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#### Abstract

The coude scanner of the 100 -inch telescope has been used as a two-channel photometer to measure the fluxes at the centers of stellar H - and K-lines, for the purpose of initiating a search for stellar analogues of the solar cycle. From the Strömgren-Perry catalogue 139 stars previously observed at $10 \AA \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ dispersion were selected for this purpose and included most of those in which $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{K}$ emission had been seen on the spectrograms. Reasonable agreement between eye-estimated intensities and the photometric results shows that the procedure adopted is suitable for specifying chromospheric activity, but with an accuracy much improved over eye estimates. The probable error of a single measurement of a line is a little less than 2 per cent.

No undoubted variations have been observed during a year's observation. However, the measures are discussed in connection with the Strömgren-Perry photometric parameters $b-y, m_{1}, c_{1}$. In this way it is possible to trace in some detail the course of chromospheric evolution as stars begin to move off the zero-age main sequence (ZAMS) toward the giant region. Doublet ratios of the chromospheric components of H and K are one of the by-products of the observations. They show that, in general, the optical thickness of the gas masses involved cannot be very great.


## I. INTRODUCTION

The solar cycle of approximately twenty-two-year period has been known for over two centuries. During this time a vast amount of observational data and theoretical speculation relating to the cyclical solar variation has accumulated. Nevertheless, it seems very likely that understanding has been severely hampered because all this material relates to a single star with a fixed set of parameters such as age, mass, and surface temperature. It is a reasonable supposition that if analogous cycles could be detected in other stars with different values of the fundamental stellar parameters, the results would be of considerable value in sharpening the theoretical attack on the whole problem.

The most obvious phenomenon associated with the solar cycle is the periodic rise and fall of the numbers of sunspots. At an average maximum the area occupied by spots is about 1400 -millionths of the solar surface, and the corresponding change in luminosity therefore does not exceed about 0.001 mag. Hence the uncovering of analogous stellar cycles by luminosity measurements is not practical. On the other hand, calcium spectroheliograms made with $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ radiation at the centers of the Ca II H - and K -lines differ greatly in appearance between sunspot maxima and minima. At maximum, as compared with minimum, the whole calcium network is notably more intense, and plages are more numerous and brighter. Since all this radiation is concentrated into narrow bands of the order of $0.5 \AA$ width at the centers of the photospheric H and K absorption lines, it is quite reasonable to suppose that suitable measures of the strengths of these chromospheric emissions in stars should provide information on the stellar analogues of the solar cycle. This possibility led to the writer's first interest in stellar chromospheric phenomena about thirty years ago.

Since that time, I have had occasion to look at many spectrograms exhibiting H and K emission in stars of various types and luminosities. In many instances, two or more plates of the same object, taken some years apart, were available. While this material has not been examined with great care, there have been no stars in which rather cursory
inspection has suggested variability in the $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{K}$ emission lines. If such variability occurs, therefore, it is unlikely to be of large amplitude, or else it has a long period. Judging from my experience, I am inclined to place the upper limit of variability as being of the order of 20 per cent.

From careful visual inspection of low-dispersion spectrograms of five late-type mainsequence stars taken over a five-year period, Popper (1956) was also unable to find any significant H-K variation (with the exception of one flare). An upper limit of the order of $10-20$ per cent appears to be a realistic assessment of Popper's results, also. On the other hand, recent measurements by Sheeley (1967) indicate that the solar $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ may vary by as much as 40 per cent during the sunspot cycle.

If Sheeley's results are correct, and if other stars exhibit similar amplitudes of H-K emission, the observational problem of finding stellar cycles should not be too difficult. However, the experiences of Popper and myself suggest a more pessimistic outlook. Since a considerable number of stars of various kinds should be kept under observation, the technique adopted should not require excessive telescope time per star, but it should at the same time be capable of relatively high accuracy-hopefully of the order of at most 1 per cent. These requirements can be met only by using a photoelectric procedure.

Observations intended ultimately to provide information on variability of stellar chromospheric emission were begun in March 1966 and have been carried on since then as time permitted. The present paper is a progress report on the results of the first year of work (March 1966-April 1967) in a limited region of the main sequence. Little can be said as yet about variability, but the data collected are of a kind not hitherto available and are of interest in other respects.

## II. APPARATUS AND PROCEDURES

Details of the equipment and methods employed in the investigation and of the accuracy attained will be found in the Appendix. Very briefly, the observations are made with the coudé scanner of the 100 -inch telescope used as a two-channel photometer. Entrance and exit slits are both $1 \AA$ in width, the exit slit is centered accurately on the stellar H- or K-line, and $10^{4}$ counts are made in this channel. Simultaneously, the other channel is counting pulses in two $25 \AA$ lengths of spectrum, separated by about $250 \AA$, on either side of the $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{K}$ region. These two monitor windows are the same for both Ca II lines and also the same for all stars, except for small Doppler shifts nearly always within $\pm 1 \AA$. After completing the counts in either H or K , the other line is centered in the exit slit and the procedure repeated.

Because of the nature of the equipment, it was anticipated that spurious variations of an incidental character would be introduced from time to time in the ratio between the two channels, and this has turned out to be true. To correct for these instrumental effects, a standard lamp is observed each afternoon during an observing run. Light from the lamp is injected into the spectrograph through the same small length of entrance slit used for the stars, and counts are made with the exit slit located at the positions of both H and K .

Let $N_{s}$ and $N_{m}$ be the pulse counts, corrected for dark current, at the wavelength of one of the Ca II lines for the standard lamp, $n_{s}$ and $n_{m}$ the same quantities for a star, and let $R_{i}=\left(N_{s} / N_{m}\right)_{i}, r_{i}=\left(n_{s} / n_{m}\right)_{i}$. The subscripts $s$ and $m$ refer to the scan and monitor channels, respectively, $i$ to a particular observing run. For two runs, $i$ and $j$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i} / R_{j}=a, \quad r_{i} / r_{j}=\beta . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observation shows that to within a few per cent, on the average,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=\beta \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, in other words, the standard lamp provides a good first-order correction of the instrumental variations. The small residual corrections can be obtained by observation of
a series of standard stars and will be of importance in ultimately refining the accuracy of the results. These corrections have, however, mostly been ignored in this paper.

From equations (1) and (2), one has, to a good approximation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{r_{1}}{R_{1}}=\frac{r_{2}}{R_{2}}=\ldots \frac{r_{i}}{R_{i}}=\ldots=F . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (3) has been applied to all the stellar measures and provides corrected fluxes on an instrumental scale which, while it has no immediate physical interpretation, is the same for all observations, which are therefore comparable.

## III. OBSERVATIONS

Since the Sun is the only star known to undergo cyclical variation of the kind under consideration, it is logical to begin the investigation with other main-sequence stars in the general neighborhood of the Sun in the H-R diagram. The observing program was made up of stars from the Strömgren-Perry catalogue (1962) for which I had already obtained $10 \AA \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ spectrograms (Wilson 1966). This procedure has certain advantages: first, the Strömgren-Perry photometry gives information about surface temperature, absolute luminosity, and metallic content; second, the spectrograms provide knowledge of chromospheric emissions visible at $10 \AA \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ and of the stellar rotational velocities. Hence, the observing list contained most of the stars in whose spectra I had observed H and K emission, plus a sample of others scattered randomly through the main-sequence band. Only stars with $v \sin i<15 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{sec}^{-1}$ were admitted, to avoid significant widening of chromospheric features. Observations have been made of 139 stars selected according to these criteria. The choice of the $1 \AA$ width for both entrance and exit slits conformed to the fact that no chromospheric emissions in the list should exceed about $0.7 \AA$ in width. In this way it was expected that virtually all the chromospheric radiation would be registered, without excessive dilution by residual photospheric light.

