# THE RADIAL VELOCITIES OF THE PLEIADES* 
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#### Abstract

The radial velocities of 71 stars have been determined from 237 spectrograms. Sixty-nine stars are regarded as members of the cluster. The mean velocity for all 69 stars is $+4.08 \pm 0.68$ (m.e.) $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{sec}$. If the stars are divided into two groups, according to rotational velocity, 50 of low rotation give $+5.19 \pm$ 0.70 , while 19 of high rotation give $+1.17 \pm 1.41 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$. No explanation is offered for this systematic difference. The largest range in velocity for any star is $54 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$ (No. 13). This star and No. 33, having a range of $45 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$, are regarded as spectroscopic binaries, while 8 other stars are suspected. The questions of internal motions and of spatial differences in the velocities are briefly discussed.


Nearly all of the brighter stars in the Pleiades have exceptionally broad and ill-defined lines in their spectra. The radial velocities determined from these stars are very uncertain. Those which are listed in Moore's catalogue ${ }^{1}$ show a considerable amount of scatter. Very few radial velocities have been published for the fainter members of the cluster, although there have been numerous investigations of their proper motions. Trumpler ${ }^{2}$ has recently published a mean velocity of $+7 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$ for an unspecified number of stars in the cluster, which probably include many of the stars discussed in this paper. Pearce presented at the June, 1944, meeting of the American Astronomical Society results from measurements made at Victoria. These were not available to us until after the completion of this paper. From measurements of the brighter stars in the cluster he found, on the average, $+7.86 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$.

The present investigation was undertaken in order to determine an independent value for the radial velocity of the Pleiades, using for this purpose not only the brighter stars but also those of intermediate brightness-to about magnitude 9.0 . We were especially interested in finding whether the cluster contains a large percentage of spectroscopic binaries of considerable range, as does, for example, the galactic cluster NGC 6231. Finally, an attempt was to be made to discover whether there exist any spatial differences in the radial velocities of the various cluster stars or any differences depending upon the physical characteristics of the members.

The present discussion gives the results of measurements of 237 spectrograms of 71 stars. ${ }^{3}$ Of the 237 spectrograms, 230 were taken with the quartz spectrograph attached to the 82 -inch McDonald reflector, giving a dispersion of $55 \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{mm}$ at $H \gamma ; 4$ were taken at McDonald with the $f / 2$ glass spectrograph, giving a dispersion of $78 \mathrm{~A} / \mathrm{mm}$ (Nos. 4066, 4067, 4068, 4069); and 3 were taken with the Bruce one-prism spectrograph attached to the 40 -inch telescope of the Yerkes Observatory, giving a dispersion of 26 $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{mm}$ at $H \gamma$ (Nos. 12629, 12630, 12640). At least 2 spectrograms per star were obtained.

Of the 71 stars, one (our No. 23) is Hertzsprung No. 310, ${ }^{4}$ and another (our No. 22) is
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not listed as a member. The remaining 69 stars were taken from Trumpler's list of members of the cluster. ${ }^{5}$ Most of the stars on our program were of photographic magnitude 9.0 or brighter, and only 8 were fainter. The faintest star (our No. 1) is of magnitude 10.1.

Three stars in our list are marked by Trumpler as "uncertain members," and 4 as "probable members." The radial velocities for these stars are as follows:

TRUMPLER'S "PROBABLE" CLUSTER MEMBERS

| Our <br> No. | Trumpler's No. | Plates | Velocity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 66. | S 142 | 2 | $-7.0$ |
| 59. | S 26 | 2 | $+28.1$ |
| 60. | 47 | 2 | +1.2 |
| 64. | S 115 | 2 | + 5.6 |

TRUMPLER'S "UNCERTAIN" CLUSTER MEMBERS

| Our No. | $\begin{gathered} \text { Trumpler's } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Plates | Velocity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 69. | S 177 | 2 | $+7.7$ |
| 58. | S 25a | 2 | $-6.3$ |
| 63. | S 84 | 2 | + 7.4 |

On the basis of these velocities, only No. 59 can be excluded as a background star. This star has been omitted from the following discussion, as has also star No. 22, which is not a member of the cluster, according to Trumpler. This leaves a total of 69 stars.

The wave lengths of the stellar lines used in determining the radial velocities for the A-type stars are taken from the papers by W. W. Morgan ${ }^{6}$ and O. Struve. ${ }^{7}$ For the Btype stars the wave lengths were taken from H. Kühlborn. ${ }^{8}$ For the F-type stars the wave lengths of Adams and Harper were used, ${ }^{9}$ together with data from other sources. Nearly all the plates were measured separately by Struve and by Smith, and the two measurers independently chose the star lines and their wave lengths.

Table 1 gives the average radial velocity of each star. The stars are numbered consecutively in column 1, and those which are in the outer parts of the cluster are listed separately at the end of the table. Some of these stars are as far as $3^{\circ}$ from Alcyone. The photographic magnitudes are taken from Trumpler (except Nos. 22 and 23), and the spectral types are from the Henry Draper Catalogue. The "grade" denotes the estimated quality of the spectrum, which depends upon the number of lines suitable for measurement and their quality. Grade 1 represents the best quality. The rotational velocity at the equator in $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{sec}$ was estimated from the observed widths of the lines. Table 2 gives the mean results for all plates from the measures of Smith and of Struve.
${ }^{5}$ Lick Obs. Bull., 10, 110, 1921.
${ }^{6}$ Pub. Yerkes Obs., 7, Part III, 1935.
${ }^{7}$ Ap. J., 90, 699, 1939.
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TABLE 1
Radial Velocities for the Pleiades

