# A SHORT METHOD FOR DETERMINING THE APPARENT DISTANCE MODULI OF CLASSICAL CEPHEIDS

# J. D. Fernie

David Dunlap Observatory, University of Toronto Received March 16, 1964; revised April 22, 1964

### ABSTRACT

A method is presented for determining the apparent distance moduli of classical cepheids without knowledge of the full light-curve. The method is tested on forty-four cepheids, utilizing only a single observation for each star, and the average error in distance modulus, when compared to that obtained by the utilization of the full light-curve, is found to be less than 0.1 mag.

Current techniques for determining the distance moduli of classical cepheids by the period-luminosity-color relation (Kraft 1963) are rather tedious and time-consuming in practice. They require detailed photoelectric observing of the full light-curve (typically twenty or more observations per star), and thereafter a laborious reduction of the light-curve to intensity units, numerical integration by planimetry to determine the average intensity, reconversion to a magnitude, and a similar planimetry of the color-curve. The present note indicates a method whereby the apparent modulus may be obtained to within about 0.1 mag. of that given by the detailed method, utilizing only a single observation in V and B - V.

It has been shown elsewhere (Fernie, in press) that the period-luminosity-color (PLC) relation for classical cepheids may be represented to an accuracy of a few hundredths of a magnitude by the equation

$$M_V = -3.33 - 2.500 \log P + 2.06(B - V)$$
.

In the detailed method for determining distance moduli,  $M_V$  and B - V will be averaged values, as indicated above. However, for a given period, the above equation describes a straight line sloping downward to the right in the H-R diagram. At the same time, an individual cepheid in the course of its cycle describes an elongated, roughly elliptical loop in the H-R diagram, with the axis of the loop also sloping downward to the right. In fact, detailed examination in a number of cases shows that the line of the PLC relation and the axis of the loop are often essentially coincident. This suggests that were it not for phase-lag phenomena introducing second-order effects, the period-luminosity-color relation would apply at every point in the cycle and not merely to the average magnitude and color alone. In any case, since the amplitudes of the loops are only of the order of a few tenths of a magnitude, and all cepheids describe the loops in a clockwise manner, it should be possible to derive empirical corrections which, in effect, collapse the loop into a line and allow one to apply the PLC relation at any single point in the cycle. This has been attempted using the data for forty-five cepheids given by Bahner, Hiltner, and Kraft (1962).

The procedure was as follows. A color excess for each star was adopted, based on the excess given in Table 2 of Bahner *et al.*, revised according to the precepts given by Fernie (1963). This excess was applied to B - V of the first observation of a star appearing in the lists of individual observations given in Table 1 of Bahner *et al.* These first observations occur at random phases among the forty-five stars, and are arbitrarily selected as the single observations to which the present method is applied. With B - V corrected for the excess, and log P for each star, the PLC relation given above was entered and  $M_V$  calculated. This was subtracted from the listed V of the first observation to form the apparent distance modulus. Table 2 of Bahner *et al.* gives the properly 700

1964ApJ...140..699F

# J. D. FERNIE

averaged values of V and B - V from the full light-curves. Applying the same color excesses as before, these were used with the PLC relation to determine the most accurate possible apparent distance moduli. The distance moduli obtained by the two methods are compared in Table 1. The corrections for the non-zero amplitudes in V of the loops were derived by plotting the residuals of Table 1 as a function of the phase of the first observation of a star. The corrections are also functions of the period and amplitude of variation, since the loops generally grow in size with increasing period. However, for periods less than about 6 days it was found that the corrections were generally smaller than the errors introduced by the errors of a single photometric observation. Accordingly, no correction for the size of the loop has been applied to stars with periods less than 6 days. For periods greater than 6 days the empirical corrections became significant. The average curve is given numerically in Table 2. The

