

## CO OBSERVATIONS OF THE EXPANDING ENVELOPE OF IRC +10216

T. B. H. KUIPER

Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology

G. R. KNAPP AND S. L. KNAPP

Owens Valley Radio Observatory, California Institute of Technology

AND

ROBERT L. BROWN

National Radio Astronomy Observatory,\* Green Bank, West Virginia

Received 1975 May 12

### ABSTRACT

We have observed high-sensitivity emission profiles from the  $J = 0 \leftarrow 1$  transitions of  $^{12}\text{C}^{16}\text{O}$  and  $^{13}\text{C}^{16}\text{O}$  toward IRC+10216. It appears that the spherically symmetric uniform mass-outflow model proposed by Morris (1975) is necessary to describe the line profiles. The outflow appears to be slightly accelerated, having a velocity of  $15 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  at the edges of the CO cloud, compared with  $12 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  for the more centrally confined molecules.

*Subject headings:* infrared: sources — interstellar: molecules

### I. INTRODUCTION

The infrared source IRC +10216 (Neugebauer and Leighton 1969) consists of a small ( $0''.4$ ), optically thick component at 600 K surrounded by a larger ( $2''$ ) optically thin component whose temperature is 375 K (Becklin *et al.* 1969; Toombs *et al.* 1972). Spectra of the source suggest that it is a late-type carbon star, surrounded by obscuring dust presumably produced in the atmosphere of the star itself (Miller 1970; Herbig and Zappala 1970).

The infrared source is surrounded by an envelope producing millimeter-wavelength emission from many interstellar molecules. Emission lines from CO (Solomon *et al.* 1971), CN, and CS (Wilson *et al.* 1971; Turner *et al.* 1973), HCN (Morris *et al.* 1971; Wilson *et al.* 1973),  $\text{C}_2\text{H}$  (Tucker *et al.* 1974), and SiS, SiO, and  $\text{HC}_3\text{N}$  (Morris *et al.* 1975) have all been observed. The large velocity width ( $24 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ) seen in these lines strongly suggests expansion of the envelope.

The size of the cloud emitting these molecular lines has been measured as  $\leq 40''$  for HCN (Wilson *et al.* 1973) and  $\sim 2.1$  for CO (Wilson *et al.* 1973; Ulich 1975). Morris (1975) has proposed a model for the IRC +10216 molecular envelope in which the molecules are contained in an expanding envelope surrounding the star and are excited by absorption of infrared radiation into the excited vibrational states, the subsequent decay leaving the molecules in higher-lying rotational states in the ground vibrational state. These considerations decrease the required envelope mass from  $\geq 1 M_{\odot}$  if collisional excitation dominates (Morris *et al.* 1975) to about  $10^{-2} M_{\odot}$  (Morris 1975).

\* Operated by Associated Universities, Inc., under contract with the National Science Foundation.

As a result of the infrared excitation, the apparent size of the molecule-emitting region depends on the transition observed; the size of the region increases as  $J$  (the quantum number of the upper rotational state) decreases and as  $\mu_0$ , the permanent dipole moment of the molecule, decreases (see the discussion by Morris 1975). Thus the CO emitting region is very much larger than that of any of the other observed molecules. In particular, it is resolvable by the  $1'$  beam of the NRAO 11 m telescope, so that the CO line shapes should show the effects of partial resolution discussed by Morris (1975).

In the present paper, we report high-sensitivity observations of  $^{12}\text{CO}$  and  $^{13}\text{CO}$  in IRC +10216, including partial mapping in the  $^{13}\text{CO}$  line. The line shapes of  $^{12}\text{CO}$  and  $^{13}\text{CO}$  toward the center of the source appear to require a uniformly expanding envelope model.