| Star | HD | $\begin{gathered} \text { Trump- } \\ \text { ler } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} a \\ (1900) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \delta \\ (1900) \end{gathered}$ | Mag． <br> （Pg．） | Sp． | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \mathrm{Vel} . \\ (\mathrm{Km} / \mathrm{Sec}) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | m．e． | Plates | Grade | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rot. } \\ & (\mathrm{Km} / \mathrm{Sec}) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 23061 | R11 | $3^{\mathrm{h}} 36^{\mathrm{m}} 58^{\text {s }}$ | $+24^{\circ} 10.5$ | 10.1 | F8 | $+7.2$ | $\pm 1.1$ | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| 2 | 23157 | 50 | 3746 | 2319.9 | 8.3 | A5 | － 4.0 | 8.0 | 4 | 1 | 0 |
| 3 | 23156 | 51 | 3746 | 243.5 | 8.4 | A5 | ＋ 8.4 | 2.4 | 4 | 1 | 25 |
| 4. | 23194 | 74 | 383 | 2414.4 | 8.2 | A2 | ＋ 1.1 | 3.7 | 4 | 1 | 0 |
| 5 | 23246 | 121 | 3829 | 244.8 | 8.4 | A5 | ＋ 2.7 | 2.5 | 4 | 2 | 150 |
| 6 | 23288 | 139 | 3851 | 2358.5 | 5.3 | B5 | ＋ 4.3 | 2.5 | 4 | 3 | 250 |
| 7 | 23302 | 148 | 3856 | 2347.9 | 3.6 | B5p | ＋14．9 | 11.5 | 3 | 3 | 200 |
| 8 | 23289 | 149 | 3857 | 2257.3 | 9.3 | F5 | +6.9 | 1.9 | 4 | 1 | 0 |
| 9 | 23326 | 163 | 3910 | $23 \quad 23.3$ | 9.3 | F5 | ＋ 8.7 | 4.6 | 3 | 2 | 0 |
| 10. | 23325 | 162 | 3910 | 2357.0 | 8.8 | A0 | ＋ 8.7 | 1.8 | 4 | 1 | 75 |
| 11. | 23324 | 166 | 3912 | 2431.5 | 5.7 | B8 | － 1.2 | 9.5 | 4 | 3 | 150 |
| 12. | 23338 | 170 | 3915 | $24 \quad 9.2$ | 4.2 | B5 | ＋ 3.2 | 3.3 | 3 | 3 | 150 |
| 13. | 23351 | 180 | 3923 | 2436.5 | 9.5 | F5 | ＋ 1.8 | 12.3 | 4 | 1 | 0 |
| 14. | 23361 | 195 | 3930 | 2343.3 | 8.2 | A3 | ＋10．1 | 3.9 | 3 | 2 | 100 |
| 15. | 23375 | 208 | 3937 | $24 \quad 9.0$ | 8.9 | A2 | ＋11．3 | 2.1 | 4 | 1 | 50 |
| 16. | 23387 | 215 | 3941 | $24 \quad 1.4$ | 7.3 | B9 | ＋ 4.0 | 1.8 | 5 | 1 | 0 |
| 17. | 23408 | 231 | 3952 | 243.3 | 3.8 | B5 | ＋ 5.1 | 1.6 | 6 | 2 | 25 |
| 18. | 23410 | 234 | 3955 | 2250.1 | 6.9 | A0 | ＋ 2.6 | 1.7 | 3 | 2 | 100 |
| 19. | 23409 | 235 | 3955 | 2343.6 | 8.0 | A0 | ＋ 6.9 | 2.4 | 4 | 2 | 75 |
| 20. | 23432 | 240 | 3957 | 2414.5 | 5.7 | B8 | $-0.2$ | 2.6 | 5 | 3 | 150 |
| 21 | 23441 | 247 | 405 | 2412.9 | 6.3 | B9 | $-1.0$ | 5.7 | 6 | 3 | 200 |
| 22 | 23463 | ＊ | 4017 | 2353.1 | 9.2 | K2 | － 8.7 |  | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 23. | 23464 | $310 \dagger$ | 4018 | 2249.0 | 8.3 | G0 | － 4.0 | 0.6 | 4 | 1 | 0 |
| 24. | 23479 | 281b | 4019 | 2352.7 | 8.7 | A3 | － 6.2 | 5.5 | 4 | 2 | 150 |
| 25. | 23480 | 286 | 4023 | 2338.2 | 4.2 | B5 | ＋ 4.1 |  | 2 | 3 | 300 |
| 26. | 23489 | 295 | 4030 | 2356.6 | 7.4 | A0 | ＋ 3.1 | 1.9 | 4 | 2 | 50 |
| 27. | 23512 | 311 | 4039 | 2318.8 | 8.5 | A0 | － 0.8 | 10.0 | 3 | 1 | 50 |
| 28. | 23513 | 331 | 4046 | 2248.0 | 9.8 | F8 | － 2.4 | 9.5 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| 29. | 23568 | 354 | 412 | 2412.6 | 6.7 | B9 | － 4.4 | 4.7 | 4 | 3 | 150 |
| 30. | 23567 | 359b | 415 | 2430.6 | 9.0 | A2 | ＋9．6 | 4.8 | 3 |  | 50 |
| 31. | 23585 | 365 | 418 | 2341.1 | 8.6 | A2 | ＋ 4.7 | 2.9 | 3 | 2 | 75 |
| 32. | 23607 | 390 | 4123 | 2349.8 | 8.4 | A0 | +5.9 +5.9 | 2.3 | 3 |  | 0 |
| 33. | 23629 | 395 | 4124 | 2348.4 | 6.3 | A0 | ＋ 5.6 | 10.2 | 4 | 2 | 100 |
| 34. | 23632 | 397 | 4125 | 2329.6 | 6.9 | A0 | ＋ 2.4 | 2.2 | 3 | 3 | 150 |
| 35. | 23628 | 399 | 4126 | 2416.7 | 7.8 | A0 | － 3.4 | 6.7 | 4 | 2 | 100 |
| 36. | 23631 | 402 | 4128 | 2336.3 | 7.2 | B9 | ＋ 2.9 | 5.9 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| 37. | 23643 | 410 | 4131 | 2322.1 | 7.9 | A0 | ＋ 8.2 | 1.9 | 3 | 3 | 100 |
| 38. | 23630 | 414 | 4132 | 2347.8 | 2.8 | B5p | ＋ 9.1 | 6.7 | 3 | 3 | 250 |
| 39. | 23642 | 413 | 4132 | 2358.8 | 6.8 | B9 | ＋14．6 | 10.5 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| 40. | 23733 | 493 | 4216 | 240.6 | 8.5 | A3 | ＋ 7.8 | 3.0 | 3 | 2 | 100 |
| 41. | 23753 | 506 | 4226 | 236.8 | 5.4 | B8 | $-14.4$ | 9.5 | 3 | 3 | 300 |
| 42. | 23763 | 518 | 4233 | $24 \quad 2.3$ | 7.0 | A0 | ＋14．2 | 3.2 | 3 | 1 | 50 |
| 43. | 23791 | 551 | 4249 | 2257.2 | 8.6 | A3 | ＋ 2.0 | 2.7 | 3 | 1 | 50 |
| 44. | 23850 | 594 | 4313 | 2344.8 | 3.6 | B8 | ＋ 1.7 |  | 2 | 3 | 250 |
| 45. | 23863 | 607 | 4316 | 2334.9 | 8.3 | A2 | ＋ 4.9 | 3.9 | 4 | 3 | 100 |
| 46. | 23872 | 613 | 4319 | $24 \quad 5.4$ | 7.6 | A0 | ＋3．2 | 3.7 | 4 | 3 | 250 |
| 47. | 23873 | 622 | 4324 | 244.5 | 6.5 | B9 | ＋ 1.4 | 1.5 | 5 | 1 | 25 |
| 48. | 23886 | 629 | 4329 | 2356.5 | 8.1 | A0 | ＋11．4 | 5.5 | 4 | ， | 75 |
| 49. | 23912 | 651 | 4337 | 234.5 | 9.5 | F8 | ＋4．7 | 1.0 | 4 | 2 | 100 |
| 50 | 23924 | 670 | 4346 | $23 \quad 2.2$ | 8.3 | A2 | ＋6．7 | 3.0 | 4 |  | 0 |
| 51. | 23923 | 671 | 4347 | 2324.4 | 6.0 | B8 | ＋1．8 | 5.1 | 6 | 3 | 250 |
| 52. | 23948 | 688 | 4359 | $24 \quad 2.7$ | 7.5 | A0 | ＋ 6.3 | 2.3 | 5 | 1 | 25 |
| 53. | 23964 | 697 | 442 | 2332.7 | 6.8 | B9 | ＋ 5.7 | 6.3 | 5 | 1 | 0 |
| 54. | 24076 | 791 | 4456 | 2339.5 | 7.0 | A0 | +3.4 | 5.0 | 4 | 2 | 50 |
| 55. | 24132 | 848 | 4529 | 2413.0 | 9.1 | A5 | ＋8．7 | $\pm 3.9$ | 4 | 2 | 75 |

