 \text{ mag day}^{-1}$ , and the Asiago calibration by Rosino then gives  $M_{\text{pg}} \approx -7$ . This luminosity is also reasonably consistent with the absorption-line expansion velocities  $V \ge 1000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ 



FIG. 1.—Optical light curve for Nova Scuti 1975 based on observations reported in the *IAU Circulars* during 1975. Since the individual points represent both eye and photoelectric magnitude measurements, some of the scatter about the illustrated mean light curve is probably due to differences in bandpass, standards, etc., and some due to real, short-term brightness variations by the nova.

# © American Astronomical Society • Provided by the NASA Astrophysics Data System

found in late June of 1975 (see McLaughlin 1960, Table 13). Nova Scuti 1975 is a normal classical nova. There is relatively little spectrophotometry available for novae, and we therefore were fortunate to obtain spectrophotometric measurements of Nova Scuti 1975 made by D. E. Osterbrock at Lick Observatory and by J. Warner at Cerro Tololo Inter-American Observatory. A few uncalibrated near-infrared spectra from the University of Minnesota's O'Brien Observatory are also available. These data are extremely

TABLE 1 Observed Line Intensities ( $I(H\beta) = 100$ )

OBSERVEG         Marging filling         Finally relation         August 1-2         August 1-2 </th <th></th> <th>Massalawath</th> <th>Brimary Idontifications</th> <th>Int</th> <th>tensity</th>		Massalawath	Brimary Idontifications	Int	tensity
X         Identification         (Lick)         (CTU0)           3550:         3554         He I(34)?         P4         -           3720:         77750         [0 11] (1P)         8         -           3720:         77750         [0 11] (1P)         8         -           3720:         77750         [0 11] (1P)         13         26           3720:         3798         H10, He II(3), O III(2)         13         26           3855         3858         He I(4), N II(4)? [Ne II1](1P)         -         21           3857         3858         He I(2), N II(4)?         N II(2)         30         30           3971         3970         Hic, [Ne II1](1P)         40         5         67           4015         40026         His N III(1)         45         67         150           4102         40107         HI I(3), O II 36, N III(6)         7:         -         P           4102         4000         He II N III(2P)         67         150           4102         4000         He II II N III(2P)         67         150           4102         4000         He II II N III (2P)         16         14           4100         44	Ubserved Wavelength	of first	( ) = multiplet number	August 1-2	August 30-31
3550:         3554         He I(34)?         P*         -           3720:         3727         [O II] (1P, III(1), O III(2)         10         11           3760b         371/50         H1, H2/N III(1), O III(2)         11         11           3760b         3855         H6, Fe VI (3F)?, N II (41)?         13         26           3857         3885         H6 (12), He II(4), NE III](1P         -         21           3867         3889         H8, He (2), He II(4), NE III](1P         -         21           387         3889         H8, He (2), He II(4), NE III](1P         -         21           387         3889         H8, He (2), He II(4), NE III](2)         6:         5           4031         4026         He (13), N II(2,61)         -         3           4032         4069/76         [S II](1P)         8:         P           4102         4102         H6, N III(1)         150         -         -           4104         H7         N III(5)         7         150         -           4410:         4413         IF e II1 blend         -         -         -           4420b         4434         N III(5), N V(1)?, O III(1)         17         97	Å	Identification		(Lick)	(CTIO)
3550:         3554         He I(34)?         P*         -           3720:         3727         [0 II] (IP)         8:         -           3760b         3771/50         H11, H22, N II(1), 0 III(2)         10         11           3786         H39         H6, He U(5)? N II(2)         13         16           3787         3887         3883         H6 II(1), N II(2)         10         1           3887         3889         H8, HE I(2), HE II(4), [Fe V](3F)?         30         30           3977         3970         Hc, [Ne III](IF)         8:         5           40031         4026         HE I(13), O II 36, N III(2)         -         3           4102         4102         H6, N III(1)         45         67           42200:         4207         C II(6), [Fe II] blend         -         p           4344         4341         HV [0 III(2?)         67         150           4460b         44427         HE I(13)         14         18           4460b         4463         FI II (12?)         67         7         7           4460b         4634         HG II (12?)         111 (12?)         100         100           4427				- •	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3550:	3554	He I(34)?	P*	-
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3720:	3727	[O II] (1F)	8:	-
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3760b	3771/50	H11, H12, N III(11), O III(2)	10	Р
3834       3835       H9, [Fe V] (3P)7, N II (41)7       13       26         3855       3856       He II (4), N II (41)7, [Ne III] (1F)       -       21         3887       3889       H8, He I(2), He II (4), [Fe V] (3F)7       15       P         3971       3970       He, [Ne III (12)       30       30         3997b       3995       N II (12)       81       5         4011       4026       He II (8), He II (3), N II (2,61)       -       7         4065b       4069/76       [S III] (1F)       67       15         4102       H0 (2)       H (10)       136, N III (6)       7       -         4102       4001       He II (14), I (15)       130       -       -         4104       4001       He II (14)       (7)       16       -       -         4104       4413       [Fe II] blend       7       -       -       -         4430b       4427       N III (3), N (1)7, O II (15)       P       P       P         4460b       4634/41       N III (2), N (1)7, O II (15)       14       18         4600       4634       N III (2), N II (66)       P       P         4660b       4634	3796	3798	H10, He II $(5)$ , O III $(2)$	4:	11
38553858He II(4), N II(4)?, [Ne III](1F)-2:38773890HH, He I(2), He II(4), [Fe V](3F)?15P39713970HE, [Ne III](1F)8:540314026HE I(18), HE II(3), N II(2,61)-34065b406976[S II](1F)8:P41024102HG, N III(1)45674200:4201HE I(13), O II 36, N III(6)7:-4210:4102HG, N III(2F)6715043444341HY, [O III](2F)671504410:4413[Fe II] blendP-4460b4427N II(55)?30-4460b4438[Fe II] blend(7)164420b4439[Fe II](2), N II(5), C III(1), O II(1)91914660b4637HI(3), N V(1)?, O II(15)PP4660b4636HE II(3), N II(5), C III(1), O II(1)919146604661H8120)1001004950b4952[O III](1F), HE I(4), FE II(42), [O III](1F)179750065048N II(4)P10:10:5170b5169FE II(42), N II(66)10:10:5170b5169FE II(42), N II(66)1010:5170b5169FE II(42), N II(1F)10475170b5160FE II(42), N II(61)10145170b5160FE II(42), N II(2F)1011517	3834	3835	H9, [Fe V](3F)?, N II(41)?	13	26
387         3889         HB, HE I(2), HE II(4), [Fe V](3F)?         15         P           3971         3970         HE, [NE III(1F)         30         30           397b         3995         N II(12)         8t         5           4031         4026         HE I(18), N II(2)(1)         8t         5           4005b         4059/76         [S II](1F)         45         67           4102         4102         H6, N III(1)         45         67           4200:         4200         HE (18), O II 36, N III(6)         7t         -           4275:         4267         C II(6), [F E II] blend         -         P           44102         H4101         (7)         16         -           4427b         4472         HE (14)         (7)         16           4427b         4472         HE (14)         10         11           4640b         4634/41         N III(2), O II(15)         P         P           4640b         4634/41         N II(20)         F         P         10           4660         4661         H8         H6(14), N II(17), O II(11)         11         10         10           9370b         5048 <td< td=""><td>3855</td><td>3858</td><td>He II(4), N II(41)?, [Ne III](<math>1F</math>)</td><td>-</td><td>2:</td></td<>	3855	3858	He II(4), N II(41)?, [Ne III]( $1F$ )	-	2:
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3887	3889	H8, He I(2), He II(4), [Fe V](3F)?	15	Р
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	3971	3970	HE, [Ne III](1F)	30	30
40314026He I(18), He I(3), N II(2,61)-34065b4069766[S II] (1P)8:P41024102H6, N III(1)45674200;4200He II(3), O II 36, N III(6)7:-4275:4267C II(6), [Fe II] blend-P43444341Hr, [O III] (2P)671504410:4413[Fe II] blendP-4430b4427N II(55), N II(55)?)30-4460b4458[Fe II] blend(7)164525b4511-24N III(3), N (1)?, O II(1)919146664607N II(5), N V(1)?, O II(1)919146864636He II(1)1001003448004803N II(20)PPP48604861H61001001004932b4922He I(48), Fe II(42), [O III](1F)179750065007[O III](1F)8:135170b5169Fe II(42), N II(36)?7775105b5198Fe II(42), N II(36)?7775105b5198Fe II(42), N II(36)?PPP5875b5875[N II](3)38323257555875[N III](3)1338325875b5972597210111563006300[O III](1F), FE III(10F)?12364446444	3997b	3995	N II(12)	8:	5