This phase of the investigation has three goals: (1) to begin the collection of data which may ultimately lead to the detection and study of stellar analogues of the solar cycle; (2) to establish the validity, or otherwise, of the adopted procedures and to evaluate in a preliminary fashion the over-all accuracy of the measurements; and (3) to explore in a general way chromospheric activity within the limited area of the H-R diagram under discussion.

## iv. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

a) Dependence of Flux on $b-y$

Results of observation are in Table 1, where the first two columns contain, respectively, the HD and Strömgren-Perry catalogue numbers. The columns (3) and (4) are the mean instrumental fluxes for H and K , measured and reduced as described above, and multiplied by $10^{4}$ for convenience. Column (5) gives the number of observations for each star, a single observation being defined as a measure of both H and K . The mean of the H and K fluxes is given in column (6), and the Strömgren-Perry photometric parameters, in units of 0.001 mag., in columns (7), (8), and (9). For all Strömgren-Perry stars previously observed spectroscopically, $c_{1}-(b-y)$ and $m_{1}-(b-y)$ plots were made and the lower boundaries of the distributions drawn in. From these lower boundaries the quantities $\Delta c_{1}$ and $\Delta m_{1}$ were read off for the stars of Table 1, where they appear in columns (10) and (11). As in the usual convention, luminosity increases with $\Delta c_{1}$, but metallic content decreases with $\Delta m_{1}$. Column (13) contains the $\Delta c_{1}$ 's corrected for the effect of $m_{1}$, following the precept of Strömgren (1963). The remainder of Table 1 will be described later.

Much of the information contained in Table 1 is best shown graphically. Figure 1 is a plot of mean H-K flux against $b-y$, where open circles represent those stars in which emission was seen on $10 \AA \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ spectrograms, and the numbers beside them are eye-

