# THREE-COLOR OBSERVATIONS OF 108 STARS INTENDED FOR USE AS PHOTOMETRIC STANDARDS

H. L. JOHNSON

Lowell Observatory

AND

## D. L. HARRIS III Yerkes and McDonald Observatories Received March 12, 1954

#### ABSTRACT

Three-color observations on the (U, B, V) system have been made for 108 stars, including the 10 primary standards. These observations, which are of relatively high weight, are intended, along with the three standard regions—Praesepe, the Pleiades, and IC 4665—for use as standards for the three-color photometric system.

In this paper are presented magnitudes and colors on the (U, B, V) system (Johnson and Morgan 1953) for a total of 108 stars which are intended for use as standards of the system. The measures have been made over the time interval from 1950 to 1954, both at the McDonald Observatory and at the Lowell Observatory. With the exception of the ten primary standards, the average number of nights on which the stars were observed is 7.3. As many as possible of the primary standards were observed on each night. In addition to these stars, there are three standard regions—the Pleiades (Johnson and Morgan 1953), Praesepe (Johnson 1952), and IC 4665 (Johnson 1954). These stars and standard regions could be considered as defining the photometric system.

The 108 stars are listed in Table 1, where the first column givest the HD numbers of the stars; the second, the names or BD numbers; the third, fourth, and fifth, the measured magnitudes and colors; the sixth, the number of nights on which the stars were observed; and the last column the spectal type by W. W. Morgan on the MK system.

The values given for the ten primary standards (the last ten stars in Table 1) are slightly different from those originally given (Johnson and Morgan 1953). Additional observations that have been made since 1951 have been used to make these small changes, but it is not known whether these changes are due to variability of the stars. When transformations to the system are made, it is best not to use the primary standards alone but, instead, to use at least 20 stars of all types selected from Table 1 or the standard regions. In this way the effects of small variations in the standard stars can be reduced. In general, unless one is working in a very small region of the sky, it is better to use standards scattered over a considerable area of the sky; such a procedure will help to minimize systematic regional errors that might appear in the transformations.

If stars of a particular type not available in Table 1 are required, it may be possible to select suitable stars from Johnson and Morgan (1953, Table 3). One must keep in mind, however, that these latter observations are of lower weight than those given in Table 1.