4065b4069/76[S II] (1P)8:P41024102H6, N III (1)45674200:4200He II (3), O I 36, N III (6)7:-4275:4267C II (6), [Fe II] blend-P43444341HY, [O III] (2P)671504410:4413[Fe II] blendP-4430b4427N II (56), N II (55) 7)30-4460b4458[Fe II] blend(7)1642525451-24N III (3)14184600b4607N II (5), N V(1) 7, O II (1)919146604664He II (1)N II (2)10010046604664He II (2), N II (5), C III (1), O II (1)919146604661He II (42), N II (666)1001004950b4952[O III (1P), HE I(4), FE II (42)40saturated4950b5048N II (40), N II (36)?7775045b5048N II (42), N II (36)?7775170b5169PE II (42), N II (36)?7775165b5482O II (12)?PPP5666560/67N II (29)PP956755755[N II] 5738323257555876He I(12)10447581261447/, N II (28)P58205942-60N II (28)PP5830<	4031	4026	He I(18), He II(3), N II(2,61)	-	3
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4065b	4069/76	[S II] (1F)	8:	Р
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4102	4102	$H\delta$ , N III(1)	45	67
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4200:	4200	He II(3), O II 36, N III(6)	7:	-
43144311(r) [0' III](2P)671504410:4413[Fe II] blendP-4430b4427N II(56), N II(55)?)30-4460b4458[Fe II] blend(7)164472b4472He I(24)(7)164600b4607N II(5), N V(1)?, O II(15)PP4640b4634/41N III(2), N II(5), C III(1), O II(1)919146664666He II(1)10:3448004803N II(20)PP48604861HB1001004932b4922He I(48), Fe II(42), [O III](1F)179750065007[O III](1F), He I(4), Fe II(42)40saturated5045b5048N II(4)Fe III(42)40saturated5170b5169Fe II(42), N II(66)10:10:5170b5169Fe II(49), [N II(1F)8:135270b5268O III(19), [Fe III](1F)?775410:5412He II(2)PP5957b5592O III(5)?333257555755[N II]3F1044758155812C IV(1)?13385928b5932Fe II(47), N II(28)P-64566464[N II(36,60), He II8PP63006300[O II](1F), Fe III(0), [Fe X](1F)?141563706364[O II](1F), Fe II(2)10011 <td>4275</td> <td>4267</td> <td>C II(6), [Fe II] blend</td> <td>_</td> <td>Р</td>	4275	4267	C II(6), [Fe II] blend	_	Р
4410;       4413       TP fe II blend'       p          4430b       4427       N II(56), N II(55)?       j30       -         4472b       4472       He I(14)       (7)       16         4472b       4472       He I(14)       (7)       16         4272b       4472       He I(14)       (7)       16         4260b       4607       N II(5), N V(1)?, O II(15)       14       13         4600b       4634/41       N III(2), N II(2), N II(1), O II(1)       91       91         4666       4666       He II(2), N II(20)       P       P       P         4860       4631       Hg       100       100       100         4950b       49539       [OIII](1F)       17       97         5006       5007       [O III](1F), He I(4), Fe II(42)       40       saturated         5170b       5169       Fe II(42), N II(66)       10:       10:         5170b       5169       Fe II(42), N II(36)?       7       7         54010:       5412       He II(2)       P       P         5370b       5406       N II(29)       P       P         54555       5755       10 <t< td=""><td>4344</td><td>4341</td><td><math>H_{Y}</math>, [O III] (2F)</td><td>67</td><td>150</td></t<>	4344	4341	$H_{Y}$ , [O III] (2F)	67	150
4430b       4427       N II(55)?       )30       -         4460b       4458       [Fe II] blend       -       -         4472b       4472       He I(14)       (7)       16         4472b       4472       N III(5), N V(1)?, O II(15)       P       P         4600       4607       N III(5), N V(1)?, O II(15)       P       P         4666       4634/41       N III(2), N II(5), C III(1), O II(1)       91       91         4660       4666       He II(1)       10:       34         4800       4803       N II(20)       P       P         4860       4861       HB       100       100         4932b       4922       He I(48), Fe II(42), [O III](1F)       17       97         5066       5007       [O III](1F), He I(4), Fe II(42)       40       saturated         5195b       5198       Fe II(42), N II(66)       F       10:       10:         5195b       5198       Fe II(48,49), N II(36)?       7       7       7         5410:       5412       He II(2)       P       P       P         5435b       5400       N II(5)?       7       7       7         5440:	4410.	4413	[Fe II] blend	P	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4430h	4427	N TT(56), $N TT(55)$ ?	120	-
130013031401(7)16447204472He 1(1)(7)16447204472N III(3)141846004607N II(5), N V(1)?, O II(15)PP46664686He II(1)10:3446864686He II(1)10:3446864686He II(1)10:3446804803N II(20)PP48604861HB1001004930b4959[OIII](IF)179750065007[O III](1F), He I(4), Fe II(42)40saturated504555048N II(4)P10:5170b5169Fe II(42), N II(66)8:135270b5268O III(19), [F III](1F)?7753410:5412He II(2)PP5406:5680/67N II(3)383257555755[N II] 3F1044758155812C IV(1)?PP5920b5932Fe II(47), N II(28)P-61626168N II(36,60), He II8P-61626168N II(36,60), He II8PP62456243N II(28)P-61626168N II(36,70, Fe II(74)PP65706364[O I](1F), Fe III(40), [Fe X](1F)?1123649064482N II(30)Fe II(74)P-7226b7365 <t< td=""><td>44505</td><td>4458</td><td>[Fe II] blend</td><td>130</td><td>-</td></t<>	44505	4458	[Fe II] blend	130	-
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4400D 4472b	4430	$H_{0} T(1\Lambda)$	(7)	16
423D         4501-24         N H1(5), N V(1)?, O II(15)         P         P           4600b         4607         N II(2), N II(5), C III(1), O II(1)         91         91           4666         4634/41         N III(2), N II(5), C III(1), O II(1)         91         91           4666         4686         He II(1)         100         100         100           4860         4861         H6         100         100         100           4932b         4922         He I(48), Fe II(42), [O III](1F)         17         97           5006         5007         [O III](1F)         17         97           5006         5007         [O III](1F), He I(4), Fe II(42)         40         saturated           5170b         5169         Fe II(42), N II(66)         10         10           5170b         5268         O III(19), [Fe III](1F)?         53         13           5270b         5268         O III(5)?         P         P           5410:         5412         He I(2)         7         7         7           5410:         5412         He I(2)         10         11         13         38           5575         5755         N II(3)         38	44720	4472	$\frac{110}{111}$	14	18
4600D         4007         N H(G), N V(F), O H(G)         P         P           4640D         4634/41         N H(G), N V(F), O H(G)         10:         34           4666         4686         He II(1)         10:         34           4600         4803         N H(20)         P         P           4860         4661         H6         100         100           4932b         4922         He I(48), Fe II(42), [O III](1F)         17         97           5066         5007         [O III](1F), He I(4), Fe II(42)         40         saturated           5048         N H(4)         P         10:         10:           5170b         5169         Fe II(42), N H(66)         10:         11:           5270b         5268         O H(19), [Fe III](1F)         8:         13           5270b         5268         O H(49), N H(36)?         7         7           5410:         5412         He II(2)         P         P           5435b:         5480         N H(29)         P         P           54355         5812         C H(19)         33         32           5755         5755         N H(28)         P         P	45250	4511-24	N TT(5) N T(1) 2 O TT(15)	т <del>ч</del>	10
4630         4634/41         N III(2), N II(3), C III(1), O II(1)         91         91           4686         4686         He II(1)         N II(20)         P         P           4860         4803         N II(20)         P         P         P           4860         4803         N II(20)         P         P         P           4932b         4922         He I(48), Fe II(42), [O III](1F)         17         97           5006         5007         [O III](1F)         17         97           5006         5007         [O III](1F), He I(42), Fe II(42)         40         saturated           5043b         5048         N II(4)         P         10:           5170b         5169         Fe II(42), N II(66)         T         7         7           522b         5317         Fe II(48,49), N II(36)?         7         7         7           5485b:         5480         N II(23)         38         32         5           5485b:         5480         N II(3)         38         32           5755         5755         [N II]3F         104         47           5815         5812         C IV(1)?         P         P <tr< td=""><td>46000</td><td>4007</td><td>N II(3), N V(1);, O II(13) N III(2) N II(5) C III(1) O II(1)</td><td>01</td><td>Q1</td></tr<>	46000	4007	N II(3), N V(1);, O II(13) N III(2) N II(5) C III(1) O II(1)	01	Q1
48604660He II(1)1013448604863N II(20)PPP48604861HB1001004932b4922He I(48), Fe II(42), [O III](1F)179750065007[O III](1F), He I(4), Fe II(42)40saturated5045b5048N II(4)P10:5195b5198Fe II(42), N II(66)P135270b5268O III(19), [Fe III](1F)?8:135270b5268O III(19), [Fe III](1F)?775410:5412He II(2)-P5597b5592O III(5)?PP56805680/67N II(3)383257555755[N II]3F1044758155812C IV(1)?PP5970b5942-60N II(28)10116100??-61626168N II(36,60), He II8PP63006300[O I](1F), [S II](3F)?141563706364[O I](1F), Fe II(40), [Fe X](1F)?112364906482N II(3), Fe II(2)9-70697065He (I(0)10-7122b7112-34C II(20)?11-726b7231/36C II(20)?11-726b7319/30[O II](2F)74-726b7319/30[O II](2F)74-	46400	4034/41	N = III(2), N = II(3), C = III(1), O = II(1)	) <u>)</u>	24
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4080	4080	$\frac{11}{1}$	TO:	34