TABLE 1
PHOTOMETRIC DATA

| (1) | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline(2) \\ \text { S-P } \\ \text { No } \end{array}$ | ${ }^{(3)}$ | (4) | (5) | (6) $\frac{1}{2}\left(F_{\mathrm{H}}+F_{\mathrm{K}}\right)$ | (7) $b-y$ | (8) | (9) <br> $c_{1}$ | (10) $\Delta c_{1}$ | (11) $\Delta n_{1}$ | $\begin{gathered} (12) \\ \left(\Delta o_{1}\right)_{\text {orrr }} \end{gathered}$ | (13) | (14) $\Delta F_{\mathrm{K}}$ | (15) | (16) $\Delta F_{\mathrm{K}}^{\prime} / \Delta F_{\mathrm{K}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { (17) } \\ \frac{1}{2}\left(\Delta F_{\mathrm{H}}+\Delta F_{\mathrm{K}^{\prime}}\right) \end{gathered}$ | (18) <br> Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2454 | 11 | 1822 | 1470 |  | 1646 | 301 |  |  | 050 | 035 | 076 | 357 | 317 | 402 |  | 380 |  |
| 3229 | 13 | 2204 | 1825 | 7 | 2014 | 306 | 132 | 493 | 115 | 040 | 145 | 739 | ${ }_{5}^{672}$ | ${ }^{853}$ | 1154 | 796 556 |  |
| ${ }_{7439}^{692}$ | ${ }_{40}^{38}$ | 1940 | 1855 | ${ }_{7}$ | 1798 1504 1 | ${ }_{294}^{390}$ | $1 \begin{aligned} & 168 \\ & 130\end{aligned}$ | 410 | 148 | O50 | 186 060 060 | 475 | 502 | 638 |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{7476}$ | ${ }_{41}^{40}$ | 1814 | 1487 | 7 | ${ }_{1650}$ | 283 | 154 | 488 | 060 | 015 | ${ }^{0} 70$ |  |  |  |  | 170 |  |
| 9562 | 56 | 1628 | 1251 | 7 | 1440 | 395 | 214 | 389 | 130 | 005 | 134 | 163 | 98 | 124 | 0761 | 144 |  |
| 10307 | ${ }_{7} 61$ | 1742 | 1346 | 7 | 1544 | 3889 | 203 | 338 | 075 | 015 | 186 153 153 | 277 <br> 358 | 193 326 | 245 414 | 0 0 1 1564 | ${ }_{386}^{261}$ |  |
| 12235 | 73 | 1823 | 1479 | 5 | -1502 | ${ }^{388}$ | 208 | 441 | 148 000 | 007 | ${ }^{153}$ | 358 | ${ }^{26}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 13421 | 82 | 1470 | 1153 | 5 | 1312 | 366 | 170 | 462 | 175 | 035 | 201 | 000 | 000 | 000 |  | 000 |  |
| 13555 | 84 | 1682 | 1366 |  | 1524 | 308 | 132 | 466 | 090 | 040 | 120 | 217 | 213 | 270 | 1244 | 244 |  |
| 15335 | 99 | 1527 | 1195 | 4 | 1361 | 381 | 174 | 353 | 080 | 037 | 108 | 62 | 42 | 53 | 0855 | 58 |  |
| 16234 | 107 | 1608 | 1254 | 4 | 1431 | 336 | 146 | 380 | 053 | 042 | 085 | 143 | 101 | 128 | 0895 | 136 |  |
| 16673 | 113 | 2147 | 1759 | 3 | 1953 | 319 | 174 | 345 | 000 | 005 | 004 | - 682 | 606 <br> 607 <br> 57 | 770 | -1 129 | 726 | E |
| 18256 | 126 | 1837 | 1510 | 9 | 1674 | 308 | 153 | 452 | 080 | 020 | 095 | 372 | 357 | 453 | 1218 | 12 |  |
| 18262 | 127 | 1546 | 1230 | 2 | 1388 | 315 | 164 | 499 | 139 | 015 | 150 | ${ }^{868}$ | 77 | 988 | ${ }_{1}^{1} 210$ | 90 |  |
| 19994 | 140 | 12416 |  | 7 | ${ }_{2249}$ | 247 | 137 |  |  | 010 035 | ${ }_{086} 116$ |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{329}$ |  |
| ${ }_{2}^{20598}$ | 196 | 2805 | 2450 | 8 | ${ }_{2628}$ | 334 | 180 | ${ }_{373}$ | 042 | 007 | 047 | 1340 | 1297 | 1647 | 1 229 | 1494 | E |
| 26913 | 204 | 4060 | 3742 | 6 | 3901 | 410 | 260 | 287 | 038 | 000 | 038 | 2595 | 2589 | 3288 | 1267 | 2942 | E |
| 923 | 205 | 2950 | 2631 | 6 | 2790 | 367 | 190 | 318 | 032 | 015 | 043 | 485 | 1478 | 1877 | 1264 | 1681 | E |
| 29645 | ${ }_{253}^{252}$ | 1439 | ${ }_{1123}^{1213}$ | 7 | 1382 | 378 <br> 344 | 190 177 | 397 <br> 444 | ${ }_{127}^{125}$ | 020 | 140 138 | 86 | 600 | 760 |  | 81 |  |
| ${ }_{32923}$ | 278 | ${ }_{1667}$ | 1340 | ${ }_{5}^{4}$ | ${ }_{1504}$ | 445 | 197 | ${ }_{332}$ | 084 | 032 | 108 | 202 | 187 | ${ }_{237}$ | i 173 | 220 |  |
| ${ }_{33021}$ | 280 | 1592 | 1256 | 2 | 1424 | 390 | 199 | 338 | 073 | 017 | 086 | 127 | 103 | 131 | 1031 | 129 |  |
| 33256 | 285 | 1627 | 1275 | 5 | 1451 | 307 | 129 | 443 | 070 | 045 | 104 | 162 | 122 | 155 | 0957 | 158 |  |
| ${ }_{34411}^{33688}$ | ${ }_{293}^{288}$ | ${ }_{1672}^{226}$ | 1305 | ${ }_{5}^{4}$ | 1488 | ${ }_{389}^{295}$ | ${ }_{206}^{185}$ | ${ }_{363}^{456}$ | -095 | O10 | 105 | 207 | i52 | 193 | 0 ${ }^{0} \mathbf{9 3 2}$ | $\ddot{200}$ |  |
|  | 301 | 3036 | 2661 | 8 | 2848 | 348 | 169 | 352 | 042 | 025 | 061 | ${ }_{1} 1571$ | 1508 | ${ }^{1915}$ | ${ }^{1} 219$ | 1743 | E |
| 39587 | 332 | 3075 | 2779 | 10 | 2927 | 380 | 193 | 307 | 035 | 020 | 050 | 1610 | 1626 | 2065 | 1283 | 1838 |  |
| ${ }_{4}^{41330}$ | ${ }_{349}$ | 11634 | 1269 | 2 | 1452 | ${ }_{293}^{374}$ | 182 | 334 448 | 056 040 | 025 | 075 | 169 | 116 | 147 | 0870 | 158 |  |
| ${ }_{43318}$ | ${ }_{351}$ | ${ }_{1476}$ | 1154 | ${ }_{2}$ | ${ }_{1315}$ | ${ }_{313}^{23}$ | 175 | 435 | 070 | 000 | 070 | 000 | 000 | 000 |  | 000 |  |
| 43587 | 357 | 1866 | 1421 | 2 | 1644 | 382 | 200 | 331 | 061 | 012 | 070 | 401 | 268 | 340 | ${ }^{0} 848$ | 370 |  |