＊Magnitude from Henry Draper Catalogue．
$\dagger$ Hertzsprung＇s number and magnitude；see footnote 4.
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From Table 1 the following mean values have been derived:

$$
\text { Unweighted mean of all (31) stars of grade 1......... }+5.15 \pm 0.99
$$

$$
\text { Unweighted mean of all (17) stars of grade } 2 \ldots \ldots \ldots \text {. }+4.09 \pm 1.01
$$

$$
\text { Unweighted mean of all (21) stars of grade } 3 \ldots \ldots . .+2.50 \pm 1.45
$$

Unweighted mean of all (50) stars of rotational velocity

$$
0-100 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec} .
$$

Unweighted mean of all (19) stars of rotational velocity

$$
150-300 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec} \text {. }
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +4.08 \pm 0.68 \text { m.e. } \\
& +5.15 \\
& +0.99 \\
& +4.09 \\
& +2.50 \pm 1.01 \\
& +5.19 \\
& +0.69 \\
& +1.17
\end{aligned}
$$

In Figure 1 the radial velocities are plotted according to photographic magnitude. The open circles represent the 19 stars of large rotational velocity. The diagram suggests that the brighter stars, of the 19, have larger positive velocities than the fainter ones; but this may be entirely accidental. It should be remembered that the bright B-type stars are the ones that are most difficult to measure. There is no physical explanation of the systematic difference of $4 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$ between the stars of large and those of small rotation. It is possible, though unlikely, that blends in the spectra of the high-rotation A and late B stars influence the results.

The Lick catalogue of radial velocities ${ }^{1}$ lists 11 stars which are also in our list. A comparison of the measured velocities in the two lists is shown in Table 3. Using those stars for which the Lick catalogue contains more than 3 observations and those for which McDonald has more than 2 observations, the mean difference for the remaining 5 stars is

## Lick Catalogue minus McDonald $=+0.2 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$.

In view of the fact that nearly all the stars in Table 3 have poor lines, this determination of the systematic difference carries little weight.

Of the 69 stars discussed, 8 are known or suspected, according to Trumpler, to be visual binaries, and one is a triple star. The radial velocities of these stars appear to be normal. In this investigation no stars were found with two spectra, and the greatest range in velocity for a single star (our No. 13) was $54 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$. It is perhaps surprising that there are so few spectroscopic binaries of large range in velocity. This may indicate that the masses of the stars are relatively small, and it does not necessarily prove that binaries are infrequent. There are 17 stars for which the observed range in velocity is