48604861HB1001004932b4922He I(48), Fe II(42), [O III](1F)17974950b4959[O III](1F), He I(4), Fe II(42)179750065007[O III](1F), He I(4), Fe II(42)40saturated5045b5048N II(4)P10:5170b5169Fe II(49), [N I](1F)8:135270b5268O III(19), [Fe III](1F)?775322b5317Fe II(48,49), N II(36)?775410:5412He II(2)-P5485b:5480N II(29)PP56805680/67N II(3)383257555755[N II]3F1044758155812C IV(1)?PP5950b5942-60N II(28)P-5950b5942-60N II(28)P-61626168N II(36,60), He II8PP62456243N II(36,60), He II8PP63006300[O I](1F), [S III](1F)?141563706364[O I](1F), [F III(1)]??141563706364[O I](1F), [F III(2)100saturated67306731/17[S II](2F)P-72667319/30[O II](2F)74-73267319/30[O II](2F)74-73267319/30[O II](2F)74-	4800	4803	N 11(20)	P 100	100
4932b4922He I(48), Fe II(42), [0 III](IF)17974950b4959[0 III](IF)179750065007[0 III](IF)He I(42), Fe II(42)40saturated5045b5048N II(4)P10:5170b5169Fe II(42), N II(66)P10:5170b5268O III(19), [Fe III](1F)8:135270b5268O III(19), [Fe III(2)-P5322b5317Fe II(48,49), N II(36)?775410:5412He II(2)-P56805680/67N II(2)-P56805680/67N II(3)383257555755[N II]3F1044758155812C IV(1)?PP58755876He I(11)13385928b5932Fe II(47), N II(28)P-5950b5942-60N II(28)10116100-?-P62456243N II(36,60), He II8PP63706364[O I](1F), Fe II(74)PP64906482N II(3), Fe II(2)10-70697065He I(10)10-7226b7319/30[O II](2F)74-73267319/30[O II](2F)74-	4860	4861	Hp $H = T(AP) = TT(AP) = [O TTT](1P)$	TOO	100
4950b4959[0111][1F)He I(4), Fe II(42)40saturated50065007[0111][1F), He I(4), Fe II(42)P10:5170b5169Fe II(42), N II(66)P10:5195b5198Fe II(49), [N I](1F)8:135270b5268O III(19), [Fe III](1F)?775322b5317Fe II(48,49), N II(36)?7775410:5412He II(2)PPP5597b5592O III(5)?PPP56805680/67N II(3)383257555755[N II]3F1044758155812C IV(1)?PP5950b5942-60N II(28)P-5950b5942-60N II(28)P-61626168N II(36,60), He II8PP62456243N II(3), Fe II(74)PP63006300[O I](1F), [S III](3F)?141563706364[O I](1F), Fe II(40), [Fe X](1F)?112364906482N II(3), Fe II(2)31P6570653Ha, N II](1F)1000saturated67306731/17[S II](2F)74-7226b7231/36C II(20)?10-7226b7231/30[O II](2F)74-73267319/30[O II](2F)74-	49320	4922	He $I(48)$ , re $II(42)$ , [O $III$ ](Ir)	17	97
50065007[0 III] (IF), He I(4), Fe II(42)40saturated5045b5048N II(4)P10:5170b5169Fe II(42), N II(66)135270b52680 III(19), [Fe III](1F)?8:135322b5317Fe II(48,49), N II(36)?775410:5412He II(2)-P5485b:5480N II(29)PP5597b55920 III(5)?PP56805680/67N II(3)383257555755[N II]3F1044758155812C IV(1)?PP597b5932Fe II(47), N II(28)P-5950b5942-60N II(28)10116100-?PP61626168N II(36,60), He II8PP62456243N II(37), Fe II(74)PP63006300[O I](1F), [S III](3F)?141563706364[O I](1F), Fe II(40), [Fe X](1F)?112364906482N II(3), Fe II(2)31P65706563Hα, [N II](1F)1000saturated67306731/17[S II](2P)P-726b7231/36C II(20)?10-73267319/30[O II](2F)74-H6/A5350continuum (arb units)2.63.5:	49500	4959	$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ $H_{2} = T(A) = TT(A2)$	4.0	asturstod
3045b3048N II(4)F10.5170b5169Fe II(42), N II(66)5195b5198Fe II(42), [P III](1F)5270b5268O III(19), [Pe III](1F)?5322b5317Fe II(48,49), N II(36)?75410:5412He II(2)-5597b5592O III(5)?P56805680/67N II(3)3832255317Fe II(47), N II(36)?75410:5412He II(2)-5597b5592O III(5)?P56805680/67N II(3)383255815S812C IV(1)?P58755876He I(11)13385928b5932Fe II(47), N II(28)P-5950b5942-60N II(36,60), He II8PP61626168N II(36,60), He II8PP62456243N II(36,60), He II8PP63006300[O I](1F), Fe II(40), [Fe X](1F)?112364906482N II(3), Fe II(2)31P65706563Ha, [N II](1F)1000saturated67306731/17[S II](2P)P-726b7231/36C II(20)?11-726b7231/36C II(20)?11-726b7231/30[O II](2F)74-H6/\basebbbContinuum (arb units)2.63.5:	5006	5007	[0 111](1r),  He  1(4),  re  11(42)	40 D	JO.
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	50450	5048	$N \perp (4)$	r	10.
5195b5198Fe II(49), [N I](1F)51135770b5268O III(19), [Fe III](1F)?5322b5317Fe II(48,49), N II(36)?75410:5412He II(2)-5485b:5480N II(29)P5495b:5480N II(3)3832255592O III(5)?P5597b5592O III(5)?P57555755[N II]3F1044758155812C IV(1)?58755876He I(11)135928b5932Fe II(47), N II(28)P5950b5942-60N II(28)P61626168N II(36,60), He II8P61626168N II(36,60), He II8P62456243N II(57), Fe II(74)P63006300[O I](1F), Fe II(40), [Fe X](1F)?1163706364[O I](2F)1465706563Ha, [N II](1F)100070697065He I(10)107122b7112-34C II(20)?107226b7231/36C II(20)?1173267319/30[O II](2F)7473267319/30[O II](2F)74	51700	5169	$Fe_{11}(42), N_{11}(66)$	۰.	1.2
5270b5268O III(19), [Fe III](IF)?5322b5317Fe II(48,49), N II(36)?775410:5412He II(2)-P5485b:5480N II(29)PP5597b5592O III(5)?PP56805680/67N II(3)383257555755[N II]3F1044758155812C IV(1)?PP58755876He I(11)13385928b5932Fe II(47), N II(28)P-61626168N II(36,60), He II8PP61626168N II(36,60), He II8PP63006300[O I](1F), S III](3F)?141563706364[O I](1F), Fe II(40), [Fe X](1F)?112364906482N II(3), Fe II(2)31P70697065He I(10)10-7122b7112-34C II(20)?10-726b7231/36C II(3)11-73267319/30[O II](2F)74-H\$\beta\beta\beta\beta\beta\beta\beta\beta	51950	5198	Fe II(49), [N I](IF)	0:	15
5322b531.7Fe II (48,49), N II (36)?775410:5412He II (2)-P5485b:5480N II (29)PP5597b5592O III (5)?PP56805680/67N II (3)383257555755[N II] 3F1044758155812C IV(1)?PP58755876He I (11)13385928b5932Fe II (47), N II (28)P-61626168N II (36,60), He II8PP62456243N II (36,60), He II8PP63006300[O I] (1F), Fe II (74)PP63706364[O I] (1F), Fe II (29)141564906482N III (3), Fe II (2)31P65706563Ha, [N II] (1F)1000saturated67306731/17[S III] (2F)10-70697065He I(10)10-7122b7112-34C II (20)?10-7226b7231/36C II (20)?11-73267319/30[O II] (2F)74-H8/\\xadebase2.63.5:	52700	5268	0 111(19), [Fe 111](1F)?	7	7
5410:5412He 11(2)P5485b:5480N II(29)PPP5597b5592O III(5)?PPP56805680/67N II(3)383257555755[N II]3F1044758155812C IV(1)?PP58755876He I(11)13385928b5932Fe II(47), N II(28)P-6100-?P?-61626168N II(36,60), He II8PP62456243N II(57), Fe II(74)PP63006300[O I](1F), [S III](3F)?141563706364[O I](1F), Fe II(40), [Fe X](1F)?112364906482N II(3), Fe II(2)31P67306731/17[S II](2F)P-70697065He I(10)10-7122b7112-34C II(20)?10-726b7231/36C II(3)11-73267319/30[O II](2F)74-H6/\label{stabular}2.63.5:	53220	5317	Fe II(48, 49), N II(36)?	/	7
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5410:	5412	$Me_{11}(2)$	-	P
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	54855:	5480	N = 11(29)	P	P
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	559/b	5592	0 111(5)?	P 20	P 22
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5680	5680/67	$N \perp (3)$	38	32
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5755	5/55		104	47
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5815	5812	C IV(1)?	P	P
5928b5932Fe II(47), N II(28)P-5950b5942-60N II(28)10116100-?P?-61626168N II(36,60), He II8PP62456243N II(57), Fe II(74)PP63006300[0 I](1F), [S III](3F)?141563706364[0 I](1F), Fe II(40), [Fe X](1F)?112364906482N II(8), Fe II(?)31P65706563Ha, [N II](1F)1000saturated67306731/17[S II](2F)P-70697065He I(10)10-7122b7112-34C II(20)?10-726b7231/36C II(3)11-73267319/30[0 II](2F)74-H $\beta/\lambda5350$ continuum (arb units)2.63.5:	5875	5876	He I(11)	13	38
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5928b	5932	Fe II(47), N II(28)	Р	-
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5950b	5942-60	N II(28)	10	11
61626168N II (36,60), He II8PP62456243N II (57), Fe II (74)PP63006300[O I] (1F), [S III] (3F)?141563706364[O I] (1F), Fe II (40), [Fe X] (1F)?112364906482N II (8), Fe II (7)31P65706563Ha, [N II] (1F)1000saturated67306731/17[S II] (2F)P-70697065He I(10)10-7122b7112-34C II (20)?10-726b7231/36C II (3)73267319/30[O II] (2F)74-H $\beta/\lambda5350$ continuum (arb units)2.63.5:	6100	· · · · ·	?	P?	-
62456243N II (57), Fe II (74)PP63006300[0 I] (1F), [S III] (3F)?141563706364[0 I] (1F), Fe II (40), [Fe X] (1F)?112364906482N II (8), Fe II (7)31P65706563Ha, [N II] (1F)1000saturated67306731/17[S II] (2F)P-70697065He I (10)10-7122b7112-34C II (20)?10-726b7231/36C II (3)11-73267319/30[0 II] (2F)74-H $\beta/\lambda5350$ continuum (arb units)2.63.5:	6162	6168	N II(36,60), He II8	Р	P
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6245	6243	N II(57), Fe II(74)	Р	P
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6300	6300	[O I](lF), [S III](3F)?	14	15
6490 $6482$ N II (8), Fe II (?)31P6570 $6563$ H $\alpha$ , [N II] (IF)1000saturated6730 $6731/17$ [S II] (2F)P-70697065He I(10)10-7122b7112-34C II (20)?10-726b7231/36C II (3)7247b7254O I(20)?11-73267319/30[O II] (2F)74-H $\beta/\lambda5350$ continuum (arb units)2.63.5:	6370	6364	[O I](lF), Fe II(40), [Fe X](lF)?	11	23
65706563Ha, [N II](1F)1000saturated67306731/17[S II](2F)P-70697065He I(10)10-7122b7112-34C II(20)?10-726b7231/36C II(3)11-7247b7254O I(20)?74-73267319/30[O II](2F)74-H $\beta/\lambda$ 5350continuum (arb units)2.63.5:	6490	6482	N II( $3$ ), Fe II(?)	31	Р
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	6570	6563	H $\alpha$ , [N II](1F)	1000	saturated
70697065He I (10)10-7122b7112-34C II (20)?10-7226b7231/36C II (3)11-7247b7254O I (20)?11-73267319/30[O II] (2F)74-H $\beta/\lambda$ 5350continuum (arb units)2.63.5:	6730	6731/17	[S II](2F)	P	-
7122b7112-34C II (20)?10-7226b7231/36C II (3)11-7247b7254O I (20)?11-73267319/30[O II] (2F)74-H $\beta/\lambda$ 5350continuum (arb units)2.63.5:	7069	7065	He I(10)	10	-
7226b7231/36C II (3)11-7247b7254O I (20)?-73267319/30[O II] (2F)74- $H\beta/\lambda5350$ continuum (arb units)2.63.5:	7122b	7112-34	C II(20)?	10	-
7247b72540 I(20)?11-73267319/30[0 II](2F)74- $H\beta/\lambda 5350$ continuum (arb units)2.63.5:	7226b	7231/36	C II(3)	11	-
73267319/30[O II] (2F)74- $H\beta/\lambda5350$ continuum (arb units)2.63.5:	7247b	7254	O I(20)?	<b>T T</b>	-
$H\beta/\lambda 5350$ continuum (arb units) 2.6 3.5:	7326	7319/30	[O II](2F)	74	-
H $\beta/\lambda$ 5350 continuum (arb units) 2.6 3.5:					
	H	β/λ5350 continuum	(arb units)	2.6	3.5:

\*P indicates line is present but weak

212

213

fragmentary. However, Nova Scuti 1975 was well behaved, and the known systematic behavior of moderately fast novae can be used to provide a framework for interpretation.

## II. OBSERVATIONS

The Lick Observatory data were taken on 1975 August 2 by Osterbrock using the image-tube imagedissector system (Robinson and Wampler 1972) on the 3 m telescope Cassegrain spectrograph. A total of six scans were made, two covering the blue region  $\lambda\lambda$ 3520–5870, and four in the red region  $\lambda\lambda$ 5185–7610. Because of the large range in line intensities, blue spectra were made with both clear and 2.5 mag neutral-density filters. Red spectra required an additional measurement with a 5 mag neutral-density filter because of the strength of the H $\alpha$ -[N II] blend. The observations were reduced to  $F_{\lambda}$  versus  $\lambda$  plots by correcting for instrumental response, wavelength nonlinearities, etc., following standard procedures outlined by Osterbrock and Miller (1975).

Fluxes of stronger lines were measured directly from the final plots with a planimeter. The major uncertainties arise from the difficulties in assigning continuum levels and in allowing for line wings, and not from errors in measurement. For a few weaker lines, an empirically determined calibration between flux and line base width times height was used. Line intensities relative to H $\beta$  are given in Table 1.