| 45067 | 361 | 1536 | 1184 | 2 | 1360 | 368 | 162 | 409 | 125 | 045 | 159 | 71 | 31 | 39 |  |  |  |
| 45504 | 363 | 1569 | 1228 | 2 | 1398 | ${ }^{342}$ | 169 | 389 | 070 | 022 | 086 | 104 | 75 | 95 | 0913 | 100 |  |
| ${ }_{48682}$ | 373 374 3 | $1 \begin{aligned} & 1563 \\ & 172\end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{1345}^{1266}$ | 4 | 1410 | ${ }_{3}^{334}$ | 1155 | ${ }_{371}^{376}$ | 045 074 | ${ }_{0} 032$ | 069 | -988 | 113 <br> 192 <br> 1 | 144 <br> 244 | 1 1 0 0 988 | ${ }_{246}^{121}$ |  |
| ${ }_{4993}$ | 388 | ${ }_{2266}$ | 1883 | 8 | ${ }_{2074}$ | 274 |  | 469 | 025 | 050 | ${ }_{063}$ |  |  |  |  | 514 |  |
| 50692 | 387 | 1777 | 1401 | 2 | 1589 | 376 | 184 | 306 | 030 | 025 | 048 | 312 | 248 | 315 | 1009 | 314 |  |
| 51530 | 393 | 1512 | 1204 | 1 | ${ }^{1358}$ | 348 | 134 | 390 | 080 | 060 | 125 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }_{55111}$ | 397 | 1760 | 1396 | 2 | 1578 | 374 330 | 198 | 381 | 022 | 010 | 030 | ${ }_{179}^{295}$ | 243 173 | ${ }_{220}^{309}$ | 1047 | 302 |  |
| 55130 59380 | ${ }_{425}^{403}$ | 17644 | ${ }_{1389}^{1326}$ | 5 | 1485 | ${ }_{320}^{330}$ | ${ }_{136}^{174}$ | 407 | -043 | (040 | -091 | 179 | 173 | 220 |  |  |  |
| 61421 | 437 | 1752 | 1424 | 8 | 1588 | 272 | 167 | 532 | 085 | 002 | 086 |  |  |  |  | 000 |  |
| 66011 | 453 | 1698 | 1401 | 4 | 1550 | 356 | 200 | 473 | 180 | 000 | 180 |  |  | 315 | 1932 0 0 | 274 |  |
| 67228 67827 | ${ }_{459}^{456}$ | ${ }_{1440}^{1626}$ | 1272 | 2 | 1449 | 408 368 | ${ }_{194}^{206}$ | ${ }_{390}^{402}$ | 150 105 | 020 010 | 113 | 161 000 | 119 000 | 151 000 | 0938 | 156 000 |  |
| 75332 | 502 | 2794 | 2468 | 7 | 2631 | 336 | 184 | 362 | 035 | 005 | 039 | 1329 | 1315 | 1670 | 1 256 | 1500 | E |
| 75528 | 505 | 1659 | 1307 | 3 | 1483 | 420 | 206 | 386 | 140 | 025 | 159 | 194 | 154 | 196 | 1010 | 195 |  |
| 76151 | 509 | 2865 | 2427 | 5 | 2646 | 411 | 237 | 341 | 092 | 000 | 092 | 1400 | 1274 | 1618 | 1156 | 1509 | E |
| 76572 78366 | [513 | ${ }_{2463}^{1498}$ | 2157 | 7 | 1346 2310 | 303 377 | 198 | ${ }_{311}^{504}$ | ${ }_{036}^{120}$ | 010 | ${ }_{044}$ | ${ }_{998}$ | 1004 | 1275 | i 278 | 1136 | E |
| 88889 | 539 | 2046 | ${ }^{1665}$ | 5 | 1856 | 418 | 182 | 386 | ${ }_{322}$ | 050 | 160 | $\stackrel{581}{123}$ | 512 160 | ${ }_{6}^{650}$ | ${ }^{1} 119$ | ${ }_{6}^{616}$ |  |
| 82543 | 550 | 1588 | 1313 | 3 | 1450 | 386 | 214 | 587 | 320 | 000 | 320 | 123 | 160 | 203 | 1650 | 163 |  |
| 86728 | 577 | 1708 | 1356 | ${ }_{3}^{6}$ | ${ }_{1632}^{1532}$ | 415 | 235 | ${ }_{4} 385$ | 138 | 000 | 138 | 243 | 203 | 258 | 1062 | 250 |  |
| 88737 | 584 | ${ }_{2387}$ | 2022 | 9 | ${ }_{2204}$ | 361 | 184 | 440 | 148 | 017 | 161 |  | $\stackrel{8}{86}$ | i104 | i 197 | 1013 | E |
| 88986 | 586 | 1644 | 1296 | 2 | 1470 | 396 | 208 | 368 | 110 | 012 | 119 | 179 | 143 | 182 | 1017 | 180 |  |
| 89010 | 587 | 1628 | 1269 | 5 | 1448 | 405 | 228 | 364 | 115 | 000 | 115 | 163 | 116 | 147 | 0902 | 155 |  |
| 89125 | 599 | 1762 | 1391 |  |  | ${ }_{3}^{336}$ |  | 352 | 025 | 050 | 063 | 297 | ${ }^{238}$ | 302 | 017 |  |  |
| ${ }_{91752}^{89744}$ | 609 | 1441 | ${ }_{1332}^{1122}$ | 2 | ${ }_{1511}$ | 289 | ${ }_{136}^{186}$ | 4 | ${ }_{065}^{120}$ | (000 | ${ }_{091}^{120}$ |  | 000 |  |  | 000 091 |  |
| 93765 | 616 | 2329 | 1943 | 2 | ${ }_{2136}$ | 264 | 132 | 580 | 110 | 037 | ${ }^{138}$ |  |  |  |  | 466 |  |
| 95128 | 620 | 1638 | 1308 | 5 | 1473 | 392 | 203 | 337 | 075 | 015 | 086 | 173 | 155 | 197 | 1139 | 185 |  |
| ${ }_{95241}^{95216}$ | ${ }_{622}^{621}$ | ${ }_{1458}^{2060}$ | 11674 | 2 | ${ }_{1281}^{1867}$ | 288 378 | 155 170 | 436 376 | 020 101 | 015 040 | -031 | 000 |  |  |  | 447 |  |
| 97334 | 634 | ${ }_{3342}^{142}$ | 3003 | 6 | 3172 | 392 | 210 | 311 | 050 | 007 | 055 | 1877 | 1850 | 2350 | i 2352 | 2114 | E |
| 99333 100180 | 646 650 | ${ }_{1856}^{1606}$ | ${ }_{1523}^{1268}$ | ${ }_{3}^{3}$ | 1437 1690 | ${ }_{367}^{302}$ | ${ }_{188}^{151}$ | ${ }_{332}^{501}$ | ${ }_{047}^{115}$ | 020 015 | ${ }_{059}^{130}$ | 141 | 115 | 146 | 1035 | 144 |  |
|  |  |  | 1707 |  |  | 302 | 169 | 419 | 035 | 000 | 035 | 607 | 554 | 704 |  | 656 |  |
| 101606 | 656 | 1605 | 1229 | 3 | 1417 | 310 | 125 | 400 | 030 | 050 | 068 | 140 | ${ }^{76}$ | 96 | 0686 | 118 |  |
| 106516 | ${ }_{681}^{666}$ | ${ }_{2145}^{1686}$ | 17 | ${ }^{8}$ | +1953 | ${ }_{317}^{354}$ | 118 | ${ }_{333}^{412}$ | ${ }_{0}^{112}$ | O07 | 117 045 | 221 680 | 187 612 | 237 777 | 1072 11143 | ${ }_{728}^{229}$ |  |
| 107213 | 688 | 1428 | 1148 | 5 | 1288 | 336 | 192 | 451 | 125 | 000 | 125 | 000 | 000 | 000 |  | 000 |  |