TABLE 2
Radial Velocities for Individual Spectrograms

| Star | Plate No. | Date | U.T. | Quality | $\underset{(\mathrm{Km} / \mathrm{Sec})}{V}$ | Lines |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1001 | 1941 Nov. 4 | 9:09 | f | $+8.5$ | 12 |
|  | 1273 | 1942 Jan. 22 | 2:17 | f | + 4.7 | 9 |
|  | 2502 | 1943 Sept. 13 | 7:15 | f | $+8.3$ | 6 |
| 2. | 1036 | 1941 Nov. 7 | 3:09 | g | +4.1 | 22 |
|  | 1326 | 1942 Jan. 27 | 3:12 | g | +10.3 | 11 |
|  | 2000 | 1943 Mar. 8 | 5:27 | g | $-25.0$ | 7 |
|  |  | Sept. 4 | 8:01 | g | $-5.2$ |  |
| 3. | 1002 | 1941 Nov. 4 | 9:55 | g | +13.4 | 15 |
|  | 1085 | 10 | 8:39 | p | +2.9 | 6 |
|  | 1274 | 1942 Jan. 22 | 2:52 | g | +10.7 | 12 |
|  | 2428 | 1943 Sept. 4 | 8:31 | f | +6.5 |  |
| 4. | 1003 | 1941 Nov. 4 | 10:25 | g | + 7.0 | 11 |
|  | 1086 |  | 9:26 | f | $-8.5$ | 9 |
|  | 1275 | 1942 Jan. 22 | 3:15 | g | $-2.1$ | 17 |
|  | 2429 | 1943 Sept. 4 | 9:08 | g | +7.9 | 13 |
| 5. | 1004 | 1941 Nov. 4 | 11:01 | g | $-0.6$ | 10 |
|  | 1062 |  | 7:16 | g | $-1.2$ | 8 |
|  | 1276 | 1942 Jan. 22 | 3:39 | g | + 7.6 | 9 |
|  | 2430 | 1943 Sept. 4 | 10:00 | g | + 5.0 | 10 |
| 6. | 1026 | 1941 Nov. 6 |  | ${ }_{\text {f }}$ | +9.7 | 3 |
|  | 1087 |  | 9:50 | f | + 0.9 |  |
|  | 1272 | 1942 Jan. 22 | 1:48 | g | + 7.6 | 8 |
|  | 2431 | 1943 Sept. 4 | 10:37 | g | $-1.2$ | 7 |
| 7. | 12629 | 1941 Oct. 24 | 4:26 | p | +33.8 | 2 |
|  | 1327 | 1942 Jan. 27 | 3:24 | p | +14.9 | 3 |
|  | 1366 |  | 1:45 | f | $-4.1$ | 6 |
| 8. | 987 | 1941 Nov. 3 | 11:44 | g | +3.2 | 13 |
|  | 1324 | 1942 Jan. 27 | 1:48 | p | +11.8 | 4 |
|  | 1346 | 28 | 2:47 | g | +3.2 | 9 |
|  | 2432 | 1943 Sept. 4 | 11:18 | f | + 9.2 | 12 |
| 9. | 1999 | 1943 Mar. 8 | 5:01 | g | $+1.4$ | 10 |
|  | 4066 | Sept. 1 | 10:07 | g | +16.4 | 11 |
|  | 4067 |  | 10:41 | g | + 8.4 | 10 |
|  | 1037 | 1941 Oct. 7 | 3:58 | g | + 7.4 | 13 |
|  | 1278 | 1942 Jan. 22 | 4:17 | g | + 5.6 | 13 |
|  | 1297 | Jan. 24 | 6:21 | g | +8.5 | 12 |
|  | 2438 | Sept. 5 | 7:51 | g | +13.4 | 13 |
| 11. | 1027 | 1941 Nov. 6 | 8:38 | f | + 2.1 | 6 |
|  | 1279 | 1942 Jan. 22 | 4:41 | p | +14.5 | 6 |
|  | 1367 | 31 | 1:49 | p | $-27.2$ | 3 |
|  | 2439 | 1943 Sept. 5 | 8:32 | f | $+5.9$ | 7 |
| 12. | 12630 | 1941 Oct. 24 | 5:00 |  |  |  |
|  | 1281 | 1942 Jan. 22 | 5:35 | g | +10.5 | 9 |
|  | 1368 | 31 | 2:56 | g | $-0.5$ | 9 |
| 13. |  |  | 8:50 |  |  |  |
|  | 1280 | 1942 Jan. 22 | 5:16 | p | $+30.3$ | 8 |
|  | 2440 | 1943 Sept. 5 | 9:21 | g | + 0.3 | 15 |
|  | 2513 | 14 | 7:27 | f | $-24.1$ | 4 |
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| Star | $\begin{gathered} \text { Plate } \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Date | U.T. | Quality | $\begin{gathered} V \\ (\mathrm{Km} / \mathrm{Sec}) \end{gathered}$ | Lines |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14. | 1058 | 1941 Nov. 9 | 4:19 | g | $+9.5$ | 6 |
|  | 1282 | 1942 Jan. 22 | 5:57 | f | +16.9 | 4 |
|  | 2441 | 1943 Sept. 5 | 10:28 | f | + 4.0 | 6 |
| 15. | 1038 | 1941 Nov. 7 | 5:06 | g | $+6.5$ | 14 |
|  | 1283 | 1942 Jan. 22 | 6:40 | g | +11.8 | 14 |
|  | 2442 | 1943 Sept. 5 | 10:27 | g | +11.2 | 15 |
|  | 2514 |  | 8:41 | g | +15.8 | 15 |
| 16. | 1005 | 1941 Nov. 4 | 11:29 | f | +2.5 | 4 |
|  | 1061 |  | 6:42 | g | + 4.6 | 7 |
|  | 1361 | 1942 Jan. 29 | 4:47 | g | $-1.8$ | 5 |
|  | 2450 | 1943 Sept. 6 | 8:56 | g | +8.1 | 5 |
|  | 2515 |  | 9:25 | g | + 6.6 | 6 |
| 17. | 1298 | 1942 Jan. 24 | 6:41 | g | +5.8 | 7 |
|  | 1363 |  | 7:09 | g | + 4.3 | 11 |
|  | 1364 | 31 | 1:05 | g | + 6.9 | 11 |
|  | 1369 | 31 | 3:03 | g | - 2.0 | 11 |
|  | 1383 | Feb. 1 | 0:58 | g | + 7.6 | 6 |
|  | 1384 |  | 1:13 | g | +8.1 | 12 |
| 18. | 984 | 1941 Nov. 3 | 9:14 | f | +1.2 | 7 |
|  | 1312 | 1942 Jan. 25 | 6:22 | f | +6.2 | 4 |
|  | 2453 | 1943 Sept. 6 | 9:29 | f | $+0.5$ | 8 |
| 19. | 1012 | 1941 Nov. 5 | 5:48 |  | + 0.8 |  |
|  | 1078 | 10 | 4:30 | f | +11.4 | 5 |
|  | 2449 | 1943 Sept. 6 | 8:16 | f | $+4.3$ | 9 |
|  | 2516 | 14 | 10:03 | g | +11.0 | 8 |
| 20. | 1006 | 1941 Nov. 4 | 11:39 | p | + 4.9 | 6 |
|  | 1299 | 1942 Jan. 24 | 6:47 | f | $-0.7$ | 7 |
|  | 1370 | 131 | 3:13 | g | - 9.4 | 5 |
|  | 2451 | 1943 Sept. 6 | 9:06 | f |  | 7 |
|  | 2517 | 14 | 10:32 | f | $+0.6$ | 8 |
| 21. | 1007 | 1941 Nov. 4 | 11:47 | f | +10.0 | 8 |
|  | 1300 | 1942 Jan. 24 | 6:55 | f | +10.1 | 6 |
|  | 1360 | - 29 | 2:16 |  | -2.4 | 6 |
|  | 1371 | $31$ | 3:19 | f | $-26.3$ | 5 |
|  | 2452 | 1943 Sept. 6 | 9:15 | g | +5.6 | 7 |
|  | 2518 | 14 | 10:37 | f | - 2.8 | 8 |
| 22. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $4068$ | Sept. 1 | $10: 59$ | g | - 2.4 | 11 |
| 23. |  |  |  |  | - 2.9 |  |
|  | 1345 | 1942 Jan. 28 | 1:47 | f | $-3.9$ | 14 |
|  | 2454 | 1943 Sept. 6 | 10:05 | f | - 5.5 | 12 |
|  | 2519 |  | 11:13 | p | $-3.8$ | 12 |
| 24. | 1059 | 1941 Nov. 9 | 5:40 |  | $-4.9$ | 10 |
|  | 1359 | 1942 Jan. 29 | 1:40 | f | $-6.0$ | 11 |
|  | 1998 | 1943 Mar. 8 | 4:35 | p | $-19.0$ | ${ }^{7}$ |
|  | 4069 | Sept. 1 | 11:12 | g | $+5.2$ | 10 |
| 25. | 1347 | 1942 Jan. 28 |  | $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{f}}$ | $-5.7$ | $3$ |
|  | 1372 | $31$ | $4: 36$ | f | $+14.0$ | 6 |