Image-tube spectra having a dispersion of 118 Å mm<sup>-1</sup> were obtained by Warner on 1975 August 31 using the Cassegrain image-tube spectrograph on the Yale 1 m telescope at CTIO. Two exposures differing

by a factor of 2.65 were made of the nova, which allowed all but the strongest features to be measured. Because of a blue leak, the wavelength coverage is effectively limited to  $\lambda\lambda 3500-6600$ . The standard stars  $\kappa$  Aql and 29 Psc (Hayes 1970) provided a calibration of the relative response function, and a density-to-intensity conversion was made from simultaneous spot sensitometer plates. All of the plates were converted to density tracings by using a Joyce-Loebl densitometer at the University of Minnesota.

The response function as determined from four spectra of the standard stars agreed to within 10% over  $\lambda\lambda$ 3500–6600 but only repeated to about 20% near H $\alpha$  because of problems caused by the blue leak. Since several categories of line profiles are present, it was necessary to measure more than peak intensities to find relative fluxes. Lines were therefore approximately modeled in intensity units, and areas were used to measure fluxes. The internal agreement between line ratios based on the two different exposures is only moderate, with typical variations of 15%. Again, line strengths are systematically affected by problems in defining the continuum. The final line ratios are given in Table 1, and the spectrum is illustrated in Figure 2. Note that all of the line ratios in Table 1 are subject to some ambiguity, as the spectral resolution of these observations was insufficient to allow a component-by-component intercomparison of lines. Since the two major shells in nova emission spectra may have quite different characteristics (Gallagher and Anderson 1976), the approach used here is not entirely satisfactory. Also, differential extinction across the nova can cause difficulties in interpreting line ratios (e.g., Sanyal 1974).



FIG. 2.—Density tracing of the more heavily exposed CTIO spectrum of Nova Scuti 1975. Major lines have been identified following Table 1.

1978ApJ...221..211G

# TABLE 2

NEAR-INFRARED SPECTRA OF NOVA SCUTI

Wavelength	Identification and Wavelength	Line Strength-Eye Estimates			
		June 24-30*	June 28 <sup>+</sup>	July 18	August 3
6570	Hα 6563, [N II] 6548/84	strong	5	5	5
6680	He I(46) 6678	weak	0?	0	0
6725	[S II] 6719/30		0	0-1	0
7065	He I(10) 7065	weak	np?	1	1-2
7135	[A III] 7136		np?	1	1
7220b	Fe II(73) 7222, CII(3) 7230	present	np?	1	1 1
7240b	O I(20) 7254, C II(3) 7236	-	np?	1	} 1
7325	[O II] 7319/30	present	2	3	3
7460b	NI(3) 7468, OI(55) 7480				
	Fe II(73) 7462		1-2	2	0
7780	O I(1) 7772-75	moderate	2	3	2
7890	O I(64) 7886, Mg II(8) 7377, [Fe	eXI}? weak	0	1	?
8220	N I(2) 8200/16/23	moderate	0-1	2	1
8260	unidentified		0?	1	0
8450	O I(4) 8446	strong	3-4	4	4-5
		a			

#### \*Fehrenbach and Andrillat (1975)

+ITT image intensifier; other spectra taken with a Varo image intensifier.

np = not present

Red and near-infrared spectra covering  $\lambda\lambda 6500-8500$ with dispersion of 90 or 130 Å mm<sup>-1</sup> were taken at irregular intervals with the Boro Spotz small Cassegrain spectrograph on the O'Brien Observatory 76 cm telescope. Initially, an uncooled, two-stage ITT 40 mm diameter fiber optics image intensifier with an S-20 cathode was used as the primary detector. In 1975 July it was replaced by a similar type of cooled Varo image intensifier having excellent response to 8500 Å. Major near-infrared emission lines and eye estimates of strength are listed in Table 2, and a sample spectrum is shown in Figure 3. Emission lines noted on a series of near-infrared spectra obtained in late June by Fehrenbach and Andrillat (1975) are included in Table 2 for comparison.

## III. DISCUSSION OF THE SPECTRA

In the range of 3–4 mag below maximum, which is relevant for our observations of Nova Scuti 1975, novae display a complex variety of emission features. Prominent optical species usually include H(Balmer), He I, He II, N II, N III, [O I], [N II], [O III], and [Ne III]



# WAVELENGTH

FIG. 3.—Density tracing of the 1975 July 18 near-infrared spectrum obtained with the O'Brien Observatory 76 cm telescope.

No. 1, 1978

1978ApJ...221..211G

(Payne-Gaposchkin 1957). The absence of [O II]  $\lambda$ 3727 is due to collisional quenching, which is to be expected at the high densities in nova envelopes. The situation is further complicated for novae showing light curve oscillations during the decline, as the strength of high-excitation permitted features tends to be anticorrelated with optical brightness (Payne-Gaposchkin 1941, 1957; McLaughlin 1960). This suggests that we consider the envelope to consist of at least two zones: (1) a hot, high-density region near the stellar remnant which might behave like a dense stellar wind or extended atmosphere; and (2) a lower-

jung 1966; Nariai 1974; Sparks, Starrfield, and Truran 1976). Given these complications, it is clear that the present data are hopelessly inadequate for the construction of even a rudimentary model. However, some characteristics of individual lines provide a useful basis for preliminary explorations of conditions in the nova. These are reviewed below.

density and cooler shell (McLaughlin 1960; Fried-

a) H.—The Balmer-series decrement from Table 1 is quite pathological, e.g.,  $H\gamma/H\beta = 0.7$ . This may be partially due to errors in measuring line areas, and we have therefore also determined the Balmer decrement from line heights alone using the Lick data (see Table 3), a procedure which should be valid for any set of lines with similar profiles. The Balmer decrement then appears more normal for  $H\gamma/H\beta$  and H8/H $\beta$ , but is still peculiar for the other series numbers. These lines are, however, quite likely to be blended with strong emission features, which are identified in Table 3. Thus, other than  $H\alpha$ , the hydrogen Balmer spectrum might be interpreted as what would be expected from case B recombination, although the simplifying assumption of a single component at one temperature and the lack of any good estimate for the correction for interstellar reddening clearly weaken this conclusion.

It is more likely that some or all of the Balmer lines are optically thick. In support of this view, we note that (1) the very strong O I  $\lambda$ 8446 line (Table 2) could be indicative of pumping by  $L\beta$  (Strittmatter *et al.* 1977), which requires that at least  $H\alpha$  be optically thick; and (2) hydrogen emission strengths have been observed to be modulated during semiperiodic light oscillations in the nova V603 Aql (Payne-Gaposchkin 1941), which suggests that emission contributions from high-density regions can be important. If the Hn line is observed to vary substantially on a time scale of t days, then  $N_e \ge [t\alpha_B(n)]^{-1}$ . In the case of V603 Aql, Payne-Gaposchkin's (1941) data showed that H $\delta$  had  $t \approx 1$  day. Taking  $\alpha_B$  from Osterbrock's (1974) Table 4.4, assuming  $T = 10^4$  K, we have  $N_e \ge 1 \times 10^9$  cm<sup>-3</sup>. Under these circumstances the H $\beta$  line would be likely to have  $\tau > 1$ .

