THE KINEMATICS OF THE GOULD BELT: AN EXPANDING GROUP?

JANET ROUNTREE LESH

Yerkes Observatory, University of Chicago Received November 22, 1967; revised April 8, 1968

ABSTRACT

New observational data are presented for 464 stars of Henry Draper type B5 and earlier, $m_v \leq 6.5$ and $\delta \geq -20^\circ$, which are likely candidates for membership in a local system defined by the Gould belt. These data include new spectral types on the MK system, classified by the author on Yerkes 40-inch plates; previously unpublished *UBV* photometry, kindly furnished by Dr. D. L. Crawford of the Kitt Peak National Observatory; and new proper motions on the system of the *FK4*, calculated under the author's direction at the Kapteyn Astronomical Laboratory, Groningen, The Netherlands.

The observations have been combined to calculate space motions for the 294 program stars having well-determined distances within 600 pc. It is shown that if these stars originated within a small volume of space at some unique time in the past, the present values of the velocity gradients $\partial U/\partial X$, $\partial U/\partial Y$, $\partial V/\partial X$, and $\partial V/\partial Y$ can be used to determine the age of the group. This determination is independent of the location of the Sun, with respect to the center of the group, and of any incompleteness in observation of the group members. However, it is affected by the possible inclusion of non-members in the solution.

Least-squares solutions for the velocity gradients have been made for various subsets of the stars with calculated space motions. These solutions provide conclusive evidence for the presence of an expanding element among the nearby B stars but do not yield a unique result for its age. Two models are proposed, one in which the entire sample consists of a mixed population with an over-all expansion age of 90×10^6 years and the other in which the associations constitute an expanding subset aged 45×10^6 years and account for about 30 per cent of the total number of stars. It is shown that either of these models is compatible with the observed gradient perpendicular to the galactic plane and also with a time scale of expansion derived on purely kinematic grounds.

I. INTRODUCTION

The early history of the Gould belt has been reviewed by Bok (1937). From the time of its first observation in the nineteenth century (Herschel 1847), this concentration of the brightest stars to a plane inclined some $10^{\circ}-20^{\circ}$ to the galactic plane has been taken as possible evidence of the existence of a "local system." Shapley and Cannon (1924) showed that this effect is most pronounced in the case of the B stars brighter than $m_v = 5.26$, and Nassau and Morgan (1950) derived an extremely narrow plane of concentration for the nearest stars belonging to the "natural group" OB.

Early studies of the local system were confined principally to its spatial orientation and extent, by means of star counts and their interpretation (e.g., Charlier 1916; Seares 1928; Bok 1931). But all such investigations are severely compromised by observational selection effects and by the lack of a criterion for distinguishing members of the hypothetical subsystem from the ordinary spiral-arm population. These limitations can be circumvented by using the state of motion of the stars in question as an indication of whether or not they in fact form a cohesive group.

Eggen (1961) calculated space coordinates (X, Y, Z) and velocities (U, V, W) for 280 O and B stars brighter than the fifth apparent magnitude, using observational data drawn from a variety of sources. For the 137 best-observed stars within 300 pc, he found a velocity gradient of U on X of about 40 km sec⁻¹ kpc⁻¹, attributable mainly to the presence of the Cassiopeia-Taurus and Scorpio-Centaurus aggregates, located in opposite directions from the Sun. Eggen concluded that either many more stars should be included in these two aggregates, or all the nearby O and B stars—including the associations and groups—are related as elements of a local system.

Bonneau (1965) applied the theory of expanding groups outlined by Blaauw (1952)

JANET ROUNTREE LESH

to Eggen's data, and obtained an expansion age for the local group of 40×10^6 years \pm 20–25 per cent. It is of interest to repeat and amplify this work for the following reasons: (1) The theory used by Bonneau can be recast in a more flexible form which omits any assumption as to the initial velocity distribution in the group, permits a more direct determination of the age, and allows for a more specific comparison with the case of pure differential rotation. (2) More recent observational data exist than were available to Eggen, especially in the form of plate material for new spectral types, and new meridian catalogues which can be used to derive more accurate proper motions. It is also important to have all the data of each kind taken, insofar as possible, by a single observer, so as to avoid systematic differences which might lead to spurious effects in the solution.

For these reasons it was decided to obtain as much new evidence as possible on the distances and motions of the probable members of the local group. The sample selected included all the stars in the *Catalogue of Bright Stars* (Hoffleit 1964) with Henry Draper spectral type B5 and earlier and north of declination -20° . The latter restriction was imposed by our intention of having all the observations made by the same observer and instruments. The magnitude limit of the *Catalogue of Bright Stars* is $m_v = 6.5$, but a few fainter stars are included in it, and these will be found in our list as well. There are 464 stars in the program defined by these limits.

- **II. OBSERVATIONS**
- a) Spectral Types
- i) Plate Material

All spectra were classified on plates taken with the Yerkes 40-inch refractor and the MK spectrograph. New spectral types were obtained for all but seven of the 464 program stars, the exceptions being fainter members of close-multiple systems which cannot be satisfactorily resolved with the present equipment. The file containing all plates taken with this equipment since the construction of the spectrograph was searched for satisfactory plates of the stars in our program. In the interests of homogeneity, it was decided to use only one group of plates from the file—those obtained on Eastman 33 emulsion by or under the direct supervision of Dr. W. W. Morgan. Those stars for which no satisfactory exposures were found in this group were reobserved by the author, using the same telescope and spectrograph but with IIaO emulsion, during the winter and spring of 1966, together with sufficient standards and other stars to insure a good overlap between the two sets of plates. In all, 105 stars were reobserved. Since a somewhat narrower slit is now used on the MK spectrograph than was the practice when Morgan's original plates were taken, the new IIaO plates compare well in resolution with the finer-grained Eastman 33's.

ii) Principles of Classification

The primary standards used in the classification evolved out of discussions on the refinement of the MK system by Drs. W. W. Morgan, R. F. Garrison, R. E. Schild, and the author. For almost all the stars in the present program, unpublished spectral types (on the unrefined system) by Morgan or his associates were available. The stars were separated into spectral subgroups on the basis of this provisional classification, with each subgroup containing one spectral type and one luminosity class. The spectra in each subgroup were then compared with each other and with their primary standard. In the course of this comparison, secondary standards were chosen, and stars which did not fit the provisional classification were moved to a different subgroup. In particular, considerable attention was devoted to removing from luminosity class V those stars which showed slight signs of increased luminosity due to evolutionary effects. The entire procedure was then repeated to check the subgroups, based on the new classification, for self-consistency.

Table 1 gives the primary and secondary standards and the criteria used in classifying stars of spectral type O9–B8, luminosity classes III–V. The criteria listed for class V are indicators of spectral type. Those listed for classes III and IV are the luminosity indicators used to distinguish the stars in question from main-sequence stars. In practice, of course, these two operations are never completely independent. Only the most salient features of the classification system have been listed—in every case, the whole spectrum was taken into account in determining the position of the star in the two-dimensional system. In general, the practice was to base the spectral type primarily on lines due to elements or ions other than hydrogen and He I (e.g., He II, C III, O II, Si IV, Si II, Mg II). The luminosity class was then obtained from the intensity ratios of the He I lines and from the absolute intensity of the helium spectrum (especially for types B5 and later). The use of the hydrogen lines was avoided. It is to be understood that the criteria given in Table 1 apply literally only to plates taken with the same instruments as the present series. Users of a different spectrograph may naturally be led to a different choice of criteria, more suitable for their observing equipment.

All possible half-types between O9 and B5 were used, at all luminosity classes. Although primary standards were not usually available for the half-types, secondary standards presented themselves in most cases as reasonable interpolations between the full types. The only deliberate attempt to classify to half a luminosity class was the introduction of B2 IV-V, following Garrison (1967). In a few other cases, a half-class was used as an *ad hoc* interpolation.

The stars from O5 to O8 were classified on Plaskett's system as described in the Atlas of Stellar Spectra (Morgan, Keenan, and Kellman 1943), with the primary standards λ Cep (O6), S Mon (O7), and λ Ori (O8) and the secondary standards HD 199579 (O6) and HD 53975 (O8). No luminosity classes were given except the designation "f" for O stars with supergiant characteristics and emission features near λ 4650. All possible half-types between O5 and O8 were used by interpolation.

Supergiants (luminosity classes Ia, Iab, Ib, and II) later than O8 were classified separately. About half of the forty-three supergiants in the present program are MK standards. These were checked for self-consistency, and the remaining stars were classified by comparison with them. No changes of more than half a spectral type or half a luminosity class from the classification given by Morgan, Code, and Whitford (1955) were found necessary.

iii) The Broad-lined Stars

In general, stars whose helium lines appeared significantly broader than in standard stars of the same spectral type were designated "n." The absolute width of the lines in the "n" stars seems to decrease in going to later types. The principal line used for comparison was λ 4026; others were $\lambda\lambda$ 4144 and 4387. The λ 4089 line of Si IV also tends to be broadened in the earliest types. The system of the broad-lined stars may be said to be defined by the following "standards": 1 Cam (B0 IIIn), κ Aql (B0.5 IIIn), 23 Ori (B1 Vn), 113 Tau (B2 Vn), HD 36646 (B4 Vn), HD 171780 (B5 Vn), and HD 22780 (B7 Vn).

Only a few stars were designated "nn" in the present classification. They are characterized by extremely broad helium lines—with the hydrogen lines often being broadened as well—to the point where line visibility is greatly reduced. The standard of absolute line width for inclusion in this group was ζ Oph. Be stars (see below) were not designated "n" or "nn" unless they showed exceptionally great line width as compared with other emission-line stars.

iv) The Be Stars

A new classification scheme was developed for the Be stars, with the aim of providing a more complete description of the spectrum in the spectral type. The usual MK spectral type and luminosity class were assigned on the basis of the absorption lines alone, without reference to the emission lines. An additional "emission parameter" was then assigned, without reference to the MK type. The principal criterion for the emission parameter was the extent and intensity of the hydrogen emission. A secondary criterion was the appearance of the Fe II emission lines in the blue. The following notation was adopted for the emission parameter:

e₁: No overt hydrogen emission. Prototypes 66 Oph, 1H Cam. The H β absorption line is partially or completely filled in but is not reversed. H γ may also show some filling-in. The Fe II lines are usually absent.

 e_{1+} : Prototype 48 Per. $H\beta$ has a narrow emission core while remaining predominantly an absorption line.

 e_2 : $H\beta$ in emission. Prototypes ψ Per, 120 Tau. $H\beta$ is an emission line. $H\gamma$ also is filled in but is not reversed, while the other Balmer lines are not affected. The Fe II lines are often, but not always, present.

 e_{2+} : Prototype HD 45995. H γ shows a narrow emission core, and the higher Balmer lines are slightly filled in. The Fe II lines are usually rather marked.

e₃: Complete hydrogen emission spectrum. Prototypes 11 Cam, HD 58050. H β is an emission line and the higher Balmer lines, at least through H ϵ , have emission cores. The Fe II lines are usually present, except in the earliest spectral types, but they do not dominate the spectrum.

 e_{3+} : Prototype HD 41335. The Fe II lines are more prominent than at e_3 , but the hydrogen emission strength is less than at e_4 .

 e_4 : The extreme Be stars. Prototype χ Oph. There is intense emission in all the Balmer lines plus very strong Fe II lines (except in the earliest types). This group is similar to the Bex class discussed by Schild (1966).

The symbol "p" for Be stars has been used only for cases having some characteristic not described in the above scheme. Its use has only occasionally been necessary. Most of the emission-line stars in the present program fit unambiguously into the new classification scheme, which promises to describe the spectrum far more completely than the classification without an emission parameter and requires little more effort on the part of the observer.

b) Photometry

Unpublished preliminary results from a program of UBV photometry were very generously communicated to the author by Dr. D. L. Crawford of the Kitt Peak National Observatory. This list provided V-magnitudes and B - V colors for 382 of the 464 stars in our program. The recent publication by Johnson (1966) of multicolor photometry of the bright stars contains considerable overlap with Crawford's list, as well as fifty-four of our program stars which were not observed by Crawford. A comparison of all the stars observed by both investigators revealed no systematic differences in their photometry. Therefore, Johnson's values were adopted for the stars not observed by Crawford. In no case was an average taken between values found by these two observers, or by any others.

Dr. C. Jaschek of the Observatorio Astronómico, La Plata, Argentina, kindly sent in advance of publication excerpts from his catalogue of references to UBV photometry in the literature. This bibliography enabled us to find published magnitudes and colors for four stars not observed by either Crawford or Johnson. For seven additional stars, we took unreferenced UBV photometry from the *Catalogue of Bright Stars* itself. Thus UBV photometry, largely homogeneous in authorship, was obtained for all but seventeen of the stars in our program.

c) Calibration and Distances

The new spectral types were calibrated for absolute magnitude by means of the narrow-band photometry of Borgman and Blaauw (1964). These authors found absolute

THE GOULD BELT

magnitudes and intrinsic colors for a large number of early-type stars from two photometric parameters, whose calibration was based essentially on the distances of the Scorpio-Centaurus stars determined by Bertiau (1958). Of the stars directly measured by Borgman and Blaauw, 114 are in the present program. Each of these was assigned a weight on the basis of the estimated accuracy of the spectral type (with standard stars being given the highest weight and peculiar stars discounted entirely) and the probability that the star is an unresolved binary (with known spectroscopic or close visual binaries, as indicated in the *Catalogue of Bright Stars*, being given lowest weight).

The weighted mean of the measured absolute magnitudes in each subgroup of the new spectral classification was then taken. For spectral types B1–B5 and luminosity classes III–V, this method provided a very consistent calibration, with a clear separation between classes IV and V, and was therefore adopted (see Fig. 1). Weighted means for



FIG. 1.—Calibration of new spectral types in the range B1–B5, III–V. Absolute magnitudes were obtained from the narrow-band photometry of Borgman and Blaauw (1964).

stars earlier than B1 and later than B5 were based on fewer stars and appeared less consistent; therefore, they were accepted only provisionally. The calibration of Johnson and Iriarte (1958) was adopted for the supergiants, because too few of them were measured by Borgman and Blaauw to compose meaningful averages. Moreover, the classification of the supergiants in the present program has introduced no systematic changes from the standard MK classification.

The intrinsic UBV colors adopted for all spectral types and luminosity classes were those quoted by Johnson (1963). Strictly speaking, these colors refer to the older MK types and not to the new classification. But color differences between neighboring spectral types are so small that this distinction can safely be neglected.

Assuming 3.0 as the ratio of total to selective absorption, distance moduli were calculated for all stars in the present program which the *Catalogue of Bright Stars* indicates to be members of associations or groups and which were observed to have normal spectra. A mean distance was then formed for each association or group with three or more members having calculable distances. Where there was no reason to suspect ab-

normalities in the reddening law, the straight mean of the individual distances was adopted. In other cases, this mean was rejected in favor of a published value based on a more detailed study of the reddening. Table 2 lists the associations and groups falling within the range of the present program and the distance adopted for each. Note that the three subgroups of the Orion association (defined as in Blaauw 1964) have been assigned differing distances. These distance differences, especially as between subgroups Ia (the northwest region) and Ic (the Sword), appear well substantiated on the basis of the present determination. Orion association stars which do not belong to any of Blaauw's subgroups (e.g., π^4 Ori) have been assigned the individual distance appropriate to their spectral type and apparent magnitude, rather than any of the mean distances. This does not imply, however, that they are suspected non-members of the association.

The adopted association distance moduli were then used to recalculate absolute magnitudes for member stars earlier than B1 and later than B5 in luminosity classes III–V. These values were averaged in with the direct measurements of Borgman and Blaauw to give added weight to the calibration for these classes. The final calibration is presented in Table 3. Values in parentheses are extrapolations from neighboring spectral types, no direct or association measurement being available.

The average deviation in the distance moduli of the association members with respect to their adopted values is 0.5 mag, leading to a probable error of 0.4 mag in the calculated distance modulus of any single star, or about 18 per cent in the distance. The presence of an additional systematic error of about half this amount cannot be discounted. Borgman and Blaauw (1964, p. 376) themselves mention some evidence for such an error in their system; and the author has found discrepancies between the total absorption A_v calculated by Borgman and Blaauw from their intrinsic colors $(N - M)_0$ and that determined from the *UBV* photometry used in the present program.

Table 4 presents the new spectral types, photometry, and distances for our program stars. The notes to Table 4 contain comments on all the peculiar spectra and references to the sources of photometry communicated by Jaschek.

d) Proper Motions

New proper motions on the system of the FK4 (Fricke and Kopff 1963) have been derived for 460 of the program stars, the remaining four being faint members of closebinary systems. This work was carried out under the supervision of Dr. A. Blaauw during the winter of 1967, while the author was a guest at the Kapteyn Astronomical Laboratory, Groningen, The Netherlands.

All the stars in question are to be found in the Boss General Catalogue (1937), but we decided upon a project to improve the GC proper motions—first, because the GC is known to contain important systematic errors varying with position on the sky, which might tend to falsify the results (see, e.g., Brosche, Nowacki, and Strobel 1964); and, second, because we wished to take advantage of the many meridian catalogues which have been observed since the publication of the GC. In particular, three catalogues— $W3_{50}$ (Adams, Bestul, and Scott 1964), $Be3_{50}$ (Maître 1964), and Mü 50 (Heintz 1962)—with mean epochs later than 1950 have recently been published, and these contain the majority of our program stars, since the authors have made an effort to comply with the request of Blaauw (1955) for new meridian observations of O and B stars.

Our procedure was to take the GC position at epoch of observation (usually near 1900) and correct it to the system of the FK4 by means of the tables of Brosche *et al.* (1964). Intermediate positions were obtained from twenty-one meridian catalogues of mean epoch 1920 or later which were not included in the GC but which were used in the construction of the FK4. For these catalogues, systematic differences with the FK3 (Kopff 1938) have been derived by the Heidelberg workers. Some of these differences have been published (Kopff, Nowacki, and Strobel 1964), and others were generously supplied in private communications by Dr. W. Gliese of the Astronomisches Rechen-Institut,

Heidelberg. Table 5 identifies these twenty-one catalogues by their number in the *Index* der Sternörter (Kahrstedt 1961) and the reference number used by the Heidelberg workers (Fricke and Kopff 1963, p. 135). The complete references necessary to locate these catalogues in the literature can be found in either of the above lists. We give only the conventional abbreviation of the catalogue name, the place of observation, and the equinox to which the observations were referred.

Each position from an intermediate catalogue was precessed, if necessary, to equinox 1950, and any proper motion introduced by the authors of the catalogue was removed. The resulting position for equinox 1950 and epoch of observation was reduced to the system of the FK3 by means of the tables of systematic differences described above and then to the system of the FK4 by means of the tables of Fricke and Kopff (1963, p. 131).

Finally, positions in the four most recent catalogues—listed at the end of Table 5 were reduced to the system of the FK4 by slightly different procedures. In the case of $W3_{50}$, systematic differences with the FK4 are given by the authors of the catalogue itself. For $M\ddot{u}$ 50 the author gives systematic differences with the FK3, to which we have added the corrections (FK4 - FK3). The two catalogues $Be3_{50}$ and Par OB (Delhaye 1959) are not absolute but in principle were reduced to the system of the FK3 by their respective authors. Since no systematic differences between these two catalogues and the FK3 are available, we have added to the observed positions only the corrections (FK4 - FK3).

A particular effort was made to achieve consistency in the case of double stars. In all cases in which two companions were of substantially equal brightness and separated by 1''-2'', the introduction and footnotes of each catalogue containing the star were examined to ascertain whether the brighter component or the mean had been observed. The point observed by the majority of authors was retained, and observations of a different point were rejected. No attempt was made to reduce observations of one component to the mean, or vice versa.

Each corrected catalogue position was then assigned a weight on the system of Auwers (see Kopff *et al.* 1964, pp. 2–5), in which the mean error of unit weight is 0".396 in declination and 0.038 sec δ in right ascension. For the *GC* the probable errors ϵ_a and ϵ_δ of the position at epoch are given in the catalogue itself. These were converted directly into weights p_a and p_δ by means of the formulae

$$p_{\alpha} = \left(\frac{0''.384}{\epsilon_{\alpha}}\right)^2, \qquad p_{\delta} = \left(\frac{0''.267}{\epsilon_{\delta}}\right)^2. \tag{1}$$

For the catalogues $W3_{50}$, $M\ddot{u}$ 50, $Be3_{50}$, and Par OB, "internal weights" $(p_n)_i$ were computed from the probable error of a single observation given by the catalogue authors, according to the method described by Kopff *et al.* For all other catalogues, "external weights" $(p_n)_e$ —obtained by the Heidelberg workers from residuals between the corrected catalogue positions and the FK3—were used. These unpublished tables of external weights were graciously prepared and furnished by Dr. W. Gliese. As pointed out by Kopff *et al.*, external weights tend to be smaller than internal weights by a factor of 1-3. Thus, by following the above procedure, we have somewhat overweighted the most modern catalogues.

From the corrected and weighted positions in right ascension and declination, leastsquares solutions were then made for the proper motions and the positions at equinox and epoch 1950. The calculations were performed on the Telefunken TR4 computer of the Computing Centre of Groningen University, with the help of Mr. L. Lammers. The mean error of each proper motion was computed from the residuals of the positions. In cases in which only two points were available for the solution, the mean error was computed from the weights of the positions. Mean errors calculated from the weights are of the same order of magnitude as those calculated from the residuals, indicating the basic consistency of the weighting system. In a few cases where the star was observed only in the GC, the GC proper motion was simply converted to the system of the FK4 by means of the tables for (FK4 - GC) by Brosche *et al.* (1964).

Our method of computing proper motions may be compared with that used by. Morgan in the construction of the N30 catalogue (1952). Morgan also used the GC position at epoch, corrected for systematic errors, as one end point in his solution. He combined all the post-GC catalogues into one other normal point, using somewhat arbitrary weights, and took the difference of these two points, divided by the time interval, as the proper motion. Quite apart from the fact that we have used a number of recent catalogues which were not available to Morgan—thus giving our proper motions a longer base line—our method is more rigorous in its treatment of the post-GC catalogues as individual points with weights directly related to their probable errors. However, Morgan exercised more care in examining the catalogues for departures from truly fundamental observational techniques, and he corrected the catalogues in such a way as to create a new fundamental system. We have made more simplified corrections with the object of reducing the catalogues to an already existing fundamental system. In general, our probable errors tend to be slightly smaller than Morgan's (for the same stars) and much smaller than those of the GC. But we have not, of course, attained the accuracy of the FK4 for the relatively small number of stars which it contains.

Note that the proper motions obtained by this method (and presented in Table 6) are based on the use of Newcomb's precession constant. Before they are used in the kinematic analysis, they must be adjusted according to the most up-to-date estimate of the correction to this constant. These corrections are described in § III.

e) Radial Velocities

All 464 of our program stars are listed in Wilson's *General Catalogue of Stellar Radial Velocities* (1953). Few extensive observational programs for radial velocities of bright, early-type stars have been undertaken since the publication of the Wilson catalogue. However, by searching the literature we were able to find more recent observations for 110 stars in our list. Most of these observations were made on the new wavelength system of Petrie (1955), and many of them were by Petrie himself and his co-workers. No objective-prism or other low-dispersion measurements were considered.

On the basis of a study of thirty-two stars in the Cassiopeia-Taurus region, Petrie (1958) called attention to a possible systematic difference of about -2 km sec^{-1} between his velocity system and that of the Wilson catalogue. We repeated the comparison using all the stars (a total of sixty-eight) for which we were able to find measurements explicitly described as being on Petrie's system, and found the systematic difference to be only $-0.59 \pm 0.22 \text{ km sec}^{-1}$, which is not significant. Therefore, we have not made any correction to the Wilson velocities to make them conform to the new wavelength system but have simply taken weighted means between these and any more recent velocity measurements. The Wilson catalogue velocities were assigned the mean probable errors corresponding to their respective qualities (Wilson 1953, p. 7), and the recent measurements carried the probable errors calculated by their respective authors. Where only individual plate velocities were given in a recent reference, the mean was calculated and the probable error was computed from the average deviation.

Table 6 presents the proper motions and radial velocities we have calculated for the stars in the present program. The notes to Table 6 contain references to all the sources of radial velocities which were averaged in with the Wilson values and, for close double stars, an indication of which point was used in the proper-motion solution. The radial-velocity sources are collected in a separate section of the References at the end of this paper.

III. THE PRESENT STATE OF MOTION OF THE SYSTEM

The distribution in galactic coordinates of all the stars in the present program is shown in Figure 2. Figure 2, a, is limited to stars within 300 pc, most of which are field





FIG. 2.—Distribution in galactic coordinates of program stars having distances (a) within 300 pc, (b) between 300 and 600 pc, and (c) greater than 600 pc. Association boundaries are approximate but contain a point for each star actually observed. The region below $\delta = -20^{\circ}$ was not observed.

stars. These nearby stars show the well-known concentration to the inclined plane of the Gould belt: between 120° and 230° of new galactic longitude, most of the stars are below the galactic plane, while between 10° and 120° , the tendency is for the stars to lie above the galactic plane. (Most of the low-latitude region between 230° and 10° lies south of the declination limit of the present program.) Figure 2, b, containing the stars between 300 and 600 pc from the Sun, is dominated by the associations I Ori, II Per, and I Lac. The former two follow the Gould belt pattern, but I Lac does not. Figure 2, c, shows the clear concentration to the galactic plane of stars more distant than 600 pc. At this distance the Gould belt features are no longer seen. Thus these three diagrams confirm the original result of Shapley and Cannon (1924) that only the nearer B stars are confined to the Gould belt.