TABLE 2-Continued

| Star | Plate No. | Date | U.T. | Quality | $\stackrel{V}{(\mathrm{Km} / \mathrm{Sec})}$ | Lines |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26. | 1039 |  | 5:55 | g | $+8.5$ | 7 |
|  | 1060 |  | 6:22 | g | + 2.4 |  |
|  | 2462 |  | 7:26 | g | + 0.3 | 7 |
|  | 2520 |  | 11:57 | g | +1.2 | 7 |
| 27. | 983 | 1941 Nov. 3 | 8:29 | f | + 4.3 | 7 |
|  | 1329 | 1942 Jan. 27 | 3:51 | p | -19.9 | 4 |
|  | 2463 | 1943 Sept. 7 | 8:23 | g | +13.2 | 7 |
| 28. | 986 | 1941 Nov. 3 | 10:51 | g | +8.6 | 9 |
|  | 1348 | 1942 Jan. 28 | 3:52 | f | +6.7 | 8 |
|  | 2455 | 1943 Sept. 6 | 11:05 | f | -22.6 | 3 |
|  | 1029 | 1941 Nov. 6 | 9:01 | f | $-2.2$ | 5 |
|  | 1088 | 10 | 10:02 | f | $-6.6$ | 4 |
|  | 1380 | 1942 Jan. 31 | 4:51 | p | -14.8 | 2 |
|  | 2456 | 1943 Sept. 6 | 11:45 | f | + 6.0 | 8 |
| 30. | 1040 | 1941 Nov. 7 | 6:33 | g | +3.2 | 12 |
|  | 1337 | 1942 Jan. 27 | 6:48 | f | + 6.6 | 8 |
|  | 2493 | 1943 Sept. 12 | 8:44 | g | +19.0 | 17 |
| 31. | 974 | 1941 Nov. 2 | 7:27 |  | + 6.6 | 15 |
|  | 1333 | 1942 Jan. 27 | 5:19 | g | $-1.1$ | 10 |
|  | 2494 | 1943 Sept. 12 |  | g | $+8.5$ | 15 |
| 32. | 959 | 1941 Oct. 29 |  | g |  |  |
|  | 1050 | Nov. 8 | 11:22 | g | + 9.4 | 19 |
|  | 1336 | 1942 Jan. 27 | 6:06 | g | + 1.9 |  |
| 33. |  | 1941 Oct. 29 |  | f |  |  |
|  | 979 | Nov. 3 | 6:22 |  | +28.3 | 8 |
|  | 1335 | 1942 Jan. 27 | 8:59 | f | $-16.8$ | 7 |
|  | 2506 | 1943 Sept. 13 | 11:22 | f | - 6.7 | 8 |
| 34. |  | 1941 Nov. 3 |  |  | + 3.1 | 7 |
|  | 1331 | 1942 Jan. 27 | 4:36 | f | $-1.6$ | 8 |
|  | 2465 | 1943 Sept. 7 | 10:09 | g | + 5.8 | 8 |
| 35. | 1030 | 1941 Nov. 6 |  |  | -14.0 |  |
|  | 1049 | 8 | 10:19 | f | $-3.7$ | 7 |
|  | 2468 | 1943 Sept. 7 | 11:38 | g | +15.6 | 3 |
|  | 2507 | 13 | 11:41 | g | -11.4 | 11 |
| 36. | 980 | 1941 Nov. 3 | 6:44 | g | $-9.2$ | 13 |
|  | 1332 | 1942 Jan. 27 | 4:49 | g | +10.1 | 12 |
|  | 2466 | 1943 Sept. 7 | 10:43 | g | + 7.9 | 12 |
| 37. | 982 | 1941 Nov. 3 | 7:41 | f | 12.0 | 7 |
|  | 1330 | 1942 Jan. 27 | 4:19 | f | + 5.7 | 4 |
|  | 2464 | 1943 Sept. 7 | 9:30 | g | $+6.8$ | 10 |
| 38. | 12640 | 1941 Oct. 25 | 9:05 | f | +10.4 | 4 |
|  | 1334 | 1942 Jan. 27 | 5:42 | g | +19.4 | 9 |
|  | 1373 |  | 3:40 | f | $-2.5$ | 7 |
| 39. | 960 | 1941 Oct. 29 | 11:00 | g | +16.3 | 7 |
|  | 1048 | Nov. 8 | 9:48 | g | +30.9 | 9 |
|  | 2467 | 1943 Sept. 7 | 11:11 | g | - 3.5 | 10 |

