

A PHASE/FREQUENCY MODULATION MODEL FOR THE ANNUAL AND SOLAR ROTATION PERIODICITIES IN IMF

Jouni Takalo and Kalevi Mursula

University of Oulu, Department of Physical Sciences, P.O.Box 3000 FIN-90014 University of Oulu, Finland,
Emails: jouni.takalo@nethaw.fi; kalevi.mursula@oulu.fi

ABSTRACT

We study the annual periodicity and the solar rotation periodicity of the Bx component of the interplanetary magnetic field (IMF). It is known since long that the annual periodicity undergoes a phase reversal in 11 years, reflecting the 22-year Hale cycle of the solar magnetic field. We construct a simple model where the annual periodicity of the IMF components is phase/frequency modulated by the Hale cycle, and show that this model can outstandingly well reproduce the observed properties of the annual periodicity. We show that the solar rotation periodicity depicts an analogous nodal structure and a phase reversal with a period of about 3.2 years. This implies a new periodicity in the phase properties of the interplanetary magnetic field. We demonstrate that, using the observed spectral width, the phase/frequency modulation model can satisfactorily explain this structure. We also note that taking into account the observed phase reversal in the solar rotation periodicity implies that the most persistent synodic solar rotation period in Bx is 27.6 days, i.e., somewhat longer than recently suggested.

1. INTRODUCTION

It has been known for a very long time that the properties of the solar wind (SW) and the interplanetary magnetic field (IMF) have a strong tendency to be repeated at the solar rotation (SR) period of about 27 days. This was originally observed in the recurrent pattern of geomagnetic activity [1,2]. Several subsequent studies have verified the existence of this periodicity in different geomagnetic indices and solar wind and IMF parameters [see 3, and references therein]. Recently, Neugebauer et al. [4] did an extensive analysis of the radial IMF component and suggested that the exact period of 27.03 days is the dominant period over long time scales of several decennia.

The annual periodicity in the IMF horizontal components is also known for quite a long time [5]. This effect arises from the latitudinal variation of the dominant IMF sector around solar minima, and the inclination of the solar rotation axis with respect to the ecliptic. Accordingly, during a positive polarity

minimum there is a relative dominance of the away sector in the Fall while the toward sector dominates in the Spring. The situation is reversed one solar cycle later during a negative polarity minimum when the away (toward) sector dominates in the Spring (Fall, respectively). Therefore, the phase of the annual periodicity of the IMF Bx and By components changes every 11 years and makes one full cycle during one 22-year magnetic polarity (Hale) cycle [6].

In this paper we demonstrate the appearance of the polarity change in the annual periodicity and in the solar rotation periodicity of the IMF Bx component, and model this behaviour in terms of the phase/frequency modulation by the 22-year magnetic polarity cycle.




Fig. 1. The power spectrum of IMF Bx component around the frequency of 1/year. The dashed lines denote the power included in the annual periodicity.

2. ANNUAL PERIODICITY AND ITS PHASE CHANGE IN IMF BX

Figure 1 depicts the power spectrum of the IMF Bx GSE-component for the years 1964–1995. The power spectrum was calculated using 50-day IMF Bx running daily means in order to decrease data gaps and to remove the short-period variations in the data, in particular those related to solar rotation. Figure 1 shows that the power of the annual periodicity is split to two broad peaks on either side of the one-year nominal value. Spectral splitting can arise if the annual periodicity is not stable but, e.g., changes in intensity

over the 11-year solar cycle. Since the latitudinal distribution of the IMF sectors indeed varies over the solar cycle, such an amplitude modulation of the annual periodicity is quite feasible and is commonly considered to be responsible for the observed splitting. However, in this paper we suggest another mechanism for the spectral splitting in terms of the phase/frequency modulation of the annual periodicity by the 22-year solar magnetic (Hale) cycle.

Figure 2a depicts the autocovariance function (ACF) of the IMF Bx component for lags up to 30 years. One can see that there is a strong tendency for the annual periodicity to repeat with the same phase (but decreasing amplitude) for about 3-4 years. At a lag of 4-6 years, the ACF experiences a node (amplitude roughly zero) and a phase change after which the annual variation is repeated with an opposite phase. There is a strong antinode (amplitude maximum) in the ACF with an opposite phase at a lag of about 10 years. The opposite phase corresponds to the situation one solar cycle later when the away (toward) sector dominance has changed from Fall to Spring (Spring to Fall, resp.) after the turning of the solar magnetic field, as discussed above.

The interval with an opposite phase ends at the second node of the ACF at a lag of 15-16 years after which the phase is changed back to the original. Accordingly, the next antinode at about 20-year lag has the same phase as before the first node. This second antinode corresponds to the situation one full solar magnetic cycle later. We note that this systematic change of the phase of the annual periodicity in the IMF Bx component over the Hale cycle can not be understood in terms of the amplitude modulation which retains the annual phase the same. Instead, it gives strong evidence in favour of phase/frequency modulation.




Fig. 2. a) The ACF of the IMF Bx component for lags up to 30 years. b) The ACF of the phase/frequency modulated model of the annual periodicity in IMF Bx. The inset shows the model power spectrum around the frequency of 1/year.