### II. THE OBSERVATIONS

The observations described herein were made in 1975 March with the NRAO 11 m telescope at Kitt Peak, Arizona. The  $J = 0 \leftarrow 1$  transitions of  $^{12}\text{CO}$  and  $^{13}\text{CO}$  at 115.2712 and 110.2014 GHz, respectively, were measured; the observations reported here were all made in clear weather. The telescope beam efficiency was  $\sim 60$  percent and the half-power beamwidth was  $\sim 65''$ . The receiver used the NRAO cooled mixer, giving effective single-sideband system temperatures (which incorporate factors due to the atmosphere and the beam efficiency) of  $\sim 1200 \text{ K}$  and  $\sim 900 \text{ K}$  at the  $^{12}\text{CO}$  and  $^{13}\text{CO}$  frequencies, respectively. The system temperature and atmospheric attenuation were calibrated frequently using an oscillating vane (see Penzias and Burrus 1973). The observations were




FIG. 1.— $^{12}\text{C}^{16}\text{O } J = 0 \leftarrow 1$  profile at the central position of IRC + 10216 observed with a resolution of 100 kHz. The frequencies refer to the source rest frame at  $-26 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . The dashed line represents a uniform mass-outflow model in which the excitation temperature is assumed constant throughout the envelope (eq. 8).




FIG. 2.— $^{13}\text{C}^{16}\text{O } J = 0 \leftarrow 1$  profile at the central position of IRC + 10216, observed with a resolution of 250 kHz. The frequencies refer to the source rest frame at  $-26 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ . The dashed line represents the uniform mass-outflow model with inverse-square-law density dependence given by eq. 10.




FIG. 3a




FIG. 3b

FIG. 3.— $^{13}\text{C}^{16}\text{O}$  line profiles measured (a) 1' north and (b) 1' south of the central position of IRC + 10216. The resolution is 250 kHz.

made by position-switching to a reference point 1' from the source in azimuth, and typical integration times of about 1 hour per point were used. The observed position was  $\alpha_{1950} = 09^{\text{h}}45^{\text{m}}16^{\text{s}}.8$ ,  $\delta_{1950} = +13^{\circ}30'40''$  for both  $^{12}\text{CO}$  and  $^{13}\text{CO}$ ; in addition,

points 1' north and south of this position were observed in  $^{13}\text{CO}$ . The lines were observed with two 256-channel filter banks, giving resolutions of 0.1 MHz ( $\sim 0.26 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ) and 0.25 MHz ( $\sim 0.65 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ ) per channel, respectively.

TABLE 1  
OBSERVED PARAMETERS FOR THE CO LINES IN IRC +10216

Line	$T_A^*$ (peak)	$v_c$ (km s <sup>-1</sup> )	$\Delta v$ (km s <sup>-1</sup> )
<sup>12</sup> CO . . . . .	4.2	-26.0 ± 1.0	31.0 ± 1.0
<sup>13</sup> CO . . . . .	0.24† ± 0.02	-26.0 ± 1.0	29.0 ± 1.0
<sup>13</sup> CO-1'N . . . . .	0.14 ± 0.02	-25.4 ± 1.0	27.3 ± 1.0
<sup>13</sup> CO-1'S . . . . .	0.18 ± 0.03	-25.4 ± 1.0	29.3 ± 1.0

† Refers to the central part of the profile at  $v = -26$  km s<sup>-1</sup>.

The observations are summarized in Figures 1 through 3 and Table 1. In Figures 1 and 2 the <sup>12</sup>CO and <sup>13</sup>CO profiles centered on IRC +10216 are presented; in Table 1 we list for each line the values of  $T_A^*$ ,  $v_c$ , and  $\Delta v$ . These quantities are defined as follows:  $T_A^*$  is the Rayleigh-Jeans equivalent brightness temperature in kelvins (on this scale the peak temperature of Orion A is 60 K; see Ulich and Haas 1976);  $v_c$  is the velocity with respect to the LSR; and  $\Delta v$  is the velocity half-power width. In Figure 3, the <sup>13</sup>CO profiles measured north and south of the central point are presented.

### III. ANALYSIS OF THE LINE PROFILES

The observational profiles presented in Figures 1 and 2 show qualitatively good agreement with the model profiles predicted by Morris (1975) and Mufson and Liszt (1975) for a spherically symmetric, uniformly expanding envelope. We apply Morris's description to our observations because it is more amenable to analysis. The treatment of Mufson and Liszt offers a more intuitive understanding and has been included where appropriate.

In the following discussion, we use the basic notation introduced by Morris (1975):  $r$  = radial distance from the center of the source;  $p$  = projection of  $r$  on the plane of the sky;  $z$  = projection of  $r$  along the line of sight;  $V$  = expansion velocity of source;  $v_{\parallel}$  = projection of expansion velocity along the line of sight;  $\delta z(p, v_{\parallel})$  = distance element along the line of sight for which the line-of-sight velocity falls within  $\delta v$  of  $v_{\parallel}$ ;  $G(p)$  = antenna pattern with a half-power beamwidth of  $B$ ;  $T_B(p, v_{\parallel})$  = brightness temperature in the spectral line.