### TABLE 1—THE PHOTOMETRIC STANDARDS

					0	
HD	Name	V	B-V	U-B	n	Sp.
886           1280           4727           6961           8538	$\begin{array}{c} \gamma \operatorname{Peg} \\ \theta \operatorname{And} \\ \nu \operatorname{And} \\ \theta \operatorname{Cas} \\ \delta \operatorname{Cas} \end{array}$	2.83 4.61 4.53 4.33 2.68	-0.23 +0.06 -0.15 +0.17 +0.13	$ \begin{array}{r} -0.87 \\ +0.04 \\ -0.58 \\ +0.11 \\ +0.12 \end{array} $	6 6 5 5 5 5	B2 IV A2 V B5 V A7 V A5 V
9270 10476 10700 11636	$\eta \operatorname{Psc} 107 \operatorname{Psc} \tau \operatorname{Cet} \beta \operatorname{Ari} -18^{\circ}359$	3.625.233.502.6510.18	+0.97 +0.83 +0.72 +0.13 +1.53	+0.76 +0.50 +0.20 +0.10 +1.16	5 5 5 5 3	G8 III K1 V G8 Vp A5 V
15318 16160 20630*	+2°348 ξ <sup>2</sup> Cet HR 753 A HR 753 B κ Cet	$10.03 \\ 4.28 \\ 5.82 \\ 11.65 \\ 4.82$	+1.44 -0.06 +0.97 +1.61 +0.68	+1.08-0.13+0.79+1.12+0.18	3 5 11 3 7	B9 III G5 V
21120 21447 22049 27371* 27697*	ο Tau HR 1046 ε Eri γ Tau δ Tau	3.59 5.08 3.73 3.65: 3.76:	+0.89 +0.05 +0.89 +0.99 +0.98	$ \begin{array}{r} +0.62 \\ +0.03 \\ +0.57 \\ +0.82 \\ +0.82 \end{array} $	5 5 6 6 6	G8 111 A1 V K2 V K0 111 K0 111
28305 30652 30836 32630 33111	$\epsilon$ Tau $\pi^3$ Ori $\pi^4$ Ori $\eta$ Aur $\beta$ Eri	3.54 3.19 3.69 3.17 2.80	+1.02 +0.45 -0.17 -0.18 +0.13	$ \begin{array}{r} +0.88 \\ -0.01 \\ -0.80 \\ -0.67 \\ +0.10 \end{array} $	6 11 9 6 8	K0 III F8 V B2 III B3 V A3 III
35299 35468 35497 36395 36512	γ Ori β Tau -3°1123 υ Ori	$5.70 \\ 1.64 \\ 1.65 \\ 7.97 \\ 4.63$	$-0.22 \\ -0.23 \\ -0.13 \\ +1.47 \\ -0.26$	-0.87-0.87-0.49+1.21-1.07	15 5 5 17 11	B5 V B2 III B7 III M1 V B0 V
36591 37043 37128 38678 38899	ι Ori ε Ori ζ Lep 134 Tau	5.352.771.703.554.90	$-0.20 \\ -0.25 \\ -0.19 \\ +0.10 \\ -0.07$	$-0.94 \\ -1.08 \\ -1.04 \\ +0.06 \\ -0.18$	9 5 6 5 5	B1 V O9 III B0 Ia B9 IV
47105 56537 58946	+17°1320 $\gamma$ Gem +5°1668 $\lambda$ Gem $\rho$ Gem	9.63 1.93 9.82 3.58 4.16	+1.50 0.00 +1.56 +0.11 +0.32	$ \begin{array}{r} +1.18 \\ +0.03 \\ +1.12 \\ +0.10 \\ -0.03 \end{array} $	4 5 3 6 6	A0 IV A3 V F0 V
62345 71155 76644 79469	к Gem HR 3314 и U Ma θ Hya – 12°2918	3.57 3.90 3.14 3.88 10.06	$+0.93 \\ -0.02 \\ +0.18 \\ -0.06 \\ +1.53$	$ \begin{array}{r} +0.68 \\ -0.02 \\ +0.07 \\ -0.13 \\ +1.15 \end{array} $	5 5 6 2	G8 III A0 V A7 V A0 p
82885 87696 87901 89021	11 LMi 21 LMi α Leo λ U Ma +1°2447	5.414.481.363.459.63	+0.77 +0.18 -0.11 +0.03 +1.52	+0.45 +0.08 -0.36 +0.06 +1.19	14 7 7 6 3	G8 IV-V A7 V B8 V A2 IV
91316 100600 102647 102870 103095	$\rho \operatorname{Leo}_{90 \operatorname{Leo} AB}_{\beta \operatorname{Leo}}_{\beta \operatorname{Vir}}_{\beta \operatorname{Vir}}$	3.855.952.143.616.45	$\begin{array}{r} -0.14 \\ -0.16 \\ +0.09 \\ +0.55 \\ +0.75 \end{array}$	$-0.95 \\ -0.64 \\ +0.07 \\ +0.10 \\ +0.17$	6 23 8 9 25	B1 Ib A3 V F8 V G8 Vp

\* The stars indicated by asterisks:
 20630 κ Cet has been found to be variable in magnitude by Kron, White, and Gascoigne (1953). Our data, however, do not definitely show this variation.

27371) These two Hyades giants appear to be variable in magnitude with an amplitude of about 0.1 mag. The third Hyades 27697) giant in the table, e Tau, does not show this variation. 116658 Eclipsing variable.

+4°3561 Barnard's proper-motion star.