Therefore, stars more distant than 600 pc were excluded from the study of the state of motion of the local system. Stars whose distances were considered uncertain because of spectral peculiarities or a lack of UBV photometry were also rejected, as were the "runaway stars" cited by Blaauw (1964). These latter stars may be expected to have inordinately large peculiar motions, which would distort our search for systematic motions. Thus 294 of the original 464 program stars were retained for further analysis.

For these stars, the positions, proper motions, radial velocities, and distances which have been described in the preceding sections were combined into space coordinates (X, Y, Z) and velocities (U, V, W) by means of a program similar to that outlined by Murray in the Appendix to Eggen (1961). The vectors X and U point toward the galactic anticenter, Y and V in the direction of galactic rotation, and Z and W toward the galactic north pole. In the course of this calculation, which was performed by the author on the IBM 1620 computer of the Yerkes Observatory and the IBM 7094 computer of the University of Chicago Computation Center, the proper motions were adjusted for corrections to Newcomb's precession constants along the lines suggested by Morgan and Oort (1951). The actual values used for the precessional corrections were not, however, those of Morgan and Oort but were more recent estimates by Dr. W. Fricke of the Astronomisches Rechen-Institut, Heidelberg, obtained from him in a private communication. Fricke (1966a, b) has previously maintained that the value of the luni-solar precession correction proposed by Morgan and Oort, namely, $\Delta n = 0$."30 per century, is too small. Moreover, the so-called "motion of the equinox," or constant correction to the proper motion in right ascension, is dependent upon the proper-motion system being used. Morgan and Oort's values for the N30 and FK3 systems would not necessarily be valid on the system of the FK4. For this latter system, Fricke proposed to the present author the provisional values of $\Delta n = 0.47$, $\Delta k = -0.19$ per century,¹ where Δk includes both the motion of the equinox and the precessional correction term $\Delta m =$ $\Delta n \cot \epsilon$. Thus the corrections added to the proper motions were

$$\Delta \mu_a = -\Delta n \sin a \tan \delta - \Delta k , \qquad (2)$$

$$\Delta \mu_{\delta} = -\Delta n \cos a . \tag{3}$$

These corrections are *not* contained in the proper motions listed in Table 6.

We have listed in Table 7 the space coordinates and velocities calculated for the 294 stars with well-determined distances within 600 pc; the probable errors in the space velocities due to uncertainties in the proper motions and radial velocities alone; and the change in each space velocity that would be produced if the assumed distance of the star were increased by 10 per cent. These latter figures serve both to indicate the magnitude

¹ These provisional values, suggested by Fricke in December 1966, agree almost exactly with the definitive corrections which he proposed in a paper in the Joint Discussion on Modern Problems of Fundamental Astronomy at the Thirteenth General Assembly of the International Astronomical Union in August 1967.

of the error due to uncertainties in the distance scale and to facilitate recomputation of the space velocities for a distance scale other than the one adopted here.

Figure 3, a, shows the distribution of the 294 stars in Table 7, projected onto the galactic plane. The third quadrant, except for a small section of the Scorpio-Centaurus association which lies well above the plane, is completely obscured by the effect of observational selection. Figure 3, b, shows the same distribution projected perpendicular to the galactic plane along the radius vector. Despite the loss due to observational selection, the inclination of the Gould belt to the galactic plane is clearly marked in this diagram.

Figure 4, *a*, shows the velocity pattern in the galactic plane for the stars within 600 pc. Mean velocity-vectors were calculated for each of the associations and groups; the field stars in projected areas 100 parsecs square were averaged as to position and motion. Although there is an indication of outward motion in the second and fourth quadrants, the picture is considerably more chaotic than that depicted by Bonneau (1965, Fig. 31). The tendency to inward motion in the first quadrant is particularly significant. In the preparation of the present diagram and Figure 4, *b*, a solar-motion vector $U_{\odot} = -13.1$, $V_{\odot} = +14.9$, $W_{\odot} = +6.6$ km sec⁻¹ (to be derived in § V) was removed from the stellar space motions. Bonneau used a different value for the solar motion, but the difference is not enough to alter the over-all pattern significantly.

In Figure 4, b, the position and velocity of each of the points in Figure 4, a, are projected onto the radial plane. The most striking feature of this plot is the tendency of the stars at large distances below the galactic plane to move away from, rather than toward, the plane.

IV. THEORETICAL MODEL OF AN EXPANDING GROUP

a) Motion in the Galactic Plane

Consider a coordinate system (ξ,η) rotating about the galactic center C in a circular orbit, with the angular velocity ω appropriate to the distance OC between its origin and the center. The ξ -axis points away from the galactic center, and the η -axis points in the direction of galactic rotation. Blaauw (1952) has shown, from the theory of Lindblad (1941), that, if at time t = 0 a star is assumed to be located at the origin of this coordinate system, the equations of its trajectory can be written

$$\xi = s_0 \sin \chi t \sin \phi + \frac{2\omega s_0}{\chi^2} (1 - \cos \chi t) \cos \phi ,$$

$$\eta = -\frac{2\omega s_0}{\chi^2} (1 - \cos \chi t) \sin \phi + \frac{4\omega s_0}{\chi^2} \left(\frac{\omega}{\chi} \sin \chi t - At\right) \cos \phi ,$$
(4)

and its velocity components are then

$$\frac{d\xi}{dt} = s_0 \cos \chi t \sin \phi + \frac{2\omega s_0}{\chi} \sin \chi t \cos \phi ,$$

$$\frac{d\eta}{dt} = -\frac{2\omega s_0}{\chi} \sin \chi t \sin \phi + \frac{4\omega s_0}{\chi^2} (\omega \cos \chi t - A) \cos \phi ,$$
(5)

where $s_0 \sin \phi$ and $s_0 \cos \phi$ are the ξ - and η -velocity components, respectively, of the star at t = 0, and

$$\omega = A - B , \qquad (6)$$

$$\chi^2 = -4B(A - B) . \tag{7}$$

© American Astronomical Society • Provided by the NASA Astrophysics Data System



FIG. 3.—Distribution in space coordinates of stars with well-determined distances less than 600 pc (a) in the galactic plane and (b) perpendicular to the galactic plane. X-axis is directed toward the galactic anticenter, Y-axis in the direction of galactic rotation, and Z-axis toward the north galactic pole. Association boundaries are approximate but contain a point for each star actually observed. The region below $\delta = -20^{\circ}$ was not observed; the appearance of some points in this region is a projection effect.





+600

+400

FIG. 4.—Observed velocity pattern of stars with well-determined distances less than 600 pc (a) in the galactic plane and (b) perpendicular to the galactic plane. Mean positions and velocities were calculated for associations and groups and for field stars in projected areas of 100 parsecs square in the (X, Y)-plane. A solar-motion vector $U_{\odot} = -13.1$, $V_{\odot} = +14.9$, $W_{\odot} = +6.6$ km sec⁻¹ has been removed. The region below $\delta = -20^{\circ}$ was not observed; the appearance of some points in this region is a projection effect.

383

$\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ American Astronomical Society • Provided by the NASA Astrophysics Data System

JANET ROUNTREE LESH

A and B are, strictly speaking, the circular or dynamical Oort constants, but they are usually allowed to take on the values observed in the solar neighborhood. These equations contain the implicit assumptions that the coordinates (ξ,η) of the star remain small with respect to OC and that the only force acting on the star is the central galactic force field $\partial \Phi/\partial r$, where $\Phi(\mathbf{r},z)$ is the gravitational potential.

Now, if *all* the stars belonging to a certain group are assumed to have been contained in a small volume centered on the origin at t = 0, equations (4) and (5) can be used to calculate the velocity pattern of the group at some later time. Bonneau (1965) did this, assuming that the initial velocity distribution was isotropic with a speed $s_0 = 1$ km sec⁻¹.

However, it is desirable to eliminate any assumption about the initial velocity distribution in the group, since a real group would not necessarily expand isotropically. This can be done by treating equations (4) as a linear system with ξ and η as constants and $s_0 \cos \phi$ and $s_0 \sin \phi$ as unknowns and solving by Cramer's rule. The expressions thus obtained for $s_0 \cos \phi$ and $s_0 \sin \phi$ as functions of ξ and η are then substituted into equations (5), yielding $d\xi/dt$ and $d\eta/dt$ as functions of ξ , η , and t alone.

Assuming for the moment that the Sun is located at the origin O, these functions can be expressed in the usual galactic space coordinates and velocities by means of the transformations (Bonneau 1965)

$$X = \xi, \quad Y = \eta,$$

$$U = d\xi/dt - (A - B)\eta, \quad V = d\eta/dt + (A - B)\xi.$$
(8)

Thus we obtain relations of the form

$$U = \frac{\partial U}{\partial X} X + \frac{\partial U}{\partial Y} Y, \quad V = \frac{\partial V}{\partial X} X + \frac{\partial V}{\partial Y} Y, \quad (9)$$

with

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial X} = \frac{a}{\epsilon} , \quad \frac{\partial U}{\partial Y} = \frac{\partial V}{\partial X} = \frac{\beta}{\epsilon} - (A - B) , \quad \frac{\partial V}{\partial Y} = \frac{\delta}{\epsilon} , \quad (10)$$

where

$$\alpha = -\frac{1}{B} \left(\frac{\omega}{\chi} \sin \chi t - At \cos \chi t \right), \quad \beta = -\frac{1}{2B} \left(1 - \cos \chi t \right),$$

$$\delta = \frac{1}{\chi} \sin \chi t , \quad \epsilon = \frac{1}{2B^2} \left(1 - \cos \chi t - \frac{\chi}{\omega} \frac{At}{2} \sin \chi t \right).$$
(11)

The gradients defined by equations (10) have the important property of being independent of the coordinates (X, Y). They have universal values throughout the expanding group, depending only on the age and being independent of the initial velocity distribution and hence of the space density distribution of the group. Therefore, they provide a suitable means of estimating the age.

Assuming that the dynamical values of A and B are the same as the locally observed values of +15 and -10 km sec⁻¹ kpc⁻¹, respectively, we have calculated the velocity gradients $\partial U/\partial X$, $\partial U/\partial Y$, and $\partial V/\partial Y$ as functions of time. The results of these calculations are shown in Figure 5. The gradients $\partial U/\partial X$ and $\partial V/\partial Y$ are seen to be the most sensitive indicators of the age of an expanding group, the former ranging from +100 km sec⁻¹ kpc⁻¹ to zero in the time interval 10–130 × 10⁶ years, while the latter covers the same range in the interval 10–90 × 10⁶ years.

Blaauw (1946) has shown that the velocity components of a field star—that is, a star moving in a circular orbit—in the (ξ,η) coordinates are

$$\frac{d\xi}{dt} = 0 , \quad \frac{d\eta}{dt} = -2\xi A . \tag{12}$$

© American Astronomical Society • Provided by the NASA Astrophysics Data System

THE GOULD BELT

Therefore, the velocity gradients in a sample of field stars—that is, a sample in pure differential rotation—would have the constant values

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial X} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial U}{\partial Y} = -(A - B) = -25 \text{ km sec}^{-1} \text{ kpc}^{-1},$$

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial X} = -(A + B) = -5 \text{ km sec}^{-1} \text{ kpc}^{-1}, \quad \frac{\partial V}{\partial Y} = 0.$$
(13)

This particular combination of values is not matched by the velocity gradients in an expanding system of any age whatsoever.



FIG. 5.—Velocity gradients in an expanding system as functions of time. In a sample of field stars in differential rotation, these gradients would have the constant values $\partial U/\partial X = 0$, $\partial U/\partial Y = -25$, $\partial V/\partial X = -5$, $\partial V/\partial Y = 0$ (km sec⁻¹ kpc⁻¹).

Figure 6 shows the velocity patterns one would find in expanding systems aged 50×10^6 and 90×10^6 years, respectively, and in a system of field stars influenced only by differential rotation. These patterns were drawn by arbitrarily choosing the points on a circle of 500-pc radius, centered on the origin, and calculating the velocity vectors at these points from the appropriate gradients. It is by no means implied that all the stars in an expanding group would be located on the circle depicted.

The pattern for 50×10^6 years is comparable to Bonneau's Figure 20, and this is approximately the age he found most probable for the expanding "Local Group." However, a comparison of Figure 6 with Figure 4 indicates that an expansion age near 90 \times 10⁶ years may provide a better fit to the observed velocity pattern, especially in the first quadrant, where the observed vectors appear to be generally inward directed. This question will be taken up quantitatively in § V.

JANET ROUNTREE LESH

b) Motion Perpendicular to the Galactic Plane

The model just developed, dealing only with motions in the galactic plane, tacitly assumes that the stars themselves are located in this plane. However, the inclination of the Gould belt to the galactic plane is so small that this should not be a damaging assumption when our observed sample is fitted to the model. The motions in the Z-direction are thus assumed to be uncoupled from the X- and Y-motions and can be used to give independent evidence concerning the age of the group.



FIG. 6.—Velocity patterns in expanding systems aged 50×10^6 and 90×10^6 years and in a system in differential rotation. Velocity vectors were calculated for points on a circle 500 pc in radius, using the gradients of Fig. 5. These theoretical patterns are to be compared with the observed pattern in Fig. 4, *a*.

Following § 4.3 of Chandrasekhar (1942), we can write the Z-component of the equation of motion of a single star, in the present notation, as

$$Z = Z_{10} \sin n_2 t , \qquad (14)$$

where t is the time elapsed since the star left the galactic plane, Z_{10} is a constant, and

$$n_{2}^{2} = \left(\frac{\partial^{2} \Phi}{\partial Z^{2}}\right)_{0} = 4\pi G \langle \rho \rangle .$$
(15)

In equation (15), G is the gravitational constant and $\langle \rho \rangle$ is the local mean density of matter in the galactic plane.

Differentiating equation (14) with respect to t and squaring, we have

$$W^{2} = n_{2}^{2} Z_{10}^{2} \cos^{2} n_{2} t = n_{2}^{2} (Z_{10}^{2} - Z^{2}) .$$
 (16)

Solving equation (14) for Z_{10} , substituting in equation (16), and taking the square root, we obtain

$$W = (n_2 \cot n_2 t) Z . \tag{17}$$

Thus, by analogy with the preceding section, we can write

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial Z} = n_2 \cot n_2 t . \tag{18}$$

Assuming $\langle \rho \rangle = 0.14 \ M_{\odot} \text{ pc}^{-3}$ (Allen 1963, p. 236), we have calculated n_2 from equation (15) and then $\partial W/\partial Z$ as a function of t from equation (18). The results of this calculation

© American Astronomical Society • Provided by the NASA Astrophysics Data System

÷

THE GOULD BELT

are shown in Figure 4. The gradient $\partial W/\partial Z$ is seen to be a rapidly varying function of time and to be periodic with a period of about 35×10^6 years.

V. COMPARISON OF OBSERVATIONS AND MODEL

The variables in equations (9) and (17) refer to the positions and motions of the member stars with respect to the center of expansion of the group, while the space coordinates and velocities of Table 7 were measured with respect to the Sun. This difference may be taken into account by noting that the observed position and velocity vectors can be resolved into two components, the first representing the position and velocity of the star with respect to the center of the group and the second representing the position and velocity of the Sun with respect to the center of the group. Thus, for comparison with the observations, equations (9) and (17) can be rewritten as

$$U = \frac{\partial U}{\partial X} X + \frac{\partial U}{\partial Y} Y + C(U) , \qquad V = \frac{\partial V}{\partial X} X + \frac{\partial V}{\partial Y} Y + C(V) ,$$

$$W = \frac{\partial W}{\partial Z} Z + C(W) ,$$
(19)

where

$$C(U) = -U_0 + \frac{\partial U}{\partial X} X_0 + \frac{\partial U}{\partial Y} Y_0, \quad C(V) = -V_0 + \frac{\partial V}{\partial X} X_0 + \frac{\partial V}{\partial Y} Y_0,$$

$$C(W) = -W_0 + \frac{\partial W}{\partial Z} Z_0,$$
(20)

and X_0 , V_0 , Z_0 , U_0 , V_0 , and W_0 are the components of the Sun's position and velocity with respect to the center of the group. C(U), C(V), and C(W) are the reflex of the solar motion with respect to the group members, i.e., the motion of the Sun relative to a hypothetical group member located at the position of the Sun. It is evident from equations (19) and (20) that the present position of the expansion center is indeterminate from the observations. It is equally evident that this indeterminacy does not affect the velocity gradients and hence the estimation of the age of the group.

The space coordinates and motions calculated in § III have been fitted to the form of equations (19) by the method of least squares, each velocity vector being assigned a weight equal to the inverse square of its probable error. This was done for several subsets of the 294 stars within 600 pc whose space motions were calculated, in order to search for an objective criterion which would separate members of the group from non-members. Any set composed entirely of group members, even if it is incomplete, should give values of the velocity gradients appropriate to the age of the group. But an admixture of field stars would tend to falsify the gradients. Thus solution 1 contains the entire sample; No. 2 is restricted to stars with new spectral types B3 and earlier (since, if the group is young, older stars will not be members); No. 3 is restricted to stars within 300 pc (since in some directions the group may not extend out to 600 pc); and No. 4 is restricted both as to spectral type and as to distance. To test the role of the associations in the hypothetical group, we also performed solutions 5 and 6, in which the weight of each association member was reduced to 10 per cent of the weight corresponding to its probable error, and No. 7, which contains *only* association stars.

The values of the gradients (in km sec⁻¹ kpc⁻¹) and constant terms (in km sec⁻¹) found in these various solutions are shown in Table 8, followed by their probable errors in the same units. The probable errors were computed from the residuals in the least-squares solutions.

Concerning the constant terms, we may remark that except in solution 7 they do not vary appreciably from solution to solution and in fact are very close to accepted values of the reflex of the solar motion. (Allen 1963, p. 242, cites, for example, $U_{\odot} = -10.1$,

 $V_{\odot} = +15.5$, $W_{\odot} = +7.5$ km sec⁻¹ as components of the solar motion with respect to the neighboring stars.) A priori, this would not necessarily be the case, since the solar motion is usually measured with respect to a sample of stars assumed to be in a steady state. We used the negative of the values of C(U), C(V), and C(W) found in solution 3 as a solar-motion vector in the construction of Figure 4.

Each of the gradients in the (X, Y)-plane can be used independently to obtain an expansion age for the system. Table 9 shows the ages obtained by comparing the observed value of each gradient with the theoretical curves in Figure 5.

We note immediately from Tables 8 and 9 that none of the seven solutions yields a unique expansion age from all four gradients. Of the first four solutions, No. 4 is the most inconsistent and also carries the largest probable errors. It would appear likely that this highly restrictive solution contains too few stars to be statistically significant.

In solutions 1 and 2 the gradients $\partial U/\partial X$ and $\partial V/\partial Y$ imply different but not irreconcilable expansion ages, the former tending to be somewhat greater than 100×10^{6} years, while the latter lies more in the range of $60-90 \times 10^6$ years. This inconsistency does not exist in solution 3, partly because of the larger probable errors in this solution. On the sole basis of these two gradients in these three solutions, one might pose 90–95 \times 10⁶ years as a reasonable mean expansion age. The evidence of the cross-gradients $\partial U/\partial Y$ and $\partial V/\partial X$ contradicts this simple hypothesis, however. Rather than having the values expected in an expanding system, these gradients remain close to their differential-rotation values of -25 and -5 km sec⁻¹ kpc⁻¹, respectively. Since it is no more plausible to interpret the present values of $\partial U/\partial X$ and $\partial V/\partial Y$ on the differentialrotation hypothesis than it is to reconcile the values of $\partial U/\partial Y$ and $\partial V/\partial X$ with a simple expansion, we might conclude from solutions 1-3 that we have evidence for the presence of both an expanding component and a differentially rotating component in our sample (possibly with initial conditions more complicated than expansion from a single point) and that a suitable estimate for the mean age of the system as a whole is $90-95 \times 10^6$ years. This description is confirmed in a qualitative way by comparing the observed velocity pattern in Figure 4, a, with the theoretical pattern for 90×10^6 years in Figure 6.

An alternative picture may be obtained from solutions 5–7. The former two, in which the association stars enter with a very low weight, yield parameters close to those expected for differential rotation. But the last solution, which contains only association stars, gives a value of $\partial U/\partial X$ close to the +40 km sec⁻¹ kpc⁻¹ found by Eggen (1961), and an expansion age from $\partial U/\partial X$, $\partial U/\partial Y$, and $\partial V/\partial Y$ of about 45 × 10⁶ years, similar to that derived by Bonneau (1965). One should guard against taking this solution too literally, since the positive value found for $\partial V/\partial X$ is not capable of interpretation either as expansion or as differential rotation, and the constant terms imply very unusual values of the solar motion.² But the fact that the association solution (No. 7) gives strong evidence for expansion, while the expansion is nearly removed from the most general solution (No. 1) by reducing the weights of the associations (as in No. 5), is very suggestive.

A referee has pointed out that, since the motions of the stars within a given association are not independent of each other, it would be a more correct procedure to form a normal point for each association rather than to use the association members as individual points in the least-squares solutions. If this is done, a smaller number of points is available to solve for the unknown gradients and constants, and the probable errors attached to these quantities must be increased. This effect is comparatively unimportant in solutions 1–6, where the association members constitute a minority of the stars involved. But when solution 7—consisting entirely of association stars—is repeated using normal points, the values of the unknowns are unaffected, but their probable errors are increased by a factor of 3.

² Similar values of $U \odot$ and $V \odot$ were, however, found by Feast and Shuttleworth (1965) from the radial velocities of galactic clusters.

THE GOULD BELT

This increase in the probable errors gives more latitude in the expansion age derived from each individual gradient, but it does not alter the mean age, 45×10^6 years, that is estimated from the solution as a whole. Neither does it remove the inconsistency in the value of $\partial V/\partial X$, for this gradient remains positive, and greater than its probable error. Therefore, the conclusions drawn in the above discussion remain unchanged.

We may also remark that the weighting system used in our calculations has little effect on the probable errors, which were calculated from the actual residuals in each solution and thus allow for such effects as peculiar motions deviating from the assumed linear relation. This may be verified by comparing the probable errors in solutions 1 and 5, which differ only in the weights assigned to the association members.

Can the association members be identified as the expanding component in the local population? These stars make up approximately 30 per cent of the original sample. If we take a mean between the gradients in an expanding group aged 45×10^6 years, carrying weight 0.3, and the gradients for differential rotation, carrying weight 0.7, we find $\partial U/\partial X = +9.4$, $\partial U/\partial Y = -19.1$, $\partial V/\partial X = -5.0$, and $\partial V/\partial Y = +4.2$ km sec⁻¹ kpc^{-1} . While not actually fitting any one of the observed solutions within the range of its probable errors, this calculation is sufficiently similar to solution 1, for example, to indicate that a suitably mixed model could produce the observed results. If the expanding component is to be identified with the association members, their obviously inhomogeneous distribution in space makes it likely that an accurate model for the mixed population cannot be obtained by taking a simple weighted mean of the gradients. A physical justification for isolating the association members—rather than all the youngest stars—in seeking the expanding subset could be the comment by Morgan (1953) that the associations contain all the very young stars which are still located near their birthplace. Any star of spectral type O or even B0 which is not now located in an association may be expected to have a large peculiar space motion that would perturb the gradient solution. It is clear that the expansion ages estimated here do not necessarily refer to the ages of the stars but may include a prestellar phase during which clumps of interstellar matter, spreading from the center of expansion, fragmented into protostars. A general confirmation of the range of expansion ages we find for the Local Group may be obtained on purely kinematic grounds from the equation of continuity, which can be written in two dimensions as

$$\frac{1}{N}\frac{dN}{dt} = -\left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial X} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial Y}\right),\tag{21}$$

where N is the projected number density of stars in the (X, Y)-plane. From this equation we see that the time scale of the expanding group must be of the order of

$$T = \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial X} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial Y}\right)^{-1} \tag{22}$$

Taking, for example, the gradients and their probable errors from solution 3, we find $T = 65 \pm 15 \times 10^6$ years. This order-of-magnitude calculation is compatible with either the age of 90 \times 10⁶ years we estimated for our sample as a whole or the 45 \times 10⁶ years we found for the associations as an expanding subset.

Finally, we can see from Figure 5 that any value of the gradient $\partial W/\partial Z$ between 0 and $+30 \text{ km sec}^{-1} \text{ kpc}^{-1}$ —a range which includes the observed results in all our solutions—is compatible with a set of expansion ages including both $45-50 \times 10^6$ and $80-85 \times 10^6$ years. Thus this measurement also would fit in with either of the models under discussion.

The ambiguity of the age of the group as determined from the W-gradient alone raises an interesting problem in the description of the present state of motion of the system in the Z-direction. The period of Z-oscillation of these stars is approximately 70×10^6 years (Oort 1965). Thus, if they all left the galactic plane at the same time, at age 45 ×

JANET ROUNTREE LESH

10⁶ years they would have completed one half-period and would be leaving the plane in the opposite direction from their original motion. But at 90 \times 10⁶ years they would be beginning the second period and would have attained approximately their maximum distance from the plane. The former alternative seems more compatible with the large velocities away from the plane which we noted in Figure 4, b. But if we accept this description we must conclude that at some time in the past the Gould belt was even more highly inclined to the galactic plane than it is at present.