A further indication of high optical depth is the large  $H\alpha/H\beta$  ratio. Although  $H\alpha$  is hopelessly blended with [N II], an approximate estimate of the relative contribution of [N II], I[N II], can be crudely ob-tained from the centroid wavelength of the blend,  $\lambda_c = 6562.8 + 11.8I[N II]$ . From the Lick scans, we find  $\lambda_c = 6567$  and thus  $I(H\alpha) \ge 0.6I$ . The combina-tion local  $U(H\alpha) \ge 0.8$  and local  $U(H\alpha) \ge 0.28$  would tion log H $\alpha$ /H $\beta \ge 0.8$  and log H $\gamma$ /H $\beta = -0.28$  would

					512.5	÷	
		Ī	JICK	CTIO	Car		Comments
HI		<u>Table l</u>	Line height	Table 1	Cal	se b	continentes
	HЗ	0.15	0.17	-	0.11*	0.11**	b HeI
	Hε	0.30	0.29	0.30	0.16	0.16	b [NeIII
	Нδ	0.45	0.45	0.67	0.26	0.26	b NIII
	Hγ	0.67	0.53	1.50	0.47	0.48	b [OIII]
	Нβ	1.0	1.0	1.00	1.00	1.00	
	Нα	10 (7)	10	-	2.81	2.74	b [NII]
HeI	3889	<0.4(est)	-	-	0.83*	1.08**	b HI
	4471	≲0.5 <b>:</b>	0.6:	0.42	0.37	0.3 <b>9</b>	b [FeII]
	5876	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
	7065	0.9	0.7	-	0.12	0.19	

TABLE 3 LINE RATIOS FOR INDIVIDUAL SPECIES

\*  $T = 10^4 N_e = 10^6 cm^{-3}$  from Osterbrock (1974) \*\*  $T = 2 \times 10^4 N_e = 10^4 cm^{-3}$  from Osterbrock (1974)

216

1978ApJ...221..211G

then at face value require  $\tau(H\alpha) \gg 1$ , if the H $\alpha$  emistherms

sion is all to arise from recombination. An additional complication in understanding the Balmer decrement could arise, if H $\alpha$  were in part collisionally excited in the high-temperature interior envelope of the nova. This should affect the line profiles; thus this possibility could be tested, if highresolution line profiles were available for H $\alpha$  and H $\beta$ . Whatever its cause, the unusual Balmer decrement is not unique. Similarly large H $\alpha$ /H $\beta$  ratios were observed during the declines of Nova Herculis 1960 (V443 Her) (Meinel 1963) and Nova Herculis 1963 (V533 Her) (R. Bless, A. D. Code, and T. Houck, private communication), although in both of these novae the Balmer decrement approached normal recombination values as the nebular stage progressed.

b) He I and He II.—Emission from both He I and He II increased relative to H $\beta$  during 1975 August. Part of the general trend in which the mean level of ionization was rising, this increase showed that the expected postmaximum shift of luminosity into the ultraviolet had occurred (Gallagher and Starrfield 1976). In the Lick data He II was too weak and blended with  $\lambda$ 4640 to measure; only the He I triplets  $\lambda$ 5876 and  $\lambda$ 7065 were strong enough to measure with confidence. He I  $\lambda$ 4471 is present but badly blended. In the CTIO data both  $\lambda$ 4471 and  $\lambda$ 5876 were relatively pronounced and unblended. Line ratios and case B recombination predictions are summarized in Table 3.

Both data sets are consistent with  $I(4471)/I(5876) \approx$ 0.4, which is quite close to the expectations from simple recombination theory (Osterbrock 1974). However,  $\lambda$ 3889 is too weak. [We have estimated  $I(3889, \text{He I}) \approx$ 0.33I(3990) from the strength of H $\beta$ ; however, even if *all* the  $\lambda$ 3990 is due to He I, the line is still deficient relative to the case B predictions.] On the other hand,  $\lambda$ 7065 is considerably stronger than expected. This pattern of line intensities is characteristic of the effects of self-absorption from the metastable 2 <sup>3</sup>S level (Robbins 1968). When we assume a local velocity of 50 km s<sup>-1</sup> within the ejecta (Gallagher and Anderson 1976), then the ratio of differential expansion to thermal velocity is  $\gamma = v/v(\text{thermal}) > 5$ . The models tabulated by Robbins (1968) for  $\tau(3889) = 75$ ,  $\gamma = 5$ , and  $T = 2 \times 10^4$  give I(7065)/I(5876) = 0.7, in good agreement with our observations. This model also gives I(4471)/I(5876) = 0.35. As this ratio is almost independent of optical depth, the observations are consistent with low interstellar reddening.

From Table 1 we see that, for the Lick and CTIO data,  $I(5876)/I(H\beta)$  is 0.1 and 0.4, respectively. Since it is unlikely that reddening is very important, this ratio and  $I(4686)/I(H\beta)$  may directly reflect the abundance of helium relative to hydrogen. Using the standard techniques outlined by Osterbrock (1974), we have derived the indicative helium abundances given in Table 4. It would appear that helium is significantly overabundant relative to hydrogen in Nova Scuti 1975. The increases in the apparent amount of helium during August are most likely due to changes in the relative volumes occupied by the relevant He and H ionization zones. Unfortunately, at this time we cannot interpret the results in Table 4 as rigorous evidence for an excessive abundance of helium. Even though [He/H] is rather insensitive to temperature, large optical depths or radiative-transfer effects in either line would invalidate the assumed proportionality between emission strength and total number of ions. While the optical thickness of  $H\beta$ is uncertain and the chances of variations in He I  $\lambda$ 5876 strength due to large column densities are small (Robbins 1968), a longer sequence of observations would be needed to assure that the emitting volumes are behaving in an optically thin fashion. At the epoch of these observations, it is also conceivable that some components of the lines are collisionally excited, which would also nullify the simple abundance analysis.

c) N II and N III.—Both N<sup>+</sup> and N<sup>++</sup> are present in the nova shell. The N III spectrum is most evident from the  $\lambda$ 4640 emission blend (which also contains contributions from C III, O II, and N II), although  $\lambda$ 4097 and  $\lambda$ 4103 are certainly also present. It is likely that the great strength of N III  $\lambda$ 4640 is due to the action of fluorescence with O III through the Bowen

Date	<b>Ι(λ5876)/Ι(</b> Ηβ)	I(λ4686)/I(Hβ)	$n(He^{+})/n(H^{+})$	n(He <sup>++</sup> )/n(H <sup>+</sup> )	n(He)/n(H)
August 2, 1975	0.13	≲0.1	0.09	≲0.009	~0.1:
August 31, 1975	0.38	0.34	0.27	0.03	≳0.3

 TABLE 4

 Possible Helium Abundances Relative to Hydrogen\*

\*Assumes recombination at  $T = 10^{4}$ K and  $N_{e} = 10^{6}$  using rates given by Osterbrock (1974). Note that the line intensities could be affected by collisional excitation or transfer effects; thus the abundances in this table may not be reliable (see text).

No. 1, 1978

mechanism and starlight excitation (Swings 1948). The presence of large intensities in N III is then good evidence for a strong stellar continuum near  $\lambda 374$  and for the existence of substantial velocity gradients to overcome the effects of self-shadowing. Since  $\lambda 4640$  emission is virtually a trademark of novae during both the active and postoutburst phases, it would be useful to have a more quantitative understanding of N III emission. Observations of the time dependence of the near-ultraviolet O III resonance fluorescence in novae could prove quite interesting in this regard.

It is possible to place some very rough limits on starlight excitation of N III. As H $\beta$  may be optically thick, the ionizing flux from the star  $F_{\lambda}^*$ , for  $\lambda < \lambda_0 = 912$  Å, will be related to the H $\beta$  flux  $F(H\beta)$  in a steady-state case by

$$\int_{\lambda_0}^0 F_{\lambda}^* d\lambda = \eta 40 F(\mathrm{H}\beta) \frac{912}{\langle \lambda_0^* \rangle}, \qquad (1)$$

where  $\eta \ge 1$  and  $\langle \lambda_0^* \rangle$  is the effective wavelength of the ionizing continuum. Now assume a fraction  $\epsilon$  of the  $\lambda 4640$  emission results from conversion of stellar continuum photons over a rectangular Doppler profile of width  $\Delta \lambda_0 \approx 2000 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ; then

$$F^*(374)\Delta\lambda_0 \approx 12F(4640)$$
. (2)

From Table 1,  $F(4640) \approx 0.9F(H\beta)$ . As an illustrative example, we take  $\eta = 5$ ,  $\epsilon = 1$ , and  $\langle \lambda_0^* \rangle = 450$  Å, in which case the total ionizing flux is ~50 times the flux over  $\Delta \lambda_0 \approx 3$  Å near  $\lambda 374$  that is required to produce the N III. Thus it does not appear unreasonable, considering the high fluxes which occur in hot stars near the N III edge, that starlight excitation can be important in novae—although, of course, contributions from the Bowen mechanism and recombination may also be substantial.

In any case, a high-temperature region (T > 50,000 K but less than 100,000 K, as N v is absent) seems unavoidable. This is not an unusual temperature estimate for a declining nova (Gallagher and Starrfield 1976; see also Gallagher 1977) and suggests that a plateau luminosity stage has followed visual maximum, as has now been directly observed in several novae.