Fig. 3. The power spectrum of the IMF Bx component around the frequency of 1/(27.6 days). The dotted lines denote the power included in the solar rotation periodicity.

3. SOLAR ROTATION PERIODICITY AND ITS PHASE CHANGE IN IMF Bx

Figure 3 depicts the power spectrum of the IMF Bx (calculated for 1964-1995) around the average solar rotation period of about 27.6 days. Notice that the 27.6-day rotation period is very close to the synodic rotation period at the surface of the Sun's equatorial region. According to Figure 3 it is evident that the power is divided to a rather large range of periods around this average, implying that the solar regions producing the interplanetary magnetic field at 1AU are not always rotating at the same angular velocity. Figure 3 has some similarity with the power spectrum around one year depicted in Figure 1. In particular, there are two dominant peaks around the 27.6-day average at about 26.9 days and 28.2 days.

In order to study the phase pattern of the solar rotation periodicity we have depicted the ACF of the IMF Bx component for 50 solar rotations in Figures 4a and 4b. These two figures are the same except that in Figure 4a we have used the 27.6-day average solar rotation period and in Figure 4b the 27.03-day period proposed by Neugebauer et al. [4]. Accordingly, a slightly longer time interval of the ACF is depicted in Figure 4a than in 4b. In each figure we have also marked with dotted lines the multiples of the respective solar rotation periods.

It is seen in Figures 4a and 4b that there is a strong tendency for the IMF Bx to repeat with a decreasing amplitude for about 9 solar rotations. At a lag of 10-11 rotations, the ACF experiences a node after which the rotation periodicity recovers, reaching an antinode at a lag of about 20-22 solar rotations. After this antinode the ACF develops a temporary two-peak structure and its amplitude is reduced. A minimum in ACF (an unclear node) is found at a lag of about 35 rotations and thereafter, the next antinode at a lag of about 42-43

rotations, i.e., after some 3.2 years. We note that this implies a new type of periodicity in the interplanetary magnetic field.

Let us now compare how well the two rotation periods used in Figures 4a and 4b can reproduce the node and phase structure of the ACF. It is evident that the 27.6-day period fits better to the first 10 maxima in the ACF than the 27.03-day period which tends to be too short and whose multiples therefore occur increasingly too early for these maxima. After the first node, the multiples of the 27.6-day period fit the minima of the ACF rather than the maxima, indicating that the phase of the solar rotation periodicity is reversed. The anti-phasing interval lasts until and slightly beyond the first antinode at about 20-22 solar rotations while a normal phase is recovered at the second antinode some 20 rotations later. (Note that the phase recovery is also seen in the development of the two-peak structure where the 27.6-day multiples change from the minima to the increasing first peak of the evolving two-peak structure). On the other hand, the 27.03-day period retains the same phase throughout the depicted time interval, and its multiples always roughly follow the ACF maxima. Note also that the best fit occurs around the ACF antinodes while in the intervening intervals the multiples of the 27.03-day period seem to be either too late or too early, in analogy to the situation during the first 10 solar rotations.




Fig. 4. a) The ACF of the IMF Bx component for lags up to 50 solar rotations. Multiples of the 27.6-day period are marked with vertical dotted lines. b) Same as in a, but multiples are for the 27.03-day period. c) The ACF of the phase/frequency modulated model of the solar rotation periodicity in IMF Bx. The inset shows the model power spectrum around the frequency of $1/(27.6 \text{ days})$.

4. MODULATION MODEL

In order to simulate the phase/frequency modulation we have constructed a simple periodic signal (period a) with a variable phase

$$s(t) = \cos\left[2\pi t/a + k \cdot \sin(2\pi t/b)\right], \quad (1)$$

where k is a modulation index which controls the modulation efficiency. Furthermore, the modulation index is related to the frequency deviation df caused by modulation through $k=df/f_m$, where f_m is the modulating frequency ($b=1/f_m$). (The sinusoidal form of Eq. 1 corresponds, strictly speaking, the frequency modulation. Phase modulation could be generated, e.g., by a square function. However, both phase modulation and frequency modulation models lead to essentially similar results).

In the case of the annual periodicity we have $a = 365$ days. The modulation period is taken to be the Hale cycle with $b=20 \cdot 365$ days. Furthermore, we obtain an estimate for the deviation df of the annual periodicity from the power spectrum of the IMF Bx component depicted in Figure 1. Including the power around the two main peaks (the region between the two dashed vertical lines in Figure 1) yields $df = 0.1/\text{year} = 0.00027/\text{day}$. From this we get the modulation index $k = 2.0$ for the annual periodicity in IMF.