We have investigated the dependence of the present results on the various constants used in the calculation. Assuming Δn to be fairly well known from Fricke's recent work (1966b), we repeated the entire calculation of space motions and velocity gradients for an alternative value of Δk , namely, -0".27 per century. The gradients in the various solutions were not changed by more than 1-2 km sec⁻¹ kpc⁻¹.

The expansion models were calculated for different values of A and B, up to 30 per cent greater and less than the assumed values of +15 and $-10 \text{ km sec}^{-1} \text{ kpc}^{-1}$, respectively. For expansion ages of 100×10^6 years and less, the theoretical gradients $\partial U/\partial X$ and $\partial V/\partial Y$ were not altered by more than the observed probable errors. The effect on the cross-gradients was somewhat greater but was not of such a nature as to change the interpretation of these gradients in any of the solutions. In other words, there was no shift from rotation to expansion or vice versa.

Because of the possibility of a systematic error in the calibration of our spectral types (see § II), we tested the role of the distance scale in our calculation by recomputing solution 1 with all the stellar distances decreased, and then increased, by 20 per cent (the estimated probable error of a single distance measurement). We found that decreasing the distance scale tended to increase $\partial U/\partial X$ and decrease $\partial V/\partial Y$, bringing them into good agreement for an expansion age of 100×10^6 years. The cross-gradients were adversely affected, however, with $\partial U/\partial Y$ becoming more negative and $\partial V/\partial X$ more positive. The reverse effect took place when the distance scale was increased— $\partial U/\partial X$ and $\partial V/\partial Y$ became more discordant, while $\partial U/\partial Y$ and $\partial V/\partial X$ approached their differential-rotation values. None of these changes was such as to alter the expansion age we would estimate from the solution as a whole.

We conclude that errors of the type considered here would not affect the picture we have drawn of the principal kinematical properties of the Gould belt system.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

Although the present investigation does not lead to a unique solution for the expansion age of the local system defined by the Gould belt, it does yield conclusive evidence for the presence of an expanding group as opposed to a sample of field stars in pure differential rotation. Two simple models of the expanding system suggest themselves on the basis of our calculations:

1. The system contains both expanding and differentially rotating components, which are mixed in some unspecified way, and has an over-all expansion age of 90×10^6 years.

2. The associations define the expanding subset, consisting of about 30 per cent of the local B-star population and having an expansion age of 45×10^6 years. The rest of the sample then follows the laws of pure differential rotation.

These models are not mutually exclusive. The second may be regarded as a particularization of the first. More complicated models, containing, for example, more than one expanding subsystem, could also be constructed to fit the observations. But there would be no way to choose among such models, on the basis of the present work.

The new observational data obtained in the course of this study—particularly the spectral types and the proper motions—form a more precise and homogeneous body of information on the bright early-type stars than has been available in the past. This information should be useful for future investigations of local galactic structure. Although the present discussion has been confined to the general kinematics of the stars within 600 pc, the author plans additional articles based on this body of data. These will

THE GOULD BELT

deal with the internal motions in the associations and the kinematics of the stars more distant than 600 pc.

A project of this scope could not have been undertaken without the generous cooperation of a number of astronomers and observatories. The author takes pleasure in thanking Dr. W. W. Morgan for suggesting this problem and for his invaluable instruction in the art of spectral classification; Dr. A. Blaauw for his hospitality as director of the Kapteyn Astronomical Laboratory and for his guidance in the calculation of the proper motions, the construction of the models, and the calibration of the spectral types; and Dr. P. O. Vandervoort for helpful discussions on stellar dynamics.

I am deeply indebted to Dr. D. L. Crawford for allowing me to use his unpublished UBV photometry and to Dr. C. Jaschek for other photometric references; to Drs. W. Fricke and W. Gliese for the communication of unpublished material on meridian catalogues and for discussions on precession constants; and to Dr. L. Fredrick for the use of facilities at the Leander McCormick Observatory of the University of Virginia, during my brief visit there.

Finally, I should like to acknowledge the patient help of many of the staff members of the Yerkes Observatory and the Kapteyn Astronomical Laboratory, who assisted with the observations, the computations, and the preparation of the manuscript.

This research was carried out during the author's tenure of a NASA traineeship. It was supported in part by a grant from the National Science Foundation on stellar classification to Dr. Morgan and by a National Science Foundation grant to Dr. W. A. Hiltner.

REFERENCES

GENERAL

Adams, A. N., Bestul, S. M., and Scott, D. K. 1964, Pub. U.S. Naval Obs. (II), Vol. 19, Part I. Allen, C. W. 1963, Astrophysical Quantities (2d ed.; London: Athlone Press).

Bertiau, F. C. 1958, Ap. J., 128, 533.

Blaauw, A. 1946, Pub. Groningen, No. 52.

-. 1952, B.A.N., 11, 414. -. 1955, Co-ordination of Galactic Research (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press).

—. 1961, B.A.N., 15, 265.

-. 1963, in Basic Astronomical Data, ed. K. Aa. Strand (Chicago: University of Chicago Press), chap. xx.

Bonneau, M. 1965, Ann. Obs. Besançon, 6, 63.

Borgman, J., and Blaauw, A. 1964, B.A.N., 17, 358. Boss, B. 1937, General Catalogue of 33342 Stars for the Epoch 1950 (Washington, D.C.: Carnegie Institution of Washington). Brosche, P., Nowacki, H., and Strobel, W. 1964, Veröff. Astr. Rechen-Inst. Heidelberg, No. 15. Chandrasekhar, S. 1942, Principles of Stellar Dynamics (Chicago: University of Chicago Press).

Charlier, C. 1916, *Lund. Medd.* (II), No. 14. Delhaye, J. 1959, *J. d. Obs.*, **42**, 94. Eggen, O. J. 1961, *R.O.B.*, No. 41. Feast, M. W., and Shuttleworth, M. 1965, *M.N.R.A.S.*, **130**, 245. Fricke, W. 1966a, in Vistas in Astronomy, **8** (Oxford: Pergamon Press), 205.

Fricke, W. 1900a, in Visias in Astronomy, 8 (Oxtold: Ferganion Fress), 203.
 —. 1966b, Mitt. Astr. Gesell., No. 21, p. 47; see also 1967, A.J., 72, 642.
 Fricke, W., and Kopff, A. 1963, Veröff. Astr. Rechen-Inst. Heidelberg, No. 10.
 Garrison, R. F. 1967, Ap. J., 147, 1003.
 Harris, D. L., III. 1955, Ap. J., 121, 554.
 Heintz, W. D. 1962, Veröff. U. Sternw. München, 5, 27.
 Harrishel, I. 1847. Results of Astronomical Observations at the Capter of Cood Hot

- Herschel, J. 1847, Results of Astronomical Observations at the Cape of Good Hope (London: Smith Elder), p. 385
- Hoffleit, D. 1964, Catalogue of Bright Stars (3d rev. ed.; New Haven, Conn.: Yale University Observatory). Hogg, A. R. 1958, Mt. Stromlo Mimeogram, No. 2.

Johnson, H. L. 1963, in Basic Astronomical Data, ed. K. Aa. Strand (Chicago: University of Chicago Press), p. 214.

-. 1966, Com. Lunar and Planet. Lab., 4, 99.

- Johnson, H. L., and Iriarte, B. 1958, Lowell Obs. Bull., 4, 47.
- Johnson, H. M. 1960, Pub. A.S.P., 72, 10.
- Kahrstedt, A. 1961, Index der Sternörter, 1925-1960 (Berlin: Akademie-Verlag)
- Kopff, A. 1938, Abh. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. Phys.-math. Kl., No. 3; see also 1937, Veröff. Astr. Rechen-Inst. Berlin-Dahlem, No. 54.
- Kopff, A., Nowacki, H., and Strobel, W. 1964, Veröff. Astr. Rechen-Inst. Heidelberg, No. 4.
- Lindblad, B. 1941, Stockholm Ann., 13, No. 10, pp. 19-20.
- Maître, V. 1964, Ann. Obs. Besançon, 6, 137.
- Morgan, H. R. 1952, Astr. Papers Am. Eph., Vol. 13, Part III. Morgan, H. R., and Oort, J. H. 1951, B.A.N., 11, 379. Morgan, W. W. 1953, A.J., 59, 86.

- Morgan, W. W., Code, A. D., and Whitford, A. E. 1955, Ap. J. Suppl., 2, 41. Morgan, W. W., Keenan, P. C., and Kellman, E. 1943, An Atlas of Stellar Spectra (Chicago: University of Chicago Press).
- Nassau, J. J., and Morgan, W. W. 1950, Pub. Michigan Obs., 10, 43. Oort, J. H. 1965, in Galactic Structure, ed. A. Blaauw and M. Schmidt (Chicago: University of Chicago Press), p. 473.
- Petrie, R. M. 1955, Pub. Dom. Ap. Obs. (Victoria), 9, 297.

- Shapley, H., and Cannon, A. J. 1924, Harvard Reprints, No. 6.
- Sharpless, S. 1952, Ap. J., 116, 251.
- Wilson, R. E. 1953, General Catalogue of Stellar Radial Velocities (Washington, D.C.: Carnegie Institution of Washington).

RADIAL VELOCITIES

- Abhyankar, K. D. 1959, Ap. J. Suppl., 4, 157.
- Abt, H., Barnes, R. C., Biggs, E. S., and Osmer, P. S. 1965, Ap. J., 142, 1604.
- Barbier-Brossat, M. 1954, J. d. Obs., **37**, 119. Barbier-Brossat, M. 1954, J. d. Obs., **37**, 119. Batten, A. H. 1962, *Pub. Dom. Ap. Obs. (Victoria)*, **12**, 91. Blaauw, A., and Albada, T. S. van. 1963, *Ap. J.*, **137**, 791.
- Blaauw, A., and Hoof, A. van. 1963, Ap. J., 137, 821.

- Blaudw, A., and Toor, A. van. 1903, Ap. J., 137, 621. Bouigue, R., and Castanet, A. 1954, J. d. Obs., 37, 1. Buscombe, W. 1962, M.N.R.A.S., 124, 16. Buscombe, W., and Morris, P. 1960, M.N.R.A.S., 121, 263. Chopinet, M. 1953, J. d. Obs., 36, 34. Duflot, M. 1953, J. d. Obs., 36, 30. Ebbigheurger, F. C. and Potrio, P. M. 1950, Bub, Dam. 44

- Ebbighausen, E. G., and Petrie, R. M. 1959, Pub. Dom. Ap. Obs. (Victoria), 11, 247.
- Feast, M. W., Thackeray, A. D., and Wesselink, A. J. 1955, *Mem. R.A.S.*, 67, 51. ——. 1957, *ibid.*, 68, 1. Hoof, A. van, Bertiau, F. C., and Deurinck, R. 1963, *Ap. J.*, 137, 824. Lynds, C. R. 1960, *Ap. J.*, 131, 122.

- Mannino, G. 1959, Contr. Asiago, No. 103.
- Miczaika, G. R. 1950, Zs. f. Ap., 27, 247.
- -. 1951a, ibid., 29, 105.
- ------. 1951b, ibid., p. 305. Pearce, J. A. 1953a, A.J., 58, 46.

- Petrie, R. M., and Ebbighausen, E. G. 1901, *Pub. Dom. Ap. Obs. (Victoria)*, 11, 383. Petrie, R. M., and Pearce, J. A. 1962, *Pub. Dom. Ap. Obs. (Victoria)*, 12, 1. Ringuelet-Kaswalder, A. E. 1963, *Ap. J.*, 137, 1310. Struve, O. 1951, *Ap. J.*, 113, 589. Struve, O., Huang, S. S., and Zebergs, V. 1959, *Ap. J.*, 129, 314. Struve, O., McNamara, D. H., Kraft, R. P., Kung, S. M., and Williams, A. D. 1952a, *Ap. J.* 116, 81. Struve, O., McNamara, D. H., Kung, S. M., and Beymer, C. 1953, *Ap. J.*, 118, 39. Struve, O. McNamara, D. H. Kung, S. M. and Kraft, R. P. 1952b, *Ap. J.*, 118, 39.
- Struve, O., McNamara, D. H., Kung, S. M., and Kraft, R. P. 1952b, Ap. J., 116, 398.

- -. 1958, *ibid.*, 11, 143.
- ----. 1960, *ibid*., p. 353.

TABLE 1

STANDARDS AND CRITERIA FOR CLASSIFICATION*

CDECTRAL		LUMINOSITY CLAS	SS	
TYPE	V	Σ	Ш	
09	<u>10 Iec</u> λ4541 (He II) present but≪λ4471 (He I).	No example in program.	ι Ori λ4387, λ4144 and λ4009 (all He I) weaker than at 09V. λ4089 (Si IV) andλ4650 (C III) stronger.	
09.5	$\frac{\sigma \text{ Ori}}{\lambda^{4541} \text{ absent. } \lambda^{4200}}$ is present. λ^{4686} (He II) < λ^{4650} .	No example in program.	No example in program.	
во	$\frac{\nu_{0r1, T} S_{CO}}{\lambda_{4686} = \lambda_{4650}}$ $\lambda_{4200 \text{ absent.}}$	HD 209339 Interpolate between BOV and BOIII.	$\frac{1 \text{ Cam }(n)}{\text{He and H spectra weaker than at}}$ He and H spectra weaker than at BOV. Strengthening of λ +116 (Si IV) makes λ +121 (He I) look fuzzy or double.	
B0.5	HD 36960 λ 4886 absent but λ 4650 present. λ 4089 (S1 IV) weaker than at BOV while λ 4387 (He I) and λ 4072 (0 II) are stronger.	$\frac{S}{\lambda 4009}$ (He I) weaker than st B0.5V, O II stronger.	$\frac{\kappa \text{Aql (n), 1 Cas}}{\lambda 4072, \lambda 43 49, \lambda 4416 (all 0 II)}$ much stronger than at B0.5V. $\lambda 3995$ (N II) usually present.	
BI	$\frac{\omega^{1}Sco}{\lambda 4072}$ strong (but not other O II lines). $\lambda 4121$ ($+\lambda 4116$) > $\lambda 4144$ (He I). $\lambda 4089$ weak.	αVir Interpolate between BlV and BlIII.	o Per, σ Sco λ 4009 weaker than at BIV. λ 4089, λ 4349 and λ 4416 stronger.	
B I.5	HD 215191 λ4072 present butλ4129 (Si II) andλ4481 (Mg II) absent.λ4121 andλ4009 intermediate between BlV and B2V.	Interpolate between B1.5V and B1.5III.	Interpolate between BlIII and B2III.	
B 2	22 Sco, 51 Cyg HD 36430, HD 43955 λ4009 and λ4387 strong. λ4121 <λ4144. λμ129, λ4072 and λ4481 absent or weak.	IV-V: β Sco C, HD 36285 λ 4121 stronger and λ 4009 weaker than at B2V. λ 4072 & λ 4129, λ 4009 than at B2V. λ 4072 $\&$ λ 4129, λ 4009 $\&$ λ 4009 weaker λ 4481 fairly strong. λ 4009 $\&$ λ 4009 weak. both present.	$\frac{\pi^4}{\text{H}}$ ori H and He spectra absolutely weaker than at B2IV and λ 4009 relatively weaker. λ 4072 and λ 4121 relatively stronger.	
B 2.5	HD 36779 λ 4072 absent, λ 4129 present. λ 4121 and λ 4009 weaker than at B2V.	HD 32612 λ4121 and λ4009 stronger than at B2.5V but λ4129 stronger than at B2V.	π^2 Cyg Interpolate between B2III and B3III.	
В 3	<u>η Aur</u> , HD 178329 λ4121 <λ4129 <λ4144. λ4009 moderately weak. λ4481 present.	126 Tau λ 4121 and λ 4009 stronger than in corresponding Main	HD 21483 λ 4121~ λ 1129 but < λ 4144. λ 4009~ λ 4026 but whole He I spectrum is weak. λ 4481 stronger than at B3V.	
В4	90 Leo λ 4129 stronger w.r.t. λ 4144 than at B3V. λ 4121 and λ 4009 weak.	HD 176502	14 Lac Interpolate between B3III and B5III.	
B 5	$\frac{\rho \text{ Aur, } \nu \text{ And}}{\lambda \ln 29} \sim \lambda + 144 \text{ but } \lambda + 121 \text{ still} \text{ present. } \lambda + 431 \text{ well marked.} \\ \lambda + 4009 \text{ very weak.}$	τ Her, 16 Pup	<u>δ Per</u> , <u>τ Ori</u> H and He spectra much weaker than in corresponding	
В6	<u>30 (β) Sex</u> λμ129 >λ4144. λ4121 very weak.	<u>19 Tau</u>	<u>17 Tau</u> λ4481 relatively stronger.	
В7	49 Eri Interpolate between B6V and B6V.	<u>16 Tau</u>	<u>- 7) Tau</u>	
B 8	<u>18 Τευ</u> λ4481 = λ4471	Interpolate between BSV and BSIII.	27 Tau	

*Primary standards are underlined.

 $\ensuremath{\textcircled{}^{\odot}}$ American Astronomical Society • Provided by the NASA Astrophysics Data System

TABLE 2

Group	$V_0 - M_V$	7 (pc)	Source
a Per $h + \chi$ Per ζ Per ζ PerId OriIa OriIb OriIc OriIc GemII ScoI CepI L sc	$\begin{array}{r} 6.15\\ 11.79\\ 7.54\\ 5.55\\ 7.92\\ 8.33\\ 8.47\\ 10.87\\ 6.20\\ 9.60\\ 8.20\\ \end{array}$	170 2279 322 129 384 463 494 1492 174 830 455	Blaauw (1963) Present study Borgman and Blaauw (1964) Blaauw (1963) Present study Present study Present study Present study Blaauw (1961) Bresent study
1 Lat	0.29	+33	riesent study

Adopted Distances for Associations and Groups

TABLE 3

FINAL CALIBRATION OF NEW SPECTRAL TYPES

The second s	M_{V}								
1 XPE	v	IV-V	IV	III	II	Ib	Iab	Ia	
05-08	$\begin{array}{r} -5.9 \\ -5.4 \\ -4.9 \\ -4.2 \\ -4.0 \\ -3.5 \\ -2.8 \\ -2.2 \\ -1.9 \\ -1.3 \\ -1.1 \\ -0.9 \\ -0.5 \\ -0.5 \\ \ldots \end{array}$	-2.4	$\begin{array}{c} & (-5.5) \\ (-5.0) \\ (-4.5) \\ -4.1 \\ -3.8 \\ -3.5 \\ -3.0 \\ -2.1 \\ -1.7 \\ -1.6 \\ -1.0 \\ -0.6 \\ (-0.6) \\ -0.4 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -5.6\\ (-5.3)\\ (-5.1)\\ -4.8\\ -4.0\\ -3.7\\ -3.3\\ -2.5\\ -2.2\\ -1.9\\ -1.6\\ -1.4\\ -1.0\\ (-0.6)\end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{c} -6.1 \\ -5.7 \\ -5.3 \\ -4.9 \\ -4.4 \\ -4.0 \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} -6.2 \\ -6.2 \\ -6.1 \\ -6.0 \\ -5.9 \\ -5.8 \\ -5.7 \\ -5.6 \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} -6.2 \\ -6.3 \\ -6.3 \\ -6.3 \\ -6.3 \\ -6.3 \\ -6.3 \\ -6.3 \\ -6.3 \\ -6.3 \\ \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} -6.2 \\ -6.6 \\ -6.9 \\ -7.1 \\ -7.1 \\ -7.0 \\ -6.8 \\ -6.7 \\ \end{array} $	

EXPLANATION OF COLUMNS OF TABLE 4

Column

- 1 HD number
- 2 MK spectral type from present work
- 3 V-magnitude
- $4 \quad B V \text{ color}$
- 5 Source of photometry: (A) Crawford (private communication), (B) Johnson (1966), (C) other authors (see Notes to Table 4), (D) Catalogue of Bright Stars (Hoffleit 1964)
- 6 Distance modulus
- 7 Distance (in parsecs) corresponding to distance modulus in col. 6
- 8 Remarks on distance:
 - None—Distance is based on the spectral type and photometry given in Table 4 and the calibration in Table 3
 - 1—Star is a member of an association or group, for which the mean distance from Table 2 has been adopted
 - 2—Star has spectral peculiarities but was observed directly by Borgman and Blaauw (1964), whose value of M_v has been adopted
 - 3—Star has spectral peculiarities but has been assigned an approximate M_{ν} from the calibration for normal stars; distance is highly uncertain

© American Astronomical Society • Provided by the NASA Astrophysics Data System

TABLE 4

SPECTRAL TYPES, PHOTOMETRY, AND DISTANCES OF BRIGHT O AND B STARS

НD	Spectrum	V	B-V	Ref	V _o -M _v	r(pc)	Com
829	B2V	6.71	11	A	8•52	506	
886	B2IV	2.84	23	A	5.81	145	
1337	09IIINN	6.13	13	А	11.19	1729	3
1976	BSIV	5.57	13	A	6•48	198	
2729	B6V	6.17	10	A	6.55	204	
2905	BIIA	4.17	+•13	А	10.11	1052	
3240	87111	5•06	11	А	6.03	161	
3360	B2IV	3•68	20	A	6•56	205	
3369	B5V	4.38	13	A	5.19	109	
3379	B2.5IV	5.88	- •15	A	7.77	358	
3901	B2•5V	4.81	11	A	6.38	189	
4142	B4V	5.63	-•11	А	6.52	201	
4180	85111	4•62	08	A	5•98	157	
4727	85V	4•57	16	A	5•47	124	
5394	B0.5IVE1	2.58	20	A	6•44	194	3
6300	B3V	6.53	07	A	7•44	308	
10516	B2VE4P	4.09	04	А	5.69	137	3
11241	B1•5V	5•50	17	А	8•06	409	
11415	B3VP	3.37	16	A	4.85	93	2
12301	B8IB	5.58	+•38	в	9•98	991	
13267	B5IA	6•38	+•33	A	11.79	2279	1
13854	BIIAB	6•47	+•28	А	11.79	2279	1
14372	B5V	6.10	~ •08	A	6•76	225	
14818	BZIA	6•21	+•30	A	11.79	2279	1
14951	B7IV	5•46	10	A	6.00	158	3
16582	B2IV	4.06	21	в	6.97	248	
16908	B3V	4.65	14	A	5•77	143	
17081	B7V	4.25	14	в	4.75	89	
17543	B6V	5.21	06	A	5•47	124	
17769	B7V	5•48	09	Α	5.89	151	
18537	87111	5•23	05	A	6.15	170	1
18604	BGIII	4.66	11	Α	5.97	156	

НD	Spectrum	V	B-V	Ref	V _o - M _v	r(pc)	Com
18883	B7V	5.51	11	A	5•98	157	
19268	B5V	6•30	01	A	6.75	224	
19374	B1•5V	6.10	-•12	A	8.51	503	
20315	BSIV	5•46	06	A	6.15	170	1
20336	B2.5VE1N	4.80	-•14	A	6.56	205	2
20365	B3V	5.12	05	Α	6.15	170	1
20418	85IV	5.02	06	A	6.15	170	1
20756	B5IV	5.27	06	A	5.97	156	
20809	B4V	5.30	08	А	6.15	170	1
21071	B5IV	6.06	08	A	6.15	170	1
21278	B4V	4.97	08	A	6.15	170	1
21362	B7VN	5.57	~ •04	A	6.15	170	1
21428	85V	4•66	08	Α	6•15	170	1
21455	B6V	6•22	+•13	A	6.15	170	1
21803	B2IV	6•40	+.03	A	8 • 59	522	
21856	BIV	5.89	- •07	Α	7•54	322	1
22192	85VE2	4.25	- •04	A	6.15	170	1
22780	B7VN	5.55	07	Α	5.90	151	3
22928	B5III	2.99	12	Α	4•47	78	
22951	BIIV	4•96	02	Α	7•54	322	1
23180	BIIII	3.79	+•06	Α	7.54	322	1
23288	B7IV	5•45	- •04	Α	5.55	129	1
23302	BGIII	3.70	11	Α	5.55	129	1
23338	BGIV	4•29	11	Α	5.55	129	1
23408	BSIII	3.87	07	Α	5.55	129	1
23466	B3V	5.32	11	Α	6•35	186	
23480	BGIV	4.18	06	А	5.55	129	1
2 3625	82•5V	6.56	+•06	Α	7•54	322	1
23630	B7III	2.87	09	В	5.55	129	1
23793	B3V	5.06	14	Α	6.18	172	
24131	80•5V	5•78	02	А	7•54	322	1
24398	BIIB	2.87	+•11	Α	7•54	322	1