| HD | $\left\lvert\, \begin{gathered} (2) \\ \begin{array}{c} \text { s-p } \\ \text { No. } \end{array} . \end{gathered}\right.$ |  | (4) | (5) | ${ }^{(6)}$ | (7) | (8) <br> $m_{1}$ |  | ${ }^{(10)}$ | (11) $\Delta m_{2}$ | $\stackrel{(12)}{\left(\Delta 0_{2}\right)_{\text {mer }}}$ | ${ }^{(13)}$ | (14) $\Delta P_{K}$ | ${ }^{(15)}$ | (16) $\Delta \nabla_{\mathrm{K}}^{\prime} / \Delta F_{\mathrm{H}}$ | $\begin{gathered} (17) \\ \frac{17}{2}\left(\Delta T_{\mathrm{H}^{2}}+\Delta \Delta_{\mathrm{K}}{ }^{\prime}\right) \end{gathered}$ | (18) <br> Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 107705 | 892 | 1780 | 1386 |  | ${ }^{1573}$ | 352 |  | 370 | 065 | 007 | ${ }^{070}$ | 295 | ${ }^{233}$ | ${ }^{296}$ | 003 | ${ }^{298}$ |  |
| 1093588 | ${ }_{712}^{706}$ | ${ }_{1}^{1722}$ | ${ }_{137}^{137} 1$ | $\frac{2}{5}$ | cister | (385 | $\begin{aligned} & 182 \\ & \substack{182 \\ 150 \\ 102} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2286 \\ 2808 \\ 280 \end{gathered}$ | 030 | 030 | ${ }_{\text {a }}^{052}$ | - | ${ }^{221}$ | ${ }_{227}^{281}$ | ${ }_{1}^{1} 188$ | ${ }_{209}$ |  |
| 11119989 | -735 | ${ }^{21435}$ | ${ }_{2104}^{1288}$ | $\frac{1}{9}$ | ¢ | ${ }_{304}^{30}$ | ${ }_{152}^{184}$ | ${ }_{388}^{482}$ | ${ }_{0} 175$ | ${ }^{032}$ | ${ }_{0}^{199}$ | 9\%8 | ${ }^{\text {9, }} 1$ |  | i 235 | 9่3 | E |
| ${ }_{114758}$ |  | ${ }_{324}^{2079}$ | ${ }_{2865}^{176}$ | 8 | 3042 | ${ }_{376}^{372}$ | 191 | ${ }_{383}^{386}$ | ${ }_{107}^{058}$ | ${ }_{010} 015$ | ${ }^{069}$ | - 1714 | ${ }_{\text {cose }} 808$ | ${ }_{279}^{714}$ | ${ }_{1}^{1257}$ | - ${ }_{\text {c983 }}^{698}$ | ${ }_{E}^{E}$ |
| (1177768 | ${ }_{7}^{788}$ | ${ }^{1802}$ | 12838 | ${ }_{7}^{6}$ | (1448 | ${ }_{\text {cki }}$ | $\underset{\substack{233 \\ 148}}{146}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 388 \\ & \hline 848 \\ & 484 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }^{1225}$ | -200 | $\underset{\substack{135 \\ 045}}{ }$ | 137 | ${ }^{133}$ | ${ }^{169}$ | ${ }_{1} 234$ | 153 208 208 |  |
| 120068 | ${ }_{784}$ | 1883 | 1188 | 4 | 1336 | 404 | 192 | 388 | 136 | 032 | 180 | 000 | 000 | 000 |  | 000 |  |
| 122136 <br> 121560 <br> 1 | 778 | ${ }_{1}^{1814}$ | ${ }_{12888}^{180}$ | 4 | 1784 | ${ }_{335}^{319}$ | 179 | ${ }_{330}^{439}$ | 088 | ${ }_{035}^{000}$ | -088 | 502 149 | ${ }_{135}^{488}$ | - | ${ }_{1}^{1} 1138$ | 536 <br> 180 <br> 180 |  |
| - | ${ }_{773}^{773}$ | ${ }^{21210}$ | ${ }^{17178} 1$ | ${ }_{4}^{4}$ | - 11944 | 2060 | ${ }_{1}^{189} 1$ |  | ${ }_{125}^{120}$ | - | (120 | ооо | øо́o | \%ö |  | ${ }^{324}$ |  |
| 124850 | 785 | 2241 | 1848 | 4 | 2044 | ${ }_{341}$ | 183 | 448 | 128 | 030 | 150 | 776 | 695 | ${ }_{883}$ | ${ }_{1} \mathrm{i} 3 \mathrm{~s} 8$ | 830 |  |
| 12511 126053 | ${ }_{7}^{788}$ | ${ }^{2804}$ | ${ }_{122}^{224}$ |  | ${ }_{12414}^{2485}$ | 255 | ${ }_{20}^{124}$ | ${ }_{269}^{522}$ | 030 | ${ }_{022}^{025}$ | - 048 | 408 | 324 | $4 \mathrm{4i}$ | i 0007 | ${ }_{\substack{14 \\ 410 \\ 110}}$ |  |
|  | $\xrightarrow{796}$ | 2002 | (1744 | 4 | $\substack{1883 \\ 1704}$ | - |  | cisk | 015 | (000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 127986 | 808 | (1737) | (1436) | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (12187 | 810 | ${ }_{18189}^{2189}$ | ${ }_{1295}^{165}$ | 6 | ${ }_{1722}^{1902}$ | ${ }_{258}^{258}$ | ${ }_{139}^{135}$ | ${ }_{518}^{49}$ | ${ }_{035}^{000}$ | ${ }_{030}^{035}$ | ${ }_{057}^{026}$ |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{102}^{102}$ |  |
|  |  |  | (12382 | + | (14958 | 第35 | $\xrightarrow{176}$ | cis |  | ${ }_{0}^{032}$ | ii4 | ${ }_{\substack{203 \\ 85}}$ | ${ }^{169}$ | ${ }_{94}^{2 i 5}$ | ${ }_{\text {i }}{ }_{1} 065$ | ${ }_{90}{ }^{209}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (137520 |  |  |  | ${ }_{6}^{1}$ | 隹1226 | cos | $\begin{gathered} 214 \\ \substack{164 \\ 199 \\ \hline} \end{gathered}$ | - 4 | $\begin{gathered} 183 \\ \hline 388 \end{gathered}$ | - 006 | (185 | ${ }_{3}^{000}$ |  |  |  | - |  |
| 1429383 <br> 14280 <br> 1 | ${ }_{8}^{855}$ | ${ }^{1584}$ | ${ }_{132}^{1210}$ | - | (13771302 <br> 102 | - | ${ }_{153}^{151}$ | ${ }_{303}^{323}$ | ${ }_{0} 053$ | ${ }^{065}$ | ${ }_{0} 097$ | - 717 | $\begin{gathered} 295 \\ 169 \\ 169 \end{gathered}$ | ${ }_{2} 72$ | - | ${ }^{76}$ |  |
| ${ }^{1243761}$ | ${ }_{884}^{880}$ | ${ }^{2599}$ | ${ }_{2252}^{1224}$ | ${ }^{8}$ | ${ }_{\text {1426 }}^{1426}$ | ${ }_{3}^{394}$ | ${ }_{174}^{183}$ | ${ }_{329}^{322}$ |  | ${ }_{035} 03$ | ${ }^{088}$ |  |  |  |  | 130 | E |
|  |  | ${ }_{\substack{254 \\ 1538 \\ 153}}$ | ${ }_{122}^{2220}$ | ${ }^{8}$ | $\substack{2414 \\ 1378}_{248}$ |  | $\xrightarrow{1185}$ | cis | cise | - | (164 | $\begin{gathered} 119 \\ 212 \\ 212 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \substack{1097 \\ \\ 153} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} 838 \\ 856 \\ 194 \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | (tar | (257 |  |
| ${ }_{157856}$ | ${ }^{902}$ | ${ }_{1285}$ | ${ }_{1588}$ |  | ${ }_{1}^{14898}$ | ${ }_{294}^{49}$ | ${ }_{154}$ | ${ }_{498}$ | 095 | 005 | 108 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\underset{\substack{159332 \\ 161239}}{ }$ | ${ }_{923}^{92}$ | 1279 | 1171 | 5 | 1325 <br> 1376 |  |  | 479 |  |  | 158 | ${ }_{89}^{000}$ | -000 | ${ }_{58}^{000}$ | ${ }_{0} 665$ | ${ }_{74}^{000}$ |  |
| $\underset{\substack{16282888 \\ 16598}}{ }$ | 929 | ${ }^{1549}$ | ${ }_{1259}^{1125}$ | ${ }_{8}^{1}$ | -1344 | ${ }_{361}^{352}$ | 1888 | ${ }_{326}^{37}$ | ${ }_{0}^{066}$ | ${ }_{0}^{060}$ | ${ }_{0}^{074}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 167588 | 947 | 1586 | 1238 | 8 | ${ }_{142}^{1402}$ | 376 | ${ }_{125}$ | 357 | ${ }_{080} 0$ | 055 | 121 | 101 | ${ }_{85}$ | ${ }_{108}$ | ${ }^{0} 686$ | 104 |  |
| 1788995 | 1977 | ${ }_{2181}^{1901}$ | ${ }_{1789}^{1747}$ | ${ }_{8}$ | (1724 | 307 | ${ }_{125}^{126}$ | 479 |  |  | 105 | 436 |  |  | 1147 | 488 |  |
| ${ }_{\substack{1828807 \\ 187013}}^{1829}$ | 1205 | $\xrightarrow{18335}$ |  | 8 | citict | S339 | - 185 | ${ }_{\substack{352}}^{355}$ | ${ }_{078}^{028}$ | ${ }_{0} 022$ | ${ }^{044}$ | 170 | 139 | 178 | - ${ }^{1935}$ | 712 |  |
| 187891 | 1230 | 1602 | 1253 | 8 | ${ }_{1428}$ | 356 | 188 | ${ }_{404}$ | 107 | 00 | 115 | 137 | 100 | 127 | 0927 | 132 |  |
| (187923 | 1031 | ${ }_{2238}^{1838}$ | ${ }_{12936}^{1298}$ | 7 | 1464 <br> 2080 | ${ }_{389}^{424}$ | 190 |  |  |  | ${ }_{069}^{127}$ |  |  |  | 1083 | 172 | E |
| - | ${ }_{\text {lo }}^{1053}$ | ${ }_{\text {lex }}^{2026}$ | ${ }^{189} 18$ | ${ }_{7}^{8}$ | 18992 | $\underset{\substack{338 \\ 302}}{ }$ | -189 | ${ }_{319}^{342}$ | ${ }_{0}^{035}$ | ${ }_{025}^{025}$ | - ${ }_{0}^{033} \mathbf{0 . 5 4}$ | 561 173 17 | ${ }^{539}$ | cien | 1219 | (183 |  |
| 129980 | 12081 | 1777 | 1381 | 7 | 1579 | ${ }_{406}$ | 209 | ${ }_{397}$ | 147 | 025 | 158 | 312 | ${ }_{228}$ | ${ }_{290}$ |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{2007780}$ | ${ }^{1083}$ | ${ }^{1804} 3$ | ${ }_{21298}^{1296}$ | 9 | 1434 | ${ }_{3}^{350}$ | 170 | ${ }_{305}^{421}$ |  | ${ }_{020}^{025}$ |  | 139 1824 | ${ }_{181}^{189}$ | -143 | ${ }_{1}^{1014}$ | ${ }^{240}$ | E |
| coterent | ${ }_{1122}^{112}$ | ${ }_{\substack{1808 \\ 2585}}^{\substack{585}}$ | ${ }_{\text {2123 }}^{\substack{1260 \\ 123}}$ | ${ }_{8}^{8}$ | ${ }_{\substack{1434 \\ 2365 \\ 142}}^{1}$ | cos | $\substack{108 \\ 159 \\ 159}$ | cis | ${ }^{065}$ | ${ }^{0055}$ | ${ }_{1}^{114} \mathbf{0 2 3}$ |  |  | ${ }^{136}$ |  | ${ }_{456}^{140}$ |  |
|  | 1143 | 1585 | 1240 | 7 |  | 302 | 148 | 409 | 020 | 022 | ${ }^{036}$ | i20 | ${ }^{3}$ | iio | $0^{0} 9$ | 115 |  |
| ${ }_{212128754}^{2124}$ | ${ }_{1144}^{114}$ | 1750 | ${ }_{1189}^{1187}$ | 8 | ${ }_{\substack{1310 \\ 134 \\ \hline}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 900 |  |
| - | ${ }_{\text {1158 }}^{1158}$ | ${ }_{15150}^{159}$ | ${ }_{12}^{1243}$ | 7 |  | - 3 | ${ }_{149}^{125}$ | ${ }_{433}^{432}$ | ${ }_{077} 08$ | ${ }^{025}$ | (096 | ${ }_{95}{ }^{49}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 90 \\ & { }_{3}^{90} \\ & 32 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{41}^{124}$ | -1213 | ${ }^{104}$ |  |
| 216756 | 1161 | 1943 | 1629 | 7 | 1788 | 273 | ${ }_{138}$ | 530 | 080 | 030 | 102 |  |  |  |  | 226 |  |
| ${ }_{22246535 .}^{22185}$ | ${ }_{1219}^{12105}$ | ${ }_{2279}^{179}$ | ${ }_{1852}^{1348}$ | 1 | ${ }_{2068}^{1528}$ | ${ }_{347}^{350}$ | ${ }_{176}^{182}$ | ${ }_{348}^{309}$ | ${ }_{0}^{000}$ | ${ }_{0} 035$ |  |  |  |  |  | 244 |  |
| ${ }_{2249350}^{248350}$ |  | ${ }^{21898}$ | 11835 <br> 1830 | 1 | 2006 | $\begin{aligned} & 347 \\ & \hline 437 \\ & 431 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 176 \\ & 190 \\ & \hline 196 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 348 \\ & 308 \\ & 208 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.35 \\ & 0.350 \\ & 000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 017 \\ & 050 \\ & 050 \end{aligned}$ | (0488 | $\begin{aligned} & 808 \\ & 523 \\ & 523 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 8889 \\ & 8808 \\ & \hline 008 \end{aligned}$ | - | ${ }_{\text {cki }}^{\substack{791}}$ | ${ }_{\text {E }}{ }^{\text {E }}$ |