The following relations will be used, explicitly or implicitly, in the subsequent derivations:

$$r = (z^2 + p^2)^{1/2}, \quad (1a)$$

$$v_{\parallel} = Vz/r, \quad (1b)$$

$$p/r = [1 - (v_{\parallel}/V)^2]^{1/2}, \quad (1c)$$

$$\delta z = \delta v / \left( \frac{dv_{\parallel}}{dz} \right) = \delta v \frac{p}{V} [1 - (v_{\parallel}/V)^2]^{-3/2}. \quad (1d)$$

Since the only contribution to the optical depth

$\tau(p, v)$  comes from a path length element  $\delta z$  (eq. [1d]),

$$\tau(p, v_{\parallel}) = \alpha(r)N(r) \frac{p}{V} [1 - (v_{\parallel}/V)^2]^{-3/2}, \quad (2)$$

where  $N(r)$  is the CO density, and  $\alpha(r)$  is the absorption coefficient:

$$\alpha(r) = \frac{8\pi^3\mu^2}{3h} (f_0 - f_1) = 2.063 \times 10^{-11}(f_0 - f_1),$$

with  $\mu = 0.112D$ , while  $f_0$  and  $f_1$  are the fractions of the molecules in the  $J = 0$  and  $J = 1$  rotational levels, respectively. We define an equivalent excitation temperature by

$$T_x(r) = hv/k \{ [\exp(hv/kT_{\text{exc}}(r)) - 1]^{-1} - [\exp(hv/kT_{bb}) - 1]^{-1} \}, \quad (3)$$

where  $T_{\text{exc}}(r)$  is the excitation temperature of the transition and  $T_{bb}$  is the temperature of the universal background radiation. The brightness temperature is then expressed as

$$T_B(p, v_{\parallel}) = T_x(r) \{ 1 - \exp[-\tau(p, v)] \}. \quad (4)$$

In equation (4),  $r$  is determined by  $p$  and  $v_{\parallel}$  as given in equation (1c).

The antenna beam pattern is assumed to be of the form

$$G(p) = 4 \ln 2 / \pi B^2 \exp(-4 \ln 2 p^2 / B^2), \quad (5)$$

and the observed antenna temperature is

$$T_A(v_{\parallel}) = 2\pi \int_0^{\infty} G(p) T_B(p, v_{\parallel}) p dp, \quad (6)$$

assuming that the beam is centered on the source.

#### a) Optically Thick Case (<sup>12</sup>C<sup>16</sup>O)

In the approach of Mufson and Liszt (1975), the optically thick case may be treated by considering equal velocity surfaces, which will be the interiors of cones opening toward the observer with their apices at the center of the envelope. If the cones are not resolved by the telescope,

$$\begin{aligned} T_A(v_{\parallel})/T(0) &= \pi(r \sin \theta)^2 / \pi r^2 \\ &= 1 - (v_{\parallel}/V)^2, \end{aligned}$$

(see Fig. 4). As the source becomes resolved, lower velocity cones are truncated, thus reducing the line center relative to the edges. In the limit of complete resolution, the profiles are flat-topped.

Following Morris's treatment, the brightness temperature (eq. 4) is given by

$$T_B(p, v_{\parallel}) = T_x(r),$$

where  $r$  is given by equation (1c). Substituting this




FIG. 4.—Schematic representation of an expanding, spherically symmetric source distribution, after Mufson and Liszt (1975).

and equation 5 into equation 4, we find

$$T_A(v_{\parallel}) = (8 \ln 2/B^2)[1 - (v_{\parallel}/V)^2] \times \int_0^{\infty} T_x(r) \exp\{-4 \ln 2[1 - (v_{\parallel}/v)^2]r^2/B^2\} r dr. \quad (7)$$

We see that  $T_A(v_{\parallel})$  is characterized completely by the beamwidth  $B$  and the characteristic source radius  $R_c$ :  $T_x$  is assumed to be uniform out to radius  $R_c$ , with the integrand in equation (7) being 0 for  $r > R_c$ . Then, by a suitable transformation of variables,

$$T_A(v_{\parallel}) = T_x[1 - \exp\{-4 \ln 2[1 - (v_{\parallel}/V)^2]R_c^2/B^2\}]. \quad (8)$$

In Figure 1, we show a function of this form fitted to the observed  $^{12}\text{C}^{16}\text{O}$ ,  $J = 0 \leftarrow 1$  profile. Since  $R_c$ , the source radius, and  $B$ , the half-power beamwidth, are each approximately  $1'$  (based on the brightness distribution data of Ulich 1975), they are taken as equal.