TABLE 4-Continued

-

H D	Spectrum	V	B-V	Ref	V _o -M _v	r(pc)	Com
24504	B6V	5.38	08	A	5.70	138	
24534	09•5E4P	6 • 35	+•26	A	7.54	322	1
24640	B1.5V	5.51	05	A	7.54	322	1
24760	BO.5III	2.90	18	A	7•40	302	
24912	07.5	4.03	+.01	A	7.54	322	1
25204	B3IV	3•64	11	Α	5.07	103	
25340	B5V	5.28	15	A	6.15	170	
25558	B3V	5•32	09	A	6•29	181	
25638	BOIII	4•94	+•43	Α	7.85	371	3
25940	B3VE1+	4.01	03	A	6.00	158	2
26326	B4V	5 • 37	15	с	6.38	189	
26356	B5V	5.56	13	A	6.37	188	
26739	B5IV	6•45	14	A	7.39	301	
26912	B3IV	4•27	07	A	5.58	131	
27192	B1.5IV	5.55	01	A	8.33	463	
27396	B4IV	4•84	04	A	6.02	160	
28114	B6IV	6.08	+.00	A	6•26	179	
28149	B7V	5.52	10	A	5.96	156	
28446	BOIIIN	5.77	+•16	A	9.49	790	3
28497	B1.5VE2	5.60	23	в	8.34	465	3
29248	B2III	3•96	22	A	7.20	275	
29335	B7V	5.31	13	A	5.81	145	
29763	B3V	4•26	13	A	5.35	117	
29866	BSIVN	6.09	+•06	A	6•04	161	3
30076	B2VE2	5.86	09	A	7.61	333	3
30211	B4IV	4.00	15	A	5.51	126	
30614	09•5IA	4•29	+•02	A	9.82	920	
30836	B2III	3.68	16	A	6.74	223	
30870	85V	6.11	+•08	A	6.29	181	
31237	B2III	3.69	18	A	6.81	230	
31327	82•5IB	6.08	+•39	A	10•41	1207	
31331	B5V	5.97	-•11	A	6.72	221	

TABLE 4-Continued

Com	r(pc)	V _o -M _v	Ref	B-V	V	Spectrum	HD
	794	9.50	в	-•21	6.15	BIV	31726
3	198	6.48	A	07	5.03	B2.5VE3	32343
	476	8+39	в	18	6•41	B2•5IV	32612
	76	4•40	A	18	3•16	B3V	32630
	247	6.96	A	13	6.05	BSIV	32686
	229	6.80	A	+•06	5.50	82V	32990
2	539	8.66	A	+•18	5.92	B2VE3	32991
			D		6.17	B2II+K	33203
3	263	7.10	A	19	4.25	B2IVN	33328
	1318	10.60	A	+•22	5.94	09•5V	34078
	209	6.60	А	02	6.12	B5V	34233
			D	محاو خالة فيها خلاه	6•48	B3IV	34447
	101	5.03	A	-•11	3.58	85 I I I	34503
a 1	384	7•92	А	12	6.33	B1.5VN	34748
	163	6.06	Α	14	5.22	B5V	34759
	457	8.30	в	25	4.29	B0.5IV	34816
		*****	D		5 • 29	B7IVNN	34863
S 1	384	7•92	A	09	6•51	85VP	34959
	602	8.90	A	13	5.79	BIV	34989
A 1	384	7.92	Α	19	5.69	B3V	35007
D 1	384	7.92	Α	18	4•72	B2IV-V	35039
1	384	7.92	Α	15	4.99	BIVN	35149
() - 1	384	7.92	Α	20	5.68	B1.5V	35299
	428	8.16	В	21	5.25	B2IV	35337
R 1	384	7.92	A	15	6•33	B4 IVN	35407
·?] 1	384	7•92	A	17	3•42	B0+5VNN	35411
1	384	7.92	A	21	4.94	BIVN	35439
	93	4.85	Α	21	1.64	82111	35468
3	391	7.96	A	08	6•24	BZVN	35532
GJ 1	384	7.92	Α	19	6.17	82•5V	35588
	169	6.14	Α	10	5•42	B5V	35671
	225	6.76	Α	15	4.87	B2•51V	35708

TABLE 4-Continued

S17371L		
1968ApJ	1	

TABLE 4-Continued

НD	Spectrum	V	B-V	Ref	V _o -M _v	r(pc)	С	om
35715	BIV	4.60	22	А	7.92	384	(si	1
35912	B2V	6•41	19	A	7.92	384	تنبیر	1
36166	B2V	5•78	21	A	7.92	384		1
36267	B5V	4.19	15	Α	5.06	103		
36285	B2IV-V	6•34	21	Α	8.47	494	C	1
36351	B1.5V	5.47	19	A	7.92	384	Û	1
36371	B5IAB	4.74	+•32	А	9.81	916		
36430	B2V	6.23	19	Α	8.47	494	ŝ	1
36485	B2IV-V	6.86	17	А	8.33	463	6	1
36486	09•511-111	2.23	23	A	8.33	463	2	1
36512	BOV	4.61	28	A	8.47	494	<u>_</u>	1
36576	B2IV-VE2	5.72	01	А	7.43	306		3
36591	BIIV	5•34	-•20	A	8.33	463	ŝ	1
36646	B4VN	6.56	11	A	8.33	463		1
36653	B3V	5.63	-•14	Α	6•75	224		
36695	BIV	5.38	20	A	8.33	463	ł	1
36741	B2V	6•61	20	A	7.92	384	2	1
36779	82•5V	6•23	18	A	8•33	463	ċ	1
36819	82.5IV	5•38	09	A	7.09	262		
36822	B0•5IV-V	4•41	- •17	A	8.18	432		3
36861	08	3.39	-•19	В	8.93	611		
36862		5.56		D	8.93	611		
36959	BIV	5•67	-•24	В	8.47	494	С	1
36960	B0•5V	4.79	-•26	A	8•47	494	С	1
37016	B2•5V	6•28	16	A	8.47	494	С	1
37017	B1.5V	6.57	16	A	8.47	494	ŝ,	1
3.7018	BIV	4.61	-•21	A	8.47	494	C	1
37020		6•72	•00	В	8.47	494	C	1
37021		7•96	+•24	c	8.47	494	سوید	1
37022	06E4P	5.13	•00	B	8.47	494	s Se a	1
37023		6•70	+•08	В	8.47	494	2	1
37040	82•5IV	6•31	-•13	В	8•47	494	ا بر الب رب	1

НD	Spectrum	V	B-V	Ref	V _o -M _v	r(pc)		Com
37041	09.5VE3P	5.08	11	В	8•47	494	С	1
37043	09111	2.77	24	в	8•47	494	\subset	1
37055	B3IV	6•41	13	A	8.33	463	~	1
37128	BOIA	1.70	19	Α	8.33	463	è	1
37150	B3IV	6.57	20	A	8•47	494	ĩ	1
37202	B4IIIP	2.95	19	A	7.65	339		2
37209	B2IV	5.72	23	A	8.47	494	, - 	1
37232	B2IV-V	6.13	-•17	A	8.32	461		
37303	B1•5V	6•05	-•23	A	8.47	494	¢	1
37356	B2IV-V	6.20	04	A	8•47	494	< ⁻ -	1
37367	B2IV-V	5 • 9 5		D				
37438	B3IV	5.17	15	A	6•72	221		
37468	09•5V	3.85	24	A	8.33	463	5	1
37479	B2VP	6.66	18	A	8.33	463	5	1
37481	81•5IV	6.65	19	A	9.97	986		
37490	B2IIIE1	4.58	11	A	7.92	384	q	1
37635	B7V	6.50	-•12	A	7.00	251		
37711	B3IV	4.86	-•14	A	6.38	189		
37742	09•5IB	1.77	-•21	в	8.33	463	~	1
37743		4.21		D	8.33	463	÷	1
37744	B1.5V	6.22	-•21	A	8.33	463		1
37756	B2IV-V	4.95	22	А	8.33	463		1
37967	B2+5VE3	6•20	06	A	7.92	384		2
37971	B3IVP	6•21	-•13	в	7.70	347		3
38622	B2IV-V	2.89	-•17	A	5.08	104		
38771	B0.5IA	2.09	18	Α	8.77	567		
39291	B2IV-V	5•36	-•21	A	7.67	342		
39698	B2V	5.92	17	А	7.91	382		
397 77	B1•5V	6•57	~ •20	A	9.22	698		
39970	AOIA	6.02	+•38	Α	10.87	1492		1
40111	BIIB	4.83	07	A	10.87	1492		1
41117	B2IA	4•61	+•28	Α	10.87	1492		1

TABLE 4-Continued

and the second second

· - · ·

17371L	ı
1968ApJS.	4

, ,

TABLE 4-Continued

НD	Spectrum	V	B-V	Ref	V _o -M _v	r(pc)	Com
41335	B2VE3+N	5.21	08	A	6.93	243	3
41692	B5IV	5.39	14	A	6.33	184	
41753	B3IV	4•42	18	А	6.06	163	
41814	B3V	6.66	15	в	7.81	365	
42087	B2•51B	5•78	+.20	A	10.87	1492	1
42545	B5VN	4•98	16	Α	6.18	172	2
42560	B3IV	4•47	19	A	6.14	169	
42690	B2V	5.05	21	A	7.16	270	
42927	B3III	6.53	16	В	8.61	527	
43112	BIV	5.92	25	A	9.39	755	
43285	B6V	6.07	13	A	6.54	204	
43317	B3IV	6•64	17	A	8.25	446	
43384	B3IAB	6•24	+•44	A	10.87	1492	1
43544	B2.5VE1N	5.94	17	в	7.69	345	3
43955	B2V	5.31		D			
44112	B2•5V	5.28	20	A	7.12	265	
44173	85111	6.53	10	A	7.95	389	
44458	B1VE2+	5•46	01	D	8.21	438	3
44700	B3V	6•39	-•15	A	7•54	322	
44743	BIII-III	1.97	-•24	В	6.54	203	3
45321	B2•5V	6•17	16	A	7.89	378	
45542	B6III	4•16	-•13	A	5.53	128	
45546	B2V	5.07	19	A	7.12	265	
45725	B3VE2	3•75	-•17	A	4•96	98	3
45726		5•22		D	4.96	98	3
45727		5.60	400 400 app 400	D	4.96	98	3
45995	B2•5VE2+	6•12	10	A	7.66	340	3
46064	B1.5V	6.16	15	в	8.66	539	
46487	B5VN	5.09	 15	A	5.96	156	3
46769	B8IB	5.79	01	A	11.36	1870	
47129	OBF	6.06	+•05	в			
47240	BIII	6.16	+•14	A	10.32	1158	

Com	r(pc)	V _o -M _v	Ref	B-V	V	Spectrum	HD
	1465	10.83	A	+•13	6•22	09•511	47432
	1169	10.34	A	-•25	4.65	07	47839
	1940	11.44	A	~ •05	6.35	05.5	48099
3	1056	10.12	A	~ •02	5•86	BOIII	48434
	219	6•70	A	-•17	5.13	B4IV	48879
	347	7.70	A	18	5•92	B2•5V	48977
	167	6.11	A	13	5.11	B7III	49340
3	724	9.30	Α	-•14	6.15	B311-111	49567
	143	5.77	8	10	5.39	B6V	49662
3	134	5.63	в	+•56	6•21	B3IVE3+F	50820
	481	8.41	в	06	4.37	B3II	51309
	1958	11.46	A	+•19	6.50	BIIB	52382
	457	8.30	A	-•02	6•56	B2IV-V	52559
	527	8.61	Α	-•20	4.99	BIIV	52918
2	121	5.42	в	11	4.12	BSII	53244
3	95 5	9.90	в	05	6•49	B0.5IVN	53755
	6 92	9.20	В	+•05	5.39	BO.5III	53974
	2227	11.74	в	10	6•47	08	53975
	1629	11.06	в	+.03	6•21	06.5	54662
	1219	10.43	В	+•06	6.03	BIII	54764
3	1432	10.78	в	18	6•04	BOIII	55879
	1940	11•44	A	19	6•40	09V	57682
3	506	8.52	Α	20	6•44	B2VE3	58050
3	239	6.89	D	-•04	5.33	B2.5IVE1	58343
3	605	8.91	D	04	6•21	B2IIIP	60325
3	322	7.54	в	12	5.70	B2VE1+	60855
			D		5.66	82111	61068
3	398	8.00	A	09	6•49	B2•5VE3+	65875
	438	8.21	В	16	6.13	B3III	66834
	119	5.37	В	15	4•40	B5IV	67797
	306	7.43	в	17	5.68	82•5V	67880
	120	5•39	Α	20	4•29	B4V	74280

TABLE 4-Continued

- -

- -- -- --

.

HD	Spectrum	V	B-V	Ref	V _o - M _v	r(pc)	Com
83754	B5V	5.05	15	в	5.92	153	
87015	B2.5IV	5.66	20	A	7.70	347	
89688	B2.5IV	6•68	09	A	8.39	476	
90994	B6V	5.07	14	А	5.57	130	
91316	BIIAB	3.85	14	A	10.00	1000	
00600	B4V	5•95	18	A	7.05	257	
.04337	BIV	5.28		D			
.09387	B6IIIP	3.82	11	A	5.13	106	3
16658	BIIV	0•97	23	в	4.68	86	
20315	B3V	1.84	18	А	3.08	41	
38485	B2VN	5.50	-•14	в	7•40	302	2
38749	B6VNN	4•14	13	A	4.61	84	3
38764	B6IV	5•14	09	A	6.20	174	1
42096	B2•5V	5.03	01	в	6•20	174	1
42378	85V	5.93	02	в	6•20	174	1
42983	B5IIIP	4.87	10	в	7.29	287	2
44217	B0•5V	2.63	08	в	6•20	174	1
44218	B2IV-V	4•92	02	в	6•20	174	1
45502	B2IVP	4.01	+•03	в	6•20	174	1
47394	BSIV	3.88	15	Α	4.85	93	
48184	B1.5VE4	4•43	+•28	В	6.20	174	1
49757	09•5VNN	2.56	+.02	в	6.50	200	2
54445	BIV	5.62		D		******	
55763	86111	3.17	11	в	4.48	79	
56633	B1.5VP	4.77	18	A	7•36	296	3
58148	B5V	5.53	13	A	6.34	185	
60762	B3IV	3.80	18	A	5•44	122	
61056	B1.5V	6•32	+•37	A	7.26	283	
63472	B2IV-V	5•84	+•09	A	7.25	282	
64284	B2VE1	4.69	05	A	6.32	184	3
64353	B5IB	3•97	+•02	A	9•44	772	
64432	B2TV	6.37	09	۵	8.92	608	

TABLE 4-Continued

HD	Spectrum	V	B-V	Ref	V _o -M _v	r(pc)	Com
164852	B3IV	5.27	10	A	6.67	216	
165174	BOIIIN	6.15	02	A	10.41	1207	3
166182	B2IV	4•35	18	А	7.17	272	
167771	08	6•54	+•12	8	11.15	1698	3
167965	B7IV	5.58	-•12	А	6.18	172	3
168021	BOIB	6.43	+•27	D	11.10	1659	
168199	B5V	6.30	03	Α	6.81	230	
168797	B3VE1	6.11	03	Α	6.90	240	3
170111	B3V	6•52	11	A	7.55	324	
170580	B2V	6.70	+.10	Α	7.88	377	
170650	BGIV	5•89	10	Α	6•37	188	
170740	B2IV-V	5.91	+•27	с	6•78	227	
171406	B4V	6•58	-•12	A	7•50	316	
171780	B5VN	6.09	-•11	A	6.84	233	3
173087	B5V	6•47	13	Α	7.28	286	
173370	B9V	5•02	06	A			
174179	B3IVP	6.05	13	A	7.54	322	3
174237	B2•5V	5.87	-•09	A	7.38	299	
174585	B3IV	5.90	-•16	A	7•48	313	
174959	BGIV	6.08	11	A	6.59	208	
175156	B5II	5.04		D			
176162	B5IV	5.36		D	*****		
176304	B2VP	6•73	+•24	A	7.49	315	3
176502	B4IV	6•21	16	A	7.75	355	
176582	B5IV	6•40	17	A	7.40	302	
176819	B2IV-V	6•68	+•02	A	8.30	457	
176871	B5V	5•68	08	A	6.34	185	
177003	82•5IV	5.37	19	A	7.38	299	
177109	B5IV	6.38	12	A	7.26	283	
178175	B2VE1	5•54	10	D	7.32	291	3
178329	B3V	6•49	16	A	7.67	342	
178475	B6IV	5.28	11	A	5.79	144	

TABLE 4-Continued

HD	Spectrum	V	B-V	Ref	V _o -M _v	r(pc)	Com
179406	83V	5.33	+.13	А	5.64	134	
180163	B2.5IV	4•40	15	A	6.29	181	
180554	B4IV	4.75	06	A	5.99	158	
180968	BIIV	5•42	+•02	A	8 • 38	474	
181409	B2IV	6.59	19	A	9•44	772	
181858	B3IVP	6•65	03	А	7.84	370	3
182255	B6III	5.19	12	A	6.53	202	
182568	B3IV	4•98	12	A	6•44	194	
183144	B4III	6•32	07	А	7.89	378	
183362	B3VE1+	6•32	-•14	Α	7.44	308	3
183537	B5VN	6•31	10	А	7.03	255	3
184171	B3IV	4•72	15	Α	6•27	179	
184915	B0.5IIIN	4•96	-•01	А	8•95	616	3
184930	85111	4•34	08	A	5.70	138	
185268	B5V	6•45	09	A	7.14	268	
185423	B3III	6•36	+•04	A	7.84	370	
185507	B2•5VP	5.18	+•02	A	6•36	187	3
85859	80•5IA	6•52	+•39	A	11.44	1940	
85915	B6IV	6.65	+.01	A	6.80	229	
185936	85V	6.00	08	A	6.66	215	
186660	B3III	6•48	+.04	А	7.96	391	
87459	80.511	6•44	+.18	A	10.62	1330	
87567	B2•5IVE1+	6•52	08	А	8.11	419	3
187811	B2•5V	4•94	16	А	6.66	215	
87879	BIIII	5.68	04	Α	9.02	637	
87961	B7V	6.53	01	A	6.70	219	
88001	08F	6•25	+•01	A			
88209	09•5IA	5 • 65	09	A	11.51	2004	
88252	B2III	5.68	**	D			
88293	B7VN	5•71	08	A	6.09	165	3
88439	B0.5IIIN	6•30	-•12	A	10.62	1330	3
88665	85V	5.14	15	A	6.01	159	

TABLE 4-Continued

ŕ

,

HD	Spectrum	V	B-V	Ref	V _o -M _v	r(pc)	Com
188892	B5IV	4.93	09	A	5.72	139	
189066	B5IV	6•02	-•16	Α	7.02	253	
189178	B5V	5•45	11	Α	6•20	174	
189432	851V	6 • 3 2	10	Α	7.14	268	
189687	B3IV	5.17	-•16	A	6.75	224	
189775	85111	6.14	19	Α	7.74	353	
190603	B1.5IA	5•62	+•52	A	10.52	1270	
190993	B3V	5.06	18	A	6.30	182	
191263	B3IV	6 •3 3	14	A	7.85	371	
191610	B2•5V	4•92	13	A	6.55	204	
191639	BIV	6•45	17	A	9.68	863	
191877	BIIB	6•26	02	A	11.85	234 3	
192685	B3V	4.80	18	A	6.04	161	
192987	B6III	6•47	09	A	7.72	350	
193237	BIPE	4•78	+•41	A			
193322	09V	5•82	+•09	A	10.02	1009	
193536	B2V	6•45	13	A	8.32	461	
194335	B2VE1N	5.88	20	A	7.96	391	3
195556	82.5IV	4•94	08	A	6 • 62	211	
195810	86111	4.03	13	A	5•40	120	
195986	84111	6.58	11	A	8.27	451	
196035	B3IV	6.47	14	A	7.99	396	
196662	B5III	5.30		D			
196740	B5IV	5.03	-•14	A	5.97	156	
196775	B3V	5.97	14	A	7.09	262	
197036	B5IV	6•58	06	A	7•28	286	
197419	B2IV-VE3	6.68	16	A	8•84	586	3
197511	B2V	5.38	10	A	7.16	270	
197770	B2III	6•31	+•34	A	7.87	375	
198183	B6IV	4.53	-•11	A	5.04	102	
198478	B3IA	4.82	+.40	A	10.33	1164	
198625	B4V	6•31	-•06	A	7.05	257	

TABLE 4-Continued

و معاد د د ا م

1 <u>-</u> -
HD	Spectrum	V	B-V	Ref	V _o -M _v	r(pc)	Com
198781	80.5V	6•44	+.05	A	9•45	776	
198820	83111	6•42	15	Α	8•47	494	
199081	B5V	4.80	-•14	Α	5•64	134	
199140	B2III	6.52	15	Α	9.55	813	
199579	06	5.96	+•04	Α	10.78	1432	
199661	82.5IV	6.23	17	Α	8.18	432	
200120	B1.5VE2NN	4.79	07	A	7.05	257	3
200310	BIVN	5•40	20	D	8•72	554	3
201733	B4IVP	6.61	~ •15	A	8.12	421	3
201819	BO.5IVN	6•53	-•14	Α	10.21	1101	3
201836	BGIV	6.46	00	Α	6.64	213	
202214	BOV	5•64	+•10	A	9•60	830	1
202654	B4IV	6•45	15	A	7.96	391	
202904	B2VE1+	4.28	08	Α	6.00	158	3
203025	B3III	6.40	+.19	Α	9.60	830	1
203064	08N	5•01	03	Α	10.07	1032	3
203245	B6V	5.74	14	A	6•24	177	
203467	B3IVE1	5.18	05	Α	6•43	193	3
204172	BOIB	5•94	10	A	11.72	2207	
204403	B3IV	5.30	14	A	6 • 82	231	
204770	B7V	5.43	11	Α	5.90	151	
205021	BIIII	3.19	23	Α	7.10	263	
205139	BIIB	5.53	+•13	A	9 •60	830	1
205637	B2.5VP	4.72	16	в	6•44	194	3
206165	BŹIB	4.73	+•28	Α	9.60	830	1
206267	06	5.71	+•20	A	9.60	830	1
206672	B3IV	4.66	11	A	6.09	165	
207198	0911	5•95	+•30	A	9 •60	830	1
207330	B2•5III	4.18	10	A	6.32	184	
207563	B2V	6•28	10	A	8.06	409	
208057	B3V	5.08	16	•	6•26	179	
208095	B6IV-V	5.70	12	A	6•24	177	

TABLE 4-Continued

<u>— н</u> р	Spectrum	V	B – V	Ref	V - M	r (nc)	
					V_0 IVIV		
208682	B2+5VE2	5.88	09	A	1.39	501	د
208947	820	6.40	06	A	8.00	409	
209008	83111	6.00	-•12	A	7.96	391	-
209339	BOIV	6.65	+•07	A	9.60	830	1
209409	B7IVE1	4•70	09	Α	5.21	110	3
209419	BSIII	5.78	12	A	7.26	283	
209481	09VN	5•56	+•06	A	9.60	830	1
209961	B2V	6.30	09	A	8.29	455 ~	$c \in 1$
209975	09•5IB	5.12	+•08	Α	10.27	1132	
210191	B2.5IV	5.74		D			
210424	B7III	5•46	12	D	6•46	196	
210839	06F	5.06	+•24	A	a و مر م		
211924	85 I V	5.38	03	A	5.99	158	
212076	B2IV-V	5.04	16	Α	7.20	275	
212120	B6V	4.57	11	A	4.98	99	
212222	B5V	6•40	08	A	7.06	258	
212571	B1VE1	4•68	03	A	7•49	315	3
212883	B2V	6.50	13	A	8•29	455	1 J 1
212978	B1•5V	6.16	-•14	A	8•29	455	1
213087	B0.5IB	5.52	+•36	A	9•93	968	
213420	B2IV	4.53	09	A	8.29	455	1
214167	B1•5V	6•46	15	с	8.29	455 🖉	1
214168	B1VE1	5•74	-•14	A	8.29	455	1
214240	B3IV	6•29	05	A	8 • 29	455 🧳	1
214680	09V	4.88	20	B	8.29	455	1
214993	B1•5III	5.26	14	A	8 . 29	455 👾	1
215191	B1•5V	6•43	-•12	A	8.29	455	0 <u>0</u> 1
216200	84111	5•93	+.07	A	8.29	455 ->	· 76 - 1
216916	B2IV	5.61	15	A	8.29	455	. CC 1
217050	B4IIIE1P	5•42	09	A	7.05	257	3
217101	B2IV-V	6•18	16	A	8.29	455 个	
217543	B3VP	6.53	12	A	8.29	455 <i>(</i>	~~~ 1

TABLE 4-Continued

			<u></u>				
HD	Spectrum	V	B-V	Ref	V _o -M _v	r(pc)	Com
217811	B2V	6.41	02	Α	8.29	455	i 🗘 1
217891	B6VE1	4.55	12	Α	4.99	100	3
217943	B2V	6.75	02	Α	8 • 29	455	
218376	80.5111	4•84	04	Α	8•92	608	,
218407	B2V	6•66	05	A	8 • 29	455	1
218440	82.5IV	6•40	02	A	7.90	380	
218537	B3V	6•25	03	A	7.04	256	
219688	B5VN	4•39	14	A	5.23	111	3
221253	B3IV	4.88	13	A	6.37	188	
223128	B2IV	5•94	04	A	8•34	465	
223229	B3IV	6.06	14	Α	7.58	328	
224151	B0•511-111	6.01	+•18	A	10.66	1355	2
224544	B6IVE1+	6•51	-•13	А	7.08	261	3
224559	B4VE3N	6•52	10	А	8 • 28	453	2
224572	B1V ·	4.89	09	Α	7.88	377	
225094	B3IAB	6•25	+•32	A	11.20	1737	

TABLE 4-Continued

NOTES TO TABLE 4

- 10516 The region around H_{γ} is heavily veiled. The luminosity class was determined from the weakness of λ4121.
- 11415 Shell star. Hydrogen lines are narrow and intense; helium lines are also narrow.
- 24534 The region between H β and H γ is veiled. He I λ 4471 is absent.
- 26326 Photometry from Hogg (1958).
- 34959 Shell star. Hydrogen lines are sharp but somewhat veiled; helium lines are diffuse.
- 37021 Photometry from Sharpless (1952).
- 37022 Nebular emission lines are present redward of $H\beta$.
- 37041 See note to HD 37022.
- 37202 Shell star. Hydrogen lines are strong and sharp; helium lines are broad.
- Helium lines are exceptionally strong.
- 37479 37971 Shell star. Both hydrogen and helium lines are sharp. Mg 11 A4481 is rather strong for B3 IV.
- 60325 He 1 λ 4009 is weak and diffuse. Spectrum is otherwise normal for B2 III.
- 109387 Hydrogen lines are somewhat diffuse for B6 III.
- 142983 Shell star. A metallic spectrum is present in addition to the sharp hydrogen lines and diffuse helium lines.
- 145502 Hydrogen lines are strong, and $\lambda 4009$ is weak for B2 IV.
- 156633 Hydrogen lines are strong and shaded to the violet. He I λ 4121 is somewhat weak for B1.5 V.
- 170740 Photometry from Johnson (1960).
- 174179 Shell star. Hydrogen lines are somewhat narrow but otherwise normal.
- 176304 Spectral type derived from hydrogen and helium lines, but lines of Si II, Mg II, and O II are all strong.
- 181858 Hydrogen lines are unusually strong, especially H ϵ and H ζ .
- 185507 Double-lined spectroscopic binary. Both components are visible in the hydrogen and helium lines.
- 193237 Almost all the lines in the spectrum have redward-displaced emission components. N II X3995 is unusually strong.
- Shell star. Hydrogen lines are sharp, but helium lines are diffuse. 201733
- 205637 See note to HD 201733.
- 214167 Photometry from Harris (1955).
- 217050 A Be star with shell characteristics. H β is barely reversed, and the Fe II emission lines are present. The other hydrogen lines are strong and sharp, while the helium lines are broad.
- 217543 Shell star. Hydrogen lines are slightly narrow and veiled; helium lines are very diffuse.