We have calculated the ACF of this phase/frequency modulated model signal for the annual periodicity in IMF and depicted it in Figure 2b. Taking into account the simplicity of the model, the similarity of this model ACF and the experimental ACF (Figure 2a) is outstanding. The model reproduces accurately the phase structure of the signal with turning of the phase every 10 years. It also locates the nodes and antinodes of the ACF quite reliably. The overall absolute level of the model ACF, which, e.g., completely ignores random effects, is naturally slightly too high. Still, curiously, the maximum amplitudes of the two ACFs at the first antinode are quite similar. Finally, we note that the power spectrum of the model signal, depicted as a small insert in Figure 2b, has a similar two-peak structure as the experimental power spectrum (see Figure 1). Accordingly, phase/frequency modulation can correctly reproduce the observed spectral splitting.

In the case of solar rotation periodicity we use $a = 27.6$ days while the same modulation period was used as above. From the power spectrum of the IMF Bx component (Figure 3) we obtain an estimate for the related deviation df . Including the two main peaks within a symmetric region around the 27.6-day average yields $df = 0.0011/\text{day}$. From this we get the

modulation index $k = 8$ for the solar rotation periodicity in IMF. The ACF of the phase/frequency modulated model signal for the solar rotation periodicity in IMF is depicted in Figure 4c. Again, taking into account its simplicity, the model performs surprisingly well. The model reproduces accurately the phase structure of the signal and the turning of the phase from antinode to antinode. It also locates the first node very well. However, the first antinode is slightly too early and the model cycle is slightly too short, about 40 solar rotations instead of 41-43.

Note that the absolute level of the experimental ACF is clearly lower for the solar rotation periodicity than for the annual periodicity. This suggests that the rotation periodicity is less strong and more vulnerable, e.g., to random effects, which tend to decrease this periodicity. This also implies that it is more difficult to model the rotation periodicity with the same simple model as successfully as the annual periodicity, and explains the larger difference in the absolute levels of the experimental and model ACFs. Finally, we note that the power spectrum of the model signal, depicted as a small insert in Figure 4c, has a quite similar two-peak structure as the experimental power spectrum (see Figure 3).

5. CONCLUSION

The systematic change of the phase of the annual periodicity in the IMF Bx component over the Hale cycle (see Figure 2a) cannot be understood in terms of amplitude modulation alone. On the contrary, we have clearly shown that a simple phase/frequency modulation model in which the phase of annual periodicity is modulated by the Hale cycle can reproduce all the main characteristics of the annual variation of the horizontal IMF components. In particular, the model reproduces the node-antinode structure of the autocovariance function, as well as the turning of the phase from one solar cycle to another. This gives compelling evidence in favour of phase modulation of the annual variation of IMF Bx. However, in addition, some amplitude modulation may exist at some level.

Neugebauer et al. [4] recently reanalysed the solar rotation periodicity in radial IMF component and suggested that the most persistent period over very long time intervals of several decennia is 27.03 days. This period is marked with vertical lines in Figure 3b of the IMF Bx ACF. This corresponds to our observation that multiples of the 27.03-day period fit to the maxima, not the minima, of the ACF over the whole time interval, in the best possible way. Accordingly, it would seem that the 27.03-day period is indeed the most persistent rotation period over very long time intervals. However, the node-antinode

structure of the ACF (see Figure 4a) cannot be understood in terms of the suggestion by Neugebauer et al. according to which one would expect a continuously decreasing ACF amplitude. Instead, the slightly longer period of 27.6 days together with phase/frequency modulation can reproduce the existence of nodes and antinodes in the ACF of the in-ecliptic IMF components.

We also found that multiples of the 27.03-day period are systematically either too early (as during the first 10 rotations) or too late while the multiples of the 27.6-day period fit overall better when one takes into account all extrema (both maxima and minima) of the ACF. Accordingly, we find compelling evidence that the most persistent solar rotation period in IMF Bx component is 27.6 days.

Moreover, we have found here that the solar rotation periodicity undergoes a phase reversal cycle, which is approximately 3.2 years. This implies a new interesting periodicity pattern in the interplanetary magnetic field. We suggest that this pattern is related to the phase change of active longitudes (the so called flip-flop period), leading to the heliospheric current sheet fluctuations, which are repeated, on an average, every 3.2 years.

6. REFERENCES

1. Broun, J. A., On the variations of the daily mean horizontal force of the Earth's magnetism produced by the sun's rotation and the moon's synodical and tropical revolutions, *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London*, 166, 387, 1876.
2. Maunder, E. W., Magnetic disturbances, 1882 to 1903, as recorded at the Royal Observatory, Greenwich, and their association with sunspots, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astronom. Soc.*, 65, 2, 1905.
3. Takalo, J. and K. Mursula, Annual and solar rotation periodicities in IMF components: Evidence for phase/frequency modulation, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, issue of May 10, 2002.
4. Neugebauer, M., E.J. Smith, A. Ruzmaikin, J. Feynman, and A.H. Vaughan, The solar magnetic field and the solar wind: Existence of preferred longitudes, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 105, 2315, 2000.
5. Rosenberg, R.L., and P.J. Coleman, Heliographic latitude dependence of the dominant polarity of the interplanetary magnetic field, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 74, 5611, 1969.
6. Hale, G.E., F. Ellerman, S.B. Nicholson, and A.H. Joy, The magnetic polarity of sunspots, *Astrophys. J.*, 49, 153, 1919.