To see to what extent the profile shape depends on the assumed form of  $T_x(r)$ , let us consider a Gaussian distribution:

$$T_x(r) = T_{\max} \exp[-\ln 2(r^2/R_c^2)],$$

where  $R_c$  is now the half-intensity radius. Then we find

$$T_A(v_{\parallel}) = T_{\max}[1 + (B/2R_c)^2[1 - (v_{\parallel}/V)^2]^{-1}]^{-1}. \quad (9)$$

This line profile does not differ from that for uniform excitation temperature by more than 15 percent over the range  $0 < v_{\parallel} < 0.95V$ . By comparing  $T_A(v_{\parallel})$  as given by equation (9) with the form given in equation (8), we see that when the excitation temperature is tapered instead of abruptly terminated, the edges of the line profile are enhanced slightly, but not sufficiently to distinguish with present receiver sensitivity. We can conclude that the line profile agrees well with the assumptions of optical thickness and uniform expansion (or contraction), and that this conclusion is relatively independent of the form of  $T_x(r)$ . Since we have shown that the  $^{12}\text{CO}$  line is optically thick,

we may derive the excitation temperature of the CO,  $T_{\text{exc}}$ , from equation (3), to be 7.5 K.

#### b) Optically Thin Case ( $^{13}\text{C}^{16}\text{O}$ )

In the geometrical approach of Mufson and Liszt, an optically thin, uniformly expanding atmosphere may be treated as a set of concentric shells. For each shell, assuming that it is unresolved by the telescope (see Fig. 4),

$$T_A(v_{\parallel})dv_{\parallel} / \int_0^{\infty} T_A(v_{\parallel})dv_{\parallel} = 2\pi r \sin \theta r d\theta / 4\pi r^2 = dv_{\parallel}/2V.$$

So each unresolved shell has a line profile which is flat from  $-V \leq v_{\parallel} \leq V$ , and consequently a uniformly expanding, unresolved envelope has flat profiles in optically thin lines. If the envelope is partially resolved, the low-velocity contribution of the outer shells is not seen by the beam, so that the center of the profile will be depressed. In the ultimate resolution limit, the profile will consist of spikes at  $\pm V$ .

To treat this case analytically, we use equation (4) in the limit when  $\tau(p, v_{\parallel}) \ll 1$ . Then

$$T_B(p, v_{\parallel}) = \alpha T_x(K/r^2)(p/V)[1 - (v_{\parallel}/V)^2]^{-3/2}.$$

We consider first the simple assumption that  $T_x(r)$  is constant, that  $N(r) = Kr^{-2}$ , and that either (or both) of these distributions truncates at  $r = R_c$ . This corresponds to Morris's (1975) model. Then, using again equations (5) and (6) and truncating the integral at

$$p_m(v_{\parallel}) = R_c[1 - (v_{\parallel}/V)^2]^{1/2},$$

we find

$$T_A(v_{\parallel}) = \alpha T_x \frac{R_c}{V} K [4(\ln 2)^{1/2}/B]^2 \times \mathcal{F}\{2(\ln 2)^{1/2}(R_c/B)[1 - (v_{\parallel}/V)^2]^{1/2}\}, \quad (10)$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}(y) = y^{-1} \int_0^y \exp(-x^2) dx.$$

Figure 2 shows a function of this form fitted to the observed  $^{13}\text{C}^{16}\text{O}$ ,  $J = 0 \leftarrow 1$ , profile for IRC + 10216, again taking  $R_c/B = 1$ . Again, the agreement is excellent.