Name	Index No.	FK4	Place, Equinox
2 Cp 25	81-84	94	Саре. 1925
3 Cp 25	85-87	100	Cape, 1925
1 Cp 50	8890	125	Cape, 1950
Cord Fro	110-111	111	Córdoba 1950
2 Grw 25	118-121	70	Greenwich 1925
Mü-Can-Vert	256-257	140	Munich-Canberra 1950
Ott 15.	267-268	148	Ottawa 1950
NikoSem	201 200	103	Nikolayev 1930
NikoZim	272	105	Nikolayev 1930
$Ott 15_{\circ}$	281	03	Ottawa 1925
$O_{tt} 15_{2}$	282	120	Ottawa 1925
P_{11} 25 Renz	318-310	88	Pulkovo 1925
$P_{11} = 30$ Repz	323	104	Pulkovo 1030
Pu 30 Vert	323	102	Nikolavev 1030
$P_{11} = 50$ Nem	330	124	Pulkovo 1050
Wash 14 Zod	372	05	Washington 1025
Wash 17200	374	95	Washington, 1925
W 40	375	110	Washington, 1925
W 40	376	110	Washington, 1940
W1	377	120	Washington, 1925
W150	378	120	Washington, 1950
VV 250 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	578	155	Washington, 1950
νν 350 Μτο 50			Munich 1050
$\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{a}}^{\mathbf{a}}$			Become 1050
De_{350}		• • • • • • • • • •	Desaliçuli, 1930
r ar UD] • • • • • • • • •	Fans, 1930
		1	

TABLE 5

POST-GC CATALOGUES USED FOR NEW PROPER MOTIONS

EXPLANATION OF COLUMNS OF TABLE 6

Column

- 1 HD number
- 2
- 3
- Right ascension (1950), to nearest 0^{m1} Declination (1950), to nearest minute of arc New annual proper motion in right ascension, on the system of the *FK4*, to nearest 0.0001 Probable error of proper motion in right ascension New annual proper motion in declination, on the system of the *FK4*, to nearest 0.001 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- Probable error of proper motion in declination, on the system of the 1417, to nearest 0.001 Radial velocity in km sec⁻¹ (if a reference more recent than Wilson 1953 was used, it is given in the Notes to Table 6; Notes also specify the point to which the proper motion refers, if the star 8 is a close binary) 9 Probable error of radial velocity

1 ABLE 0

POSITIONS, PROPER MOTIONS, AND RADIAL VELOCITIES OF BRIGHT O AND B STARS

P.E.(0) 0.5 0.7 **0.**4 1.4 1.2 0.5 1.2 0.5 **0**•4 2•5 1.5 0.5 0.5 0•8 0.5 1.0 1•2 1.2 1.2 1•2 1•2 2•5 0.4 1.7 4•0 2.0 8.7 -60.0 -13.6 -23.9 -6.8 0.8 -9.0 -14.5 -9.5 -2.3 -4.6 -4.2 -20.0 -33.8 1.6 **4 •**0 -9.6 4.1 -31.4 1.1 -6.3 -8.2 -40.2 -46.0 Q Ρ.Ε.(μ₈) 0.002 0.002 0.002 0.001 0.001 0.003 0.003 0.002 0.003 0.002 0.002 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.002 000000 -0.004 000000 -0.002 -0.015 000000 000000 -0.010 0°009 0.004 -0.009 -0.009 0.002 -0.007 -0.002 0.001 0.004 -0.004 0.001 0.014 -0.004 -0.012 100.0 -0.001 μ8 P.E.(μ_{a}) 0.0003 0000000 0.0003 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 1000.0 0.0002 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 1000.0 0.0002 0.0001 0.0001 0.0025 0.0003 0.0014 0.0018 0.0012 0.0027 0.0053 0.0013 0.0004 0.0036 0.0005 0.0022 0.0011 0.0017 0.0034 0.0014 0.0001 0.0002 -0.0045 -0.0005 -0.0002 0.0014 0.0001 0.0015 -0.0004 8 F 45 26 54 26 δ 25 49 ŝ 23 23 1950 35 48 27 25 54 9 4 6 15 39 54 37 27 57 14 -0 10 14 53 53 33 14 50 47 48 40 60 50 50 54 63 64 57 56 47 56 37 5 51 66 62 28.5 30.1 33.3 41.6 41.9 47.0 1•8 40.5 48.7 50.8 59.3 8•0 13•3 17.4 22.1 10.2 15.1 21.6 34.2 34.2 34•2 39.3 53.7 21.7 10.7 1950 Я \sim 2 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 2 0 ---2729 2905 3240 3360 3369 3379 4142 4180 4727 5394 6300 10516 11415 13267 13854 14372 14818 886 1337 1976 3901 11241 12301 14951 829 ОH

TABLE 6-Continued

ДН		a 1950	\sim) 1950	μ_{a}	Ρ.Ε.(μ _α)	μ8	Ρ.Ε.(μ ₈)	d	Ρ.Ε.(<i>ρ</i>)
16582	2	36.	6	7 (0.0007	00000	-0.002	0.001	13.0	0•5
16908	2	40 •	5 2	30	0.0007	0,0001	6 00 • 0-	0.001	8•8	1•0
17081	2	41.	1 -11	4	-0.0006	0.0001	-0 •014	100.0	15.4	1•2
17543	2	46.	5 17	15	0.0004	0,0001	-0.012	0.001	8.8	0•5
17769	2	•8+	7 1,	+ 53	0.0020	00001	-0.019	0•002	17.0	1•2
18537	2	57.	3 52	6	0.0031	0•0002	-0.018	0.003	7 • 7 -	1•2
18604	2	57.	0	3 43	0.0001	0.0001	-0.010	0.002	10.2	1•2
18883	2	59.	8	6	0.0007	0.0003	0.008	0.004	11.8	1•2
19268	ŝ	4	5 52	1	0.0029	0.0001	-0.019	0.003	6.0	1•2
19374	б	4	6 17	7 41	-0.0015	000000	0.010	100.0	23.8	1.0
20315	ŝ	14.	4	3 51	0.0023	0.0001	-0.020	0•004	0•0	2•5
20336	ŝ	15.	6 6!	5 28	0.0017	0.0002	-0.007	0000	12•5	1.0
20365	ŝ	15.	1 5(0	0.0024	0.0001	-0.023	0000	-5.0	2•5
20418	б	15.	6 4.9	9 55	0.0021	1000.0	-0.022	0.001	3•0	2•5
20756	ŝ	18.	3 2(0 58	0.0020	0.0001	-0.019	0.001	17•2	0•7
20809	ŝ	19.	7 49	9 2	0•0022	0.0001	-0.017	0.001	4•B	1•2
21071	ŝ	22•	4	3 57	0.0019	0.0002	-0 •026	0.001	10.0	1•2
21278	ŝ	24.	5	3 53	0.0022	6000°0	-0.020	0.001	7.0	2•5
21362	3	25.	3	9 41	0.0007	00000	-0 •022	0.001	0•0	2•5
21428	ŝ	25.	8	9 20	0.0024	0.0001	-0.023	0.002	-1•0	2•5
21455	ŝ	25.	9 4	5 46	0.0021	0.0001	-0.028	0.004	-0-7	1•2
21803	ŝ	29•	2 4	4 41	0•0002	0.0001	-0.005	0000	3.2	6•0
21856	n	29.	5	5 18	-0.0004	00001	0.004	100.0	25•0	2•5
22192	ŝ	32.	9	8	0.0024	0.0001	-0-025	0.00.0	0•3	0•5
	I	1	Ċ	נ ל ד			500°0-		с. Г	2.5

.

TABLE 6-Continued

ЧD	0	λ 1950	\$	950	μ_{a}	Ρ.Ε.(μ _α)	μ8	Ρ.Ε.(μ _{&})	d	Ρ.Ε.(<i>ρ</i>)
22928	ŝ	39.4	47	38	0.0027	1000.0	-0•031	0.001	0•6-	5•0
22951	n	39•2	33	48	0100.0	0.0001	-0.006	0.001	27.1	0•8
23180	3	41•2	32	80	0.0008	0.0001	-0.010	0.001	18•8	0•4
23288	ŝ	41.8	24	80	0.0012	00000	-0•043	0.003	1.5	1•0
23302	ŝ	41.9	23	57	0.0012	0.0001	-0.042	0.001	7.44	0•9
23338	ŝ	42•2	24	19	0.0016	0•0002	-0+0-	0.002	5•5	0•5
23408	ŝ	42.8	24	13	0.0015	0.0001	-0-045	0•002	7.5	0.5
23466	ŝ	43.0	ŝ	54	0.0014	0.0001	-0.011	0.001	15•7	1•3
23480	n	43.4	23	48	0.0015	0•0002	-0•042	0.001	4•4	1•0
23625	ŝ	44•7	33	27	-0.0002	00000	0.011	0•004	21.9	6•0
23630	n	44.5	23	57	0.0016	0,0001	-0-043	0.001	5.1	0.8
23793	ŝ	45.5	10	59	0.0018	00001	-0.025	0•001	16•3	0.8
24131	ŝ	48•7	34	13	-0-0003	0.0002	-0.001	0•003	17.4	0.4
24398	ŝ	51.0	31	44	0•0004	0.0001	-0.007	0.001	20.6	0•5
24504	ŝ	52.4	47	44	0.0019	1000•0	-0-011	00000	9•8	1•2
24534	m	52.3	30	54	00000	0.0002	-0•002	0.003	17.2	1•2
24640	ŝ	53•2	34	56	0.0005	0.0001	-0•001	0.001	17.6	0.7
24760	n	54.5	39	52	0.0014	0.0001	-0-024	100.0	6•0	1•6
24912	ę	55.7	35	39	0•0003	00000	0.003	0.001	70.1	1•2
25204	ŝ	57.9	12	21	-0.0005	00001	6 00 • 0-	0.001	14.8	0•5
25340	ŝ	59.0	1	41	0.0014	00000	-0.012	0.002	13.5	0.4
25558	4	1.1	ŝ	18	0.0001	0•0002	-0.007	0.000	10.7	0.8
25638	4	3.4	62	12	0.0011	00000	-0.001	0.003	0•6-	5•0
25940	4	5.0	47	35	0.0022	0.0001	-0.028	0.001	0.8	0•8
26326	4	7.0	-16	31	-0.0002	0.0001	0.006	0.003	13.7	1.2

О Н		1 1950	\$	950	μa	Ρ.Ε.(μ _α)	μ8	Ρ.Ε.(μ ₈)	ط	Ρ.Ε.(ρ)
26356	4	16.4	83	42	-0.0063	0•0002	0.014	0.001	-7.0	2•5
26739	4	11.1	1	17	-0.0001	0•0004	-0.007	0.007	20.3	0.8
26912	4	12.8	80	46	0.0010	1000.0	-0.020	0.001	17.4	1•0
27192	4	16.4	50	48	0.0002	0.0001	-0.003	0.001	-18.1	1.5
27396	4	17.9	46	23	0.0024	0.0002	-0.038	0.004	1•3	1•2
28114	4	23•6	80	29	0 • 0 0 0 0	0•0002	-0.003	0.005	14•0	2•5
28149	4	24•3	22	53	0.0002	0•0005	-0 •018	0.006	5•0	2•5
28446	4	28.1	53	48	0.0001	0.0001	-0 •001	0.001	-7.0	2•5
28497	4	26.8	-13	6	-0.0006	0.0001	00000	0•003	12.0	2•5
29248	4	33•8	-3	27	-0.0003	0•0001	-0-003	0.001	14.4	0•8
29335	4	34•6	0	54	-0-0005	0•0002	-0.008	0.002	24.0	2•5
29763	4	39•2	22	52	-0.0002	0,0001	-0.016	0.001	12•9	0•6
29866	4	40•7	40	42	-0.0008	0.0017	-0•045	0.014	41.0	2•5
30076	4	41.7	8 1	36	0.0001	0,0001	-0 •002	100.0	15.1	1•2
30211	4	43•0	н 9	21	0.0007	0•0001	-0-011	0.001	16.3	1.4
30614	4	49.1	66	16	0.0007	0•0001	0.010	0.001	6.1	0 • 5
30836	4	48•5	5	31	0.0001	0•0001	0.001	0.001	24.1	0•4
30870	4	49•0	6	54	000000	1000.0	-0.003	0.003	10.5	1•2
31237	4	51•6	2	22	-0-0003	1000.0	-0.002	0.001	23.0	0•4
31327	4	53.0	36	5	0.0002	0•0002	-0.011	0.001	-5•0	2•5
31331	4	52.3	0	23	00000	0,0002	-0.005	0.003	17.0	2•5
31726	4	55•5	-14	18	-0.0006	0,0001	-0.005	0.001	11.4	1.2
32343	ŝ	1•8	58	54	-0.0002	0,0003	-0.004	0.002	-11.0	1•2
32612	ŝ	1•6	-14	26	0.0001	0.0003	100.0-	0.002	16.0	2•5
32630	ŝ	3•0	41	10	0.0026	0.0001	-0.067	0.001	7.1	0•9

TABLE 6-Continued

QН	σ	1950	8 I	950	μa	Ρ.Ε.(μ _α)	871	Ρ.Ε.(μ ₈)	d	P.E.(p)
32686	ŝ	2•4	13	6	-0*0004	0,0001	0001	0.003	26•7	1•2
32990	ŝ	5.1	24	12	00000	0,0001	-0.001	100.0	15.9	0 •4
32991	ß	4•9	21	38	-0.0002	0.0001	-0-011	0.005	18.1	1•4
33203	ŝ	6•9	37	14	0.0001	0,0001	-0-003	0.003	8•6	1•2
33328	ß	6 • 8	80 1	49	-0.0004	0.0001	-0-003	0.001	3.0	2•5
34078	ŝ	13•0	34	15	- 0.0003	0.0001	0.049	0.002	59.1	0•5
34233	ŝ	15.1	58	4	0.0010	0•0002	-0.018	0.003	-1.1	2•2
34447	ŝ	14•6	-17	12	0.0002	0•0003	-0 •002	0.002	12.0	2.5
34503	5	15•2	- 6	54	-0.0014	0.0001	600•0-	100.0	20.1	1•2
34748	5	17.1	11	28	-0 •0005	0,0001	0.001	0.003	29•0	1•3
34759	5	18.3	41	45	0.0015	0.0001	-0.038	0001	14.2	1.5
34816	ŝ	17•3	-13	14	-0.0005	0.0001	-0-004	100•0	20•2	1•2
34863	5	17.7	-12	22	-0.0011	0.0002	0.007	0.002	16.0	5.0
34959	5	18•7	б	58	-0.0011	0•0002	-0-008	0.002	5.0	1•2
34989	5	19•0	8	23	0.0001	0,0001	= 0•008	0.003	26.0	2•5
35007	ŝ	18•9	0 	28	-0.0006	0.0001	0.005	0.003	7.2	1•2
35039	5	19•2	01	26	-0.0004	1000-0	-0•00	0.001	28.8	1•2
35149	5	20•2	ŝ	30	-0.0001	0.0002	0.004	0.001	18.0	2•5
35299	ŝ	21.1	Ŷ	12	-0.0003	0•0002	-0.002	0.003	22•1	1•2
35337	ŝ	21.2	-13	58	-0.0003	1000-0	-0 •005	0.004	18•2	1•2
35407	ŝ	22•0	2	19	-0.0004	0•0003	0.012	100.0	-8.0	2•5
35411	ŝ	22•0	-2	26	-0.0004	1000.0	-0.003	0.001	21.4	0•4
35439	ŝ	22.1	1	48	-0.0006	1000•0	-0.002	0.002	19•3	1•2
35468	ŝ	22.4	6	18	-0 •0006	0,0001	-0.013	100-0	18•2	0.5
35532	ŝ	23•2	16	39	-0.0004	0.0001	-0.011	0.002	31•5	1.1

TABLE 6-Continued

DН	a	1950	ŵ	950	μα	Ρ.Ε.(μ _α)	μ8	Ρ.Ε.(μ ₈)	φ	P.E.(<i>p</i>)
35588	ŝ	23•2	0	29	0.0002	0,0003	0•002	0.002	16.9	2•6
35671	ŝ	24•2	17	55	0•0004	00001	-0.024	0.002	17.6	1.7
35708	5	24•6	21	54	0.0003	0.0001	6 00 - 0-	0.001	11.8	6•0
35715	5	24•2	£,	ŝ	-0-0001	00001	-0.007	0.001	12•2	0•5
35912	5	25.4	1	15	-0•0003	00000	0•003	0.001	34•2	1•2
36166	5	27•3	1	45	-0.0005	0•0002	-0•004	0•004	12.0	2+5
36267	5	28.1	ŝ	55	0.0006	00000	-0.029	0.001	19•4	1.6
36285	5	27.9	- 7	28	-0.0005	0.0001	0•002	0.002	11.0	1.2
36351	5	28•6	n	15	00000	0,0002	0+002	0.003	20.0	2.5
36371	ŝ	29.5	32	6	-0.0002	0.0001	0000	0.001	-0.2	0.5
36430	ŝ	28.9	9	45	-0.0003	0,0003	-0-004	0•002	23.0	1•2
36485	ŝ	29•5	01	19	-0.0003	00001	-0•001	100.0	21.0	2•5
36486	ŝ	29.5	0	2 0	-0.0003	000000	-0•001	0.001	16.0	1•2
36512	ŝ	29•5	-7	2 0	0°000	0,0002	-0.011	0•003	17.4	1•2
36576	ŝ	30.6	18	30	-0.0002	0.0001	-0-004	0•003	0•44	2•5
36591	ŝ	30•2	11	38	00000	1000.0	-0.001	0.001	34 • 3	0.5
36646	ŝ	30•6	11	45	-0.0001	1000•0	-0 -008	0.001	37.0	2•5
36653	ŝ	31.1	14	16	-0.0003	0.0001	-0 *00 -	0.002	19.1	1.2
36695	5	31.0		11	- 0.0006	1000*0	0000	0.001	22.2	1.2
36741	ŝ	31.4	1	22	-0.0003	1000.0	-0-006	0.005	14.2	1•2
36779	ц	31•5		4	0.0003	1000.0	00000	0.002	0•4	2•5
36819	ŝ	32.4	24	0	0.0008	1000•0	-0-016	0.001	22 • 8	1.0
36822	ŝ	32•1	6	27	1000.0-	1000.0	-0•004	0.001	33•2	0•5
36861	5	32.4	6	54	0•0000	0,0001	-0-003	100.0	33•5	1•2
36959	ŝ	32.6	9-	2	-0.0001	00001	-0-002	0.001	29.5	0.5

Continued	
9	
Щ	
TAB	

DН	σ	1950	8	950	μa	Ρ.Ε.(μ _α)	μ8	Ρ.Ε.(μ _δ)	d	P.E.(p)
	•		•				.00.0	100.0	r . r c	5.0
36960	n	9740	0	7		1000.00	10000	10000	-	
37016	ŝ	32•9	4	27	-0 •000 0	0.0001	-0.001	0.003	31.0	2•5
37017	5	32•9	4	32	-0.0006	0.0003	0.003	0.005	30.8	1•6
37018	ŝ	32•9	4	52	-0.0004	0.0001	-0 •002	0.002	30.0	2•5
37020	ŝ	32•8	1	25	-0.0017	0.0004	6 00 • 0	0.027	33•4	1.2
37022	ŝ	32•8	-5	25	-0•0005	0.0001	-0. 002	100•0	28•0	2•5
37023	ŝ	32.8	1 2	25	-0.0012	0.0007	-0 •018	0.011	31.0	2•5
37040	ŝ	33•0	4	24	-0.0 002	0.0001	-0•002	0001	30.0	2•5
37041	5	32.9	- 5	27	-0+0003	0.0001	0•003	0.001	35•6	1•2
37043	ŝ	33•0	5	56	-0.0003	0.0001	0.003	0.001	23•5	4•0
37055	ŝ	33.1	-3	17	-0.0006	0.0002	0.002	0.003	24.0	2+5
37128	ŝ	33•7	1	14	-0.0003	0.0001	-0.002	100.0	26.1	0 •5
37150	ŝ	33•8	-5	41	-0.0003	0.0001	100.0-	0.002	10.8	1•2
37202	ŝ	34.7	21	7	0.0001	00000	-0+021	0.001	22.3	0.4
37209	5	34•2	9	6	-0•0001	0.0001	0•002	0.003	29•4	1•2
37232	ŝ	34•6	80	55	-0.0007	0•0002	0.006	0.001	42.0	2•5
37303	£	35•0	1	58	-0.0002	0.0001	-0•006	0•003	28.8	1•2
37356	ŋ	35•4	4	51	-0.0006	0.0001	0.003	0•002	29•1	1•2
37367	ŝ	36•1	29	11	000000	0.0001	+00+0-	0.001	25•2	1.1
37438	ŝ	36•6	25	52	0.0008	0.0001	-0.023	0.002	13.5	6 •0
37468	ŝ	36•2	2 1	38	-0.0002	0.0001	00000	0.001	29.2	1.2
37479	ŝ	36•3	1	37	1000.0	1000.0	-0.006	0.003	29.0	2.5
37481	ŝ	36•2	9	36	-0.0002	1000.0	-0-008	0.001	15.0	2•5
37490	ŝ	36+5	4	6	-0.0003	1000.0	100.0	0•003	21.8	0•5
37635	5	37.1	61	44	-0.0001	000000	-0.005	0.001	21.0	2•5