TABLE 2-Continued

| Star | Plate No. | Date | U.T. | Quality | $\begin{gathered} V \\ (\mathrm{Km} / \mathrm{Sec}) \end{gathered}$ | Lines |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40. | 990 | 1941 Nov. 4 | 2:41 | g | +11.3 | 10 |
|  | 1069 |  | 11:38 | g | +1.5 | 10 |
|  | 2503 | 1943 Sept. 13 | 8:34 | g | +10.6 | 11 |
| 41. | 1028 | 1941 Nov. 6 | 8:46 | f | -34.1 | 4 |
|  | 1341 | 1942 Jan. 27 | 7:35 | f | $-6.2$ | 6 |
|  | 1374 | 31 | 3:46 | f | $-2.8$ | 4 |
| 42. | 991 | 1941 Nov. 4 | 3:26 | I | +13.9 | 7 |
|  | 1338 | 1942 Jan. 27 | 7:20 | f | +19.6 | 4 |
|  | 2495 | 1943 Sept. 12 | 11:14 | g | + 9.1 | 9 |
| 43. | 999 | 1941 Nov. 4 | 8:08 | g | + 7.2 | 15 |
|  | 1349 | 1942 Jan. 28 | 4:38 | g | $-1.8$ | 15 |
|  |  | 1943 Sept. 12 |  | g | $+0.5$ |  |
| 44. | $1340$ | 1942 Jan. 27 | 7:30 | f | + 3.8 | 8 |
|  | $1375$ | 31 | 3:50 | f | $-0.4$ | 7 |
| 45. | 977 | 1941 Nov. 2 | 10:54 | g | $+5.0$ | 9 |
|  | 1362 | 1942 Jan. 29 | 6:02 | f | +14.8 |  |
|  | 1994 | 1943 Mar. 8 | 3:26 | g | $-2.3$ | 6 |
|  | 2505 | Sept. 13 | 10:54 | g | + 2.0 | 8 |
| 46. | 992 | 1941 Nov. 4 | 3:45 | f | + 9.5 | 3 |
|  | 1065 | 9 | 9:05 | f | - 7.1 | 9 |
|  | 1995 | 1943 Mar. 8 | 3:44 | f | +4.8 | 6 |
|  | 2485 | Sept. 8 | 11:11 | f | $+5.5$ | 4 |
| 47. | 1000 | 1941 Nov. 4 | 8:31 | g | - 4.2 | 7 |
|  | 1064 |  | 5:52 | g | +2.9 | 5 |
|  | 1377 | 1942 Jan. 31 | 4:03 | p | + 4.0 | 4 |
|  | 1996 | 1943 Mar. 8 | 3:53 | g | $+2.5$ | 8 |
|  | 2484 | Sept. 8 | 10:55 | f | +1.7 | 5 |
| 48. | 993 | 1941 Nov. 4 | 4:18 | g | $-1.7$ | 9 |
|  | 1066 | 9 | 9:29 | g | +10.4 | 12 |
|  | 1993 | 1943 Mar. 8 | 3:03 | g | +14.1 | 9 |
|  | 2483 | Sept. 8 | 10:33 | g | +22.7 | 11 |
| 49. | 997 | 1941 Nov. 4 | 7:02 | g | $+7.4$ | 9 |
|  | 1350 | 1942 Jan. 28 | 5:24 | g | +3.2 | 6 |
|  | 2481 | 1943 Sept. 8 | 9:05 | f | + 4.9 | 6 |
|  | 2702 | Nov. 16 | 2:58 | g | +3.3 | 11 |
| 50. | 998 | 1941 Nov. 4 | 7:43 | g | +8.9 | 12 |
|  | 1351 | 1942 Jan. 28 | 6:07 | g | + 0.3 | 12 |
|  | 2482 | 1943 Sept. 8 | 10:00 | g | +3.8 | 13 |
|  | 2703 | Nov. 16 | 4:01 | g | +13.8 | 14 |
| 51. | 1031 | 1941 Nov. 6 | 10:10 | f | $-4.2$ | 6 |
|  | 1089 | 10 | 10:15 | f | +15.6 | 7 |
|  | 1378 | 1942 Jan. 31 | 4:17 |  | -16.7 | 5 |
|  | 2032 | 1943 Mar. 10 | 3:56 | p | +2.0 | 6 |
|  | 2479 | Sept. 8 | 7:58 | f | $-0.1$ | 6 |
|  | 2704 | Nov. 16 | 3:55 | g | +14.5 | 7 |
| 52. | 994 | 1941 Nov. 4 | 4:49 | f | + 6.9 | 4 |
|  | 1067 |  | 9:54 | f | -0.7 | 7 |
|  | 2030 | 1943 Mar. 10 | 3:19 |  | +9.3 | 7 |
|  | 2480 | Sept. 8 | 8:13 | g | +11.7 | 5 |
|  | 2713 | Nov. 17 | 2:26 | g | $+4.5$ | 6 |