estimated emission intensities (Wilson 1966). With due regard for their lower accuracy, it is evident that the estimates have, for the most part, placed the stars in the proper order of their chromospheric emission strengths. Conversely, this general agreement may also be considered evidence that the adopted procedure is a successful method of measuring the degree of chromospheric activity.

Points representing other stars overlap somewhat with those for which Ca II emission was previously seen, and they extend down to a lower boundary which is quite sharply defined. Stars occupying positions on the lower boundary are those with minimum chromospheric emission, but it is not clear whether the minimum is zero or whether it involves some small residual chromospheric activity. If the latter is true, it would appear


Fig. 1.-Mean instrumental H-K flux plotted against $b-y$ for all stars. Open circles are stars for which emission was seen on $10 \AA \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ plates, and numbers beside them are eye-estimated emission intensities.
that the residual chromospheric radiation is surprisingly constant in amount. It should be mentioned here that the probable errors of nearly all the plotted points are of the order of their diameters (see Appendix, d).

The lower boundary in Figure 1 is horizontal for $0.31<(b-y)<0.40$ but rises on the left and, to a lesser degree, on the right. The rise on the left is presumably due to the weakening and narrowing of the photospheric H and K absorption lines as the surface temperature increases, so that more and more of the inner wings of the lines are included in the $1 \AA$ band width. It is likely, however, that the slower rise toward the right has a different cause. One must remember that the monitor windows include a number of metallic lines and that the flux through these windows is an integration of all the features within them. The metallic lines strengthen toward lower surface temperature, thus producing a reduction in the monitor flux and a corresponding apparent increase in the central $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{K}$ intensities. Both effects just mentioned are either negligible or cancel each other in the central portion of Figure 1.

The upper boundary decreases from the left until $b-y \approx 0.290$, where it suddenly rises. This rise might properly be termed the "chromospheric bulge" and must mark the
onset of strong chromospheric emission. Its location agrees closely with a previous determination and with the place where large stellar rotation terminates (Wilson 1966). With this interpretation, stars to the left of $b-y=0.290$ do not have strong chromospheric emissions. Weak emissions can and do occur in this region, however, as evidenced by the fact that they have been observed at high dispersion in the spectrum of Procyon (Kraft and Edmonds 1959). This star lies on the lower boundary of the distribution of points with $b-y<0.290$.

Figure 1 is the basis of the remaining entries of Table 1 . The means of $F_{\mathrm{H}}$ and $F_{\mathrm{K}}$ were computed for the thirteen lowermost stars of Figure 1, lying between $b-y=0.300$ and $b-y=0.404$, and it is assumed that these means represent the residual photospheric fluxes for stars in this $b-y$ range, essentially undisturbed by chromospheric emissions. Quantities $\Delta F_{\mathrm{H}}$ and $\Delta F_{\mathrm{K}}$ for other stars with $b-y>0.300$ were then obtained by subtracting these means from their measured fluxes and are entered in columns (13) and (14). It is thus implicitly assumed that the differential fluxes, $\Delta F_{\mathrm{H}}$ and $\Delta F_{\mathrm{K}}$, are the chromospheric contributions to the total fluxes in the H - and K-lines. In column (15), $\Delta F^{\prime}{ }_{\mathrm{K}}$ is found by multiplying $\Delta F_{\mathrm{K}}$ by a factor to be described later, and the significance of columns (16) and (17) is obvious. In the remarks (col. [18]), an E signifies that $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{K}$ emission was seen on $10 \AA \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$ spectrograms.

For $b-y<0.290$, the measured flux is a fairly rapidly varying function of $b-y$. Entries in column (17) for stars in this region were obtained by subtracting from the measures the appropriate value on the lower boundary shown in Figure 1.

$$
\text { b) Stars with } b-y<0.290
$$

For $b-y<0.290$ the vertical scatter represents a range of about 30 per cent in the H-K flux, and the question arises whether this is due to chromospheric effects. When the $\Delta F$ 's for these stars are plotted against $\Delta m_{1}$, or against $\left(\Delta c_{1}\right)_{\text {corr }}$, there are no apparent trends in either case, and both plots appear to show only random scatter. It is possible that the vertical width of the distribution in this region is due in part to an intrinsic scatter among the relatively weak chromospheric emissions in these stars. A test could be made by taking well-exposed high-dispersion spectrograms of some of these objects near the upper boundary to see if they show stronger emission than does Procyon.

If the mean $H-K$ fluxes are plotted, rather than the $\Delta F^{\prime} s$, a curious result is obtained, as shown in Figure 2. In Figure 2, $a$, where the fluxes are plotted against $\Delta m_{1}$, there is no correlation. But in Figure 2, $b$, where the abscissae are $\left(\Delta c_{1}\right)_{\text {corr }}$, the stars appear to divide into two groups, the lower of which shows a remarkably tight correlation between the two parameters in the sense that flux diminishes with $\left(\Delta c_{1}\right)_{\text {corr }}$. The upper group, averaging about 30 per cent higher in H-K flux, shows a similar relationship (the dashed lines in Fig. 2, $b$, are parallel) but a much looser correlation. By picking out corresponding points in Figures 2, $a$, and 2, $b$, it is easily verified that the lack of correlation with $\Delta m_{1}$ holds within the two groups as well as for the whole collection of points. Metallic content, therefore, cannot be responsible for the character of Figure 2, $b$.

However, the sense of the correlation in Figure 2, $b$, could be accounted for by variation of the photospheric $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{K}$ absorption lines with luminosity. In these stars the Sr II line, 4077, increases in strength with absolute magnitude, and the Ca II lines should behave similarly. For given $b-y$, therefore, the H-K absorption lines should strengthen with increasing $\Delta c$, thus leading to an apparent variation of central flux with luminosity, as shown in Figure 2, $b$.

The bifurcation in Figure 2, b, could be due to a considerable difference in calcium abundance between the two groups of stars. Since the $m_{1}$ values for the two groups are much the same, this would imply that the abundance of calcium varies independently of that of the other metals, unless the $m_{1}$ 's are seriously perturbed by microturbulence. In any event, it is worth noting that the upper group in Figure 2, $b$, may represent the later-type analogues of the Am stars.


Fig. 2.-For stars with $b-y \leq 0.29$. (a) Mean H-K flux plotted against $\Delta m_{1}$. (b) Mean H-K flux plotted against $\left(\Delta c_{1}\right)_{\text {corr }}$.


Fig. 3a.-Mean H-K flux versus $\Delta m_{1}$ for stars with $b-y \geq 0.30$
c) Stars with $b-y>0.300$

For these stars, the differential fluxes are obtained by subtracting the same quantities from the measured fluxes for all objects. Hence it is immaterial whether diagrams are constructed from the fluxes themselves or from the differentials. Figure $3 a$ is a plot of mean $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{K}$ flux against $\Delta m_{1}$. It is evident that the strong emissions nearly all occur in stars with smaller $\Delta m_{1}$. To some extent, this effect must merely reflect the fact that there are more stars with small $\Delta m_{1}$, i.e., with nearly solar metal content, than with large $\Delta m_{1}$. A major part of the effect is probably real, however, and presumably indicates that in the solar neighborhood the younger stars tend to have larger metal contents than the older ones. This is because in Figure $3 b$ the emission-line stars have small $\Delta c_{1}$ also. In the band of points at the bottom of the diagram, no trend is discernible. The vertical width of this band, some 300 flux units or so, therefore represents a real intrinsic spread of chromospheric emission common to all $\Delta m_{1}$ values. The density of points is higher in the left-hand half of the band for the reason noted above.