- <u>Continued</u>
9
Ш
B
ΤA

ОH		2 1950	60	1950	μα	Ρ.Ε.(μ _α)	84	Ρ.Ε.(μ _{&})	φ	P.E.(p)
37711	ŝ	38•4	16	31	0.0003	0.0001	-0.018	0.001	21.1	1.4
37742	ŝ	38•2	้า	58	-0.0003	0.0001	100.0	0.001	18.1	6 •0
37744	\$	38•1	-2	15	-0.0001	0.0001	0.002	0.001	29.0	1•2
37756	5	38•3	7	6	-0.0002	0.0001	-0.008	0•002	29.7	0.7
37967	ŝ	40•3	23	11	0.0003	0.0001	-0.014	0.001	18•3	1•0
37971	ŝ	39.5	-16	45	-0.0011	0.0004	-0.004	0.005	15.5	1•2
38622	S	44.9	13	53	00000	00001	-0.016	0.003	30.0	0.8
38771	ŝ	45.4	61	41	-0.0005	0.0001	-0-004	0•002	20.6	1.2
39291	ŝ	49.0	-7	32	-0.0002	0.0001	0.002	0.002	20.0	2•5
39698	ŝ	52.0	19	45	-0-0004	0.0001	-0.009	0.001	7.2	1•2
39777	ŝ	52.1	4	4	-0-0005	0•0002	-0.004	0•002	25.4	1•2
39970	ŝ	53.9	24	15	0.0002	0.0001	-0.006	0.002	0.5	1•2
40111	ŝ	54.9	25	57	1000-0-	1000.0	-0.003	0.002	8•0	2•5
41117	Ŷ	6•0	20	8	-0.0012	0°0004	600•0-	0.002	17.4	0 •4
41335	Ş	1•8	9	42	-0-0005	1000.0	600.0	0•004	51.0	5•0
41692	9	4.2	1	11	-0 •0008	1000-0	-0+003	0•003	20.3	1.2
41753	9	4.7	14	47	0.0003	00000	-0.020	0.001	23•3	0•2
41814	Ŷ	4 • 5	-11	10	6000-0-	1000-0	-0°008	0•002	12.9	1•2
42087	Q	6.7	23	7	-0.0002	1000.0	-0•005	0.001	16.0	2•5
42545	Ŷ	9•2	16	6	0.0001	6000 - 0	-0.021	0•002	20.7	1•2
42560	6	9•1	14	13	00000	1000-0	-0 •024	0.002	39 . 9	0•8
42690	Q	9•4	-6	32	-0.0006	0.0001	0.002	0.002	28.7	0•5
42927	Ŷ	10.6	-17	45	-0.0012	0.0007	0.005	0.005	8•4	1•2
43112	Q	12•3	13	52	0.0014	00001	-0.002	0•002	36.0	2•5
1.270K	4	13.0	Ŷ	ŝ	-0.0007	0.0007	-0.025	0.010	26.0	2.5

led
in
luo
9
Ш
A B
\vdash

Ŷ

			0							
ОН	в	1950	0	50	μα	Ρ.Ε.(μ _α)	μ8	P.E.(48)	σ	P.E.(p)
43317	9	13•1	4	18	-0.0005	1000.0	-0•002	000•0	13.0	2•5
43384	Q	13•9	23	46	00000	0.0001	-0+003	0.001	13•2	1•2
43544	ç	13.9	- 16	36	-0,0 002	0.0004	-0.002	0.005	13•6	1.2
43955	Ŷ	16.1	-19	57	-0,0013	0,0001	0.000	0.003	23.0	5.0
44112	Ŷ	17•3	-7	48	- 0,0008	0.0001	-0-005	0.001	29•0	2•5
44173	9	18.1	11	47	-0.0004	0.0002	0.001	0.001	18•8	1.2
44458	Q	19.1	-11	45	-0.0013	0.0003	0+005	0.001	21.0	5.0
44700	9	20.7	n	47	0•0002	0.0001	-0.012	0.001	29.4	1•3
44743	9	20.5	-17	56	-0.0008	0.0001	00000	0.001	33.7	0•5
45321	9	24.1	-4	34	-0.0010	0•0004	-0.003	0.004	10.0	2•5
45542	9	26.0	20	15	-0.0013	1000.0	-0•019	100.0	39.4	0.5
45546	9	25.5	14	4 4	-0-0001	0.0001	-0.001	0.001	24.5	0.5
45725	9	26.4	-7	0	-0-0006	0.0001	-0.010	0•002	22.0	2•5
45726	9	26.4	-7	0	-0.0012	0,0004	-0.002	0•006	18.0	2.5
45995	Ŷ	28•4	11	17	0.0004	1000.0	-0-008	0.003	-20.0	2•5
46064	9	28•3	-13	7	-0.0004	0•0001	0•002	0.007	2 • 3	1•2
46487	9	31.1	า เ	11	-0.0005	0.0001	-0.023	100.0	25.0	2•5
46769	Ŷ	32.7	0	56	-0.0002	0.0003	-0.004	0.005	10.2	1•2
47129	9	34.7	\$	11	-0-0004	0•0005	0.004	0.003	25.5	0•4
47240	9	35•2	5	0	-0.0006	1000.0	-0.006	0.005	36.0	2•5
47432	9	36.0	ï	40	-0.0002	0.0002	+0 • 008	0.001	58.4	1•2
47839	9	38•2	6	57	-0.0003	0.0001	-0-006	100.0	33•2	1•2
48099	Ŷ	39•3	ç	24	0 • 0 0 0 0	0.0002	0.001	0.001	31.0	1•2
48434	9	41•0	n	59	-0.0003	0•0002	0.003	0.001	34•5	1•2
48879	9	45.7	67	38	0.0012	0.0002	-0.006	0.001	5•3	1•2

ĸ

•

Continued	
6-	
щ	
TAB	

اف			•	~	. .			•	•		-						~		~		
Ъ́	1•2	2.5	1.2	5•0	1.2	1•2	2.5	1.2	1•2	2•5	5.0	2•5	2•5	2.5	1.2	0.5	1•2	1•2	•0	1•2	1.2	2•5	1•6	1.2	•
d	10.3	-21.0	23•2	23.0	13.0	41.0	51.0	33•8	24•8	30.0	16•0	31•0	33•0	58.0	6.4	32•6	23•0	38•1	-4 • 5	21.7	21.1	22.0	48•1	13•8	
P.Ε.(μ. ₈)	0.001	0.001	0.002	0.003	0.003	0.001	0•003	0•005	0.001	0.001	0.003	0.002	0.003	0•005	0.003	0•003	0.002	0•002	0.001	0.003	0•002	100.0	0.001	0•003	
μ8	-0-008	0.010	-0.004	-0.002	00000	-0.001	-0.007	-0.017	0000	-0.007	-0.005	0.002	0.008	0.002	-0-011	-0•001	0.010	-0.006	-0 •028	-0.010	-0•005	0•004	-0-003	-0.002	
Ρ.Ε.(μ _α)	0.0001	0,0001	0.0001	0.0002	0.0002	0.0001	00000	0.0004	00001	0.0001	0.0001	0•0002	0•0005	0.0003	0,0003	00001	0.0001	0.0001	0•0002	0.0003	00001	1000.0	0•0002	0.0001	
μa	-0.0004	0.0015	-0.0005	-0.0005	-0.0003	-0.0006	-0-0005	-0.0007	-0•0005	-0.0006	-0+0 008	-0.0007	-0.0004	-0•0005	-0.0011	-0.0007	0.0002	-0.0001	-0.0002	-0•0002	-0.0006	-0*0010	-0.0005	-0 •0006	
950	39	57	4	ŝ	42	59	ø	38	10	33	35	13	19	16	6	14	53	37	9	14	23	35	45	35	
ŵ	æ	68	Ч	-15	1	-16	6-	ŝ	- 4	-15	-10	-11	-12	-10	-16	-10	8	15	-16	-14	-14	-19	-2	-19	
1950	43.8	48•3	46.5	46.7	52.2	53.9	58.3	59 . 3	0•4	1•5	3.5	4•3	4•3	7.0	7.3	12•1	19•6	21•6	22.4	31.1	33•8	34.5	58•2	2•5	
a	v	9	\$	9	9	9	9	6	7	7	7	7	7	~	7	2	7	7	2	7	٢	7	7	Ø	
ОH	48977	49340	49567	49662	508 20	51309	52382	52559	52918	53244	53755	53974	53975	54662	54764	55879	57682	58050	58343	60325	60855	61068	65875	66834	

,

TABLE 6-Continued

ł

·										
ПΗ		a ₁₉₅₀	~	1950	μa	Ρ.Ε.(μ _α)	μ8	Ρ.Ε.(μ ₈)	φ	P.E.(p)
67880	80	7.2	-16	Q	-0.0012	0.0001	-0.018	0.001	32.9	1•2
74280	80	40•6	'n	35	-0.0013	0•0001	-0.002	0.001	21.0	2•5
83754	6	37•9	-14	9	-0.0023	0.0001	-0.028	0.001	18.0	2•5
87015	10	0•0	22	11	-0.0010	0.0001	-0.011	0.003	3•0	2•5
89688	10	18•5	2	33	-0-0006	00001	6 00 • 0 -	0.001	5.0	2•5
6606	10	27.7	0	23	-0-0033	0.0001	-0-029	0•003	11.6	1•2
91316	10	30•2	6	34	-0-0006	1000•0	-0-007	100•0	42•0	0.5
100600	11	32.1	17	4	-0+0005	0.0001	-0•007	0.001	18.7	1•2
104337	11	58•3	-19	23	-0.0014	0.0001	0.001	0•003	1.7	1.2
109387	12	31.4	70	4	-0.0122	0.0001	0.008	0.001	-11.3	0•4
116658	13	22•6	-10	54	-0.0028	1000.0	-0.034	0.001	0.8	6 •5
120315	13	45•6	49	34	-0.0131	1000.0	-0.016	0.001	-10.8	0•8
138485	15	30.1	-16	41	-0.0010	0.0001	-0.013	0•001	6.4	3•3
138749	15	30•9	31	32	-0.0018	0.0001	-0.013	100.0	-25.0	2+5
138764	15	31.7	6 1	1	0.0002	0.0008	-0.032	0.001	-4.1	1•0
142096	15	50.4	-20	1	6000°0-	1000•0	-0.022	100.0	-3.0	2•0
142378	15	52.1	-19	14	0008	1000•0	-0.017	0.003	-6.0	2•5
142983	15	55.4	-14	8	-0.0010	1000•0	-0.019	0•002	-5.6	1•2
144217	16	2•5	-19	40	-0.0003	0.0002	-0.024	100.0	-1.7	0•5
144218	16	2•5	-19	40	-0.0010	1000.0	-0.024	0•003	-4.7	1•2
145502	16	9•1	-19	20	-0 • 0 008	1000.0	-0.030	0.002	-7.0	2•5
147394	16	18•2	46	26	-0.0017	1000.0	0.036	100.0	-13.8	0 • 5
148184	16	24•1	-18	21	-0.0003	0.0001	-0.024	0•000	-5.1	1•2
149757	16	34•4	-10	28	0.0006	1000.0	0.022	0.001	-10.7	3.7
154445	17	3•0	0 -	50	0.0004	00001	0.002	100.0	19.2	0•8

TABLE 6-Continued

Он		a 1950	Ø	1 9 50	μα	Ρ.Ε.(μ _α)	48	Ρ.Ε.(μ ₈)	φ	P.E.(p)
155763	17	8•6	65	47	-0.0042	0•0001	0.019	0.001	-14.1	0.5
156633	17	15.5	33	6	-0-0005	0,0001	-0,006	100.0	-21.0	0•5
158148	17	24.7	20	٢	0.0003	0,0003	0.016	0.003	~ 29 . 5	1•2
160762	17	38•1	46	2	-0.0010	0.0001	0•004	0.001	-20.0	6 •0
161056	17	41.1	-7	n	-0.0006	0.0002	-0.014	0.003	26.0	2•5
163472	17	53.8	0	41	-0.0006	0.0002	0•002	0•002	-17.6	1•0
164284	17	57.8	4	22	0.0003	0.0001	-0•004	0•002	-11.0	2•5
164353	17	58•1	2	56	-0•0001	0.0001	-0-006	100.0	-1.6	0•4
164432	17	58•4	6	16	-0•0002	0,0003	-0-003	0•002	- 16.5	2•0
164852	18	0•2	20	50	00000	0.0001	600*0-	0.001	-14.9	1•2
165174	18	2•1	1	55	00000	0.0001	-0.003	100.0	17.0	2•5
166182	18	6.6	20	48	00000	0.0001	-0-006	0.001	-14•5	0•5
167771	18	14.5	-18	29	0.0001	0,0002	-0•003	100.0	0•6	5.0
167965	18	14•1	42	ø	- 0•0003	0.0001	100.0	0.001	-20.5	0.5
168021	18	15.8	-18	38	0.0004	0.0001	-0.004	0.004	1 • 1	2•1
168199	18	15.8	13	45	-0-0001	0.0001	00000	0•003	-20.7	1.2
168797	18	19•0	ŝ	25	-0-0003	0,0003	-0•007	0.003	0•6-	2•5
110111	18	24.7	26	25	1000.0	0.0003	100.0	100.0	-18.0	2•5
170580	18	27.6	4	2	00000	1000-0	-0•007	0.001	-18.5	0•4
170650	18	27.5	23	50	0.0002	00000	-0.001	0•004	-17.0	5•0
170740	18	28.7	-10	50	0.0010	0.0001	-0.015	0.003	-11.8	0•5
171406	18	31•5	30	19	0.0003	0,0003	-0.004	0•004	-4.0	2•5
171780	18	33•4	34	25	0.0001	0•0001	0.013	100.0	-13.0	5•0
173087	18	40•3	34	42	-0.0002	0•0002	0.004	0.002	-19.0	2•5
173370	18	42.3	2	0	0.0006	0.0001	-0.015	0.003	-13.0	2.5

TABLE 6-Continued

dн		× 1950	\$	950	μα	Ρ.Ε.(μ _α)	8π	Ρ.Ε.(μ ₈)	ď	P.E.(p)
174179	16	40+}	te	4- 1-	+000+0-	0.0001	000*0	0,005	-15.0	2+2
174237	18	45.6	52	56	0.0006	0.0001	0.001	100.0	-20.0	5+0
174585	18	47.9	32	45	0.0002	0•0002	-0.002	100.0	-16.5	1•2
174959	18	49 . 9	36	29	0.0011	6000°0	0.028	0.013	-20.7	1•2
175156	18	51.9	-15	40	-0.0004	0.0002	-0.012	0•002	-2.0	1.2
176162	18	56.6	-12	55	0.0003	0.0001	-0.020	100.0	-13.0	2.5
176304	18	56.9	10	4	0.0003	1000.0	000•0	100.0	-17.2	0.8
176502	18	57.1	40	37	0.0002	1000-0	0.005	100.0	-19.0	1•2
176582	18	57.5	39	6	-0.0004	0.0003	0.006	0.005	-14.0	2.5
176819	18	59.2	20	46	00000	0.0001	-0.001	100.0	-10.3	1•2
176871	18	59.3	26	13	0.0003	1000.0	-0-005	0.004	-14.0	2•5
177003	18	59.0	50	28	0.0002	1000-0	0.012	100.0	-19.0	2•5
178175	19	5•3	-19	22	0.0007	0.0001	-0.003	0.003	-20.3	1.2
178329	19	4•7	41	20	0.0001	0.0001	000•0	0.003	-21.2	0•5
178475	19	5•5	36	1	-0•0002	00000	-0.001	100.0	-18.0	2•5
179406	19	10.0	80	1	6000°0	1000-0	-0.007	100.0	-15.4	1•1
180163	19	12.1	39	4	-0-0002	0.0001	0.001	100.0	-8.2	0.5
180554	19	14.1	21	18	-0*0003	0.0001	-0.007	0+002	-17.0	2•5
180968	19	15•6	22	56	0.0006	1000.0	-0.007	0.002	1.0	2.5
181409	19	17.2	33	18	-0.0013	0.0001	-0.025	0.001	10.0	2•5
181858	19	19•6	8	18	-0.0001	0.0001	-0.020	0.001	-12.6	1.1
182255	19	20.8	26	10	00000	1000.0	-0.005	100.0	-12.2	6• 5
182568	19	22•2	29	31	0.0011	0.0001	0.014	0.002	-21.0	2•5
183144	19	25•3	14	11	0000+0	00000	00000	0.003	0 • †	2•5
183362	19	25.8	37	50	0.0001	0.0003	-0.004	0.003	-16.2	1•2

Continued
j 9
ш
TABI

QН	σ	1950	8	50	μa	Ρ.Ε.(μ _α)	μ8	Ρ.Ε.(μ ₈)	ط	P.E.(p)
183537	0	27.2	20	11	1000•0	0.0001	-0.016	0.003	-42.7	1•2
184171	6	29.9	9 4	21	00000	0.0001	0.001	0.002	-21.8	0•5
184015	6	34.2	- 7	80	-0.0001	0•001	-0.007	0.001	-18.7	2•1
184930	61	34.1	1	24	0.0001	0•0002	-0.026	0.001	-22.0	2•5
185268	19	35•2	29	13	0.0005	0.0003	0.004	0.005	-20.1	1•2
185423	19	36•3	'n	16	0.0001	0.0001	0.002	0.001	-1•0	2•5
185507	19	36.7	ŝ	17	0.0002	0.0001	-0-003	100.0	-4•8	0.5
185859	19	38•3	20	22	0.0002	0.0002	-0-005	0,002	5•2	1•2
185915	19	38•5	23	36	0.0012	0.0006	-0.018	0•006	-20.0	5.0
185936	19	38•8	13	42	-0.0004	0.0001	-0-011	0.002	-14.2	1•2
186660	19	43•3	. 6	0	-0-0001	0.0001	0•002	0.001	-17.4	1•2
187459	19	46.9	33	19	00000	0,0003	-0.01	0.004	-10.0	5.0
187567	19	47.9	7	46	-0.0001	0.0001	-0-001	0.001	-28.0	2•5
187811	19	48•9	22	29	0.0017	0,0001	-0.014	0•003	-26.0	2•5
187879	19	48•9	40	28	-0•0003	0.0001	-0*001	0•002	-3.0	4•0
187961	19	49 • 9	10	13	0.0001	0.0003	-0.013	0•003	-12.6	1•2
188001	19	50.1	18	24	-0.0002	0•0002	-0.008	0.001	9•4	2•4
188209	19	50.5	46	54	-0.0006	0.0001	100.0	0•003	-6.2	1•2
188252	19	50.6	47	48	-0.0014	0.0001	-0.005	0.001	-18.3	1•2
188293	19	51.9	8	22	0.0006	1000.0	0.024	0.001	-6.0	5•0
188439	19	51•5	47	41	-0.0010	100000	0000	0•002	-65•0	2.5
188665	19	52•3	57	24	0.0001	0.0001	0.012	100.0	-25.0	2•5
188892	19	54.1	38	21	0.0004	0.0001	0000	0.005	-30.1	1•2
189066	19	54.9	36	7	0.0001	0,0003	-0.005	0.003	-23.0	1•2
180178	0 F	55.5	40	14	00000	1000.0	0.008	0.001	-26.2	1•2

TABLE 6-Continued

ДН		<mark>۲</mark> 1950	8	950	μα	Ρ.Ε.(μ _α)	μ8	Ρ.Ε.(μ ₈)	φ	Ρ.Ε.(ρ)
189432	19	56.8	37	58	0.0001	0 • 0003	0.008	0.001	-14.4	1•2
189687	19	58.1	36	54	-0.0001	0000 • 0	0.004	0.001	-4•0	2 • 5
189775	19	57.9	51	55	0.0002	0,0002	0.007	0.004	-16.2	1•2
190603	20	2•6	32	5	-0.0005	00003	-0•007	0.001	21.1	1•2
190993	20	4•7	23	28	0.0011	00001	0.001	0.002	-5.4	1•2
191263	20	6•3	10	35	00000	1000•0	0.005	0.001	-38.2	1•2
191610	20	7.6	36	t 1	-0.0001	0.0001	0.016	100.0	-13.6	9 • 5
191639	20	8•5	6 1	0	0.0000	0.0001	0.001	0.002	-7.0	2•5
191877	20	9•2	21	44	-0.0305	1000•0	-0.014	0.003	-18.0	2•5
192685	20	13•1	25	26	0.0005	1000•0	-0.001	0.002	-2.0	2•5
192987	20	14•6	36	54	0 • 0004	0•0006	0.004	0.008	-6.0	2•5
193237	20	15.9	37	53	- 0°0003	1000+0	-0-004	0.001	- 8 • 9	0•5
193322	20	16•3	40	35	-0.0003	1000•0	-0.001	100.0	-7.0	2•5
193536	20	17•2	46	10	-0.0003	0.0002	-0-002	0.001	-8.9	1•2
194335	20	21•9	37	19	00000	1000•0	0•002	0•002	-31.0	2•5
195556	20	28•5	48	47	0.0008	0.0001	0.010	0.001	-22.0	2•5
195810	20	30•8	11	80	0.0008	0.0001	-0.020	100.0	-19.3	0•5
195986	20	31•1	43	1	000000	0.0002	0.008	0.003	-16.9	0 • 5
196035	20	31.9	20	67	0.0008	0.0001	0•002	0.003	3.1	1•2
196662	20	36.5	-15	60	-0.0002	0.0001	-0.021	0.002	-5.0	2•5
196740	20	36.4	23	56	0.0008	0,0001	-0-005	0.003	-22.0	2•5
196775	20	36•8	15	40	0•0002	0.0001	-0.016	0.002	2.0	2•5
197036	20	37•7	45	29	0.0001	0.0001	0.006	0•002	-15.1	1•2
197419	.20	40•4	35	17	+000•0-	0.0001	-0.010	0.002	-6.8	1•2
197511	20	40•7	50	10	-0.0003	0.0001	0.008	0.001	-3.3	1•2

TABLE 6-Continued

			4							
0 H		a 1950		1950	μa	Ρ.Ε.(μ _α)	μ8	Ρ.Ε.(μ ₈)	٩	P.E.(p)
197770	20	42.0	56	56	-0.0006	0.0003	0.002	0•003	-15.0	2•5
198183	20	45.5	36	18	0.0006	000010	-0 •006	100.0	-23.0	2•5
198478	20	47.2	45	56	-0.0002	0.0001	-0-001	0.001	-8.0	0.5
198625	20	48.2	46	28	-0.0001	0.0001	0.024	0.001	-15.0	2•5
198781	20	48•4	63	51	-0.0018	0•0002	-0-002	0.003	-27.3	1.2
198820	20	50.0	32	40	00000	0•0002	-0-004	0•003	-18.0	2•5
199081	20	51.5	44	12	0.0006	000000	-0.001	0.001	-19.5	1•2
199140	20	52+2	28	20	0.0004	000010	-0-004	0.004	- 8 • 0	6 •5
199579	20	54.8	44	44	-0.0004	0•0005	0.002	0.002	-5.8	0•5
199661	20	54.9	56	42	0.0003	0+0002	0.008	0.001	-19.0	2•5
200120	20	58•1	47	20	0.0001	0.0001	0•006	0.001	1.0	2•5
200310	20	59.4	45	58	0.0005	0.0001	0•004	0•001	-10.0	2.5
201733	21	8•2	45	18	0.0008	0•0005	0•00	0•002	0•6	1•2
201819	21	0•6	36	9	-0.0007	0.003	0.003	0.003	-6.0	1•2
201836	21	8•8	47	29	-0.0002	0,0006	0.008	600•0	8 • 8	1.2
202214	21	10.5	59	47	6000-0-	1000+0	0.002	100.0	-16.2	1•2
202654	21	13•9	47	46	0,0007	0•0005	0.004	0.001	-26.0	5•0
202904	21	15•9	34	41	6000*0	0.0001	0.003	0.001	4•0	1•2
203025	21	15•9	58	24	-0.0011	0.0001	0.012	0.003	-17.2	1•2
203064	21	16•6	43	44	0.0004	000010	-0-004	0.002	1.0	1•2
203245	21	17.8	49	18	-0.0011	0,0003	0.017	0.006	-23.0	1•2
203467	21	18•3	64	40	0.0002	000000	0.010	000•0	-18.0	2•5
204172	21	23.7	36	27	0.0006	1000*0	-0.008	0.001	2 • 8	1•2
204403	21	25•3	36	54	-0.0001	1000.0	0.003	100.0	-20.0	2•5
									•	

	6- <u>Continued</u>
L	FABLE

۰.

.