TABLE 2-Continued

| Star | Plate No. | Date | U.T. | Quality | $\begin{gathered} V \\ (\mathrm{Km} / \mathrm{Sec}) \end{gathered}$ | Lines |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 53. | 976 | 1941 Nov. 2 | 9:53 | g | -8.9 | 9 |
|  | 1090 |  | 10:24 | g | +16.4 | 5 |
|  | 2031 | 1943 Mar. 10 | 3:40 | g | +12.6 | 9 |
|  | 2478 | Sept. 8 | 7:42 | g | $-12.7$ | 12 |
|  |  | Nov. 17 | 4:07 | g | +21.2 | 7 |
| 54. | 996 | 1941 Nov. 4 | 6:22 | f | $+0.5$ | 8 |
|  | 1342 | 1942 Jan. 27 | 7:44 | f | -4.7 | 5 |
|  | 2035 | 1943 Mar. 10 | 4:13 | f | +17.6 | 4 |
|  |  | Sept. 8 | 7:20 |  | 0.0 | 6 |
| 55. | 995 | 1941 Nov. 4 | 5:37 | f | + 7.0 | 9 |
|  | 1068 | 9 | 10:39 | f | + 8.6 |  |
|  | 2504 | 1943 Sept. 13 | 9:46 | f | +18.1 | 7 |
|  | 2714 | Nov. 17 | 3:14 | f | + 0.9 . | 9 |
| 56. | 1021 | 1941 Nov. 6 | 5:20 | f | + 5.1 | 4 |
|  | 1073 | 10 | 2:45 | f | - 5.1 | 8 |
| 57. | 1025 | 1941 Nov. 6 | 8:19 | g | -21.4 | 5 |
|  | 1354 | 1942 Jan. 28 | 7:40 | f | +18.7 | 4 |
| 58. | 1019 | 1941 Nov. 6 | 4:38 | g | $-4.5$ | 11 |
|  | 1071 | 10 | 2:20 | g | $-8.1$ | 16 |
| 59. | 1020 | 1941 Nov. 6 | 4:59 | f | +21.4 | 4 |
|  | 1072 | 10 | 2:35 | f | +34.9 | 4 |
| 60. | 1024 | 1941 Nov. 6 | 7:48 | p | -13.8 | 4 |
|  | 1075 | 10 | 3:13 | f | +16.3 | 4 |
| 61. | 1023 | 1941 Nov. 6 | 7:00 | f | $-3.1$ | 4 |
|  | 1082 | 10 | 6:52 | g | + 3.4 | 4 |
| 62. | 1022 | 1941 Nov. 6 | 6:00 |  |  | 3 |
|  | 1077 | 10 | 4:03 | f | $+8.3$ | 6 |
| 63. | 1045 | 1941 Nov. 8 | 5:45 |  | +5.1 | 13 |
|  | 1076 | 10 | 3:38 | g | $+9.6$ | 10 |
| 64. | 1046 | 1941 Nov. 8 | 7:14 |  | +10.8 | 15 |
|  | 1353 | 1942 Jan. 28 | 7:14 | f | + 0.5 | 5 |
| 65. | 1018 | 1941 Nov. 6 | 3:57 |  | $+3.1$ |  |
|  | 1079 | 10 | 4:58 | f | $+1.7$ | 8 |
| 66. | 1017 | 1941 Nov. 6 | 3:14 | f | -26.5 |  |
|  | 1080 |  | 5:26 | f | +12.5 | 4 |
| 67. |  | 1941 Nov. 6 | 2:57 | p |  | 2 |
|  | $1057$ | 9 | 3:26 | f | $-6.5$ | 9 |
| 68. | 1033 | 1941 Nov. 6 | 11:07 | p | $+2.6$ | 3 |
|  | 1084 | 10 | 7:50 | f | $+4.7$ | 4 |
| 69. | 1032 | 1941 Nov. 6 | 10:26 | g | +11.1 | 13 |
|  | 1083 | 10 | 7:20 | g | $+4.3$ | 12 |
| 70. | 1044 | 1941 Nov. 8 | 4:13 | g | $-1.0$ | 10 |
|  | 1352 | 1942 Jan. 28 | 6:37 | p | +32.6 | 7 |
| 71. | 1043 | 1941 Nov. 8 | 3:17 | g | +10.2 |  |
|  | 1355 | 1942 Jan. 28 | 7:55 | g | + 8.6 | 4 |

more than $30 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$. Only 10 of these have spectra of grades 1 and 2 , and they may be suspected of being spectroscopic binaries. These are our Nos. 2, 13, 27, 28, 33, 39, 53, 57, 60, and 70. Only 2 of these, namely, Nos. 13 and 33, appear to be established with certainty because of the good accordance between the results of the two measurers. The scarcity in the Pleiades of binaries with large amplitudes is the principal conclusion of our investigation.


Fig. 1

TABLE 3
Comparison with Lick Catalogue of Radial Velocities

| OUR <br> No. | Lick Catalogue |  | McDonald Obs. |  | Difference (Lick minus McDonald) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Vel. | Plates | Vel. | Plates |  |
| 6. | $+2.2$ | 17 | + 4.3 | 4 | $-2.1$ |
| 7. | +11.9 | 14 | +14.9 | 3 | - 3.0 |
| 11. | +24.8 | 1 | $-1.2$ | 4 | +26.0 |
| 12. | + 5.4 | 17 | + 3.2 | 3 | + 2.2 |
| 17. | + 7.8 | 92 | + 5.1 | 6 | + 2.7 |
| 20. | - 2.0 | 1 | $-0.2$ | 5 | -1.8 |
| 21. | $-3.9$ | 1 | $-1.0$ | 6 | $-2.9$ |
| 25. | + 6.8 | 12 | + 4.1 | 2 | + 2.7 |
| 38. | +10.3 | 18 | +9.1 | 3 | + 1.2 |
| 41. | + 8 | 3 | $-14.4$ | 3 | +22.4 |
| 44. | +9.3 | 16 | +1.7 | 2 | + 7.6 |

It is known from theoretical considerations that the internal motions in the Pleiades cluster must be small. Titus ${ }^{10}$ found a mean internal space velocity for the Pleiades of $\pm 0.59 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$. In order to test whether there is any evidence of internal motions in the radial velocities, we have selected from Table 1 all stars of grades 1 and 2 which are not suspected of being spectroscopic binaries, which have small rotational velocities, and
${ }^{10}$ A.J., 47, 25, 1938.
which have more than 2 plates. This group of 29 stars gives an average mean error of $\pm 2.94 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$ for one star. The individual mean errors in Table 1 were computed by means of Schlesinger's formula, ${ }^{11}$ which makes an appropriate statistical correction when the number of observations is small. The unweighted mean radial velocity of the 29 stars is $+5.74 \pm 0.77$ (m.e.) $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{sec}$. The mean error of a single star, computed from the departures (Star minus Mean), is $\pm 4.14 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$. The difference between the external and


Fig. 2
internal mean errors of $1.2 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$ suggests that there may exist internal motions of the order of $1 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$. This is sufficiently close to the theoretical value deduced by Titus.

An attempt was made to test whether there is evidence of rotation or of a spatial change in the velocities resulting from group motion. The median velocity for the 50 stars of low rotation, namely, $+5.6 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$, was taken as the velocity of the center of gravity of the cluster. Figure 2 shows the location of these stars. Solid circles indicate positive residuals, and open circles negative residuals. Half-filled circles indicate the posi-
${ }^{11}$ A.J., 46, 161, 1937.
tions of the two stars, Nos. 33 and 64, which have zero residuals. There are no conspicuous differences in the residuals depending upon position.

If a straight line is drawn approximately through the geometrical center of the cluster, near Alcyone, at an angle of $25^{\circ}$ west from the meridian, the residuals on the northeast side are slightly more positive than those on the southwest side. The mean velocities for four zones running parallel to this dividing line are shown in the upper part of Table 4. The lower part of the table gives the mean velocities for four zones oriented at right angles to those of the upper part.

The width of the zones was chosen so that there are roughly the same number of stars in each zone. Zones II and III are $\frac{1_{2}}{}{ }^{\circ}$ in width on either side of the dividing line.

TABLE 4


TABLE 5

| Character of Spectrum | No. of Stars | Mean (Struve minus Smith) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 1. | 30 | $+0.9 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$ |
| Grade 2. | 16 | -1.8 |
| Grade 3. | 14 | -0.5 |
| All. | 60 | -0.15 |

We do not regard this effect as sufficiently pronounced to suggest that it be considered physically real, in the absence of much-needed additional material.