Rich N II emission is also common in novae as a part of the nitrogen-flaring phenomenon (Payne-Gaposchkin 1975). For example, prominent N II lines were seen in spectra of the fast and moderate novae V603 Aql (Wyse 1939), CP Pup (Sanford 1945), DK Lac (Wellmann 1951), V446 Her (Meinel 1963), and V533 Her (Andrillat and Collin-Souffrin 1974) and are also clearly in evidence in Nova Scuti 1975. The physical processes or conditions which lead to enhanced N II emission are not understood. In particular, the strength of N II  $\lambda$ 5678 [ $\sim \frac{1}{3}I(H\beta)$ ] is impossible to reconcile with any normal recombination model. From the available data, it does not appear that dielectronic recombination leading to  $\overline{\lambda}5678$ emission is especially likely. This quandary led Meinel (1963) to suggest that the N II spectrum is collisionally excited; but as the lines are  $\sim 20$  eV above the ground state, a rather hot region would be required. However, Grandi (1976) has proposed that the relatively high intensities of some of the N II triplets in the Orion Nebula [ $\sim 10^{-3}I(H\beta)$ ] may be the result of resonance fluorescence of the  $4s {}^{3}P^{o}$  term of N II by the He I  $1s^{2} S^{-1}S = 1s^{8}p^{-1}P^{\circ} \lambda 508.7$  recombination line. It is uncertain whether this process could produce N II lines as strong as those in novae, although the appropriate triplet transitions are all present. But until the physics of N II emission in novae can be unraveled, it is impossible to use this obviously unique characteristic as a tool to explore the late-decline phase. Another hint that something peculiar is happening is the similarity between the time-dependent behaviors of He I and N II in Nova Herculis 1960 (V443 Her) (Meinel 1963); this would seem to support the He I fluorescence mechanism, and it also further reinforces the necessity of proceeding with caution in interpreting the strength of He I emission solely in terms of an abundance anomaly.

d) Other permitted lines.—Aside from lines of N II, N III, H, He I, and He II, only weak permitted features which could be due to C I, O II, O III, or Fe II are seen in the optical region. Infrared spectra, however, reveal the presence of some N I emission and strong O I features through the beginning of 1975 August (see Table 2). Thus, although the degree of ionization is steadily increasing, neutral areas are evidently important rather long after the nebular stage has been entered. It would be useful to establish whether we are dealing with a radial ionization dependence in which an H II region is expanding into a neutral shell (cf. Strittmatter et al. 1977; Bosma 1972; Ferland 1977) or with a density within each shell of sufficient nonuniformity that lumps of neutral matter remain embedded in a volume of ionized gas. To this end, systematic observations of the time dependence of the infrared  $\lambda$ 7772 and  $\lambda$ 8846 O I lines, as compared with nebular features in different types of novae, would be quite valuable (see Strittmatter et al. 1977). It is also of importance to try and estimate the relative masses of material involved in the neutral and ionized phases, as the strong O I could be arising from a relatively small mass fraction of high-density gas during the early nebular stage.

In particular, if the radial ionization structure suggested by Ferland (1977) for Nova Cygni 1975 (V1500 Cyg) is correct, then it may be necessary to reexamine the processes which inhibit extensive dust condensation in fast novae. Gallagher (1977) has suggested that the formation of an H II region prevents the production of grains. However, the ionization time scale found for his very simple model used in conjunction with the study of grain formation is short compared with the observed duration of O I and [O I] emission. For example, in the case of V1500 Cyg, the O I remained prominent for about 100 days (Strittmatter et al. 1977) as compared with the estimated ionization time of 40 days given by Gallagher (1977). If the neutral material is in subcondensations (as in planetary nebulae), then the dust model probably remains reasonable. Since the condensations fill a small solid angle, even if dust does form in the 218

1978ApJ...221..211G

neutral regions, the infrared luminosity would be low. This type of model might be able to produce the late, low-luminosity thermal infrared excess found in Nova Cygni 1975 by Ennis *et al.* (1977).

e) High-excitation forbidden lines?-The ratio of [O I]  $\lambda 6300$  to [O I]  $\lambda 6364$  is set by the relative Einstein A-values of 3-1. As can be seen from Table 1, the strength of the  $\lambda 6364$  feature is far too strong to be due to [O I] alone. Four blends with [O I] are at least feasible: Fe II  $\lambda 6369$ , Si II  $\lambda 6347$  and  $\lambda 6371$ , [Fe x]  $\lambda 6374$ , and N II  $\lambda 6379$ . Because of the extreme velocities in novae, it is exceedingly difficult to make a positive identification on the basis of wavelength alone. The most generally accepted identification for the feature contaminating [O I]  $\lambda 6364$  is [Fe x]  $\lambda 6374$ (e.g., Payne-Gaposchkin 1957; Bloch and Chalonge 1965; Ferland, Lambert, and Woodman 1977), which in some cases may be correct; but the possibility of confusion does exist. Since this point is of interest in terms of a rather understudied and important aspect of novae—i.e., the presence of very hot  $(\sim 10^6 \text{ K})$  gas soon after maximum (Grasdalen and Joyce 1976) it is crucial that the identifications of [Fe x] and other coronal lines be firmly established.

Ferland *et al.* obtained digital, high-spectralresolution coudé scanner data for the nova V1500 Cyg and were able to subtract the [O I] contribution from the  $\lambda 6370$  blend, using [O I]  $\lambda 6300$  to determine the profile and strength. These high-quality observations conclusively establish that Si II and Fe II cannot be the contributing lines in V1500 Cyg, especially during the later phases. Ferland *et al.* therefore strongly conclude that [Fe x] has been detected. The possible role of N II is not discussed, although N II does not seem to have been particularly strong in Nova Cygni 1975.

However, in other instances where the spectral resolution and photometric quality were lower, more details of the character of the rest of the spectrum are available. In the cases of V603 Aql (Wyse 1939), CP Pup (Sanford 1945), CP Lac (Wyse 1936), V443 Her (Dufay, Bloch, and Chalonge 1965), V533 Her (Bloch and Chalonge 1965), and Nova Scuti 1975, N II emission was prominent during the decline when possible [Fe x]  $\lambda 6374$  was first identified. Although N II multiplet (2), which gives rise to the  $\lambda 6379$  line, is an intercombination transition, the line is strong in laboratory spectra. Furthermore, as the excitation mechanism which accounts for the N II emission is unknown, one cannot state with any certainty a priori what the relative N II line strengths should be. Thus a conservative position is that  $\tilde{N}$  II  $\lambda 6379$  may offer a plausible alternative to [Fe x]. However, we wish to emphasize that the presence of a N II blend with [O I] also cannot be rigorously demonstrated with any of the available data; it is likely that coronal lines are seen in some instances.

An argument for the presence of [Fe x] is the existence of emission features coincident with other coronal lines such as [Fe xI]  $\lambda$ 7892. Here confusion with O I  $\lambda$ 7886 or Mg II  $\lambda$ 7890 is possible. Since O I  $\lambda$ 7886 arises from a doubly excited state, this line is not likely to be produced in an astrophysical situation

(Grandi 1977). Whatever its identification, the  $\lambda$ 7890 feature is probably present in the August 3 spectrum of Nova Scuti 1975 as well as in that of Nova Serpentis 1970 (FH Ser) (Ciatti and Mammano 1972) and on O'Brien Observatory spectra of Nova Vulpeculae 1976 at times when the [O I] ratio appears normal. The presence of a noncoronal contributor thus appears likely. During the decline and early transition it is possible that the strength of Mg II  $\lambda$ 7890 could be enhanced through fluorescence by H I  $L\beta$  (J. Black, private communication), as has been proposed by Grandi and Phillips (1977) to explain the strong  $\lambda 2950$  emission seen in quasars. This model also predicts that Mg II  $\lambda$ 2934 would be present at about  $\frac{1}{4}$  the strength of Mg II  $\lambda$ 2800, which appears to be marginally inconsistent with ultraviolet spectra of FH Ser for the 1970 mid-March period when  $\lambda$ 7890 was seen (see Gallagher and Code 1974). Therefore, the proper identification of the  $\lambda$ 7890 feature is at present uncertain, as [Fe x1], O I, and Mg II all have some disadvantage in at least one nova.

#### IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

At 3-4 mag below visual maximum, the emission spectrum of Nova Scuti 1975 was dominated by lines arising from neutral through doubly ionized H, He, N, and O. From the integrated strengths of the lines we have found the following:

1. The Balmer decrement does not agree with predictions of case B recombination—at least for the  $H\alpha/H\beta$  ratio, which is ~10. This and the presence of pronounced O I  $\lambda$ 8446 imply that  $H\alpha$  and perhaps  $H\beta$  are optically thick. The decrement for higher series members is difficult to determine, owing to blending with other lines, but seems in reasonable agreement with recombination. At face value, the data suggest that the amount of interstellar extinction is not extremely large. It is also possible that the use of integrated line ratios, rather than of componentby-component ratios for each line, has affected the analysis.

2. The ratio of He I  $\lambda$ 4471 to He I  $\lambda$ 5876, which is relatively insensitive to effects of optical depth, is also close to theoretical values. Since  $\lambda 3889$  is weak and  $\lambda$ 7065 is strong, at least the  $\lambda$ 3889 line is optically thick (Robbins 1968). From the ratio of He II  $\lambda$ 4686 to He I  $\lambda$ 5876, we find that the fraction of He<sup>++</sup> increases relative to He<sup>+</sup> during our observations. If the strengths of He I and He II lines as compared with  $H\beta$  are interpreted as being purely indicative of abundances, then He is overabundant and n(He)/n(H) > 0.3. The summary of available determinations of the He content of nova ejecta given by Collin-Souffrin (1977) has a mean value of n(He)/n(H) = $0.3 \pm 0.15(\sigma)$ . Thus it is possible that nova ejecta are rich in He. However, the critical  $\lambda$ 5876 or H $\beta$ line ratio may be confused by effects from large optical depths or by unusual line-formation conditions. Further observations of novae that are well into the nebula stage (when the gas is approaching a steady state) are needed to confirm the possibility of a high helium content.

© American Astronomical Society • Provided by the NASA Astrophysics Data System

No. 1, 1978

3. The strong N III  $\lambda$ 4640 feature, if excited by the Swings (1948) continuum pumping mechanism, requires an exceedingly intense source of photons near 374 Å, which seems almost inconsistent with the hydrogen line strengths. This difficulty would be eased, if the N III originated in a very hot region, presumably near the nova core, where H recombination would be inefficient, and if O III Bowen fluorescence provided a substantial contribution to the line strength. The presence of a hot and presumably luminous remnant is clearly required to produce the observed N III, He II, and [O III] lines. Nova Scuti 1975 seems to have experienced the normal shift of luminosity to ultraviolet wavelengths during the visual decline (Gallagher and Starrfield 1976).

4. Lines of N II, especially N II  $\lambda$ 5678, are very strong relative to H and He. It is not clear whether the physics of this common characteristic of novae are understood. It seems probable that some pumping mechanism, such as that suggested by Grandi (1976), or an enhanced recombination rate are involved. Meinel (1963) noted a similar problem in the nova V443 Her and suggested that the N II lines might be collisionally excited.

5. A wide range in state of ionization is indicated by, for example, the simultaneous presence of [O I] and [O III], while the coexistence of O I and [O I] shows that there is also a large density contrast.

The trend during the observations is toward an increased level of ionization. While the lines of O I and [O I] are quite pronounced, it does not necessarily follow that the mass fraction of neutral matter is large. The O I lines may be produced by a combination of fluorescence and recombination, while [O I] may also be enhanced by the presence of high-density concentrations within the ejecta. Further work on the spatial and ionization structure of postnovae through

Andrillat, Y., and Collin-Souffrin, S. 1974, Astr. Ap., 31, 347.
Bloch, M., and Chalonge, D. 1965, in Novae, novoids, et supernovae (Paris: CNRS), p. 78.
Bosma, P. B. 1972, Astr. Ap., 21, 223.
Ciatti, F., and Mammano, A. 1972, Rendiconti della Classe di Sairaga facida matematiche, a neturali Sor 8, 52, 62.

- Scienze fisiche, matematiche, e naturali, Ser. 8, 52, 62. Collin-Souffrin, S. 1977, in Novae and Related Stars, ed. M. Friedjung (Dordrecht: Reidel), p. 123.
- Dufay, J., Bloch, M., and Chalonge, D. 1965, in Novae, novoids, et supernovae (Paris: CNRS), p. 63. Ennis, D., Becklin, E. E., Beckwith, S., Elias, J., Gatley, K.,
- Matthews, G., Neugebauer, G., and Willner, S. P. 1977, *Ap. J.*, **214**, 478.
- Fehrenbach, C., and Andrillat, Y. 1975, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris B, 281, 169
- Ferland, G. 1977, *Nature*, **267**, 597. Ferland, G., Lambert, D. L., and Woodman, J. H. 1977, *Ap. J.*, **213**, 132.
- Friedjung, M. 1966, M.N.R.A.S., 132, 317.
- Gallagher, J. S. 1977, A.J., 82, 209.
- Gallagher, J. S., and Anderson, C. M. 1976, *Ap. J.*, **203**, 625. Gallagher, J. S., and Code, A. D. 1974, *Ap. J.*, **189**, 303. Gallagher, J. S., and Starrfield, S. G. 1976, *M.N.R.A.S.*, **176**,
- Grandi, S. A. 1976, Ap. J., 206, 658.
- 1977, private communication
- Grandi, S. A., and Phillips, M. M. 1977, preprint. Grasdalen, G. L., and Joyce, R. R. 1976, *Nature*, **259**, 187.

a comparison of line profiles (Gallagher and Anderson 1976) would be worthwhile.

6. Emission features at  $\lambda 6370$  (blended with [O I]  $\lambda$ 6364) and  $\lambda$ 7890, which may be identified with [Fe x] and [Fe xi], are seen. In this and several other cases, alternative identifications with N II  $\lambda 6379$  and an uncertain noncoronal feature near  $\lambda$ 7890 (such as Mg II or O I) are at least feasible. Thus, for most novae, the identification of optical coronal lines during the early nebular stage should be regarded as mildly tentative.

We have found that, despite the richness of the emission spectrum, relatively little quantitative information is obtained from a limited study of line strengths. Although the situation would be improved, if denser time coverage and especially if higher spectral resolution were available (which would allow line profiles to be used), the major deficiency lies in a lack of understanding as to how the emission lines are produced. Thus an emphasis on time-dependent models would be most useful. It is also evident that, until such models are available, it would be unwise to assume that peculiar line ratios in declining or transition-stage novae are necessarily associated with anomalies in abundances.

I thank Drs. D. Osterbrock and J. Warner for obtaining spectrophotometry of Nova Scuti 1975. Drs. J. Black, K. Davidson, S. A. Grandi, and S. G. Starrfield provided important critical comments. Much of the data reduction was undertaken by K. Thompson as part of a senior project at the University of Minnesota; R. Hubbard also assisted with the preparation of the paper. This research has been supported in part by the National Science Foundation through grant MPS 75-1284.

# REFERENCES

- Hayes, S. 1970, Ap. J., 159, 165.
  McLaughlin, D. B. 1960, in Stellar Atmospheres, ed. J. L. Greenstein (Chicago: University of Chicago Press), p. 676.
  Meinel, A. P. 1963, Ap. J., 137, 834.
  Nariai, K. 1974, Astr. Ap., 36, 231.
  Osterbrock, D. E. 1974, Astrophysics of Gaseous Nebulae (San Francisco: Freeman).
  Osterbrock D. E. and Miller J. S. 1075, Ap. J. 107, 525.

- Osterbrock, D. E., and Miller, J. S. 1975, Ap. J., 197, 535. Payne-Gaposchkin, C. 1941, in 13th Colloquium Internat. d'Ap.
- Novae and White Dwarfs, ed. A. J. Shaler (Paris: Hermann), p. 69.
- 1957, The Galactic Novae (Amsterdam: North-
- Holland). Robbins, R. R. 1968, Ap. J., 151, 511. Robinson, L. B., and Wampler, E. J. 1972, Pub. A.S.P., 84, 161.
- Rosino, L. 1965, in Novae, novoids, et supernovae (Paris: CNRS), p. 126. Sanford, R. F. 1945, Ap. J., 102, 357. Sanyal, A. 1974, Ap. J. Suppl., 28, 115. Sparks, W. M., Starrfield, S., and Truran, J. W. 1976, Ap. J.,

- 208, 819.

- J. S. GALLAGHER: Department of Astronomy, Observatory, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL 61801