į

			C							
ОН		1 1950	ν Δ	950	μα	Ρ.Ε.(μ _α)	871	Ρ.Ε.(μ _{&})	ط	P.E.(<i>p</i>)
205021	21	28•0	70	20	0.0015	0.0001	0.013	0.001	-6.7	1•0
205139	21	29•6	60	14	0.0001	0,0001	0.008	0.001	-14.5	1.2
205637	21	34•3	-19	41	0.0013	0•0001	-0-004	0•001	-23.7	0•5
206267	21	37.4	57	16	-0.0007	1000•0	-0.001	0•001	-7.8	0.5
206672	21	40•3	50	58	0.0004	0•0001	0.007	0.001	-8.2	1•2
207198	21	43.5	62	14	-0.0010	0.0004	0.002	0•002	-18.4	1•2
207330	21	44.9	49	ŝ	0.0003	1000.0	0.005	0.001	-12.3	1.2
207563	21	47.1	20	14	0.0005	1000•0	0000	0•007	-15.1	0.8
208057	21	50.8	25	41	0.0006	00000	0•003	0.001	-12.0	2•5
208095	21	50•3	55	34	0.0018	0.0001	0•002	0.001	-6.5	1•2
208682	21	54•2	65	ŝ	-0.0005	0.0001	0.007	0.003	-14.5	1•2
208947	21	55•9	65	55	0.0001	0•0002	0.007	0.001	2.4	1•2
209008	21	57.6	Ŷ	29	0•0006	0.0001	0.012	0•002	-5.4	0•4
209339	21	59•2	62	15	-0-0005	0.0001	0•002	0•002	-20.2	1•2
209409	22	0.7	-2	24	0.0015	1000.0	=0.004	0.001	9•6	1.7
209419	22	0•0	52	38	0.0010	1000 • 0	0.007	0.002	-22.0	1•2
209481	22	0•4	57	46	-0.0006	1000.0	0.004	100.0	-7.5	1•2
209961	22	3•9	47	59	-0.0004	1000+0	100.0-	0.005	-17.8	6 •5
209975	22	3•6	62	2	-0.0008	£000°0	0.003	0•002	-12.8	6 •0
210191	22	6•2	-18	46	-0+0003	1000.0	0.002	0.003	-5.2	1•2
210424	22	8•0	-11	49	0.0019	1000.0	010-0-	0.002	2.5	1•2
210839	22	9•8	59	10	-0.0018	1000.0	-0-004	100.0	-74.0	2•5
211924	22	17.9	ŋ	32	0.0010	1000.0	0.005	0.002	-7.4	1.0
212076	22	19.1	11	57	0.006	0.0001	0.008	0.001	9•6	1•2
212120	22	19.0	46	17	0.0023	0.0003	100.0-	0.005	-9.5	1•2

∇	ł
٦Ū	I
- -	İ
=	l
.=	ł
+-	l
ç	ĺ
0	ĺ
\circ	l
1	Ī
1	
S O	
-	
111	
m	
7	
1	

QН	a	1950	ŝ	950	μa	Ρ.Ε.(μ _α)	μ8	Ρ.Ε.(μ ₈)	φ	P.E.(p)
212222	2 2	19•7	41	50	0.0008	0.0004	0.004	0•003	-17.7	1•2
212571	22	22.7	F.	٢	0.0014	0.0001	0.012	0.001	4•0	2•5
212883	22	24•5	37	11	-0-0003	0.0003	-0.002	100.0	-8.6	0•7
212978	22	25•2	39	33	-0.0002	1000+0	0°003	0000	-16.8	1.2
213087	22	25•5	64	53	-0.0008	0,0003	0•002	0•003	-14.7	1•2
213420	22	28•3	42	52	-0.0005	1000.0	-0-003	0.001	-8.0	1.2
214167	22	33•6	39	22	-0-0008	0.0002	0.003	0.003	-13.5	1•2
214168	22	33.6	39	23	- 0*0003	1000*0	100•0-	0.001	-11.0	2•5
214240	22	33•8	49	49	0.0001	1000*0	0.005	0.002	-15.3	0.5
214680	22	37.0	38	47	0.0001	1000*0	0.003	0.001	-9.6	0 • 4
214993	22	39•2	39	58	-0.0002	1000.0	0.006	0•002	-14.4	0.5
215191	22	40•6	37	32	0.000	1000.0	-0-004	0.002	-17.8	1•2
216200	22	48•1	41	41	0.0002	0.0001	0•002	0.001	~15.3	2•0
216916	22	54.1	41	20	00000	0.0001	0•002	0•002	-10.8	0.8
217050	22	54•9	48	25	0.0011	0.0001	0000	0.001	-11.3	1.2
217101	22	55.4	39	2	-0.0001	1000*0	100.0	100.0	-15.5	1.2
217543	22	58•6	38	26	00000	0 • 0 0 0 3	-0•00 8	0•002	-16.8	0•6
217811	23	0•5	43	47	-0+0004	0.0001	00000	100.0	-8.5	0•4
217891	23	1•3	б	33	0.0007	0.0001	-0.010	100.0	0•7	0•5
217943	23	1•3	60	11	0.0003	0.0003	0.004	0.001	-17.0	2•5
218376	23	4 • 5	59	6	0.0007	00000	0.004	0.001	- 8 • 5	1.2
218407	23	5.0	4 U	48	-0.0004	0 • 0002	0.010	0.001	-16.1	0•6
218440	23	5.1	59	27	0.0006	0•0002	0.008	0•001	-4.6	1.2
218537	23	5.7	63	22	0.0006	1000.0	0.005	0.001	-35.9	1•2
							• •		•	4 1

TABLE 6-Continued

QН	a	1950	ŝ	950	μα	Ρ.Ε.(μ _α)	μ8	Ρ.Ε.(μ _{&})	d	P.E.(<i>p</i>)
221253	23	27.7	58	16	0.0022	0.0001	0.010	0.001	-15.4	0•4
223128	23	44.2	66	30	0.0006	0.0007	100.0	0•006	-14.0	1•2
223229	23	45.1	46	33	-0.0003	0,0003	0.004	0.001	-24.0	5.0
224151	23	53.0	57	8	-0-0005	0.0001	0.003	0.001	-25.5	0•5
224544	23	56.3	32	9	0.0014	0,0004	0.005	0.007	- 5•9	1•2
224559	23	56.2	46	ß	0.0022	0.0004	0.004	0•003	-2.4	1•1
224572	23	56.5	55	29	0.0008	0.0001	0.003	0•003	-12.6	1•2
225094	0	0•8	63	22	- 0•0024	0.0007	-0.002	0.005	-43.0	2•5
177109	19	0•0	33	33	0.0005	0.0002	0.000	0.003	-22.9	1•2
206165	21	36.6	61	51	-0.0011	0.0002	0.000	0.001	-13.2	1•2

⁴²⁹

NOTES TO TABLE 6

- 829 Petrie (1958)
- Mannino (1959); Abhyankar (1959) 1337
- 1976 Petrie (1958); Blaauw and van Albada (1963); center of light Petrie (1958) Feast, Thackeray, and Wesselink (1957)
- 3360
- 3379 3901 Petrie (1958); Blaauw and van Albada (1963)
- 4180 Petrie (1958)
- 6300 Petrie (1958)
- Petrie (1958); Blaauw and van Albada 11241 (1963)
- Petrie (1958) 11415
- 16908 Petrie (1958); Blaauw and van Albada (1963)
- 18537 Bright component
- 19374 Blaauw and van Albada (1963)
- 20336 Petrie (1958); Blaauw and van Albada (1963)
- Petrie (1958); Blaauw and van Albada 20756 (1963)
- 21803 Petrie (1958)
- 22951 Blaauw and van Albada (1963)
- 23180 Blaauw and van Albada (1963); Lynds (1960); center of light
- Abt et al. (1965) Abt et al. (1965) 23288
- 23302
- 23338 Abt et al. (1965)
- 23408 Abt et al. (1965)
- Petrie (1958); Blaauw and van Albada 23466 (1963)
- 23480 Abt et al. (1965)
- 23625 Blaauw and van Hoof (1963); bright component'
- 23630 Abt et al. (1965) 23793
- Petrie (1958); bright component 24131 Blaauw and van Albada (1963)
- 24640 Blaauw and van Albada (1963)
- 24760 Petrie (1958)
- 25340 Petrie (1958); Blaauw and van Albada (1963)
- 25558 Petrie (1958)
- 25638 Bright component
- 25940 Petrie (1958); Blaauw and van Albada (1963)
- 26739 Feast et al. (1957)
- Petrie (1958) 26912
- 27912 Petrie (1958); Blaauw and van Albada **'1963**`
- 28446 Bright component
- 29248 Struve *et al.* (1952b)
- 29763 Petrie and Ebbinghausen (1961)
- 30211 Petrie (1958); Blaauw and van Albada (1963)
- 30836 Bouigue and Castanet (1954)
- 31237 Miczaika (1950)
- 32630 Petrie (1958)
- 32990 Petrie (1958)
- 32991 Petrie (1958); Blaauw and van Albada (1963)
- 33203 Mean of two components
- 34078 Bright component
- 34233 Petrie (1958)
- 34759 Petrie (1958); Blaauw and van Albada (1963)
- 35411 Miczaika (1951a); mean of two comoonents
- 35532 Blaauw and van Albada (1963)

35588 Blaauw and van Albada (1963); Duflot (1953)35671 Petrie (1958) 35708 Petrie (1958) Chopinet (1953); bright component 35715 Petrie (1958); center of light 36267 36351 Mean of two components 36591 Bright component 36646 Mean of two components 36819 Petrie (1958) 37017 Blaauw and van Albada (1963) 37018 Mean of two components 37040 Bright component 37043 Miczaika (1951b); Pearce (1953b) 37202 Underhill (1952) 37209 Bright component 37367 Petrie (1958); Blaauw and van Albada (1963)37438 Petrie (1958) 37468 Center of light 37711 Petrie (1958); center of light Pearce (1953a); Barbier-Brossat (1954) 37756 37967 Petrie (1958) 38622 Petrie (1958) 41117 Underhill (1960) 41753 Petrie (1958); Ebbighausen and Petrie (1959) 42545 Petrie (1958); Blaauw and van Albada (1963)42560 Blaauw and van Albada (1963) 44458 Bright component 44700 Petrie (1958) 45726 Mean of components B and C 47129 Abhyankar (1959) 49662 Mean of two components 53755 Bright component 53974 Center of light 65875 Blaauw and van Albada (1963) 100600 Bright component 109387 Underhill (1954) Struve et al. (1958) 116658 120315 Petrie (1958) 138485 Feast et al. (1957) Buscombe and Morris (1960). For this 138764 and the other Scorpio-Centaurus stars, the measurements of van Hoof, Bertiau, and Deurinck (1963) were rejected because of their large systematic error 142096 Buscombe (1962) 142378 Center of light 142983 Ringuelet-Kaswalder (1963) 144217 Abhyankar (1959) 145502 Center of light 149757 Buscombe and Morris (1960) 154445 Petrie and Pearce (1962); Feast et al. (1957) 163472 Petrie and Pearce (1962); Feast et al. (1957)Underhill (1960); Feast et al. (1957) 164353 164432 Petrie and Pearce (1962) 168021 Feast, Thackeray, and Wesselink (1955); center of light

- 170580 Petrie and Pearce (1962); Feast et al. (1957)
- 170740 Feast et al. (1955)
- Petrie and Pearce (1962); Feast et al 176304 (1957)
- 179406 Feast et al. (1955)

* An arithmetic error has been detected in the tabulated μ_{δ} for this star. The correct value is $-0.001\pm .002$.

NOTES TO TABLE 6-Continued

180968	Bright component	209481	Petrie (1962)
181858	Petrie and Pearce (1962)	211924	Petrie and Pearce (1962); Feast et al.
184915	Feast et al. (1955)		(1957)
187879	Batten (1962)	212883	Blaauw and van Albada (1963); bright
188001	Underhill (1958)		component
189432	Bright component	214680	Blaauw and van Albada (1963)
192685	Bright component	214993	Struve (1951)
193332	Bright component	216200	Blaauw and van Albada (1963)
198478	Underhill (1960)	216916	Struve et al. $(1952a)$
199140	Petrie (1954)	217543	Blaauw and van Albada (1963)
200310	Bright component	217811	Blaauw and van Albada (1963)
202214	Mean of two components	217891	Feast <i>et al.</i> (1955)
205021	Struve <i>et al.</i> (1953)	218407	Struve, Huang, and Zebergs (1959)
207563	Petrie and Pearce (1962); Feast et al.	218537	Center of light
	(1957)	221253	Petrie (1958)
208682	Mean of two components	223229	Center of light
209008	Petrie and Pearce (1962); Feast et al.	224559	Petrie (1958)
	(1957)	224572	Bright component
209409	Feast <i>et al.</i> (1957)		

EXPLANATION OF COLUMNS OF TABLE 7

Column

- 1 HD number

- 1 HD number
 2-4 Space coordinates to nearest parsec
 5-10 Space velocities in km sec⁻¹, each followed by its probable error in the same units; these probable errors contain only the uncertainties due to the proper motions and radial velocities
 11-13 Change in U, V, and W, respectively, that would be produced if the assumed distance of the star were increased by 10 per cent. Units are km sec⁻¹

2	
Э	
R	
A	
Fi.	

SPACE COORDINATES AND VELOCITIES OF STARS WITH WELL-DETERMINED DISTANCES LESS THAN 600 pc

ЧD	×	7	Z	D	P.E.(U)	>	P.E.(V)	×	P.E.(W)	۶u	8۷	8 W
829	191	419	-210	2•1	1.8	-14.2	1•2	-3.2	1.5	0•6	-0.6	-0-7
886	33	94	-105	-0-7	0•6	-3•2	0.5	-8.7	0•5	-0.2	-0.6	-0-6
1976	63	171	-37	4•0	1•0	-20.0	1•3	-4•9	3.1	1.1	10 . 8	-0.8
2729	105	175	13	13•2	1.8	-18+5	1.5	-6•8	2.6	1•8	-1.0	-0-6
3240	81	137	-24	15•3	1•0	-8•1	1.1	-1.7	1•0	1•5	6•0-	-0.2
3360	104	174	-32	16.6	0•5	-9.3	0.5	-9-7	0.6	1.6	-1.1	6•0-
3369	47	83	-53	9•6	0.5	1.7	0.5	- 6.8	0.7	0.6	-0-5	-0-3
3379	112	214	-264	0.6	2•1	-18.0	2•5	-5.8	2.6	0•3	-1.4	-1•0
3901	96	158	-40	7.9	0•2	-11•0	0.3	-2.7	0.6	1.0	-0-7	-0.4
4142	102	165	-52	-65•2	1.7	-24.7	2•2	25•4	0•0	-3.5	2•5	1•0
4180	80	129	-40	4 . 1	0•9	-19•6	1•3	-1.7	1.0	1.1	-0-8	<u>-0-</u>
4727	62	76	-46	+ • + -	0•5	-28.6	0.5	-1.9	0•4	0 • 8	-1•0	-1.1
6300	173	247	-63	11.1	1.6	-14.3	1.5	-4.8	3.9	1•3	-1.1	-0-6
11241	270	304	- 48	12.1	1.1	-24.3	1.4	-9.2	3•8	1•8	-1.8	-1.0
14372	164	146	-50	11•3	2•2	-13.1	2.1	-8.4	1.7	1.0	- 1.4	-0-8
16582	150	24	-196	13.9	0•5	-13.3	0.6	-7.5	0.5	0•6	-1.5	0•3
16908	110	60	-69	9•6	0•0	-5•9	0.6	-8 - 1	0•6	0•3	-1•0	-0-4
17081	43	61	-78	1•2	0.7	-5 - 3	0•5	-16.4	1.1	-0.6	-0-4	-0-3
17543	92	36	-75	6.4	0•8	- 6•3	0•9	-9.7	0.8	-0•0	6•0-	-0-4
17769	112	38	-94	20•6	1•3	-21.3	1.4	-11.4	1.3	0.8	-2.6	-0.1
18537	134	104	-17	13.0	1.4	-24.6	1.5	4 • 4	2.0	1.6	-2.2	- 0 -
18604	113	24	-105	4•9	1.1	-7.1	1•2	-11.5	1•2	-0-3	6•0-	-0-5
18883	109	13	-112	14.9	2•3	-2.4	3•0	-2•3	2•3	0.7	-0-3	0•6
19268	178	134	-20	25•3	1.4	-24.8	1.7	-7.7	2•6	2•0	-2.8	-0-7

TABLE 7-Continued

ЧD	×	~	Z	Ъ	P.E.(U)	>	P.E.(V)	×	P.E.(W)	۶u	8 <	N ⊗
5	139	96	-18	9•8	2.1	-24.7	1•6	-8.0	0.6	1•4	-2 • 2	6•0-
60	140	65	-18	14.9	2.1	-18.4	1.5	-9-3	1•0	1•2	-2.0	6•0-
9	130	38	-77	22.0	0.7	-21.3	0•6	-8-3	0.6	0•8	-2.6	0•0
0	141	63	-19	15.8	1•2	-16.4	1.1	-5.2	1.1	1.2	-1.9	-0-5
E.	142	92	-19	20.5	1.3	-15.9	1.4	-12.8	1•3	1.2	-2.1	-1.2
8	143	16	-18	18.1	4•3	-16.7	5•2	-6•9	4•3	1•2	-2•0	-0-6
23	142	92	-16	6•9	2.1	-13•1	1.4	-14.2	0.5	0.7	-1-3	-1.4
80	143	16	-17	12.7	2•2	-23.1	1.7	-7.2	1•5	1.4	-2+3	-0-7
55	144	87	-23	12.3	1.3	-24.7	2•0	-11.1	2.7	1.3	-2.4	-1.1
03 4	449 2	253	- 83	7.1	1•2	-10.9	1•3	-15.0	1.0	0•4	-1.2	-1.4
56	282 1	124	- 93	17.8	2•5	16•3	2•3	-10.7	2•3	-0.4	0.7	- 0 - 3
92	145	87	-18	13.8	0•5	-24•2	0•4	-7.5	0•3	1•4	-2•4	-0-7
28	67	38	60 1	-0-7	4•3	-17.8	2•5	- 3.3	0.6	0.7	-1.3	- 0•4
19	288 1	111	- 63	32•6	1.4	-11.5	2•2	-6.8	2•2	0.8	-2.1	0.1
30	289 1	103	- 98	23•3	0•7	-16.9	1.6	-11.0	1.7	0.6	-2.3	-0-5
80	115	28	-52	2.1	0•9	-26.4	1.5	-13.6	1.5	0•1	-2.7	-1•3
5	115	28	-52	7.4	0•8	-24.7	0.5	-15.5	0.6	0.1	-2.6	-1.2
8	115	29	-52	7.4	0•0	-26.4	1.4	-11.9	1•3	0.3	-2.8	-1•0
8	115	28	-51	8•4	0•7	-27.6	1•2	-15.2	1.1	0•2	-2.9	-1.2
66 1	150	1 9	-110	16.4	1•3	-22.3	1.3	-3.6	1•4	0.4	-2.2	0•6
0	115	27	- 52	5.7	1•2	-27.1	1•3	-12.6	1.2	0.2	-2.8	-1.1
5	1 162	105	06-	17.5	0•8	18•9	4•2	0•4	4•6	-0.2	1.2	0•7
0	115	27	-51	6.7	0•8	-27.9	0.5	-12.7	0.5	0•2	-2•9	-1.1
3	145	7	-93	15.9	1•0	-31.1	1•3	-7.7	1•3	0•2	-3.2	0•1
31	293 1	:05	-84	13•2	1.6	6.4	3•8	-12.7	3•9	-0.3	0.1	-0-8

TABLE 7-Continued

ЦН	×	×	Z		P.E.(U)	>	P.E.(V)	×	P.E.(W)	βυ	8 <	βW
24398	294	64	-92	21•6	0.7	-8.7	1.1	-11.9	1.1	0•3	-1.5	- 0.6
24504	121	65	-10	15.4	1.1	-8•1	0.8	-0.2	0.6	0•7	-1.3	0.1
24534	294	06	-95	15.4	1•9	0•4	3•9	-10.4	3.9	0.01	-0.4	- 0 • 5
24640	294	104	-78	19.7	1•2	-3•3	2•2	-2.8	2•2	0•4	6•0-	0.1
24760	274	114	-53	14•2	1•5	- 38•5	1.1	-14.6	1.1	1•3	-3.9	-1.4
25204	06	r.	-51	10.0	0•5	-1.8	0•4	-12.5	0•4	-0-3	-0-2	-0-5
25340	131	-28	-104	11.7	6•0	-22•9	1•2	-1-3	0.8	0.1	-2.1	0.7
25558	151	-14	66-	6•6	1•2	-8 - 5	1.7	- 8 • 3	1•8	-0-2	- 0 - 8	-0-2
26326	119	-70	-129	11.0	1•9	-2•9	1•8	-8 • 4	1.2	0•2	0.2	0.1
26356	107	135	75	-16.1	1•5	6•0	1•8	-5•4	1.1	-1.2	1.1	-0-3
26739	240	- 58	-173	9•8	5•7	-14•0	0•6	-17.0	7.3	-0.6	-1.0	-0 • 5
26912	114	80 	-63	13.7	6•0	-18.0	0•8	-8 -	0•8	-0.2	-1.7	-0.1
27192	412	212	ŝ	-12.6	1.7	-14.9	2•1	-8.4	2.3	0•3	-0-7	- 0 • 8
27396	146	65	- 1	14.5	1•4	-30.5	2.3	-9.4	2.5	1.3	-3.1	6•0-
28114	159	-18	-81	11.2	2.7	-5.2	3•5	-7.8	3•0	-0-1	-0.4	-0.1
28149	148	15	-47	3•5	2•5	-12.2	3•7	- 6 - 5	э•Э	-0-1	-1.3	-0-8
29248	222	-78	-143	7.5	6 • 0	-6.7	1•1	-12.4	1.1	1 0.4	-0-3	-0-5
29335	122	- 33	- 70	16.1	2.3	0•8-	1•8	-17.6	2•1	10.4	-0-3	-0-6
29763	113	٢	- 30	10.9	0.6		0•4	-10.4	0.5	-0.2	-0-7	-0-7
30211	103	-39	-62	11.0	1•2	-15.1	0•6	-5-5	0•8	-0.2	0•1-	0•2
30836	199	-46	1 89	22.0	0•5	-7.2	6•0	-7.5	6•0	0•0	-0-2	0•2
30870	167	-27	165	8•6	1•4	-5•1	2•1	-5-0	1•8	-0.1	- 0 • 4	-0.1
31237	201	-59	-96	17.8	0•8	-6.8	1•3	-13.7	1•1	-0.2	-0.1	-0•4
31331	190	-62	-95	11.8	2•6	-11•0	2.9	-8.9	3•0	-0-3	- 0 • 6	-0.2

TABLE 7-Continued

QН	×	7	Z	⊳	P.E.(U)	>	P.E.(V)	≥	P.E.(W)	βυ	8 <	δw
32630	74	19	ο	13.4	0•9	-22.9	0•3	-6•9	0•3	0•6	-2.5	-0.7
32686	206	-87	-104	20.7	2•0	- 3•8	2•4	-19.1	1.7	-0.2	0.6	8 • 0 -
32990	226	ŝ	-38	15•5	0.5	-1.6	1.7	-4.1	1•8	0•0-	-0.2	-0-1
34233	182	94	43	8.6	2•3	-16.2	2•3	-6 .3	2•0	1.0	-1.6	-0.6
34503	81	-44	[7 -	12.5	1•0	-7.0	0.6	-17•3	0•6	-0.4	0•2	6•0-
34748	329	-142	-138	24•0	2•7	-4•9	3•8	-18.4	2.7	-0.1	0.6	- 0 . B
34759	158	38	80	21.4	1.5	-27.1	1.1	-6.8	1.1	0.8	-3.0	- 0 - 8
34816	337	-234	-202	6•9	2•2	-11.7	3•1	-20.6	3.7	- 0 . 8	-0.1	-1.2
34959	346	-116	-118	-4.7	2•0	1•5	4•2	-31.5	4•9	6•0-	0.3	-3.0
35007	333	-139	-132	8 • 8	2•6	10•3	4•2	-9.5	3.8	0.3	1.3	-0-7
35039	333	-139	-132	22 • 7	1.6	-8.7	. 2.8	-17.5	3.2	-0.2	0.2	- 0•8
35149	345	-120	-118	18•7	2+5	-1•3	3.7	-2.6	4.7	0.3	0.4	0•3
35299	334	-140	-128	16.4	2•6	-9.2	4•8	-13.6	5.1	-0-9	-0.1	-0.6
35337	312	-227	-185	5.1	5.7	-15.5	5.7	-14.5	4•5	-0.8	-0.6	-0-7
35407	342	-128	-119	1.4	2•6	22.5	5•5	5.7	7.7	0.9	2+0	0•3
35411	327	-151	-134	14.1	1.3		2.1	-16.4	1•9	-0.4	-0.1	6•0-
35439	340	-130	-121	13.8	2•0	-3.0	3•0	-19.3	2•3	-0•3	0 •4	-1.3
35468	86	-26	-26	14.0	0•5	-6•9	0.5	-12.4	0.5	-0.3	-0.2	-0.7
35588	336	-138	-123	16.5	2•9	-9.3	5•0	2.9	6.4	0•2	-0-3	0 • B
35671	165	-21	-28	13•8	1•7	-21.1	1.4	-9 • 5	1.1	-0.3	-1.9	-0-7
35708	223	-15	-28	10.7	6•0	-11.8	1.3	-3.4	1•1	-0.1	-1.1	-0-2
35715	344	-126	-114	4•8	1.2	-14.9	2.8	-10.1	3•3	-0.6	-1.1	9•0-
35912	339	-136	-118	31.8	1•6	-6•3	1.9	-12.6	1.2	0•2	0.6	-0.2
36166	341	-135	-113	6•2	4•0	6•7-	6.4	-16.2	5•8	- 0•4	-0.1	-1.3
36267	95	-31	-27	12.4	1.5	-19.9	0.7	-7.9	0.5	-0.5	-1.4	-0-3

TABLE 7-Continued

QН	×	7	Z	-	P.E.(U)	>	P.E.(V)	≥	P.E.(W)	βu	8<	× ⊗
36285	397	-233	-180	10.1	3•0	3.4	3•6	-12+3	2.68	0.1	0•0	-0.8
36351	346	-129	-107	19•2	3.1	-6+5	4•9	-1.9	5•2	0.1	0•0	0•4
36430	401	-229	-176	12.1	3•0	-15.6	7.3	-16.7	10.0	-0.7	<u>-0 • 5</u>	6•0-
36485	403	-178	-141	16•3	2•3	-7•6	2.68	-12.7	3•8	-0+2	0•0	-0.6
36486	403	-178	-141	11.9	1•3	-5.7	1•2	-11.2	0.8	-0.2	0•0	-0-6
36512	398	-234	-177	-1.8	3•9	-30.2	5 • 8	-12.8	6 •5	-1.6	-2.2	-0-7
36591	398	-187	-145	27.9	1.6	-18.9	3•3	-8.6	3•9	-0.2	-0-5	0•2
36646	398	-188	-144	22+2	2•6	-29.5	2.7	-19•0	2.4	-1.0	-1. 4	-0.8
36653	216	-42	-39	15.7	1.3	-9.8	2.1	-11.9	2.1	-0-3	-0 • ¢	6•0-
36695	400	-185	-142	17.9	1.8	-1.5	3•3	-20.2	4•0	-0-1	0•7	-1•3
36741	340	-141	-109	6 . 8	4•1	-11.6	6•6	-13.8	4 • 3	-0.6	-0-6	-1•0
36779	401	-185	-140	3.4	3•1	-10.3	3•6	10.1	2•8	0.0-	6•0 -	1•1
36819	261	-13	-21	21.4	1.0	-25.7	1.4	-1.6	2.0	-0.1	-2+5	0•0
36959	404	-229	-167	20.8	1.9	-19.0	3.4	-10.8	4•2	-0-3	-0-5	-0.1
36960	405	-229	-167	23•3	1.9	-13.3	2.6	-7.2	2•4	0.1	0•0 -	0•2
37016	412	-220	-160	23.3	4 . 8	-7.9	6•3	-24.8	5•3	-0-3	0.6	-1.5
37017	412	-221	-160	28•3	6•2	-1.2	10.2	-20.7	11.0	0•3	1•3	-1•1
37018	410	-223	-162	21•3	3.4	-13.1	7 •7	-19.7	4 • 5	-0.4	0•0	-1•0
37020	408	-226	-164	36.7	35•6	27•9	45.2	-47.9	29•7	0•9	4 • 3	-3.7
37022	408	-226	-164	19.3	2.7	-10.5	3•6	-22.0	4 • 3	-0.4	0•2	-1.3
37023	408	-226	-164	-0-3	14.4	-25.0	21.9	-59.6	22.6	-2.6	-1.1	-4.9
37040	412	-220	-160	21.7	2•7	-16.7	3.6	-13.8	4•3	- 0•3	-0-3	+•0-
37041	407	-226	-164	32.4	2•0	-9-6	2.6	-13.6	2.4	0•3	0•7	-0.2
37043	405	-229	-166	22.4	1•8	-4.2	2.6	-9.6	2•4	0•3	0•7	-0.2