This profile is relatively sensitive to the  $r$  dependence of  $\alpha T_x N$ . For example, if we take a Gaussian dependence for  $T_x(r)$  and an inverse square dependence for  $N(r)$ , we find

$$T_A(v_{\parallel}) = \alpha T_{\max} \{ [2(\pi \ln 2)^{1/2}/B] \times (K/V) \{ (B/2R_c)^2 + 1 - (v_{\parallel}/V)^2 \}^{-1/2} \}. \quad (11)$$

In this case, the peaks are less pronounced; for  $R_c = B$  the peaks rise up to only 1.4 times the value at  $v = 0$ , whereas in the previous case, the ratio was 2. We can also see intuitively that a steeper power-law dependence will result in diminished peaks at  $v_{\parallel} = \pm V$ . Examination of Figure 2 shows that the model described in

equation (10) fits the observational profile very well within the noise limits, so that, to within a reasonable approximation, the quantity  $\alpha T_x N$  does follow an inverse-square dependence. Careful mapping of the source in the  $^{12}\text{CO}$  line should be made to derive  $T_x(r)$ , thus separating the temperature and absorption coefficient/density distributions.

#### c) $^{13}\text{CO}$ Profiles Offset from Center

Figure 3 shows  $^{13}\text{CO}$  line profiles observed 1' north and south of the center of IRC + 10216. Although these positions do not easily lend themselves to an analytical treatment, we can draw some qualitative conclusions from their appearance.

From the central  $^{13}\text{CO}$  profile, as well as on physical grounds, we conclude that the  $^{13}\text{CO}$  line is optically thin everywhere. For a source cylindrical along the line of sight, with uniform density and  $T_x$ , we would expect  $T_A(0)$  to be about half as strong as  $T_A(0)$  from the central profile because of the relative sizes of the source and the beam. For a spherical source with an inverse-square density distribution,  $T_A(0)$  should be significantly less than half as strong as the center position. In fact, the observed values of  $T_A(0)$  are slightly more than half of the central value (see Table 1). This suggests that the density distribution exceeds an inverse-square law near the outer parts of the source.

We note also the absence of high- and low-velocity spikes in the off-center profiles, which is in accord with the model predictions. The spikes arise from the lines of sight which pass near the center of the source, and which receive very little weight when the antenna is positioned almost a full beamwidth from the source center.

#### d) The $^{13}\text{CO}$ Content of the Envelope

While the present observations cannot verify all of the details of the models, they are consistent with constant excitation temperature and inverse-square-law density dependence in the IRC + 10216 molecular envelope. The previously derived expressions lead to

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha K &= 0.0845 V R_c [T_A(V)_{13} / T_A(0)_{12}] \\ &= 8.3 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where  $V = 1.5 \times 10^6 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$ ,  $R_c = 5.5 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}$  (assuming that the source distance is 290 pc [Herbig and Zappala 1970]),  $T_A(V)_{13}$  is the  $^{13}\text{CO}$  antenna temperature measured at the peaks, and  $T_A(0)_{12}$  is the  $^{12}\text{CO}$  antenna temperature at the line center. The total number of  $^{13}\text{CO}$  molecules in the envelope is then given by  $5.374 \times 10^{40} / \alpha(r) = 2.779 \times 10^{51} / (f_0 - f_1)$ . In LTE, this corresponds to  $5 \times 10^{-5} M_\odot$  of  $^{13}\text{CO}$ ; if the envelope abundances are normal (which is highly unlikely for an evolved object of this type), the envelope mass is  $\sim 0.5 M_\odot$ .

#### IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

We have presented high-sensitivity  $^{12}\text{CO}$  and  $^{13}\text{CO}$  line profiles observed toward IRC + 10216. The profile

shapes are consistent with the  $^{12}\text{CO}$  line being optically thick and the  $^{13}\text{CO}$  line thin; the  $^{13}\text{CO}$  line is double-peaked. These profile shapes are consistent with those predicted for a partially resolved, expanding envelope, with constant excitation temperature, constant radial expansion velocity, and an inverse-square-law density dependence (i.e., constant mass loss from the central star). This is the model developed for the molecular envelope of IRC + 10216 by Morris (1975). Our analysis shows that the shape of the  $^{12}\text{CO}$  line is determined largely by the motion of the gas, and is relatively insensitive to the distribution of excitation temperature within the envelope.

Our observations show that the expansion velocity of the CO is  $15 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ , while Morris (1974) and Morris *et al.* (1975) observed a velocity of  $12 \text{ km s}^{-1}$  for the other molecules. These results suggest that (the magnitude of) the radial velocity increases slightly with distance from the central star; accelerated expansion due to radiation pressure on the dust is a possible explanation. The flow in the envelope is highly supersonic.