Our mean velocity for the cluster, as determined from stars of good spectral characteristics, is $+5.2 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$. This is approximately $2 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$ less than Trumpler's value and $2.5 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$ less than Pearce's recent determination. A possible systematic error of our radial velocities may arise from the following sources: (1) a personal error of one or of both measurers; (2) a spurious shift due to blends or to incorrect identifications of the stellar lines; (3) systematic effects arising from the method of reduction, the curvature correction, etc.; and (4) faulty adjustment of the spectrograph or the effect of coma in producing unsymmetrical comparison lines.

In order to test the first source, we have compared the results of Struve and of Smith in Table 5. The differences are negligible for the mean of all stars.

The second source of error was carefully investigated for the measures by Struve. Since many Pleiades have but few lines, the probability of including blends is considerable. Table 6 gives all lines used by Struve with their wave lengths, for which there were at least three measures: the mean departures (line minus plate mean); the mean errors of one measurement of each line; the mean error of the systematic departure for each line; and the number of times the line was measured in the entire series. Twenty-eight measures of 21 additional lines were omitted in the tabulation because their effect is negligible. Since the omission of one residual, $\Delta$, shifts the mean for the remainder of the series by $\Delta /(n-1)$, it is easy to verify that no appreciable change can be brought about by omitting

TABLE 6
Star Lines Used by O. Struve

| Element | Wave Length | Mean Residual | $\epsilon$ | $\epsilon_{0}$ | $n$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Si iI blend. | 3856.74 | $-37.6$ | $\pm 10.3$ | $\pm 6.4$ | 4 |
| He r | 4471.48 | -15.4 | 27.3 | 10.3 | 11 |
| $H \epsilon$. | 3970.08 | -14.2 | 20.9 | 3.4 | 59 |
| Fer 1. | 4045.82 | -13.0 | 15.0 | 2.3 | 66 |
| He 1. | 4026.19 | -12.4 | 23.0 | 8.6 | 11 |
| Sr II. | 4077.71 | $-8.8$ | 22.3 | 4.2 | 44 |
| CaI. | 4226.73 | - 8.4 | 18.8 | 2.4 | 95 |
| $F e \mathrm{I}$ | 4071.74 | - 6.7 | 15.3 | 3.1 | 39 |
| H 10. | 3797.90 | - 5.5 | 18.0 | 2.0 | 86 |
| $F e \mathrm{I}$. | 4063.57 | $-4.4$ | 11.2 | 2.0 | 48 |
| $H \gamma$. | 4340.47 | - 3.6 | 18.9 | 1.6 | 216 |
| Si II. | 3862.60 | - 1.8 | 9.5 | 6.9 | 3 |
| Ti Ir . | 4289.84 | -1.5 | 16.0 | 2.8 | 51 |
| SiII. | 4128.05 | $-1.1$ | 5.0 | 2.8 | 5 |
| HS | 3889.05 | $-0.2$ | 16.4 | 2.1 | 92 |
| Ca iI. | 3933.675 | +0.5 | 13.7 | 1.3 | 164 |
| Hס. | 4101.745 | +3.4 | 17.5 | 1.5 | 208 |
| H $\eta$ | 3835.39 | + 6.2 | 18.8 | 2.5 | 90 |
| Si II. | 3856.025 | + 6.6 | 9.0 | 4.6 | 6 |
| $F e \mathrm{I}$. | 4005.25 | + 6.8 | 15.0 | 2.5 | 55 |
| $F e$ II. | 4351.79 | + 6.9 | 16.0 | 6.0 | 11 |
| Sr Ir . | 4215.52 | + 9.1 | 19.0 | 5.0 | 23 |
| $F e$ II. | 4233.175 | +9.1 | 25.1 | 4.4 | 50 |
| Mg II. | 4481.24 | +10.4 | 20.5 | 1.9 | 176 |
| $F e \mathrm{I}$. | 4383.55 | +10.6 | 18.9 | 7.1 | 11 |
| Fer 1 . | 3997.40 | +14.6 | 11.0 | 6.9 | 4 |
| SciI. | 4314.09 | +18.4 | 18.5 | 4.5 | 27 |
| $N i$ II. | 4067.05 | +18.5 | 15.6 | 4.0 | 24 |
| Si II . | 4130.88 | +32.4 | $\pm 22.9$ | $\pm 14.2$ | 4 |
| Total. |  |  |  |  | 1683 |

those lines whose mean residuals are excessive. For example, omitting the first line ( $\lambda 3856.74$ ) would shift the entire series by only $+0.1 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$. Omitting all lines whose mean residuals exceed $\pm 10 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$ would shift the result for the series by $-0.6 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$. If, however, we should omit only those lines whose residuals are in excess of $\pm 10.5 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$, the mean of the series would be shifted by $+0.7 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$. It is clear that in this manner small shifts of the order of $1 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$ in the final mean can perhaps be accounted for. But it does not seem possible to explain a systematic difference of $2 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$.

The third and fourth sources were investigated together by means of measurements of a number of stars of constant radial velocity. Most of these spectra were obtained at approximately the same time as the spectra of the Pleiades and with identical adjustments
of the spectrograph. The stars were chosen from spectral classes A and F, and the methods of measurement and reduction were precisely the same as those used for the Pleiades. The results are summarized as follows:

> 53 standard stars: Lick minus Struve $=-1.1 \pm 0.7$ (m.e.)
> 21 standard stars: Lick minus Smith $=-1.4 \pm 1.5$ (m.e.)

The effect is in the opposite sense to that required for bringing our mean value of the Pleiades into harmony with Trumpler's and Pearce's results. We have preferred not to apply this correction because its mean error is of the same order of magnitude as the correction itself.

Although it is disconcerting that we have not been able to trace the cause of the difference between our mean radial velocity of the Pleiades and those of other workers, it is perhaps not impossible that several small accidental effects have combined to give this result. This is not contradicted by the values of the mean errors, which range from $\pm 0.7$ to $\pm 1.4 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{sec}$, depending upon how the stars are arranged in groups. In view of the absence of any perceptible systematic errors in our work, we believe that it is best to present our measurements as they were obtained, despite this difference.

We are indebted to Dr. Gerard P. Kuiper for having suggested this investigation.