IABLE 1-LUIIIIUEU

βW	-0.7	-0.6	-1.4	-1.1	-0.8	-1.1	- 0 • 4	-0-2	0•0	-0.4	-0-1	-0-5	-0.4	0•3	-1.0	-0.4	-1.6	0•1	-1.7	6 • 0 -	-0-4	-2.1	-0-9	• 0 • €	
8	1•0-	0•0	1•3	2•0	-1.0	1•3	-2.7	0•0	-1•5	0.4	-0-5	-1.6	0 •4	0•2	-1.2	-0-7	-0.1	0•2	-0.9	0•2	-1.5	0•0-	-1.6	0.6	
۶u	-0.3	-0.2	0.1	0•3	-0-9	0•3	-0.1	-0.1	-0.7	0•0	-0-4	-0.3	0•1	0•2	6•0-	-0.2	-0-7	0•2	-0.2	-0.1	-0.4	-0.7	-0-5	0•2	
P.E.(W)	2•0	2.9	4 e 8	5.7	4 • 8	4•5	1•4	2 • 3	3•9	2 • 8	1.1	1•0	2 • 3	2•0	2.68	0•8	5.1	2•1	3•2	1•9	0•3	2.1	1•0	1•6	
>	-14.9	-9-3	-24.1	-19.7	-17.2	-19.9	14.5	-10.8	-8•3	-9-3	-8-8	-7.6	-9.1	-5•8	-18.6	-7.6	-22•2	-5•2	-17.3	-12.7	-5.3	- 24•8	-10.2	-11.9	
P.E.(V)	1•6	3.6	5.1	4 • 3	5.1	4•2	1•9	2.5	5.6	3•9	1.7	1.1	2•5	1.7	3.5	1•1	4•6	2.7	2.7	2•0	0•4	2.5	1.4	1•9	
>	-11.8	-4.8	-1.2	8•9	-23•4	-0-7	-27.1	-12.3	-27.1	-3•9	-16.0	-19•6	0•7-	-11.0	-24.8	-13.6	-12.1	-8•0	-10.2	-8•1	-20.7	-7.7	-27.1	-10.0	
P.E.(U)	6•0	2•9	3•8	2•6	3•7	2•8	0.5	1•9	4 • 4	2.1	2•2	1.4	1•6	1•3	2•3	0•8	3•5	2.8	1•3	1.6	0•2	2.4	0•0	1•6	
Л	19.6	6.7	25.4	42•9	14.9	27.0	12.4	24.3	17.5	20.1	12.4	17.1	16.1	26.5	16.4	26.9	0•6	17.8	4 • 8	16.2	18•4	2•5	33.4	25.7	
Z	-137	-163	-164	- 96	-162	-157	-10	-138	-138	-93	-87	-24	-132	-136	-129	-13	-180	66-	-19	- 38	60 1	-94	9	-55	
≻	-188	-229	-232	-1 26	-232	-225	-7	-199	-199	-132	-130	-33	-198	-203	-193	-24	-305	-178	-60	-94	-42	-217	-46	-149	
×	007	406	404	433	405	411	221	394	394	348	196	185	397	394	401	100	443	275	377	153	157	278	163	218	
Qн	37128	37150	37209	37232	37303	37356	37438	37468	37479	37490	37635	37711	37742	37744	37756	38622	38771	39291	39698	41692	41753	41814	42560	42690	

•

TABLE 7-Continued

.

Y Z 18	Z -18		15.5 15.5	P.E.(U)	- < <	P.E.(V)	W	P.E.(W)	۵.8 ۵.8	8 <	⊗ K • •
	189 188	-18 -46	C.CI	m 0 • • 10 t	-2.5	2.2	-14.1 -14.1	3.7		6 • 7 • 0	6•1-
7	54	-49	21.0	2•2	-15.4	1.9	-18.2	1.4	-0-2	0.1	-1.3
•	127	6-	19.8	1•4	0.1	2.7	-7.3	4.9	0•2	0.6	-0-7
T	143	-25	17.7	1.5	-29.9	1.8	4•4-	1•7	6 •0-	-1.7	-0.2
T	211	-50	9.3	4.8	1•3	7.1	-23.4	10.1	0•1	0.7	-2+2
	-27	10	38•4	0•5	-13.4	0.9	-11.9	1.1	0•0	-0 • 5	-1.5
•	149	-34	21.4	0.7	-9.7	0•9	-12.5	1•2	0•1	0.4	6•0-
•	-357	-98	7.4	12.6	5.7	11.6	-4.2	8•4	0•6	0.7	-0-4
	106	92	6•4	1•1	-2.9	0•9	4•3	1•2	0•2	-0 • 5	0.2
•	-145	17	7.0	1.5	-10.7	2.3	-11.8	3•1	-0-2	-0.6	-1.2
	83	72	-22.0	1•9	-5.1	1•3	-4.4	1•2	-0.6	č •0	6 •0
	-102	-18	16.0	3.7	-15.8	3•8	-5.8	2•0	0.0	0.1	-0+3
	- 359	-56	30.4	2.4	-25.8	2•1	-15.7	2.4	0•3	6 • 0	-1.1
	-222	39	21.3	5.7	-36.6	9•4	-30.0	12.5	-0. 8	-2.0	-3•3
	-325	Ŷ	25•0	2•2	-8.4	2•6	-10.0	2.7	0•5	0.7	-1•0
	06-	6-	18•6	1.7	-23.0	1•9	-6.7	0•6	-0.1	-0-1	-0.4
	-373	48	17.6	4•4	-7.5	3•0	-14.8	4•5	1.1	0•4	-1.6
	-101	15	11.3	1•3	-16.8	1•3	-8.0	1•2	0•2	-0-1	-1•0
	-252	48	13•8	1•6	-35.4	1•5	-24.0	1•6	-0.4	- 0 • 8	-2.9
	-74	53	20.2	1.7	-11.6	1.6	1.6	1•2	0.6	0•1	- 0 - 8
	-126	11	12.4	1.1	- 26.5	2•1	-16.7	1•4	0.7	-1•2	-2 • 5
	-112	271	15.0	3•1	-14.7	4•3	-12.5	2•8	1.3	-1.4	-1.5
	-288	344	6•6	2•5	-14.5	2.8	-9.8	2.5	0.8	-1.1	-1•3
	C C ~	è	۲ د د	1.1	1.36-1	U . F	5. 611	r.		a - 1	r r

| | | | :

QН	×	≻	Z	þ	P.E.(U)	>	P.E.(V)	M	P.E.(W)	βυ	8۷	8 W
100600	46	-77	241	8•0	1•1	-10.7	6•0	15.0	1•2	0.5	-0-5	-0-3
116658	-39	- 38	67	7.6	, 0.4	-18.1	0•4	-4.7	0•4	0.8	+]•8	-0-5
120315	'n	17	37	15.9	0•2	-21.0	0.4	-3.7	0.7	1.7	-1.7	0•6
138764	-140	-10	103	-7.1	4.7	-15.4	6.6	-17.9	5•8	-1.0	-1.6	-1.5
142096	-155	-25	75	3•8	1.8	-18.1	1.0	-5.3	1•2	0.1	-1•9	-0-4
142378	-155	-23	75	6•6	2•3	-14.0	1.8	-4.5	1•9	0•1	-1.5	-0.2
144217	-158	61-	70	-0-7	1.0	-15.3	1.6	6•6-	1•6	-0.2	-1•5	6•0-
144218	-158	-19	70	5.1	1.2	-20.3	1.8	-5.7	1.6	0•1	-2.1	+•0-
145502	-160	-15	67	5•2	2•3	-22.6	1•4	-10.9	1•5	-0•1	-2•3	-0.8
147394	-20	63	66	20.3	0•3	-6.8	0•4	-7.0	0.4	1.7	0•3	0•3
148184	-163	φ I	61	2.1	1.1	-15.9	0.5	-10.5	0•7	-0.3	-1.6	6•0-
155763	٢	64	45	7•2	0.5	-16.0	0•4	-3•0	0•4	0.8	-0-5	6 •5
158148	-121	112	85	29•9	2.4	-5.7	2•6	-14.3	3•0	1.1	1•2	-0-1
160762	-32	66	63	7.7	0•4	-17.5	0.5	-7.3	0•4	0.3	-0-1	0•3
161056	-263	89	57	-30.9	2•9	-11.6	4•2	5.0	4.1	-0.7	-2.0	0•0-
163472	-245	126	61	16.3	1•6	-10.5	2•9	5.6	3.7	0•1	-0-3	0•9
164852	-139	148	73	2 • 8	1•3	-13.4	1•2	-11.5	1.1	-0.7	-0-3	- 0•6
166182	-175	190	86	3.7	1.4	-12•2	1.5	-11.4	2•2	-0.6	-0.2	-0-7
168199	-167	149	54	15.0	2•2	-12.8	2•2	-6.4	2•3	0•0-	0.1	-0•2
170111	-180	253	64	11.9	1.9	-10.2	3•2	-11.9	5•0	0.2	0 •4	-0.7
170580	-310	210	43	8•6	1.4	-18.1	1.9	-11.8	1.9	-0.7	- 0.8	-1.0
170740	-212	82	-2	8.7	1.1	-10.8	2.6	-22.7	2+3	-0.2	-0.7	-2.3
171406	-154	260	63	-1.8	5.4	6•0 -	3 . 8	-14.1	5.1	-0.4	0•2	-1.3
173087	-121	246	83	12•3	2.7	-14•0	2.7	-6.0	3•2	0•4	0•2	-0.1
174237	-36	275	112	6.0	1.1	-12.7	4.6	-20.4	2•0	0•4	0.6	-1.3

TABLE 7-Continued

Qн	×	~	Z	∍	P.E.(U)	>	P.E.(V)	×	P.E.(W)	βu	8 <	δw
74585	-139	269	19	6•0	1•4	-11.8	1•8	-14.6	3•4	-0.1	0•2	-1•0
74959	180	183	56	36.0	11•6	-4.3	5.6	-11.0	11.0	2•8	1.4	- 0 - 2
76502	-112	322	98	15.0	2•1	-11.8	1.3	-12.8	1.5	0•0	0.5	-0.8
76582	-102	273	80	10.8	7.2	-11.3	3 • 5	-0-7	5 • 8	0.6	0.1	0•3
76819	-275	360	58	4 e B	2•6	-7.8	2•3	6•6-	3.9	-0.1	0•0	6•0-
76871	- 98	154	31	5.0	3•2	-11.5	2.6	-10.7	2•1	-0.2	0•0	-0-8
77003	-46	278	66	20.1	1•0	-13.7	2•4	-9.7	1•2	1.7	0•4	-0.3
78329	-101	314	88	7.1	4•0	-16.8	1.3	-14.2	2•8	0.1	0•3	6•0-
78475	-54	130	32	5•8	1•2	-16.2	2.3	-5.7	0•7	-0-1	0.0-	-0.2
79406	-117	63	-19	14.5	1•0	-8.5	0.7	-8.4	0.6	0.1	-0•1	-1•1
80163	-59	167	40	2•9	1•1	-7.1	0.6	- 3•5	0.8	0•0	0•0	-0.2
80554	16-	129	12	4•9	1•9	-17.1	2•2	-3•7	1.4	- 0 - 5	-0-3	-0-2
80968	-262	393	40	-5•9	4•0	0.5	3•2	-32.1	4•3	-0-5	0•0-	-3.2
82255	-101	174	19	2•4	1•1	-12.0	0.7	-7.2	1.0	-0.4	-0.2	-0-6
82568	88 1	172	22	24•9	2•0	-9-5	2.4	-11.8	1.7	1.5	6•0	-0-9
83144	-244	288	6-	1•3	4.3	5•9	4 • 0	-15.3	2•9	0•4	0.3	-1•5
84171	-67	165	23	8•9	1.5	-19.3	0.7	-6.1	1.0	0.1	0.1	- 0 • 3
84930	-108	82	-26	9•7	2•1	-26.3	1•6	-6.1	1•8	- 0•8	-1.3	-1•0
85268	-117	240	19	16.3	5.8	-13.6	2•9	-11.5	5•1	0.8	0•4	-1•0
85423	-274	242	-58	3•5	2•4	1•1	2•4	-5.3	1•9	0•3	0•2	-0-5
85915	-116	197	N	3•1	6•8	-21.0	5.7	-29.7	8 • 5	-0.7	-0.4	-3.0
85936	-135	166	-17	-1.0	1•8	-19.4	1•6	-2•8	2•0	-1•0	- 0 • 8	- 0 -
86660	-305	227	16-	13.7	1.4	-10.1	1•3	3•7	1.7	0•0	0•0	0•0-
87811	-108	186	8 1	13.6	2•5	-23.5	2•5	-31.0	2•2	0•1	-0.1	-3.2

a state at a

IABLE / - CONTINUED

ł

QН	×	~	Z	∍	P.E.(U)	>	P.E.(V)	×	P.E.(W)	۶u	8 <	8 W
188665	1	154	41	8•2	0•6	-24.2	2.4	-6 5	1•0	0 • 8	0•0-	0.0-
188892	- 38	133	13	9 • 8	2.6	-27.8	1•3	-8.4	1.7	0•2	0.1	-0-6
189066	-78	240	17	2•9	3•8	-22.5	1.7	-11.4	3.9	-0.4	-0.1	-1•0
189178	-43	168	18	12•1	0•6	-23.8	1•2	-3•2	0.6	0.6	0.1	0.01
189432	-74	257	21	13•2	2•1	-11.0	1•4	-3•0	3•5	0•9	0.3	-0-2
189687	- 65	214	15	4•1	1.4	-2•8	2.4	-1.8	0.8	0•3	0.1	-0-2
189775	-24	345	11	13.0	6.1	-14.0	1•4	-8.2	4•3	1•2	0•2	-0-5
190993	-84	161	-15	9•7	1.4	-2•3	1•3	-13.6	1•2	0.7	0.2	-1.4
191263	-225	285	-76	28•3	2.4	-25.7	1.8	6•2	3.2	0.5	0.4	-0.2
191610	-56	196	7	15.7	0•7	-9.8	0.5	4•5	0•7	1•2	0.3	6• 0
192685	-67	146	-15	3•0	1.7	-1.6	2.4	- 7 . 8	1.5	0.2	0•0	-0.8
192987	-91	338	Q	11.2	12•6	-3•0	4•2	-10.7	12•5	1•0	0•3	-1.1
193536	-57	455	47	-6.1	3•5	-8•9	1.4	-8.4	4•1	-0.7	0.0-	-0.7
195556	-14	209	21	14.3	0•7	-20.4	2•5	-7.7	0•7	1•3	0.1	-0-5
195810	-65	95	-34	7•5	0•5	-22.1	0.5	-7.9	0.5	۳. ۱۰.	-0-7	-1•3
195986	-65	446	15	16.1	5.4	-14.7	6•0	9 • 0	5.1	1.4	0.2	0.0-
196035	-171	348	-78	13.4	3.6	4•9	2•6	-23.0	3•2	1.5	0•2	-2•2
196740	-60	141	-28	10.7	1.9	-21.3	2.4	-7.8	1.7	0.2	-0-1	-1.2
196775	-126	219	-69	-11.7	2.1	-10.3	2•5	-19.1	1.8	-1.1	-1.2	-1.9
197036	-28	284	13	8•8	2•2	-14.2	1•2	-3•5	1.8	0•7	0.1	-0-3
197511	8	269	24	6•0	1.5	-3.4	1•2	2 • 5	1.2	0.6	0•0-	0.3
197770	25	370	59	-3.4	5•3	-14.6	2•6	-2.3	4 e B	-0.2	0•0	0•0
198183	-21	100	8) 	4.7	6•0	-23.0	2•4	-5•1	0.8	0.0-	-0-1	-0•7
198625	-17	256	8	22.7	1.7	-14.0	2•5	13.1	1.7	2•2	0•1	1 • 4
198820	-120	475	-63	-2.7	6•9	-21.3	3•2	-14.5	6 • 8	-0-7	-0	-1.7

TABLE 7-Continued

Ωн	×	~	Z	5	P.E.(U)	>	P.E.(V)	×	P.E.(W)	βu	8 <	N Ø
199081	-12	133	o	3 • 5	0•3	-19.3	1•2	-6.6	0•3	0•2	0•0	-0-7
199661	36	427	56	14.2	3.1	-19.6	2•5	-6.1	3•1	1.6	-0-1	-0-4
201836	i 2	213	ŀ	4•6	7•7	-8.7	1•2	2•0	7.6	0•4	0.0	0•2
202654	1	391	1	14.3	2•8	-26.2	5.0	-13.2	2•8	1.4	0.0-	-1+3
203245	5	177	ο	3•0	3•9	-23.1	1•2	12•3	3.9	0•4	0.0-	1•2
204403	-24	226	-40	3•2	1•2	-19.8	2•5	1•3	1•3	0•1	0.01	-0-2
204770	38	143	30	-14.4	1•7	7.9	2•5	-4•4	1•8	-1.5	0 • 5	-0-5
205021	77	243	64	15.1	0•7	-11.3	1.0	-2.9	0•7	1.7	-0-5	-0.1
206672	16	164	† 	5.0	1.0	8 • 8 1	1•2	-1.5	1.0	0.6	-0-1	-0.2
207330	15	183	-10	3.7	0.6	-12.8	1•2	-2.0	0.6	0 •5	-0.1	-0-3
207563	-94	358	-174	13•3	8.7	-18.8	6 • 8	-10.4	9. 4	1.0	-0-6	-1.7
208057	-29	164	-66	8•6	0•5	-12.4	2•3	-1.9	1•0	0•7	-0.1	-0-6
208095	29	174	4	9•8	1.0	-8-0	1•2	-11.1	1.1	1.1	-0-2	-1.1
208947	115	387	64	9.3	2.4	-0-3	1.4	0.6	2•5	6•0	-0-3	0.0
209008	-129	287	-233	32.2	3•5	1•9	2•6	-6.4	э•0	3•0	0.6	-1.0
209419	44	279	6 -	11.8	1.7	-24.3	1•2	-5.8	2•2	1•5	-0-3	-0-7
209961	52	449	-48	-10.4	6•5	-17.4	0•7	-5.7	8•4	- 0 - 8	0•0	- 0 - 8
210424	-87	64	-148	15.4	1•2	-14.6	1.7	-21.6	1•2	1.7	-1.6	-2•0
211924	-42	112	-103	12.7	1•5	-7.3	1•3	-1.8	1.3	1.1	-0-2	-0-7
212076	-56	214	-163	12.5	1•4	7•5	1•4	-10.6	1•4	1.4	0•0	-0-5
212120	13	16	-15	7.6	1.8	-11.9	1.2	-7.3	2•2	6•0	-0-3	-0.9
212222	24	251	-57	6•6	5.1	-20.1	1.7	-4.1	4 • 5	1.2	-0-3	-0 • 8
212883	27	434	-133	-9•8	7.4	-10.6	2.1	-7.3	5.1	6•0 -	-0.2	-1•0
212978	39	438	-119	-2.4	1.4	-16.8	1.2	1.7	0•9	-0.1	-0-1	-0-3
1968ApJS...17..371L

TABLE 7-Continued

QН	×	≻	Z	Ъ	P.E.(U)	>	P.E.(V)	>	P.E.(W)	δυ	8 <	8 W
214167	49	434	-127	-15•1	5•3	-10.0	2•0	8•5	5•4	-1.4	0•3	0•5
214168	49	434	-127	0•6-	2•1	-12.1	2•5	-5•5	2•6	-0.8	-0.2	6•0-
214240	56	442	-57	3•9	3•2	-16.7	0•8	-0.7	4•0	0•7	-0.2	-0-3
214680	50	432	-133	4•0	2•1	-11.7	0•8	-3•5	2•5	0•5	-0-3	-0-6
214993	58	433	-127	0•3	3•6	-13.2	1•2	6•7	4•0	0•2	0•0	0•3
215191	50	429	-144	-7.0	3•7	-22•0	1.7	-11.8	4•0	-0-5	-0-5	-1.7
216200	76	432	-121	3•3	2•0	-18.1	2•0	-4.9	2•6	0•6	-0.4	6•0 -
216916	83	429	-128	-0-3	2•5	-12.5	1•1	-3.7	3 . 8	0•2	-0.2	-0-7
217101	75	425	-144	-4.2	3•2	-16.7	1.6	-2.6	2•9	-0.2	-0.2	-0-7
217543	77	422	-150	-11.7	6•2	-22.9	2•3	-19.4	4.07	-0 •0	-0-7	-2+5
217811	100	429	-115	-10.3	2•8	-7.9	1•1	-4.6	1•8	-0 • 8	0•0	-0-7
217943	156	428	£	1.6	4•9	-18.6	2•9	-4.8	3•4	0.7	-0-3	-0+5
218407	113	429	-103	-2 • 7	4.1	-12.6	1.6	15.8	3•1	0.1	0•3	1.2
218440	131	357	4	10.8	2•6	-8-8	1.5	1•2	2 • 5	1•2	-0-5	0.1
218537	95	237	14	-6•9	1•2	-35.7	1.2	-4.2	1.6	0.6	-0.2	-0.2
221253	72	174	61	10.3	6•0	-20.9	0.5	0•0	0•6	1.6	-0.7	-0.1
223128	207	414	38	1•2	8•2	-15.2	4•6	-11.5	13.1	0.7	-0-3	-1.0
223229	117	295	-83	-11.1	4•2	-20.7	4.8	5.7	1•9	-0.2	0•1	0•0-
224572	162	338	-42	6•3	2•2	-17.6	1.4	4 • 4 -	7 • 4	1.2	-0.6	-0.6

443

1968ApJS...17..371L

TABLE 8

STV	
DIEN	
RAI	
N N	
CIJ	
/ELC	
JR V	
S F(
NOI.	
LUT	
s So	
RES	
QUA	
S-TS	
EA	

C(W)	$\begin{array}{c} - & 6.7\pm0.2 \\ - & 7.0\pm.3 \\ - & 7.0\pm.3 \\ - & 6.5\pm.3 \\ - & 6.3\pm.2 \\ - & 6.8\pm.2 \\ - & 6.8\pm.2 \\ - & 6.8\pm0.2 \\ - & 6.8\pm0.4 \\ \end{array}$
∂W/∂Z	$+20.0\pm 3.8$ +13.0\pm 3.8 +23.9\pm 5.5 +15.4\pm 6.4 +18.6\pm 3.8 +13.6\pm 3.9 +13.6\pm 3.9
C(V)	$\begin{array}{c} -14.8\pm0.4\\ -14.2\pm.5\\ -14.7\pm.6\\ -14.7\pm.6\\ -14.8\pm.8\\ -14.0\pm.5\\ -14.1\pm.6\\ -20.4\pm0.8\end{array}$
aV/aV	$\begin{array}{c} + & 2.7 \pm 2.5 \\ + & 4.6 \pm 2.6 \\ + & 2.4 \pm 5.2 \\ + & 15.0 \pm 7.0 \\ + & 3.0 \pm 2.9 \\ + & 7.7 \pm 3.3 \\ + & 7.2 \pm 2.8 \\ \end{array}$
X6/V6	$\begin{array}{c} + & 0.6\pm3.0\\ + & 5.2\pm3.1\\ - & 4.5\pm4.9\\ + & 8.5\pm6.4\\ - & 0.6\pm3.4\\ + & 14.1\pm3.6\end{array}$
C(U)	$\begin{array}{c} +12.6\pm0.5\\ +12.7\pm.6\\ +12.7\pm.6\\ +12.5\pm.7\\ +13.5\pm.7\\ +13.5\pm.5\\ +5.6\pm0.8\end{array}$
aU/aY	$\begin{array}{c} -24.1\pm3.0\\ -20.6\pm2.7\\ -29.7\pm4.7\\ -24.0\pm5.0\\ -30.1\pm3.2\\ -25.3\pm2.7\\ -2.5\pm3.5\end{array}$
Xe/Ne	$\begin{array}{c} +10.7\pm2.8\\ +12.4\pm2.5\\ +14.3\pm4.5\\ +14.3\pm4.5\\ +18.6\pm4.8\\ +5.0\pm3.1\\ +6.0\pm2.7\\ +37.5\pm3.3\end{array}$
Assoc. Wt.	Normal Normal Normal Reduced Reduced Total
Sp.	All All All All All All All
r (pc)	<u>8888888888888888888888888888888888888</u>
N	294 181 166 64 294 181 94
Solution No.	4004000



EXPANSION AGE DERIVED FROM INDIVIDUAL VELOCITY GRADIENTS

SOLUTION		t(10 ⁶ y	ears)	
No.	AU/əX	aU/aY	AV/aX	aV/aV
1	100-115	>145	< 30	65-95
2	95-110	≥120		60- 80
3	85-110	∨ 190	<05	60-145
4	70-100	\geq 125		35-55
5	115-130		√ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	20 27 27
	C71-011	22	140	
	30-40	R √I	••••••	א –Uc

444