TABLE	1
-------	---

COMPARISON OF DISTANCE MODULI BY SHORT AND DETAILED <b>N</b>	METHODS
--	---------

Star	$(m-M)_{\rm DM}*$	$(m-M)_{\rm SM}^{\dagger}$	Differ- ence	Star	$(m-M)_{\rm DM}*$	$(m-M)_{\rm SM}^{\dagger}$	Differ- ence	$(m-M)_{\rm SM}$ ‡	Differ- ence
SU Cas AY Cas BY Cas DW Per DF Cas SY Cas UZ Cas UZ Cas DF Lac XY Cas UX Per V Lac. DW Cas TV Cam BG Lac UY Per $\delta$ Cep SW Cas X Lac	9 08 14 81 14 05 15 08 14 32 13 66 15 02 12 75 15 10 15 42 13 39 15 26 12 78 14 75 15 72 12 63 15 06 7 81 13 59 12 34	$\begin{array}{c} \hline & 9 & 12 \\ 14 & 85 \\ 13 & 97 \\ 15 & 15 \\ 14 & 18 \\ 13 & 67 \\ 14 & 83 \\ 12 & 82 \\ 14 & 93 \\ 15 & 53 \\ 13 & 35 \\ 15 & 32 \\ 12 & 74 \\ 14 & 79 \\ 15 & 58 \\ 12 & 54 \\ 15 & 08 \\ 7 & 97 \\ 13 & 40 \\ 12 & 29 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{ence} \\ \hline -0 & 04 \\ - & 04 \\ + & 08 \\ - & .07 \\ + & 14 \\ - & 01 \\ + & .19 \\ - & .07 \\ + & 17 \\ + & 17 \\ - & 11 \\ + & 04 \\ - & 06 \\ + & 04 \\ + & 04 \\ + & 04 \\ + & 04 \\ + & 14 \\ + & 09 \\ - & 02 \\ - & 16 \\ + & 19 \\ + & 05 \end{array}$	AB Cam FM Cas. VW Cas . VV Cas . CR Cep BP Cas RS Cas RR Lac AP Cas AK Cep CD Cas RX Cam . IX Cam DD Cas Z Lac RY Cas SZ Cas CY Cas RW Cas CH Cas .	$\begin{array}{c} 15 & 85 \\ 12 & 86 \\ 14 & 67 \\ 14 & 74 \\ 13 & 36 \\ 14 & 85 \\ 13 & 65 \\ 12 & 90 \\ 15 & 77 \\ 15 & 45 \\ 14 & 87 \\ 11 & 85 \\ 16 & 20 \\ 14 & 20 \\ 12 & 71 \\ 14 & 51 \\ 14 & 49 \\ 16 & 25 \\ 13 & 80 \\ 15 & 94 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 15 & 82 \\ 12 & 70 \\ 14 & 47 \\ 14 & 87 \\ 13 & 27 \\ 14 & 65 \\ 13 & 52 \\ 12 & 76 \\ 15 & 63 \\ 15 & 63 \\ 15 & 63 \\ 15 & 63 \\ 15 & 63 \\ 14 & 71 \\ 11 & 93 \\ 16 & 16 \\ 14 & 19 \\ 12 & 92 \\ 14 & 24 \\ 14 & 47 \\ 16 & 18 \\ 13 & 59 \\ 15 & 79 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{ence} \\ +0 & 03 \\ + & 16 \\ + & .20 \\ - & 13 \\ + & 09 \\ + & 20 \\ + & 13 \\ + & 14 \\ + & 01 \\ + & .16 \\ - & 08 \\ + & 04 \\ + & 01 \\ - & .27 \\ + & 02 \\ + & 07 \\ + & 07 \\ + & 15 \end{array}$	.         .           14         53           14         70           13         31           14         77           13         69           12         92           15         77           15         47           14         75           12         07           16         32           14         08           12         92           14         58           16         31           13         76           15         85	$\begin{array}{c} \text{ence} \\ \hline \\ +0 & 14 \\ + & 04 \\ + & 05 \\ + & 08 \\ - & 04 \\ - & 02 \\ - & 02 \\ - & 02 \\ + & 12 \\ - & 22 \\ - & 12 \\ + & 11 \\ - & 09 \\ + & 09 \\ + & 09 \end{array}$
VY Per CZ Cas	15 03 15 49	14 98 15 44	$^{+}_{+0}$ 05 $^{+0}_{-05}$	RW Cam CP Cep	13 43 15 61	13 67 15 27	-24 + 034	$\begin{array}{c} 13  54 \\ 15  39 \end{array}$	- 11 + 022

\* Apparent distance modulus by detailed method (DM) (full light-curve)

† Apparent distance modulus by short method (SM) (single observation)

‡ Apparent distance modulus by short method (SM) with correction applied.

#### TABLE 2

Phase*	Correction Mag	Phase*	Correction Mag	Phase*	Correction Mag
0 0 1 .2 0 3 .	$ \begin{array}{r} 0 & 00 \\ + & 07 \\ + & 12 \\ +0 & 16 \end{array} $	0 4 5 6 0 7	$ \begin{array}{r} +0 & 17 \\ + & 16 \\ + & 11 \\ -0 & 01 \\ \end{array} $	08. 09 10	$ \begin{array}{r} -0 & 16 \\ - & 10 \\ 0 & 00 \end{array} $

**CORRECTION-CURVE FOR PERIODS OVER 6 DAYS** 

\* Measured from maximum visual light

1964ApJ...140..699F

corrections derived from it have been applied to the individual moduli determined by the short method as indicated in Table 1.

The average residual in distance modulus for all stars is 0.09 mag. This, of course, does not reflect the total uncertainty in the true distance modulus, which must include errors in the PLC relation itself and errors arising from absorption and reddening corrections. The total uncertainty may be as much as 0.2 or 0.3 mag., so the average 0.09mag. error of the short method shows it to be quite satisfactory where the highest accuracy is not demanded, although its application to cepheids of periods over about 20 days may involve somewhat greater errors, since the loops then become much larger and more complex in shape.

The short method requires previous knowledge of the period and reddening of a star, and for periods over 6 days the ephemeris also. The period and ephemeris, however, are usually already known from earlier photographic observations, although the ephemeris often only poorly so. Nevertheless, as Table 1 shows, omission of the phase correction entirely often makes very little difference to the result. When no account at all of the phase is taken, the average residual in Table 1 rises from 0.09 mag. to only 0.11 mag. The reddening problem could be overcome in a systematic survey by including with the V and B - V measures a measure of  $\Gamma$  (Kraft 1963), although possibly more than one observation would be required to obtain sufficient accuracy.

A major application of this short method might be to an extended survey of very faint cepheids for galactic structure studies. By its use the demands on observing time would be very much reduced.

#### REFERENCES

Bahner, K., Hiltner, W. A, and Kraft, R. P. 1962, Ap. J. Suppl., 6, 319. Fernie, J. D 1963, AJ, 68, 780 Kraft, R. P. 1963, Basic Astronomical Data, ed. K Aa. Strand (Chicago: University of Chicago Press), chap. xxi.

701