A plot of mean H-K flux against $\left(\Delta c_{1}\right)_{\text {corr }}$ is shown in Figure 3b. If two or three points are ignored, the bulk of the distribution resembles a hook, with a long shank containing the strong emission objects on the left, a band at the bottom with a slight but definite


Fig. 3b.-Mean H-K flux versus $\left(\Delta c_{1}\right)_{\text {corr }}$ for stars with $b-y \geq 0.30$
downward slope to the right, and a rise on the right-hand side. Unlike $\Delta m_{1},\left(\Delta c_{1}\right)_{\text {corr }}$ varies with time for each star; Figure $3 b$ must therefore contain information on the secular changes in chromospheric emission for stars in this part of the H-R plane. The chromospheric evolution suggested by Figure $3 b$ is this: A star arrives in equilibrium on the main sequence with strong chromospheric emission such that its representative point lies somewhere in the left-hand vertical portion of the figure. With the passage of time, the representative point descends until it lies within the band at the bottom. The trajectory of a point during this initial descent is vertical. The precise value of the flux at which the point comes to rest within the lower band must depend upon parameters outside the framework in which we are working. Figure $3 a$ shows, for instance, that it does not depend on metal content. Conceivably, this residual flux may be a function of the ratio of rotational energy to magnetic energy stored in the star upon arrival at equilibrium on the zero-age main sequence.

Next follows a slow and rather small decline in chromospheric flux as the star evolves away from the ZAMS, so that the minimum observed H-K fluxes occur among the moderately evolved stars. Finally, for $\left(\Delta c_{1}\right)_{\text {oorr }}$ in the vicinity of $0.14-0.18$, the flux begins to rise again. A reasonable interpretation of this rise is that it marks the beginning of the rejuvenation of surface magnetic fields, which must be postulated to account for the universal presence of chromospheric emission in the giant region of the $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{R}$ plane, starting at spectral type GO.

## d) Doublet Ratio for Chromospheric Emission

The ratio of the emission strengths of the H and K chromospheric components is of importance in fixing the physical circumstances under which the emission occurs, in particular the optical thickness of the gas masses involved. Table 1 contains information on this topic, which we now evaluate for stars with $b-y \geq 0.300$.

It is necessary first to decide on the true relative values of flux units at the wavelengths of the H - and K -lines. For the thirteen stars lying on the lower boundary of Figure 1, the means of the $F_{\mathrm{K}}$ and $F_{\mathrm{H}}$ are 1153 and 1465, respectively, and, since fifty-three observations contribute to these numbers, they should be firmly established. We assume that these are the residual fluxes in the photospheric absorption lines of the stars under consideration. These lines are very strong and heavily saturated; one would expect, therefore, that the ratio of the fluxes in their central regions should not differ greatly from unity. The measured ratio is, however, $F_{\mathrm{H}} / F_{\mathrm{K}}=1465 / 1153=1.27$, and it must be supposed, therefore, that most of the difference between this number and unity has been introduced in the instrumentation. That this is indeed the case is borne out by the ratio measured for the standard lamp, which is always very close to $F_{\mathrm{H}} / F_{\mathrm{K}}=1.22$. For a color temperature of $2800^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$, this ratio should be 1.07 . Unfortunately, the standard lamp cannot be compared directly to the stars for two reasons. In the first place, light from the lamp passes through an additional filter before entering the spectrograph, and this could introduce some, though probably not much, change in the measured ratio. The more fundamental reason is that the lamp provides a true continuous spectrum, whereas the stars do not. During stellar observation, the monitor channel does not measure the true stellar background because the monitor windows contain many absorption lines and, consequently, register fewer quanta than they would if the lines could be removed while the effective temperature of the star remained constant. Just as an example, typical values for the monitor counts, for $10^{4}$ in the scan channel, are 127000 for the K -line and 100000 for H . Then $F_{\mathrm{H}}=10^{4} / 10^{5}$ and $F_{\mathrm{K}}=10^{4} / 1.27 \times 10^{5}$, which lead to $F_{\mathrm{H}} / F_{\mathrm{K}}=$ 1.27. Suppose that about 20 per cent more light would be received in the monitor channel if there were no stellar absorption lines. The monitor counts would then be $1.20 \times 10^{5}$ and $1.47 \times 10^{5}$ for H and K , respectively, and $F_{\mathrm{H}} / F_{\mathrm{K}}$ would be 1.22 .

I do not see how the existing data can be used to evaluate accurately the flux ratio, $F_{\mathrm{H}} / F_{\mathrm{K}}$, for the residual photospheric light in the H - and K -lines. On the other hand, the
above arguments show that it is quite reasonable to assume this ratio to be unity and that, in fact, it is probably close to this value. Accordingly, the $\Delta F_{\mathrm{K}}$ of column (14) of Table 1 have been multiplied by 1.27 to yield the $\Delta F_{\text {к }}^{\prime}$ of column (15). In this way the instrumental effects have been largely eliminated, and the $\Delta F_{\mathrm{H}}$ of column (13) should be in very nearly the same units as the $\Delta F_{\text {K }}^{\prime}$ of column (15). Finally, the ratios $\Delta F^{\prime}{ }_{K} / \Delta F_{\text {II }}$ are in column (16), and the mean of the differential fluxes are in column (17).

The stars in Table 1 with $(b-y) \geq 0.300$ and for which there are three or more observations have been divided into four groups according to their values of $\frac{1}{2}\left(\Delta F_{\mathrm{H}}+\Delta F_{\mathrm{K}}^{\prime}\right)$. This quantity has the ranges $0-200,201-400,401-800$, and $>801$ for the four groups. Means of $\Delta F_{\text {K }}^{\prime} / \Delta F_{\text {Н }}$ and of $\frac{1}{2}\left(\Delta F_{\mathrm{H}}+\Delta F_{\text {K }}^{\prime}\right)$ were formed and are plotted in Figure 4, where the numbers beside each point are the numbers of stars included in the means.

The simplest interpretation of Figure 4 is this: Weak chromospheric emission is produced in gas masses of moderate, though not extreme, optical thickness, but as the total emission increases, the optical thickness diminishes until, for the stronger lines, it is sufficiently small for the doublet ratio in Ca II to be approximately 1.25 . It is of course


Fig. 4.-Mean chromospheric flux versus mean doublet ratio for groups of stars with $b-y \geq 0.30$ and three or more observations Numbers beside points are numbers of stars included in means.
quite possible that this interpretation is oversimplified and that the conditions of emission are complicated to a degree sufficient to render it invalid. Nevertheless, future theoretical investigations should, to be successful, provide a natural explanation for the results shown in Figure 4.

Finally, as to variability of chromospheric emission, the only statement which can be made is that during the first year of this program there has been no certain evidence of change in any of the observed stars. On the other hand, the time-base line is less than a year for many of them, and there is hope that the accuracy of observation can be improved. It is my intention to continue these observations, concentrating mostly on the stars with stronger chromospheric emissions, for an indefinite period in the future until variations of undoubted reality are found, or until it becomes clear that the technique is inadequate for the purpose.

In this connection a few words concerning the necessary accuracy are in order. Returning to Figure 1, the mean H-K flux for the stars on the lower boundary is about 1300 units, and this represents the constant non-variable portion of the flux for all stars. For stars in the middle of the lower band, the mean flux is about 1500 units. One per cent accuracy in the measurements of the total flux in these objects, therefore, represents 7.5 per cent precision in the measurement of the chromospheric component, which is much
less favorable. About all that can be said is that the accuracy should be as great as possible, and that the higher it is, the brighter the prospect of successfully pushing the search for chromospheric variability toward the lower boundary of the distribution in Figure 1.