HD	Name	V	B-V	U-B	n	Sp.	
103287           106591           106625           113139	γ U Ma δ U Ma γ Crv +0°2989 78 U Ma	2.44 3.31 2.60 8.49 4.93	$\begin{array}{r} 0.00 \\ +0.08 \\ -0.11 \\ +1.41 \\ +0.36 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} +0.01 \\ +0.07 \\ -0.35 \\ +1.26 \\ +0.01 \\ \end{array} $	6 9 5 3 16	A0 V A3 V B8 III M0.5 V F2 V	
114710 115617 116658* 116842 117176	β Com 61 Vir α Vir 80 U Ma 70 Vir	$\begin{array}{c} 4.28 \\ 4.75 \\ 0.96 \\ 4.01 \\ 4.98 \end{array}$	+0.57 +0.71 -0.23 +0.16 +0.71	+0.07 +0.25 -0.94 +0.08 +0.26	11 5 7 15 8	G0 V G5 V B1 V A5 V G5 V	
121370 130109 130819 130841	η Boo 109 Vir a <sup>1</sup> Lib a <sup>2</sup> Lib -7°4003	$\begin{array}{r} 2.69\\ 3.74\\ 5.16\\ 2.75\\ 10.56\end{array}$	+0.58 0.00 +0.41 +0.15 +1.61	+0.19 -0.03 -0.04 +0.08 +1.20	8 7 7 8 6	G0 IV A0 V	
141003 141004 142860 149757	β Ser A λ Ser γ Ser -12°4523 ζ Oph	3.674.433.8510.132.56	+0.06 +0.60 +0.48 +1.60 +0.02	+0.07 +0.10 -0.03 +1.18 -0.86	8 7 6 5 10	A2 IV G0 V F6 V 	
154363 157881 159561 161096	$\begin{array}{c} -4^{\circ}4225 \\ -4^{\circ}4226 \\ +2^{\circ}3312 \\ a \text{ Oph} \\ \beta \text{ Oph} \end{array}$	7.73 10.07 7.54 2.08 2.77	+1.16 +1.43 +1.36 +0.15 +1.16	+1.05 +1.09 +1.26 +0.10 +1.24	5 5 11 10	K5 V M3.5 V K7 V A5 III K2 III	
161868	γ Oph +4°3561 -3°4233 α Lyr γ Lyr	3.759.549.38+.043.25	+0.04 +1.74 +1.52 0.00 -0.05	+0.04 +1.29 +1.21 -0.01 -0.09	9 9 5 10 10	A0 V M5 V A0 V B9 III	
177724	ζ Aql +4°4048 +3°4065 κ Aql α Aql	2.99 9.13 6.82 4.96 0.77	0.00 + 1.49 + 0.02 - 0.01 + 0.22	-0.01 + 1.16 - 0.83 - 0.87 + 0.08	6 5 6 14	M3.5 V B0.5 III A7 IV, V	
188512 196867 198001 216494	β Aql α Del ϵ Aqr 	3.71 3.77 3.77 10.17 5.81	+0.86 -0.06 +0.01 +1.60 -0.08	+0.48 -0.22 +0.04 +1.15 -0.32	$\begin{array}{c} 16\\ 6\\ 5\\ 4\\ 6\end{array}$	G8 IV B9 V A1 V	
218045 222368	a Peg i Psc +1°4774	2.49 4.13 8.98	-0.05 + 0.51 + 1.48	$-0.06 \\ 0.00 \\ +1.09$	7 8 5	B9 V F7 V M2 V	
	The Ten Primary Standards						
12929 18331 69267 74280 135742	a Ari HR 875 β Cnc η Hya β Lib	$2.00 \\ 5.17 \\ 3.52 \\ 4.30 \\ 2.61$	+1.151 +0.084 +1.480 -0.195 -0.108	+1.12+0.05+1.78-0.74-0.37	std std std std std	K2 111 A1 V K4 111 B3 V B8 V	
140573 143107 147394 214680 219134	α Ser ϵ CrB τ Her 10 Lac HR 8832	2.65 4.15 3.89 4.88 5.57	+1.168+1.230-0.152-0.203+1.010	+1.24 +1.28 -0.56 -1.04 +0.89	std std std std std	K2 III K3 III B5 IV O9 V K3 V	

#### PHOTOMETRIC STANDARDS

199

The estimated probable errors of the observations given in Table 1 are listed in Table 2, which is self-explanatory.

These observations have been compared with the red and infrared observations of Kron, White, and Gascoigne (1953), with results not significantly different from those given by them. The numbers of stars in the comparisons are now greater, but the scatter

## TABLE 2

ESTIMATED PROBABLE ERRORS OF THE OBSERVATIONS

No. of Ob-	PROBABLE ERRORS (MAG.) OF						
SERVATIONS	V	B-V	U-B				
2 3 4 5 or more	$\begin{array}{c} \pm 0.020 \\ \pm .016 \\ \pm .014 \\ \pm 0.012 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \pm 0.010 \\ \pm .008 \\ \pm .007 \\ \pm 0.006 \end{array}$	$egin{array}{c} \pm 0.020 \\ \pm .016 \\ \pm .014 \\ \pm 0.012 \end{array}$				

and general trends of the diagrams are not changed. The reader is referred to Figures 1 and 2 of Kron *et al.* (1953).

#### REFERENCES

Johnson, H. L. Ap. J., 116, 640, 1952. ———. Ap. J., 119, 181, 1954. Johnson, H. L., and Morgan, W. W. Ap. J., 117, 313, 1953. Kron, G. E.; White, H. S.; and Gascoigne, S. C. B. Ap. J., 118, 502, 1953.