In all of the above discussion, we have tacitly assumed that the observed radial velocity flow is due to expansion of the envelope; but the observations are incapable of distinguishing between uniform expansion and contraction. In the case of IRC + 10216, however, we can infer from other considerations (such as the observation of the  $4.7 \mu$  CO absorption lines by Geballe *et al.* 1973) that the envelope is in fact expanding.

Finally, in order to determine the CO mass of the envelope, and the  $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}$  isotope ratio, the whole cloud should be mapped to high sensitivity in both the  $^{12}\text{CO}$  and  $^{13}\text{CO}$  lines. Such observations should also be valuable for studying the temperature and density run in the envelope, and the interaction between the outflowing gas and the interstellar medium.

We are indebted to Drs. Mark Morris and Michael Werner for many valuable discussions and comments, and to Dr. Morris, Dr. Harvey S. Liszt, and Dr. Stuart L. Mufson for providing us with their results before publication. We thank the staff of the NRAO 11 m telescope for the observing time for this project, and for their help in carrying it out. We also thank Dr. Bobby L. Ulich for much help with the observations and for providing us with his CO data. This paper presents the results of one phase of research carried out at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under contract number NAS 7-100, sponsored by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. T. B. H. K. is an NRC Resident Research Associate at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory. Research at OVRO is supported by the National Science Foundation under grant GP-30400-X1, and by the Office of Naval Research under contract number N00014-67-A-0094-0019.

## REFERENCES

- Becklin, E. E., Frogel, J. A., Hyland, A. R., Kristian, J., and Neugebauer, G. 1969, *Ap. J. (Letters)*, **158**, L133.
- Geballe, T. R., Wollman, E. R., and Rank, D. M. 1973, *Ap. J.*, **183**, 499.
- Herbig, G. H., and Zappala, R. R. 1970, *Ap. J. (Letters)*, **162**, L15.
- Miller, J. S. 1970, *Ap. J. (Letters)*, **161**, L95.
- Morris, M. 1975, *Ap. J.*, **197**, 603.
- Morris, M., Gilmore, W. S., Palmer, P., Turner, B. E., and Zuckerman, B. M. 1975, *Ap. J. (Letters)*, **199**, L47.
- Morris, M., Zuckerman, B. M., Palmer, P., and Turner, B. E. 1971, *Ap. J. (Letters)*, **170**, L190.
- Mufson, S. L., and Liszt, H. S. 1975, *Ap. J.*, **202**, 183.
- Neugebauer, G., and Leighton, R. B. 1969, "Two-Micron Sky Survey—A Preliminary Catalogue" (NASA SP-3047) (Washington: Government Printing Office).
- Penzias, A. A., and Burrus, C. A. 1973, *Ann. Rev. Astr. and Ap.*, **11**, 55.
- Solomon, P. M., Jefferts, K. B., Penzias, A. A., and Wilson, R. W. 1971, *Ap. J. (Letters)*, **163**, L53.
- Toombs, R. I., Becklin, E. E., Frogel, J. A., Law, S. K., Porter, F. C., and Westphal, J. A. 1973, *Ap. J. (Letters)*, **173**, L71.
- Tucker, K. D., Kutner, M. L., and Thaddeus, P. 1974, *Ap. J. (Letters)*, **193**, L115.
- Turner, B. E., Zuckerman, B. M., Palmer, P., and Morris, M. 1973, *Ap. J.*, **186**, 123.
- Wilson, R. W., Solomon, P. M., Penzias, A. A., and Jefferts, K. B. 1971, *Ap. J. (Letters)*, **169**, L35.
- Wilson, W. J., Schwartz, P. M., and Epstein, E. E. 1973, *Ap. J.*, **183**, 871.
- Ulich, B. L. 1975, private communication.
- Ulich, B. L., and Haas, R. W. 1976, *Ap. J. Suppl.*, **30**, 247.

ROBERT L. BROWN: NRAO, Edgemont Road, Charlottesville, VA 22901

GILLIAN R. KNAPP: Owens Valley Radio Observatory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125

STEPHEN L. KNAPP: Astronomy Department, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125

THOMAS B. H. KUIPER: Code 183B-365, Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, 4800 Oak Grove Drive, Pasadena, CA 91103