My thanks are due to J. B. Oke and E. W. Dennison for the design and construction of the scanner, and to the latter and his associates for prompt and efficient aid in overcoming occasional electronic difficulties. A. H. Vaughan, Jr., helpfully provided instruction in the operation of the equipment at the beginning of the program. The antiquated nature of the 100 -inch telescope makes work of the kind described here physically exhausting for both the observer and the night assistant, especially when, as was frequently the case, thirty to forty stars were observed in the course of a night's work. Therefore, sincere thanks are offered to E. Hancock, A. Olmsted, H. Schaefer, and B. Traxler, who provided patient and efficient assistance at the telescope. Lastly, the tedious task of reducing the observations has been performed with great care by M. R. Riley, and Miss Louise Lowen provided invaluable assistance at the Computing Center.

## APPENDIX

## a) Coudé Scanner

Since the coude scanner has not been described in detail in the literature, it is necessary, in the interest of clarity, to indicate here a few of its essential features.

The optical portion of the apparatus is mounted on the plate base of the 9 -foot camera and consists of two parts. The first part provides two windows, about $25 \AA$ wide and separated by about $250 \AA$, which intercept two $25 \AA$ sections of the stellar spectrum. Light from these two regions is combined optically and fed into a refrigerated photomultiplier which, with its associated electronics, forms the monitor channel. The second, or scanning, section is more complicated. A prism is mounted so that one end can be moved up or down along a portion of the spectrum between the two monitor bands, while the other end projects the spectrum onto a scanning slit behind which is a second refrigerated photomultiplier. The prism is rotated by a lever arm actuated by a micrometer screw, which is in turn driven by a stepping motor. Attached to the stepping motor is a digital shaft encoder that provides a readout of the prism position at the observing station. One readout unit corresponds to approximately $0.011 \AA$ in the spectrum. As long as the connection between the encoder and the stepping motor is unchanged, setting a given fixed wavelength to a specified readout insures that the same range of wavelengths will always appear accurately in the monitor windows.

The electronic part of the equipment counts photon pulses in both channels to any desired preset total in either one. When this total is reached, the count ceases and the results, including the HD number of the star and the scan-prism readout, are printed on tape. No means of recording the integration time is provided; therefore, a small manually operated electric clock is used to obtain these intervals which are needed to correct the pulse counts for dark current. Dark currents are found from 20 to 30 samplings of 100 -sec intervals in both channels during a run, and the mean values are then used in all reductions.

The most suitable photomultipliers available to me when this work was initiated were an ITT FW 130 for the scan channel and an RCA 7102 for the monitor, with S20 and S1 cathodes, respectively. Since the S 1 has strong red sensitivity, filters are required to remove unwanted first-order radiation. Corning 9788 filters are used for this purpose. One is placed inside the spectrograph in the monitor beam, a second is in front of the entrance slit during all measurements, and a third is mounted in front of the aperture in the standard-lamp box. Calculation indicates that effects of red radiation should be reduced to less than 1 per cent of the total in both channels for all observations.

## b) Wavelength Calibration

An accurate wavelength calibration, essential for the work under discussion, is obtained by scanning the Fe r $3930 \AA$ Aine produced in a hollow cathode tube. At the beginning of each run this line is located and the grating adjusted until the line center is within about 20 readout units of a standard value. As noted above, this procedure insures that the same wavelength intervals always appear within the monitor windows, to an accuracy of the order of $0.2 \AA$.

The position of $\lambda 3930$ is determinable to about $0.02 \AA$ by recording a scan on a Brown recorder. Once $\lambda 3930$ has been located, the scale of the apparatus yields the readouts for undisplaced H - and K -lines. Computer-plotted curves, available for all stars on the program, permit the Doppler correction, in readout units, to be read to the nearest unit for every day of the year. For any given star and date these corrections, added to the undisplaced H and K values, allow the scanning slit to be placed accurately at the centers of the star's H - and K-lines. A correction curve includes, of course, the catalogue value of the star's radial velocity as well as the component of the Earth's motion. Since all stars on the program are relatively bright and have radial velocities of qualities $a$ or $b$ in Wilson's Catalogue (1953), the setting error due to uncertainty in the radial velocity should seldom exceed $0.04-0.05 \AA$.

Every effort is made to keep the wavelength errors to within $0.05 \AA$, and in any event less than $0.1 \AA$. Unfortunately, the equipment is subject to wavelength drifts whose origin is not fully understood, but which are probably of thermal origin since they appear to depend on the temperature difference between the inside and outside of the spectrograph. Consequently, it is necessary to check the wavelength at least three or four times nightly, and, under the most unfavorable circumstances, an hourly check is made. The seriousness of this drift was not appreciated until the work was well along, and some of the earlier measures are probably somewhat reduced in accuracy owing to uncorrected wavelength shifts.

## c) Standard Lamp

Because the scanner is an accessory of a spectrograph used by many individuals for a variety of purposes, the photomultipliers are mounted at the beginning of each run and disassembled again at the end. As a result, small mechanical shifts can, and do, introduce spurious differences between the two channels. To correct for these, a standard lamp is observed each afternoon during an observing run. A series of ten counts, to a total of $10^{5}$ each in the scan channel, are made at the wavelengths of the H - and K -lines, thus insuring negligible statistical error. The importance of using a standard lamp is shown by the fact that the lamp readings have exhibited a range of nearly $\pm 9$ per cent thus far.

The lamp is mounted in a small wooden box with an aperture in one end which is directed down the spectrograph axis toward the slit. A ground glass and filter are placed over the aperture. Directly over the slit is a second ground glass and a mask that leaves uncovered about 2 mm of slit length centered on the point where star images are held during stellar observations. In this way the same areas on the cathodes should be illuminated by the lamp as by the stars. Radiation from the lamp overfills the collimator, but tests have shown the effects of this excess light to be insignificant.

The lamp itself is a GE Type 1960 consisting of a coiled tungsten filament inside a small quartz envelope. Iodine vapor inside the bulb inhibits deposition of metal on the inner surface of the envelope. Nominal operating voltage is 11.0 V , but 10.8 V have been used to insure long life. Current is supplied by a modified Technipower M 10.8-12.0 A power supply which, by actual measurement, has maintained voltage constant to about 0.005 V during the period of use.

Results from the standard lamp have not been as satisfactory as hoped. Lamp readings during a run usually are constant to within 1 or 2 per cent, but they occasionally show a range as great as 4 per cent. The reason for these variations is unknown. In any case, the star observations have been reduced using the lamp factors, as explained in the text. Observations for each night have been reduced with the lamp factors from the preceding afternoon, but unless the consistency of
the lamp readings can be improved, it is evident that numerous observations of standard stars will be required to achieve the ultimate in over-all precision consistent with the statistical uncertainty.
d) Accuracy

One great advantage of the pulse-counting technique is that the number of counts provides a basic statistical limit to the precision of the observations, to which the observational error must be made to approach as closely as possible. In the present instance, the number of counts in the monitor channel exceeds those in the scan channel by factors of the order of 5 to 12 , and, therefore, even though the dark current is twice as large in the monitor channel, the over-all statistical error may be considered to be that due to $10^{4}$ counts in the scan channel. For $10^{4}$ counts, the standard deviation is 1 per cent, and the probable error of an observation, due to statistics alone, is 0.7 per cent.

Probable errors have been computed, after correction by the standard-lamp factors, for thirteen stars for which six or more observations were available. The probable errors of a single observation of one line range from 0.6 to 3.2 per cent, with mean values of 1.9 and 1.8 per cent for $H$ and $K$, respectively. This result is perhaps not too disappointing, but there is plenty of room for improvement, since these probable errors are nearly three times larger than those of purely statistical origin. Since it is not known what accuracy is needed to produce incontrovertible evidence of stellar cycles, every effort will be made to improve the accuracy and to approach the statistical error more closely.
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